Markey

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/4756 /2016 Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4. Free Press Journal Marg, Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date:

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 877 OF 2016. (Sub :- Promotion)

1 Ms. Shehalata M. More, R/at. Amrutwel, New Plot, Satana, Tal. Satana, Nasik.

......APPLICANT/S.

VERSUS

- 1 The State of Maharashtra, Through the Secretary, P.W.D., Mantralaya, Mumbai.
- 3 The Superintending Engineer, Public Works Circle, Nasik Bandhakam Bhavan, Trambak Road Nasik.
- 2 The Chief Engineer, Public Works Region, Nasik, Bandhakam Bhavan, Trambak Road, Nasik.

...RESPONDENT/S

Copy to: The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 20th day of **December**, 2016 has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE:

Shri K.R. Jagdale, Advocate for the Applicant.

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM

HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER (J).

DATE

20.12.2016.

ORDER Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned PO furnishes for perusal an order dated 16th December, 2016 which quite clearly shows that the order of promotion has been issued in favour of the Applicant. In so far as the deemed date aspect of the matter is concerned, the Applicant may move the authority in that behalf and in case, she does so within a period of four weeks from today expeditious decision in that behalf may be taken and communicated to her. The OA is accordingly disposed of in these terms with no order as to costs.

> Sd/-(R.B. Malik) Member (J)

> > Research Officer,

Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai. 23.12.16

 $E: \ \ Sachin \setminus \ \ Judical\ \ Order \setminus \ \ ORDER. 2016 \setminus \ \ December. 16 \setminus 22.12.2016 \setminus O.A.\ \ No.\ \ 877\ of\ \ 16.20.12.16. odt$