## MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

No.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 5\\ 2014 Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4, Free Press Journal Marg, Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

17:00

Date:

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 381 OF 2013

Shri. Rajendra Mahadeo Jarag, C/o. Shri A.V.Bandiwadekar, Advocate Mumbai.

...APPLICANT/S.

V/s.

The Director General and Inspector General of Police, (M.S.), Mumbai, Having Office at Old Council Hall, Shahid Bhagatsing Marg, Mumbai- 39.

...RESPONDENTS

Copy to: The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s abovenamed has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 13<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2014 has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : .

Shri A.V.Bandiwadekar, Advocate, for the Applicant.

Shri N.K.Rajpurohit, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM

HON'BLE SHRI RAJIV AGARWAL, VICE-CHAIRMAN.

HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER (J).

DATE

13.10.2014.

ORDER

Order Copy Enclosed.

Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.

D:\Naik\Judicial Order\October 2014\16.10.2014\O.A.381-13 13.10.14.doc

011

Dear	- in	James	110
 Res	one	зен	US

)fficer	)
•	

s, Office Memoranda of Coram, cance, Tribunal's orders or ons and Registrat's orders

Tribunal's orders

## O.A.381/2013

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Bandiwadekar. Shri learned Advocate for the Applicant stated that in the present O.A, the Applicant has challenged the notice proposing impose a penalty of dismissal from Initially, the notice was stayed service. by the Tribunal. However, consequently, the order of stay was vacated. Applicant has been given the bunishment of reversion to the post of API for certain period of time. Applicant had filed an appeal before the Government challenging the aforesaid order of reversion and sought stay. which was not granted. The Applicant had then filed another O.A. challenging the order of the State Government for not granting the stay to the order of reversion. Some order has been passed by this Tribunal in that O.A.

Learned P.O. stated that in view of the fact that the punishment has already been imposed in the D.E. against the Applicant, the present matter has become infractuous.

'ble Shri, RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)

ble Stri R. B. MALIK (Member)

EAR ANCE:

Srot: AV. Brandicesade/Las

scate for the Applicant

O / P.O. for the Respondents

disposed

Sd/-

by leaping this O.A. alive.

disposed of as infructuous.

Sd/-

The O.A. is

As the order of punishment is

under challenge in Appeal before the

State Government, where the grounds of

challenge raised in this O.A. can also be

agitated, no useful purpose will be served

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 13.10.2014

(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 13.10.2014

(skw)