

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 92/2017.
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date:

F- 1-MATE 2017

C.A. No. 11 /2017 IN O.A. No. 431/2014. (Sub:- Promotion)

1. Shri Sanjay Ramchandra Ghanekar, R/o. Deep Narayan Dube Road, Rajesh Compound, Updhaya Chawl No.3, Room No.17, Dahisar (E), Mumbai-68.

....APPLICANT/S.

VERSUS

1 Smt. V. Radha, Commissioner, State Excise (M.S.)Mumbai, Having office at Old Custom House, 2nd Floor, Fort, Mumbai. 2 Shri. Sudhir Shrivastav, Addl. Chief Secretary, Home Dept., (State Excise), Mantralaya, Mumbai.

...RESPONDENT/S

Copy to: The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 22nd day of **February**, 2017 has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE: Shri. A. Deshmush, Advocate for the Applicants.

Shri N.K.Rajpurohit, C.P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.

DATE : **22.02.2017.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

Research Officer, Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corum, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Date: 22.02.2017 Tribumal's orders

C.A.No.11 of 2017 in O.A.No.431 of 2014

S.R. Ghanekar

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri A. Deshmush, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Shri A. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant states as follows:-

Applicant had given notice of action for Contempt on 27.01.2017 and actually it was delivered on 29.01.2017 and the Application is filed within one week.

- 3. Shri A. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate has conceded that reasonable time is required to issue notice of Contempt.
- 4. Hence, application is disposed as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh after serving adequate notice.

TA.H. Joshi Tylvy DC

prk

Adi To. 15 disposed as

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

DATE:

CORAM:

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Spit : Y

Advocate for the Applicant

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s