

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/1402/2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : 25 APR 2016

**M.A. No. 89/2016 IN O.A. No. 334/2014.
(Sub :-Regularization)**

1. Mr. Prabhakar K. Wast,
R/at. C/o. 'Shivam Classic', 201/A, Sector-23, Nerul (E), Navi Mumbai.
.....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

- 1 The State of Maharashtra, Through the Principal Secretary, M.S., Employment & Self Employment Dept., 4th Floor, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
- 2 The Commissioner, Directorate of Employment Exchange, Konkan Bhavan (Extension), 3rd Floor, Navi Mumbai-614.
- 3 The Assistant Director, Employment & Self Employment Guidance Centre, Mumbai District Suburb, CDO Barrack No. 9, Jivan Bima Marg, Mumbai-21.

...**RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 13th day of April, 2016 has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Shri. K.R. Jagdale, Advocate for the Applicant.
Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE SHRI RAJIV AGARWAL, VICE-CHAIRMAN.**

DATE : **13.04.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

Amal
21/4/2016
**Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.04.2016.

M.A.NO.89/2016 in O.A.NO.334/2014

P.K. WastApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant is seeking amendment to the O.A. to bring in two additional reliefs. To this M.A. Respondents have opposed in any amendments on the ground that the Applicant is seeking relief beyond orders by which his services have been regularized.

3. I find that the language of the affidavit-in-reply filed by Shri Sanjay N. Mhaske, Assistant Director, University Skill Development, Employment and Entrepreneurship Information and Guidance Bureau, Churchgate, Mumbai is quite inappropriate and probably shows his total inacquaintance with the English language. Be as it may. The Applicant is seeking relief which according to the Applicant he is entitled. However, that Applicant is not entitled to those reliefs cannot be a ground for refusing to amend the O.A. As a result, M.A.No.89/2016 is allowed.

5. Learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale undertakes to amend the O.A. as per schedule in the M.A. and serve the amended copy on the Respondents within two weeks.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice- Chairman

prk

DATE: 13/4/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice- Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri. V. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

CAOTFO for the Respondents

order passed in the
Tribunal's order.

Adj. T. No.

M.A. is Allowed.

Reply filed by

R.No. 1, 2 & 3

TRUE COPY

Malik
21/4/2016