

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL****NAGPUR BENCH NAGPUR****ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1051/2024 (S.B.)**

**Babita W/o Samadhanrao Charhate,** )  
 Aged About 37 years, Occu: Service, )  
 R/o Rahul Nagar, Bachuu Tekdi, )  
 Near Naju Zade Kirana, Amravati. )

... **APPLICANT**

**// V E R S U S //**

**1] State of Maharashtra,** )  
 Through its Principal Secretary, )  
 Home Department, )  
 Mantralaya, Mumbai. )

**2] The Commissioner of Police,** )  
 Amravati City, )  
 Having its office at Amravati, )  
 Tah. & Distt. Amravati. )

... **RESPONDENTS**

-----  
**Shri Tejas Deshpande, Alpesh Deshmukh, S.S. Nagrare,**

**Learned Counsel for the Applicant.**

**Shri A. M. Khadatkhar, Id. P.O. for the Respondents.**

-----  
**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,**  
**Acting Chairman.**

**Dated :- 21/04/2025.**

-----  
**O R A L J U D G M E N T**

Heard Shri S.S. Nagrare, learned counsel for the Applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkhar, learned P.O. for the Respondents.

**2.** The case of the applicant in short is as under:-

Applicant applied for inter district transfer. The said application was rejected by the respondent No.2 – Commissioner of Police as per order dated 24/01/2024. Therefore, applicant has filed the present O.A. for direction to the respondent to reconsider the order dated 24/01/2024 and give the posting to applicant as prayed in the representation.

**3.** O.A. is strongly opposed by the respondents. It is submitted that there is no cause to file the present Original Application. The representation filed by the applicant is already rejected by the Commissioner of Police i.e. Respondent No.2. Hence, the O.A is liable to be dismissed.

**4.** Learned counsel for applicant has submitted that applicant will file fresh application and the respondents be directed to consider the same. Learned P.O. has strongly objected

to the prayer made by the applicant. Hence, in view of above submission following order is passed:-

**ORDER**

- (i) O.A. is partly allowed;
- (ii) Applicant is at liberty to submit the representation to the respondent No.2;
- (iii) Respondent No.2 is directed to decide the same after the receipt of the representation;
- (iv) No order as to costs.

**(Justice M.G. Giratkar)**  
**Acting Chairman.**

**Dated :- 21/04/2025.**  
**PRM**

I affirm that the contents of the PDF file order are word to word same as per original Judgment.

Name of Steno : Piyush R. Mahajan.

Court Name : Court of Hon'ble Acting Chairman.

Judgment signed on : 21/04/2025