

Thakur

[Sp1/MAT/F-5/E]

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH**

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/3977/2016  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal  
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,  
Free Press Journal Marg,  
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date :

27 OCT 2016

**M.A. No. 341/2016 IN O.A. No. 966/2014.  
(Sub :- Seniority List)**

- 1 The State of Maharashtra, Through Principal Secretary, (Revenue) Revenue & Forest Dept., Having office at Mantralaya, Mumbai-32. 2 The Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division, Konkan Bhavan, CBD Belapur, Navi Mumbai.

**....APPLICANT/S. (Ori. Resp. No. 1 & 2)**

**VERSUS**

1. Shri Hemant W. Salvi & 04 Ors.,  
C/o. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for the Applicants.

**...RESPONDENT/S (Ori. Appli.)**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

*The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 24<sup>th</sup> day of **October, 2016** has made the following order:-*

APPEARANCE : Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, C.P.O. for the Applicants (Ori. Resp.)  
Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for the Respondents (Ori. Appli.)

CORAM : **HON'BLE SHRI RAJIV AGARWAL, VICE-CHAIRMAN.**  
**HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER (J).**

DATE : **24.10.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

*Malik*  
27/10

**Research Officer,  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,  
Mumbai.**

am,

Tribunal's orders

Date : 24.10.2016

M.A. No.341 of 2016  
in  
O.A. No.966 of 2014

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Applicants  
(Ori. Respondents)

Versus

H.W. Salvi & Others  
.....Respondents  
(Ori. Applicants)

1. This is an application for condonation of delay in brining another Misc. Application seeking extension of time to comply with our directions made on 20.8.2015 on the O.A.

2. We have perused the record and proceedings and heard Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned C.P.O. for the applicants hereof and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the respondents hereof.

3. The applicants hereof have given some kind of a chart to indicate as to how, as per their claim they have tried to comply with our final order on the OA. The delay according to them in seeking extension of time to comply was occasioned even, as they were taking steps so to say. Although, the respondents hereof by a detailed Affidavit-in-reply have strongly opposed the grant of this application citing various reasons including prejudice that is being the cause to them. As a matter of fact, we have to restrict ourself only on the issue of condonation of delay because, it is possible that the facts hereof got mixed up with the facts of the M.A. for extension of time.

4. In our opinion, it will be in the fitness of things to hear the application for extension of time in larger interest of justice and, therefore, the delay is condoned and M.A. for extension of time being M.A. No.342/2016 is taken up for hearing forthwith.

5. This M.A. is allowed with no order as to costs.

(R.B. Mafik)  
Member(J)

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice - Chairman

VSM

TRUE COPY

Amal  
27/16

Asstt. Registrar/Research Officer