#### [Spl/MAT/F-5/E]

# MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 322 /2016 Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4, Free Press Journal Marg, Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

# Date: 1 3 APR 2016

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 296 OF 2016. (Sub :- Decided the Representations Dt. 8/2/2016)

 Shri Salim G. Shaikh, R/at. 7/1, Gulmarg, Bara Bungalow, Kopri Colony, Thane (E)-400 603.
....APPLICANT/S.

#### VERSUS

- 1 State of Maharashtra, Through Chief Secretary, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32
- 2 The Addl. Chief Secretary, P.W.D., Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
- 3 The Addl. Chief Secretary, G.A.D., Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

#### ...RESPONDENT/S

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the **12<sup>th</sup>** day of **April**, **2016** has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Smt. P. Mahajan, Advocate for the Applicant. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 12.04.2016.

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

Research Officer, Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai.

E:\Sachin\Judical Order\ORDER-2016\April-16\13.04.2016\O.A. No. 296 of 16-12.04.16.doc



### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

Original Application No.

DISTRICT

about dist.

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

. versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 12.04.2016

O.A.No.296 of 2016

Shri Salim Gulab Shaikh ....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

Tribunal's orders

1. This Original Applicant can be finally disposed of at this stage itself. In that connection my order dated 31.3.2016 may be perused.

2. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

3. The Applicant is working as an Executive Engineer. He has been making representations for proper placement in the seniority list in V.J. - A category. This O.A. relates to two such representations dated 8.2.2016 and 16.2.2016.

4. The Applicant wants them to decide representations within two weeks. The affidavit-in-reply has not been filed. I may again refer to my order dated 31.3.2016.

5. Learned P.O. for the Respondents upon instruction form Shri S.N. Pore, Desk Officer, P.W.D. made submissions at the bar. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Smt. Mahajan supported her case.

6. In my opinion there is no point in protracting thisO.A. regard being had to the ambit and the ultimate scopehereof. Although the learned Advocate for the Applicant

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

2

seeks directions that till the decision of the representations in question the promotion to the post of Superintendent Engineer be held in abeyance. I do not think such directions can to be given going by the relief herein sought. As far as the outer time limit to be fixed. Learned Advocate for the Applicant and learned P.O. for the Respondents remain faithfully adhered to their respective briefs. One is seeking time as short as two weeks and the other as long as infinity.

7. In my view time of two months will have to be granted which would subserve the interest of both the sides.

8. This O.A. is disposed of with directions that the representations dated 8.2.2016 and 16.2.2016 made by the Applicant be finally decided within two months from today and the result thereof be communicated within one weeks thereof to the Applicant. Needless to say that the right of the Applicant to take recourse to the legal remedies if he is still left aggrieved is kept intact.

9. No order as to costs. Hamdast.

sba

T

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) Member(J)

*TRUECOPY* 

12.4.11

Asstit Registrar / Research Officers Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal Mumbai

DATE: 12/4/16 CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Sprid H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shrith, Birls Mager (Member)A APPEARANCE: Shot Smt : CHMAM Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant Shot/Smt : K.S. Faikwad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s Order fassed in Tribunal's order Adj. To calymn.

to costs. Handagt