## MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 1142-12017 Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4, Free Press Journal Marg, Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date: 16 MAR 2017

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 486 OF 2016. (Sub :- Transfer)

R/o. 34, Yashwant Vihar Society, Wadgaon Dhayri, Pune-41. 1 Shri Ramesh G. Nale, ......APPLICANT/S.

## VERSUS

- 1. The Superintendent of Police, Pune (R), Having Office at Chavan Nagar,
- 2. The State of Maharashtra, Through Principal Secretary, Home Department, Having Office at Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

Copy to: The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 14th day of March, 2017 has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE:

Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri K.B. Bhise, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM

HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER (J).

DATE

14.03.2017.

ORDER

Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

Research Officer, Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20		
Original Application No.  Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corsin, Appearance, Tribunal's orders of directions and Registrar's orders of directions and Registrar's orders  Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corsin, Appearance, Tribunal's orders of directions and Registrar's orders  O.A.486/2016  Mr. R.G. Nale  Us.  The State of Mah. & ors.  Respondents  Chekan Police. Station calling into question the impugned order of transfer whereby he came to be posted at Narayangaon Police Station.  The interim retire was granted to the Applicant by my order dated 1.6.2016.  I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri (J.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Respondents).  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Respondents).  Shrikent T. G. A. Scholkent of the Applicant of		Dreftprett
The State of Maharashtra and others  The State of Maharashtra and others  Respondent/s  Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corain, Appearance, Tribunal's orders of directions and Registrar's orders  O.A.486/2016  Mr. R.G. Nale  Wise.  The State of Mah. & ors.  Respondents  Chakan Police. Station calling into question the impugned order of transfer whereby he came to be posted at Narayangaon Police Station.  The interim relief was granted to the Applicant by my order dated 1.6.2016.  I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Advocate for the Applicant at Eth. D' (Page 17 of the Reper Book (PB)) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Content of the State of the Stat	Original Application No. of 20	
The State of Maharashtra and others  Respondent/s  (Presenting Officer		
The State of Maharashtra and others  The State of Maharashtra and others  Respondent/s  (Presenting Officer		
The State of Maharashtra and others  Respondent/s  (Presenting Officer	(Advocate	
(Presenting Officer	(Advocace	
Office Notes, Office Memorands of Corain, Appearance, Tribunal's orders of directions and Registrar's orders of directions and Registrar's orders  O.A.486/2016  Mr. R.G. Nale		versus
Office Notes, Office Memorands of Corain, Appearance, Tribunal's orders of directions and Registrar's orders of directions and Registrar's orders  O.A.486/2016  Mr. R.G. Nale		and others
Office Notes, Office Memorands of Corain, Appearance, Tribunal's orders  Office Notes, Office Memorands of Corain, Appearance, Tribunal's orders  O.A.486/2016  Mr. R.G. Nale  Ws.  The State of Mah. & ors Respondents  This OA is made by the Head Constable posted at Chakan Police Station calling into question the impugned order of transfer whereby he came to be posted at Narayangaon Police Station.  The interim relief was granted to the Applicant by my order dated 1.6.2016.  I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Prosecution of the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Prosecution of the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Prosecution of the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Prosecution of the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Prosecution of the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Prosecution of the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Prosecution of the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Prosecution of the Applicant of the App		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corain, Appearance, Tribunal's orders of directions and Registrar's driders  O.A.486/2016  Mr. R.G. Nale  Us.  The State of Mah. & ors.  This OA is made by the Head Constable posted at Chakan Police Station calling into question the impugned order of transfer whereby he came to be posted at Narayangaon Police Station.  The interim relief was granted to the Applicant by my order dated 1.6.2016.  I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Raper Book (PB)) whereby he had sought one year's extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated that extension of time to him which is clear from the facts stated in the Affidaxis, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore of transfer currently stayed becomes		Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corain, Appearance, Teibunal's orders of directions and Registrar's driders  O.A.486/2016  Mr. R.G. Nale		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corain, Appearance, Tribunal's orders of directions and Registrar's driders  O.A.486/2016  Mr. R.G. Nale  Us.  The State of Mah. & ors.  This OA is made by the Head Constable posted at Chakan Police Station calling into question the impugned order of transfer whereby he came to be posted at Narayangaon Police Station.  The interim relief was granted to the Applicant by my order dated 1.6.2016.  I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Raper Book (PB)) whereby he had sought one year's extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated that extension of time to him which is clear from the facts stated in the Affidaxis, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore of transfer currently stayed becomes	Officer Officer	
O.A.486/2016  Mr. R.G. Nale  Vs.  The State of Mah. & ors Respondents  This OA is made by the Head Constable posted at Chakan Police. Station calling into question the impugned order of transfer whereby he came to be posted at Narayangaon Police Station.  The interim relief was granted to the Applicant by my order dated 1.6.2016.  I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Reper Book (PBI) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station Chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction than the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.	(Presenting Officer	
O.A.486/2016  Mr. R.G. Nale  Vs.  The State of Mah. & ors Respondents  This OA is made by the Head Constable posted at Chakan Police. Station calling into question the impugned order of transfer whereby he came to be posted at Narayangaon Police Station.  The interim relief was granted to the Applicant by my order dated 1.6.2016.  I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Reper Book (PBI) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station Chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction than the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.	our Managed of Coram.	
O.A. 486/2016  Mr. R.G. Nale Applicant Vs.  The State of Mah. & ors Respondents  This OA is made by the Head Constable posted at Chakan Police. Station calling into question the impugned order of transfer whereby he came to be posted at Narayangaon Police Station.  The interim relief was granted to the Applicant by my order dated 1.6.2016.  I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Raper Book (PB)) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station Chakan because according to him, he had not complete the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore is disposed of with a direction to the impurged order of transfer shall become	Office Notes, Ornce Memoranda of Column	Tribunal's orders
Mr. R.G. Nale  Ws.  The State of Mah. & ors Respondents  This OA is made by the Head Constable posted at Chakan Police. Station calling into question the impugned order of transfer whereby he came to be posted at Narayangaon Police Station.  The interim relief was granted to the Applicant by my order dated 1.6.2016.  I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Raper Book (PB)) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station Chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned Po need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore is disposed of with a direction to the internal Police is disposed of with a direction of the learned Police in the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned Police operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore is disposed of with a direction to the internal Police is disposed of with a direction of the internal Police is disposed of with a direction is disposed of with a direction of the internal Police is disposed of with a direction of the internal Police is disposed of with a direction of the internal Police is disposed of with a direction of the internal Police is disposed of with a direction of the internal Police is disposed of with a direction of the internal Police is disposed of with a direction of the internal Police is disposed of	Appearance, 113 directions and Registrar's orders	
Mr. R.G. Nale  Vs.  The State of Mah. & ors.  Respondents  This OA is made by the Head Constable posted at Chakan Police. Station calling into question the impugned order of transfer whereby he came to be posted at Narayangaon Police Station.  The interim relief was granted to the Applicant by my order dated 1.6.2016.  I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Raper Book (PB)) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station Chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction the investment of transfer shall become	directions and	
Mr. R.G. Nale  Vs.  The State of Mah. & ors Respondents  This OA is made by the Head Constable posted at Chakan Police. Station calling into question the impugned order of transfer whereby he came to be posted at Narayangaon Police Station.  The interim relief was granted to the Applicant by my order dated 1.6.2016.  I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Raper Book (PB)) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station Chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction the interpret of transfer shall become		- 140010016
This OA is made by the Head Constable posted at Chakan Police. Station calling into question the impugned order of transfer whereby he came to be posted at Narayangaon Police Station.  The interim relief was granted to the Applicant by my order dated 1.6.2016.  I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Paper Book (PB)) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station Chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction.		O.A.486/2016
This OA is made by the Head Constable posted at Chakan Police Station calling into question the impugned order of transfer whereby he came to be posted at Narayangaon Police Station.  The interim relief was granted to the Applicant by my order dated 1.6.2016.  I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Paper Book (PB)) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station Chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction the property of transfer shall become		
This OA is made by the Head Constable posted at Chakan Police Station calling into question the impugned order of transfer whereby he came to be posted at Narayangaon Police Station.  The interim relief was granted to the Applicant by my order dated 1.6.2016.  I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Paper Book (PB)) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station Chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA therefore, is disposed of with a direction to the property of transfer shall become		Mr. R.G. Nale Applicant
This OA is made by the Head Constable posted at Chakan Police Station calling into question the impugned order of transfer whereby he came to be posted at Narayangaon Police Station.  The interim relief was granted to the Applicant by my order dated 1.6.2016.  I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Paper Book (PB)) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station Chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA therefore, is disposed of with a direction to the property of transfer shall become		Vs.
Chakan Police Station calling into question the impugnets order of transfer whereby he came to be posted at Narayangaon Police Station.  The interim relief was granted to the Applicant by my order dated 1.6.2016.  I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Paper Book (PBI) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station Chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction than the Affidavits of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.		The State of Mah. & ors Respondents
Chakan Police Station calling into question the impugnets order of transfer whereby he came to be posted at Narayangaon Police Station.  The interim relief was granted to the Applicant by my order dated 1.6.2016.  I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Paper Book (PBI) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station Chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction than the Affidavits of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.		o view of the posted at
order of transfer whereby he came to be posted at Narayangaon Police Station.  The interim relief was granted to the Applicant by my order dated 1.6.2016.  I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Faper Book (PB)) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station Chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction the provided order, of transfer shall become		This OA is made by the Head Constable posted at
Narayangaon Police Station.  The interim relief was granted to the Applicant by my order dated 1.6.2016.  I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Paper Book (PB)) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station Chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This Officer for the Respondents  This Officer for the Respondents  This Officer for the Respondents  This Officer for the Respondents of the learned Po need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This Officer for the Respondents		Chakan Police Station canning into direction the carried at
The interim relief was granted to the Applicant by my order dated 1.6.2016.  I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Paper Book (PB)) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station Chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned Po need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction the property of transfer shall become		Narayangaon Police Station.
I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Paper Book (PB)) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station Chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction the impurmed order of transfer shall become		
I have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Paper Book (PB)) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station Chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction the incurrence of transfer shall become		The interim relief was granted to the Applicant by
heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Paper Book (PBI) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station Chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction the impurposed order of transfer shall become		my order dated 1.6.2016.
heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Paper Book (PBI) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station Chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction the impurposed order of transfer shall become		I have perused the record and proceedings and
the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Paper Book (PB)) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station Chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction that improved order of transfer shall become		the learned Advocate for
I think, there is substance in Mr. Bhise's contention based on what is a communication from the Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Paper Book (PB)) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station Chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction		the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Freschung
CORAM:  CORAM:  How ble Shri. RAIL' AGARWAL  How ble Shri. R. B. MALIK (Member)  APPRAR NOT:  Shri Shri. B.		Officer for the Respondents.
CORAM:  CORAM:  How ble Shri. RAIL' AGARWAL  How ble Shri. R. B. MALIK (Member)  APPRAR NO.  Shri Shri. B.		I think there is substance in Mr. Bhise's
Applicant at Exh. D' (Page 17 of the Paper Book (12)) whereby he had sought one year's extension at Police Station Chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction the impurgred order of transfer shall become		representation based on what is a communication from the
Station Chakan because according to him, he had not completed the statutory period of five years. The Incharge Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction the order of transfer shall become	DATE: 14 03117	Applicant of Eth (Page 1) of the Paper Book (12)
Completed the statutory period of five years. The monage completed the statutory period of five years. The monage Police Inspector recommended that extension of time to him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction		whereby he had sought one years extension at 1 ones
Police Inspector recommended that extension of the him which is clear from the same document. Whatever may have been the facts stated in the Affidavits, in my opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction	Has ble Shri, RALLY AGARWAL	1 1 Man at at 1 them the mod of Tive Vehice 1110 1110 Marie
him which is clear from the same document.  APPEAR ME. A. Bound of the particle of the policy opinion, the arguments of the learned PO need to be accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction the same document. This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction the same document.	(Vice - Chairman)	halian Inchestor recommended first extension of thire to
may have been the facts stated in the Allidavits, in the Shri Shri Charles and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction the impurposed order of transfer shall become	Hon ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)	
Shrishing G.A. Bouldicoxedo for a composition, the arguments of the last the accepted and in all fairness, Mr. Bandiwadekar has no objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes operational from 1st June, 2017.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction than the improvement order of transfer shall become	APPRAISE A L	I have taken the facto otated in the Alliuavito, iii iii.
Advocate for the Applicant  Shri Shat  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction  This OA, therefore, of transfer shall become	CHICAGA, Boendicoeder	l manufact and in all fairness. Wr. Dallulwauckar has
Shri Shat.  Shri Shat.  This OA, therefore, is disposed of with a direction improved order of transfer shall become		objection, if the order of transfer currently stayed becomes
Shri Smith Smith Shring Smith	Advocate for the Applicant R 1050	operational from 1st June, 2017.
This OA, therefore, is disposed of transfer shall become	Shri Stat time desimble ministration of	
R I THE THE INDUSTREE OF THE TAX A T	CHOTEO, for the Respondents	l the impropried order of transfer shall become
A land the first was first time 2017 and the their time	1:	description of the firms 2017 and the then, the mitchin
operational w.e.i.i. other, continue. No order as to costs.	All Things and a land of the second	order in force till now shall continue. No order as to costs.

Hamdast.

TRUE COPY

Asstt. Registrar/Research Officer Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal Mumbai.

[P.T.O.

(R.B. Malik) Member (J)

14.03.2017