

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/1517 /2017 Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4, Free Press Journal Marg, Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date 17 1 APR 2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 294 OF 2017. (Sub: Minor Punishment (Stoppage of Increment))

Shri Pavan M. Patil, R/at. Flat No. B-2/402, Sai Crown, Imperial Soc. Near Matoshree Hospital, Gujar Nagar, Thergaon, Pune.

....APPLICANT/S.

VERSUS

1 State of Maharashtra, Through Chief Secretary, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32. 2 Addl. Chief Secretary, Home Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

...RESPONDENT/S

Copy to: The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the **07**th day of **April**, **2017** has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE: Mrs. Punam Mahajan, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. N.K. Rajpurohit, C.P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER (J).

DATE : **07.04.2017.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.

E:\Sachin\Judical Order\ORDER:2017\April:2017\11.04.2017\0.A. No. 294 of 17:07.04.2017.doc

MATTE E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

MARAKA MA

Original Application No.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Makes, Office Manhamada of Corner. Appearance, Tribunate orders or directions and the labour's orders

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

(Vice Chairman)

O.A.294/2017

Mr. P.M. Patil

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

Heard Mrs. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This OA can be disposed of here and now.

The limited prayer is that the appeal against the order of the minor punishment made by the disciplinary authority which came to be preferred on 28.10.2015 should be decided at the earliest.

The appeal is pending before the 2nd Respondent and in my opinion, in the first place, it ought to have been decided by now. However, I now lay down an outer time limit and this OA is disposed of with a direction that the pending appeal of the Applicant against the order of punishment impugned therein be decided within a period of six weeks from today and the outcome be communicated to the Applicant within one week thereafter. No order as to costs. Hamdast.

> (R.B. Malik) 07.04.17 Member (J) 07.04.2017

(skw)

TRUE COPY

Assit Registrar/Research Officer Managashtra Administrative Tribunal Mumbai