

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/1237 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date :

7 APR 2016

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 760 OF 2015.

(Sub :- Suspension)

- 1 Shri Sureshmani R. Shukla,
R/at. Room No. 20, Kanti Bhavan, Gokhale Society Lane, Parel, Mumbai-12

.....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

- 1 The State of Maharashtra, Through
The Secretary, Medical Education &
Drugs Dept., G.T. Hospital Complex,
L.T. Marg, Mumbai-01.
- 2 The Commissioner, Food & Drugs
Admini., Survey No. 341, B.K.C.,
Bandra (E), Mumbai-51.

...**RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the **06th** day of **April , 2016** has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Shri K.R. Jagdale, Advocate for the Applicant.
Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE SHRI RAJIV AGARWAL, VICE-CHAIRMAN.**

DATE : **06.04.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

Malal
7/4/2016
**Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.**

AD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

randa of Coram, l's orders or rar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.04.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 760/2015</u></p> <p>Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad Rajpurohit, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned Advocate Shri Jagdale placed on record an order dated 5.4.2016 passed by Respondent no. 1 in the appeal filed by the Applicant against his suspension. The Applicant's appeal has been allowed and his suspension has been revoked by Respondent no. 1. The Applicant has been reposted as Administrative Officer in the office of Commissioner, Food & Drugs Administration, Mumbai. Respondent no. 2 is directed to take immediate action to comply with the order of the Government. It appears that the Applicant has also made a request that his suspension period should be treated as duty period. No directions can be given in this regard unless the appeal is decided.</p> <p>As the Applicant is retiring on 30.9.2016, it is hoped that the Respondents will take care to ensure that D.E against the Applicant is concluded before that date.</p> <p>As the Applicant has already been reinstated in service, nothing survives in this O.A and the O.A is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs. <i>Handover</i></p> <p><i>L</i> <i>a)</i> <i>4</i></p> <p><i>10000000</i> <i>10000000</i> <i>10000000</i></p> <p><i>sd/</i> <i>(Rajiv Agarwal)</i> Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p> <p><i>TRUE COPY</i> <i>7/4/2016</i> Asstt Registrar / Research Officers</p>