

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 564/2022 (D.B.)**

Ashwini Sadashiv Mundhe,  
 Aged about 32 years, Occupation: Nil,  
 R/o At post Jambul, Tq. Lonar, Dist. Buldana.

....**Applicant**

**Versus**

- 1) The State of Maharashtra  
 through its Secretary,  
 Health department,  
 Mantralaya, Mumbai 32.
- 2) Joint Director,  
 Health Services,  
 (Malaria, Filariasis & waterborne Diseases)  
 Pune, Dist. Pune-01
- 3) Director of Health Services,  
 Health Service Directorate,  
 Central Building, Pune, Dist Pune;
- 4) The Commissioner, National  
 Health Mission, State Health  
 Society, Mumbai-01
- 5) Deputy Director, Health Services  
 Akola Mandal, Akola, Dist.Akola

...**Respondents**

**Shri M.L. Vairagade, Id. counsel for the applicant.**  
**Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondent.**

---

**Coram :-** Hon'ble Shri Justice Vinay Joshi, Member (J) &  
 Hon'ble Shri Nitin Gadre, Member (A)

**Dated :-** 24.03.2025.

---

**JUDGEMENT**

Heard Shri Vairagade, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.I. Khan, learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. By this application, the applicant is seeking to quash and set aside the impugned communication dated 10.05.2021, whereby the applicant was held to be disqualified for the post of Laboratory Scientific Officer. Her candidature was rejected on the ground that she did not have requisite experience. The applicant would submit that she has filed a Certificate dated 01.05.2021 issued by Civil Surgeon. However, it was not considered, and she was directed to bring certificate from District Malaria Officer. Some communications have been placed on record to show that the applicant was time to time directed to produce the Certificate. The applicant would submit that she has obtained a valid Certificate dated 29.06.2021 issued by District Malaria Officer, which she tendered. However, appointment was not given. It reveals from the said certificate that the applicant had requisite experience, but the

only fact remains that the certificate was not tendered before the Authority at the time of verification.

3. Having regard to above scenario, we hereby set aside the impugned communication and direct the authority to consider the applicant's experience certificate dated 29.06.2021 and take appropriate decision within two weeks from the receipt of this order provided the post is vacant.

4. In view of above, the O.A. is **disposed** of. No order as to costs.

**Member (A)**  
kds.

**Member (J)**

I affirm that the contents of the PDF file order are word to word same as per original Judgment.

Name of Steno : Krushna Dilip Singadkar

Court Name : Court of Hon'ble Member (J) &  
Member (A).

Judgment signed on : 24/03/2025