

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 4647 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : 16 DEC 2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1150 OF 2016.
(Sub :- Deemed Date in Cadre of Naib Tahasildar)**

- 1 Shri Nagnath P. Bhosale,
R/at. 304, Aashray Apt., Elphinstone's Road, Behind Bakers Bazar, Khadki,
Pune-411 003.

....APPLICANT/S.

VERSUS

1. State of Maharashtra, Through Chief Secretary, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
2. The Principal Secretary, Revenue & Forest Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
3. The Addl. Chief Secretary, G.A.D., Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
4. The Divisional Commissioner, Pune Division, Council Hall, Pune-411 001.

...RESPONDENT/S

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

*The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 13th day of **December, 2016** has made the following order:-*

APPEARANCE : Smt. Punam Mahajan, Advocate for the Applicant.
Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER (J).**

DATE : **13.12.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

Prasad
16/12/2016
**Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.**

Tribunal's orders

O.A.1150/2016**Shri N.P. Bhosale****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & ors.****... Respondents**

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This OA can be disposed of at this stage itself, regardless of whether the Respondents give consent or not because such are the facts herein involved. It so happened that pursuant to an order made by this Tribunal on 25.6.2014, the Applicant ultimately came to be promoted vide the order dated 19th October, 2016 which is Annexure "A-4" (Page 15 of the Paper Book) and thereafter, he has moved the Respondents for deemed date by his representation of 19.10.2016. The ultimate relief that is sought herein is that for a direction to the 2nd Respondent to decide the said representation within a period of one month from the order of this Tribunal. A period of close to one month has already elapsed. I do not think, the matter is so intricate as to be kept pending. I am, therefore, of the opinion that this OA can be and is being hereby disposed of with a direction to the 2nd Respondent to decide the representation of the Applicant of 19.10.2016 within two months from today and communicate its outcome to him within one week thereafter. No order as to costs.

sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
13.12.2016

(skw)

TRUE COPY

Malik
16/12/2016
Asst. Registrar (General)
Maharashtra State Tribunal

{PTO.