

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

COMMON ORDER IN

O.A. No. 850/2024 WITH M.A. No. 561/2024,
O.A. No. 812/24 WITH M.A. No. 555/24 With M.A. No. 16/25,
O.A. No. 855/2024 WITH M.A. No. 556/2024,
O.A. 977/2024 WITH M.A. 557/24,
O.A. No. 798/2024 WITH M.A. No. 558/2024,
O.A. 961/24 WITH MA 559/2024,
O.A. No. 960/2024 WITH M.A. No. 560/2024

1 ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 850 OF 2024
WITH
MISC. APPLICATION NO. 561 OF 2024
DISTRICT : AURANGABAD

1. Shubham Janardhan Hake
 Age:- 24, Occupation:- Student
 Address: At Sonuna, Post: Wadhao,
 Tal: Lonar, Dist: Buldhana-443302
2. Sawant Atul Subhash
 Age: 28, Occupation: Student
 Address: At/Post-Kisrul, Tal-Panhala,
 Dist-Kolhapur, 416205
3. Nagare Tushar Shivaram
 Age: 29; Occupation: Student,
 Address: At/Post-Ozar Bk, Tal-Sangamner,
 Dist-Ahmednagar, 422605
4. Ejaj Shaukat Tawwar
 Age: 31; Occupation: Student
 Address: Shivaji Ward, Ghatanji,
 Taluka-Ghatanji, Dist-Yavatmal, 445301

5. Sachin Ashok Mali
Age: 30; Occupation: Student
Address: At/Post-Moghan,
Tal/Dist-Dhule, 424308
6. Krushna Vishwambar Jogdand
Age: 31; Occupation: Student,
Address: At/Post-Bhar Jahagir,
TQ-Risod, Dist-Washim, 444506
7. Dhiraj Vasant Kadam
Age: 26 Occupation: Student
Address: At/Post-Belhe,
Tal-Junnar, Dist-Pune, 412410
8. Nitin Laxman Kale
Age: 33; Occupation: Service
Address: 203, Sun Shruti Greens,
Rajgad Colony, Kiwale, Dist. Pune.
9. Tukaram Rakhamaji Madkar,
Age: 33, Occupation: Student,
Address: A/P-Ashoknagar, Sakharale,
Tal-Walwa, Dist-Sangli.
10. Prakash Gangaram Kolapte
Age: 31, Occupation: Student,
Address: At-Chandoli, Post-Warul,
Tal-Shahuwadi, Dist-Kolhapur
11. Khondre Uttam Ashok
Age: 34, Occupation. Student
Address: A/P-Jakhale,
Tal-Panhala, Dist-Kolhapur
12. Joshi Swapnil Sahadu
Age: 33, Occupation: Student
Address: Sai Krupa Nivas, Bhalekar Nagar,
Pimple Gurav, Pune-411061.
13. Abhijeet Savaleram Bambale
Age: 34, Occupation: Student,
Address: A/P-Rajgurunagar,
Tal-Khed, Dist-Pune, 410505.

14. Rajnikant P. Panchabhai
Age: 35, Occupation: Student
Address: At-Kohmara, Post-Bamhani,
Tah-Sadak Arjuni, Dist-Gondia, 441807
15. Khedkar Sadashiv Shankar
Age: 28, Occupation: Student
Address: At/Post-Chinchpur Ijade,
Tal-Pathardi, Dist-Ahmednagar
16. Satish Shashikant Koli
Age: 32, Occupation: Student
Address: At/Post-Malgaon,
Tal-Miraj, Dist-Sangli
17. Santosh Sadashiv Paymal
Age: 34, Occupation Student,
Address: Po-Kodoli, Tal-Panhala,
Dist-Kolhapur, 416114
18. Swapnil Tanaji Patil
Age: 28, Occupation Student,
Address: At/Post-Nigave Dumala,
Tal-Karvir, Dist-Kolhapur, 416229
19. Barhate Aniket Shrikrishna
Age: 29, Occupation: Student
Address: A/P-Takali Haji,
Tal-Shirur, Dist-Pune
20. Ajit Gangaram Sabale)
Age: 28, Occupation: Student,
Address: A/P-Takali Haji, Tal-Shirur,
Dist-Pune, 412218.
21. Mangesh Dadu Hajare
Age: 32, Occupation Student,
Address: A/P-Vhannur,
Tal-Kagal, Dist-Kolhapur.
22. Sanjay Hindurao Khot
Age: 36, Occupation Student,
Address: Malwadi, Po-Kotoli,
Tal-Panhala, Dist-Kolhapur, 416230

23. Yogesh Arun Deshmukh)
Age: 30,
Address: At-Nehrunagar, Post-Nimani,
Tal-Tasgaon, Dist-Sangli
24. Sandip Sahadev Patil
Age: 34, Occupation Student,
Address: A/P-Karanjphen, Tal-Panhala,
Dist-Kolhapur.
25. Santosh Hanamant Mali
Age: 33, Occupation: Service
Address: AP Daribadchi,
Tal-Jath, Dist-Sangli, 416412
26. Sandeep Nimbajirao Patil)
Age: 32, Occupation: Student
Address: At Lon Seem Post Lon Budruk
Tal: Amalner, Dist: Jalgaon
27. Prashant Bandu Gaikwad,
Age: 30, Occupation: Student,
Address: Mangalmurti Nagar, Shirur,
Tal-Shirur, Dist-Pune, 412210
28. Dnyaneshwar Rameshrao Dagwar
Age: 29, Occupation: Student
Address: 19, Rajiv Nagar,
Wadgaon, Arni Road, Yavatmal
29. Vinod Vasant Tawar
Age: 31, Occupation: Student,
Address: A/P-Belvade,
Tal-Kadegaon, Dist-Sangli
30. Tushar Rajaram Satpute
Age: 25, Occupation: Student
Address: A/P-Shiye, Tal-Karveer,
Dist-Kolhapur, 416122
31. Vikas Tulshiram Jadhav
Age: 29, Occupation: Student
Address: At/Kasegaon,
Tal-Pandharpur, Dist-Solapur, 413304

32. Jagdale Prathamesh Laxman
Age: 25, Occupation: Student,
Address: 785/6 B Ward,
Ramanand Nagar, Kolhapur,
Tal-Karveer, Dist-Kolhapur
33. Bhalerao Atul Mukinda
Age: 33, Occupation: Student
Address: A/P-Inamgaon, Tal-Shirur, Dist-Pune
34. Bothe Akshay Anil
Age: 29, Occupation: Student,
Address: At-Pargaon Maula,
Post-Shiradhon, Taluka-Nagar,
Dist-Ahmednagar, 414001
35. Amol Rajendra Khamkar
Age: 28, Occupation: Student
Address 2332 Songirwadi, Wai, Dist-Satara,
36. Ranjeet Shivaji Mane
Age: 30, Occupation: Student,
Address: At/Post-Peth Vadgaon,
Tal-Hatkanangale, Dist-Kolhapur, 416112
37. Rushikesh Mahadev Maskar
Age: 32, Occupation: Student
Address: At/Post-Kasaba Beed,
Tal-Karveer, Dist-Kolhapur
38. Patil Swapnil Minu
Age: 28, Occupation. Student,
Address: AP-Haralwadi,
Tal-Mohol, Dist-Solapur, 413253
39. Mohite Vishnu Mahadev
Age: 31, Occupation: Student
Address: A/P-Latwade,
Tal-Hatkanangale, Dist-Kolhapur
40. Desai Sanket Sanjay,
Age: 29, Occupation. Student,
Address: 3 Siddhi Park, Behind Sai-Garden,
Vidyanagar, Karad, Dist-Satara

41. Kiran Vasant Patil
Age: 32, Occupation. Student,
Address: At/Post-Khebawade, Tal-Karvir,
Dist-Kolhapur, 416221
42. Akshay Manohar Bajbalkar
Age: 27, Occupation: Job
Address: A/P-Mouje Digraj,
Tal-Miraj, Dist-Sangli
43. Ravikant Sopan Thorave
Age: 35, Occupation: Student
Address: Lakhewadi, Tal-Indapur,
Dist-Pune, 413103
44. Manoj Maruti Khamkar)
Age: 27, Occupation: Student,
Address: Yojana Nagar,
Lohegaon, Pune, 411047.
45. Ganesh Suresh Shinde,
Age: 34, Occupation: Student
Address: A/P-Ramapur, Tal-Kadegaon,
Dist-Sangli, 415303
46. Pawan Anandrao Patil
Age: 33, Occupation: Student
Address: A/P-Tandulwadi,
Tal-Walwa, Dist-Sangli
47. Sagar Ramdas Mahanavar
Age: 25, Occupation: Student
Address: A/P-Yewat, Tal-Daund, Dist-Pune
48. Ajit Vasant Sonawane
Age: 25, Occupation: Student
Address: A/P Ghodegaon, Tal-Newasa,
Dist-Ahmednagar
49. Aniket Shamarao Fadnis
Age: 26, Occupation: Student
Address: Vidya Colony, Galli No. 07,
Peth Vadgaon, Tal-Hatkanangle,
Dist-Kolhapur, 416112

50. Rahul Jaysing Iralkar
Age: 34, Occupation: Student
Address: At-Kekhale, Tal-Panhala,
Dist-Kolhapur, 416113
51. Rahul Maruti Vanjare
Age: 33, Occupation: Student,
Address: At/Post-Vazare, Tal-Ajara,
Dist-Kolhapur, 416220.
52. Amol Suresh Mahindrakar
Age: 34, Occupation: Student,
Address: Deepak Niwas, Sambhaji Nagar,
Killa Vibhag, Karmala, Dist-Solapur, 413203
53. Manoj Dagadu Patil
Age: 31, Occupation: Student
Address: At-Shantinagar, Post-Biur,
Tal-Shirala, Dist-Sangli, 415408
54. Vishal Krishnat Nigade
Age: 27, Occupation: Student
Address: Gandhi Nagar Road, Nigadewadi,
Unchagaon, Dist-Kolhapur, 416005
55. Rohit Bhagwan Pansare
Age: 32, Occupation: Student,
Address: Swami Krupa Row House, Sai Nagar,
Dindori Road, Nashik, 422004
56. Nakul Sukumar Wankhade
Age: 29, Occupation: Student,
Address: Behind Butle College,
Prithviraj Nagar,Digras, Dist-Yavatmal, 445203
57. Sanjay Radhakisan Kolpe
Age: 28, Occupation: Student
Address: At/Post-Kolpewadi,
Tal-Kopergaon, Dist-Ahmednagar
58. Sandeep Subhash Mohite
Age: 39, Occupation: Student,
Address: 315 A Ward, Margai Galli,
Shivaji Peth, Dist-Kolhapur

59. Rahul Vilas Bhagat
Age: 35, Occupation: Student,
Address: Rui, Post-Nhavi, Tal-Indapur,
Dist-Pune, 413132
60. Akash Laxman Dhokane
Age: 28, Occupation: Student,
Address: At/Post-Umbare, Tal-Rahuri,
Dist-Ahmednagar
61. Sumit Chandrakumar Landge
Age: 33, Occupation: Student
Address: 102 Mantri Heights,
Bajirao Road, Pune, Dist-Pune
62. Yuvraj Chandrakant Mohite
Age: 35, Occupation: Student,
Address: 181 Vaishnavi Apt., Shinde Ali,
Shukrawar Peth, Pune, Dist-Pune
63. Abhijeet Ashok More
Age: 34, Occupation: Service,
Address: At/Post-Kodoli, Tal-Panhala,
Dist-Kolhapur, 416114.
64. Mohit Annasaheb Darandale
Age: 31, Occupation: Student
Address: A/P-Sonai, Tal-Newasa,
Dist-Ahmednagar
65. Dnyaneshwar Ambadas Khedkar
Age: 26, Occupation: Student
Address: At/Post-Ranegaon, Tal-Shevgaon,
Dist-Ahmednagar, 414502
66. Vishal Vilas Rathod
Age: 32, Occupation: Student
Address: Flat No. A/6, Dr. Kotnis Nagar Complex,
Near Arjun Tower, Vijapur Road, Solapur, 413005
67. Shubham Santosh Nagare
Age: 24, Occupation: Student,
Address: Mhalsakore, Tal-Niphad,
Dist-Nashik, 422210

68. Balu Yanku Waghmode
Age: 33, Occupation: Student
Address: At/Post-Balajinagar, Bhamburdi,
Tal-Malshiras, Dist-Solapur, 413111
69. Maruti Dundapa Patil
Age: 33, Occupation: Service
Address: At-Kumbalhal, Post-Nandanwad,
Tal-Gadhinglaj, Dist-Kolhapur, 416506
70. Pravin Shaligram Rathod
Age: 29, Occupation: Government Employee
Address: At-Sudi, Post-Rajura, Tal-Malegaon,
Dist-Washim, 444503
71. Akash Ramchandra Nikhade
Age: 28, Occupation: Student
Address: At. Thergaon, Tah. Saoli,
Dist. Chandrapur
72. Shital Ravindra Borde
Age: 33, Occupation: Competitive
Exam Preparation, Address: At-Pathari,
Post-Kurai, Ta-Wani, District-Yavatmal
73. Sandeep Manikrao Ghuge
Age: 33, Occupation: Student,
Address: Shivaji Nagar, Lohara,
Yavatmal-445001
74. Shivkumar Phulsing Chavhan
Age: 32, Occupation: Student
Address: At Fulwadi, Ta. Pusad,
Dist. Yavatmal
75. Ashok Tarachand Marbate
Age: 29, Occupation: Student
Address: At Sonegaon, Po Jiwnapur,
Ta Kuhi, Dist. Nagpur
76. Shaileshkumar Samadhan More
Age: 31, Occupation: Student
Address: AT- Dhamandhari,
PT- Yelgaon, TQ- Buldhana, Dist. Buldhana)

77. Shriram Jagdev Ingle
Age: 32, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Somwarpeth, Barsitakli,
TQ Barsitakli, Dist. Akola
78. Pratik Rameshrao Raut
Age: 31, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Jodmoha,
Ta. Kalamb, Dist. Yavatmal
79. Bhushan Bhimrao Jadhao
Age: 27, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Bori Khurdh,
Ta. Pusad, Dist. Yavatmal.
80. Rahul Dasharath Shende
Age: 28, Occupation: Student
Address: At Wakdi, Post Yeoli,
Ta. Gadchiroli, Dist. Gadchiroli
81. Bhalchandra Sunil Sakhare
Age: 29, Occupation: Student
Address: Mauli Nagar, Pusad, Tal- Pusad,
Dist. Yavatmal, Pin Code - 445204
82. Pratik Panjab Rathod
Age: 27, Occupation: Student
Address: At. Dudhagiri, Post Lohara (Ijara),
Ta. Pusad, Dist. Yavatmal
83. Gaurav Rambhau Kshirsagar
Age: 26, Occupation: Student
Address: New Yeshwant Nagar,
Hinganghat, Dist. Wardha
84. Sumit Suresh Padlamwar
Age: 33, Occupation: Student
Address: Guruvrya Colony,
Ward No. 1, Wani, Dist. Yavatmal
85. Ashish Mohan Sahare
Age: 25, Occupation: Student
Address: At-Khobna, Tah-Kuhi, Dist. Nagpur

86. Tejswini Dilip Korde
Age: 28, Occupation: Student
Address: At. Chinchkheda, Po. Falegaon,
Tq. Mangrulpir, Pin- 444409, Dist. Washim
87. Savitri Ramkrushna Mahale
Age: 26, Occupation: Student
Address: At Chinchkhed, Po Falegaon,
Tq. Mangrulpir, Washim MH-444403, Dist. Washim
88. Ankosh Devidas Garmale
Age: 34, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post-Mohali Mokasa,
Tah-Nagbhid, Dist. Chandrapur
89. Rahul Krushna Bhagat
Age: 25, Occupation: Student
Address: At Ghota, Po Poghat,
Tal Mangrulpir, Dist Washim, Pin code 444403
90. Vinayak Vitthal Padole
Age: 35, Occupation: Student
Address: At- Gunj, Post- Gunj,
Taluka- Mahagaon, Dist-Yavatmal, Pin-445205
91. Gopal Onkar Rathod
Age: 29, Occupation: Student
Address: At. Pimpalgaon Dubba,
Post. Kolura, Tq. Ner, Dist. Yavatmal-445102
92. Rupesh Harikrushna Pawar
Age: 25, Occupation: Student
Address: Mungsaji Nagar, Manora,
TQ. Manora, Dist. Washim
93. Prakash Baliram Ghode
Age: 27, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post- Fulsawangi,
Tq- Mahagaon, Dist. Yavatmal
94. Vaibhav Mohan Laxane
Age: 26, Occupation: Student
Address: Ambedkar Square,
Railway Station Road, Amgaon - 441902,
Tah. Amgaon, Dist- Gondia

95. Lokesh Puranlal Bopche
Age: 31, Occupation: Student
Address: Pragati Nagar, Gondia Road,
Risama, Amgaon, Tah-Amgaon,
Pin- 441902, Dist. Gondia
96. Rahul Shivdas Patwardhan
Age: 40, Occupation: Student
Address: At- Narsingpur,
Post- Yawali Shahid,
Tq- Amravati, Dist. Amravati
97. Pravin Bharatsing Sonone
Age: 28, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Pimpalgaon Kale,
Tq-Jalgaon Jamod, 443403, Dist. Buldana
98. Rahul Manohar Aochar
Age: 32, Occupation: Student)
Address: At Post- Pimpalgaon Kale,
Ta- Jalgaon Jamod, Dist- Buldhana
99. Vaibhav Sanjiv Tekale
Age: 26, Occupation: Student)
Address: At Post Buldana,
Taluka Dist. Buldana
100. Shivshankar Suresh Wanjare
Age: 27, Occupation: Student)
Address: At- Omnagar,
Post- Shrirampur (Pusad),
Tq. Pusad, Dist. Yavatmal
101. Suraj Sudhakar Jungari
Age: 28, Occupation: Student)
Address: At Post Punwat,
Taluka Wani, Dist. Yavatmal)
102. Nayan Gajanan Vaidya
Age: 25, Occupation: Student)
Address: At Maregaon (Ko), Post Rasa,
Taluka Wani, Dist. Yavatmal

103. Saurabh Ganeshrao Dagwale,
Age: 23, Occupation: Student)
Address: Bhatkuli, Dist. Amravati
104. Anand Omprakash Varma)
Age: 34, Occupation: Student)
Address: At Ghatanji,
Taluka Ghatanji, Dist. Yavatmal
105. Rahul Omprakash Mahajan)
Age: 25, Occupation: Student)
Address: At Post Sategaon,
Tq. Anjangaon Surji,)
Dist. Amravati, Pin Code: 444705
106. Mangesh Sadashiv Mahale
Age: 30, Occupation: Service
Address: At Post Atali,
Tq. Khamgaon, Dist. Buldhana
107. Vaibhav Suresh Agalave,
Age: 37,
Address: At Ramtirth, Po. Undri,
Ta. Ralegaon, Dist. Yavatmal
108. Diptanshu Khushal Pise
Age: 30, Occupation: Student)
Address: At Post Neri,
Ta. Chimur, Dist. Chandrapur
109. Akshay Kishor Dhore
Age: 30, Occupation: Student)
Address: At Post Kanadi,
Tq. Murtijapur, Dist. Akola,
Pin Code: 444107)
110. Ajit Mohan Meshram
Age: 26, Occupation: Student)
Address: At Pimpri, Post Kayar,
Ta. Wani, Dist. Yavatmal
111. Yogesh Shankar Ghati
Age: 27,
Occupation: Student)
Address: Wadgaon Road, Yavatmal

112. Nikosh Udaysing Jadhao)
Age: 24, Occupation: Student)
Address: At Kakaddara, Post Jawala,
Tq. Ami, Dist. Yavatmal,
Pin Code: 445105
113. Duryodhan Bhaiyalal Thakare)
Age: 29, Occupation: Student)
Address: At Post Timezari,
Taluka Goregaon, Dist. Gondia
114. Vaibhav Pritam Darade,
Age: 30, Occupation: Student)
Address: Palash Line,
Gadge Nagar, Amravati, 444603
115. Name: Kartik Sahebrao Rathod
Age: 26, Occupation: Education
Address: At Lobhivantnagar,
Post Mokhad, Tq. Pusad,
Dist. Yavatmal, Pin Code: 445204
116. Sidhant Vilasrao Khadse
Age: 27, Occupation: Student)
Address: At Kharda, Tah. Deoli,
Dist. Wardha
117. Bhimrao Ramdhan Chavhan
Age: 36, Occupation: Student)
Address: At Rudrala, Post Dapura,
Ta. Manora, Dist. Washim,
Pin Code: 444404)
118. Aniket Jayram Nagpure,
Age: 28, Occupation: Student
Address: At Majara, Post Chopan,
Tq. Maregaon, Dist. Yavatmal
119. Shinandan Bhagwat Bhadve
Age: 25, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Toandgaon, Ta. Washim,
Dist. Washim, 444505

120. Aba Kachru Jagdale,
Age: 38, Occupation: Student
Address: At Taradgavhan,
Tq. Shirur Kasar, Dist. Beed,
Pin Code: 431122
121. Gautam Devidas Nangre
Age: 33, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Chondi Amba,
Tq. Basmath, Dist. Hingoli, 431512
122. Anil Shriram Jadhav
Age: 27, Occupation: Student
Address: At Bhaucha Tanda, Post Shelgaon,
Tq. Sonpeth, Dist. Parbhani, 431516
123. Sachin Santosh Jadhav
Age: 27, Occupation: Student
Address: Laxmi Nagar, Mantha Road,
Jalna
124. Naik Ashish Rajebhau
Age: 27, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Kehal, Wadgoan,
Ta. Mantha, Dist. Jalna, 431504
125. Sachin Dhananjay Survase
Age: 28, Occupation: Student
Address: Kanadi Mali, Ta. Kaij,
Dist. Beed, Maharashtra, 431123
126. Marwale Laxman Gangaram
Age: 31, Occupation: Student
Address: At Kanjalwadi, Post Kapshi Bk,
Ta. Loha, Dist. Nanded, 431602
127. Vinod Dnyaneshwar Dahake
Age: 31, Occupation: Student
Address: Katipura, Anjangoan Surji,
Dist. Amravati
128. Jalindar Macchindra Dhanure
Age: 29, Occupation: Student,
Address: At Post Deogaon (R), Tq. Kannad,
Dist. Sambhajinagar, 431115

129. Amol Ratnakar Madewar
Age: 31, Occupation: Student
Address: At Lanji, Tq. Mahur,
Dist. Nanded, 431721
130. Kakde Janardan Sonaji
Age: 34, Occupation: Student
Address: At Waghalgaon, Post Pimpalgaon,
Tal. Phulambri, Dist. Ch. Sambhajinagar
131. Manesh Datta Rathod
Age: 30, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Wanola, Tq. Mahur,
Dist. Nanded, Pin Code: 431721
132. Name: Rathod Raghunath Kishan
Age: 32, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Daregaon,
Tq. Mudkhed, Dist. Nanded,
Pin Code: 431806
133. Name: Navnath Shriram Jadhav
Age: 29, Occupation: Student,
Address: At Post Khalapuri,
Tq. Shirur (Kasar), Dist. Beed
134. Name: Satish Siddharth Kaiware
Age: 32, Occupation: Student,
Address: At/Post Isapur (Dam),
Tq. Pusad, Dist. Yavatmal, Pin Code: 445209
135. Name: Shivaji Shirurkar
Age: 30, Occupation: Student
Address: Shirur, Post Kautha,
Ta. Kandhar, Dist. Nanded, 431714
136. Name: Andelwar Sachin Ganpat
Age: 31, Occupation: Student
Address: At Nekli, Post Palaj,
Tq. Bhokar, Dist. Nanded, 431801
137. Name: Radhika Bansilal Hajare
Age: 25, Occupation: Student,
Address: At Barbada, Tq. Mantha, Dist. Jalna

138. Name: Santosh Asaram Agale
Age: 34, Occupation: Student
Address: Ayodhya Nagri, Kannad,
Dist. Chht. Sambhaji Nagar
139. Name: Mahesh Ramkisan Tandale
Age: 30, Occupation: Student
Address: At Hiwara Bk, Post Talkhed,
Tq. Majalgaon, Dist. Beed
140. Name: Watpalwad Ganesh Vishavambhar
Age: 26, Occupation: Student,
Address: At Post Chandola, Tq. Mukhed,
Dist. Nanded, Maharashtra, 431715
141. Name: Bhure Sidheshwar Shivaji
Age: 32, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Larson, Tq. Deoni,
Dist. Latur, Pin Code: 423510
142. Name : Arjunsing Shivsing Maher
Age: 35, Occupation: Student,
Address: At Post Tadpimpalgaon,
Tq. Kannad, Dist. Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar,
Pin Code: 431115
143. Name: Somnath Kailas Khokad
Age: 28, Occupation: Student,
Address: At Ibrahimpur,
Po/Tq. Bhokardan, Dist. Jalna
144. Name: Nilesh Jivraj Hange
Age: 31, Occupation: Student
Address: Chincholi Mali, Tq. Kaij, Dist. Beed
145. Name: Gade Prashant Kalyanrao
Age: 35, Occupation: Student,
Address: Sant Tukaram Nagar, Beed,
Dist. Beed, Pin Code: 431122
146. Name: Jagannath C. Munde
Age: 28,
Address: Vitthal Nagar, Nanded
147. Name: Shriram Ashokrao Hangargekar
Age: 29, Occupation: Student
Address: Arya Chowk, Tuljapur,
Pin Code: 413601, Dist: Dharashiv

148. Name: Sambhaji Datta Bhise
Age: 28, Occupation: Student
Address: At Tatkawadi,
Post Wakad, Tq. Bhokar,
Dist. Nanded
149. Name: Gite Balu Mahadev
Age: 33, Occupation: Student
Address: At Thetegavan, Tq. Dharur,
Dist. Beed, Pin Code: 431124
150. Name: Avinash Mahadu Pardeshi
Age: 29, Occupation: Student
Address: At Malegaon Pimpri,
Post Jarandi, Tal. Soygaon,
Dist. Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar
151. Name: Maind Rameshwar Nanabhau
DOB: 07-11-1998, Age: 26,
Occupation: Student
Address: At Maindwadi, Post/Tq. Dharur,
Dist. Beed, Pin Code: 431124
152. Name: Sandip Sitaram Bhagwat
Age: 27, Occupation: Student
Address: At Po Matori,
Tq. Shirur Kasar, Dist. Beed
153. Name: Dattatray Parashram Tare
Age: 30, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Deulgaon Waisa,
Tq. Lonar, Dist. Buldhana
154. Name: Wadwale Vaibhav Maruti
Age: 32, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Ruibhar,
Dist. Dharashiv
155. Name: Pandurang Kisan Kale
Age: 30, Occupation: Student
Address: At Najik Pangri,
Post Keligavhan, Tq. Badnapur, Dist. Jalna
156. Uddhav Ganpatrao Nivle
Age: 33, Occupation: Student
Address: At Kathewadi, Post Hottal,
Tal. Degloor, Dist. Nanded,
Maharashtra, Pin Code: 431717

157. Tukaram Bansi Ugale
Age: 30, Occupation: Student
Address: At Ramanagar, Post Navgaon,
Tq. Paithan, Dist. Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar
158. Name: Rathod Ravikumar Sheshirao
Age: 31, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Poharegaon Tanda,
Tq. Renapur, Dist. Latur
159. Name: Ananta Laxman Bhusanar
Occupation: Student,
Address: At Post Pethpimpalgaon,
Tq. Palam, Dist. Parbhani,
Pin Code: 431720
160. Name: Shankar Babarrao Somase
Age: 32, Occupation: Student
Address: At Somaswadi, Post Phulwal,
Tq. Kandhar, Dist. Nanded,
Pin Code: 431714
161. Nitin Murlidhar Kadam
Age: 32, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Karanjala,
Tq. Basmath, Dist. Hingoli
162. Ade Parmeshwar Fulchand
Age: 34, Occupation: Student
Address: At Jamdev Tanda,
Post Anjandoh, Tq. Dharur,
Dist. Beed, Pin Code: 431124
163. Suryakant Hanmantrao Marakwad
Age: 34, Occupation: Student
Address: At Daregaon, Post Walag,
Tal. Degloor, Dist. Nanded,
Pin Code: 431718
164. Name: Shivdas Khandu Vaidya
Age: 34, Occupation: Student
Address: At Pokhari, Post Saigaon,
Taluka Ambajogai, Dist. Beed

165. Shubham Manikrao Lakade
Age: 27, Occupation: Student
Address: Shivaji Nagar, Tadkalas,
Ta. Purna, Dist. Parbhani,
Pin Code: 431402
166. Name: Mogawar Santosh Basvantrao
Age: 29, Occupation: Student
Address: At Shivani, Tq. Deglur,
Post Karadkhed, Pin Code: 431718
Dist: Nanded
167. Name: Pavansing Meghnath Bamhanawat
Age: 29, Occupation: Student
Address: At Bhildari, Post Pishor,
Tq. Kannad, Pin Code: 431104
Dist: Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar
168. Name: Pradeep Sudam Tarkase
Age: 29, Occupation: MPSC Student
Address: At Post Wangi (Bk),
Tq. Majalgaon, Dist. Beed,
Pin Code: 431128
169. Name: Shankar Bhojiram Akat
Age: 34, Occupation: Student
Address: Satona, Tq. Partur,
Dist. Jalna, Pin Code: 431502
170. Name: Saurabh Sanjay Mutkule
Age: 31, Occupation: Student
Address: Sainivas, Dhamangaon Road,
Kada, Tq. Asti, Dist. Beed,
Pin Code: 414202
171. Name: Murhade Subhash Dularsing
Age: 33, Occupation: Student
Address: At Kingaonwadi, Post Rhilagad,
Tq. Ambad, Dist. Jalna
172. Name: Akash Uttam More
Age: 25, Occupation: Student
Address: At Mannas Pimpri,
Tq. Sengaon, Dist. Hingoli

173. Name: Pranit Pandharinath Bangale
Age: 25, Occupation: Student
Address: Daregaon, Tq. Sindkhed Raja,
Dist. Buldhana, Pin Code: 443202
174. Name: Sachin Sanjay Richhariya
Age: 27, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Khamgaon, Tq. Khamgaon,
Dist. Buldhana, Pin Code: 444303
175. Name: Prashant Govardhan Chavan
Age: 29, Occupation: Student
Address: Plot No. 36, Survey No. 156,
Galli No. 5, Surewadi (Jadhavwadi),
New Mondha, Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar,
Pin Code: 431001, Dist: Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar
176. Name: Tushar Babanrao Jadhav
Age: 28, Occupation: Student
Address: Gajanan Nagar, Shelu Road,
Behind Church, Malegaon, Dist. Washim
177. Name: Vinayak Rajaram More
Age: 28, Occupation: Student
Address: At Jalalpur, Post Gangapur,
Tal. & Dist. Nashik, Pin Code: 422222
178. Name: Kiran Kacharu Gholap
Age: 35, Occupation: Student
Address: Flat No. 9,
Swami Samarth Krupa Society,
Gulmohar Nagar, Mhasrul, Nashik,
Pin Code: 422004
179. Name: Shyam Liladhar Thakur
Age: 35, Occupation: Student
Address: Plot No. 19 B, Shahu Nagar,
Amalner, Tal. Amalner, Dist. Jalgaon
180. Name: Rahul Pundlik Lahare
Age: 24, Occupation: Student
Address: At Gavtha, Post Shirasgaon,
Tal. Trimbakeshwar, Dist. Nashik

181. Name: Deepak Daulat Wathore
Age: 34, Occupation: Student
Address: B-Wing, Flat No. 04,
Shantanu Society, Near Panvelkar Height,
Jadhav Colony, Belavali, Badlapur West,
Dist, Thane, Pin Code: 421503
182. Name: Chetan Sunil Patil
Age: 26, Occupation: Student
Address: At Waghode, Post Ajande,
Tal. Shindkheda, Dist. Dhule
183. Name: Hemkant Rajendra Patil
Age: 27, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Upkhed, Tal. Chalisgaon/
Dist. Jalgaon
184. Name: Kiran Kacharu Gholap
Age: 35, Occupation: Student
Address: Flat No. 9, Swami Samarth
Krupa Society, Gulmohar Nagar,
Mhasrul, Nashik, Pin Code: 422004
185. Name: Patil Nikhil Ashok
Age: 32, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Pardhade,
Taluka Pachora, Dist. Jalgaon,
Pin Code: 424201
186. Name: Dilip Bhagwan Ghodke
Age: 38, Occupation: Student,
Address: At Post Yeola,
Tal. Yeola, Dist. Nashik
187. Name: Kalpesh Subhash Kokani
Age: 26, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Shrawani,
Tal. Navapur, Dist. Nandurbar
188. Name: Aakash Balasaheb Mali
Age: 27, Occupation: Student
Address: Flat No. 104, B-Wing,
Vinayak Dham Society, Ambadi Road,
Vevoor, Palghar (E), Palghar, Pin Code: 401404

189. Name: Vishnu Somnath Bidgar
Age: 32, Occupation: Student,
Address: At Post Kondhar,
Tal. Nandgaon, Dist. Nashik
190. Name: Amol Madhukar Jadhav
Age: 27, Occupation: Student
Address: At Kharivali, Post Khupari,
Tal. Wada, Dist. Palghar
191. Name: Yash Sunil Patil
Age: 28, Occupation: Student
Address: At/Post Anturli,
Tal. Muktainagar, Dist. Jalgaon
192. Name: Rohit Ravindra Kulkarni
Age: 33, Occupation: Student
Address: Flat No. 306,
Munisuvrat Apartment, Savoday Nagar,
Phase 3, Ambarnath West, Thane,
Pin Code: 421501, Dist: Thane
193. Name: Vikas Pandurang Dhangar
Age: 25, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Londhave,
Taluka Amalner, Dist. Jalgaon,
Pin Code 425401
194. Name: Kedar Ashok Bapurao
Age: 28, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Talwada, Tq. Georai,
Dist. Beed
195. Name: Bhushan Rajendra Bhamare
Age: 32, Occupation: Student
Address: Ram Nagar, Sector 2,
Plot No. 56, Wadibhokar Road, Dhule
Dist. Dhule, Pin Code: 424002
196. Name: Sunil Laxman Chaudhari
Age: 25, Occupation: Student
Address: At Vavarpada, Post Bubali,
Tal. Surgana, Dist. Nashik, Pin Code: 422211

197. Name: Aakash Pandurang Dhangar
Roll No.: MB102313, Age: 30
Occupation: Student,
Address: At Post Londve,
Tal. Amalner, Dist. Jalgaon,
Pin Code: 425401
198. Name: Ashwini Gangadhar Waghmare
Age: 33, Occupation: Student
Taluka: Nilanga, Dist. Latur
199. Name: Ayushya Nivrutti Patil
Age: 30, Occupation. Homemaker
Address: Near Primary Health Care,
S.T. Stop, Kotoli, Tal Panhala,
Dist. Kolhapur, Pin Code: 416230
200. Name: Jyoti Sanjay Kadam
Age: 38, Occupation: Job
Address: 4/B, 202, Sahayog Co-op Hsg. Soc.,
Ram Tekadi, Sewree, Mumbai - 15
201. Name: Jyotsna Sagar Jadhav
Age: 36, Occupation: Homemaker
Address. At Kundal, Tal. Palus,
Dist. Sangli
202. Name: Komal Prakash Shintre
Age 30, Occupation. Student
Address: At Post Anjani,
Tal. Tasgaon, Dist. Sangli
203. Name: Rekha Bhimsingh Banjara
Age: 34, Occupation Education
Address. At Post Babhalaj,
Tal. Shirpur, Dist. Shule
204. Name: Sonali Kondiba Jamdade
Age: 27, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Jamdade Vasti,
Adhalgoan, Tal. Shrigonda,
Dist. Ahmednagar,
Pin Code: 413701

205. Name: Punam Laxman Patil
Age: 29, Occupation: Student
Address: Alegaon (Bk), Tal. Madha,
Dist. Solapur, Pin Code 413212
206. Name: Priya Ganesh Kakde
Age: 31, Occupation. Student
Address: Trimurti Nagar, Ranjangao City
Dist: Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar
207. Name: Dipali Shivaji Dhapate
Age: 32, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Shelgaon,
Taluka Indapur, Dist. Pune,
Pin Code: 413114
208. Name: Rina Mohan Lade
Age: 30, Occupation. Student
Address: Hanuman Nagar, Ward No. 17,
Behind Post Office, Chamorslu,
Pin Code 442603, Dist Gadchiroli
209. Name: Mohite Apeksha Chandrakant
Age: 28, Occupation. Student
Address A/P Katı, Tal. Indaur,
Dist. Pune, Pin Code 413120
210. Name: Ashwini Dnyaneshwar Bengade
Age: 35, Occupation. Housewife
Address. At Post Manchar,
Suvarnkalah Society, Tal Ambegoan,
Dist. Pune, Pin Code: 410515
211. Name: Vidula Sudhir Deshpande
Age: 37, Occupation: Student
Address: A/P Vidani, Tal Phaltan,
Dist. Satara, Pin Code: 415523
212. Name: Samruddhi Vaibhav Chougule
Age: 26, Occupation. Student
Address: At Post Peth Vadgaon,
Tal. Hatkanangale, Dist. Kolhapur,
Pin Code: 416112
213. Name: Patil Sonali Ramdas
Age: 41, Occupation. Homemaker
Address: At Post Malpur, Tal. Shindkheda,
Dist. Dhule, Pin Code: 425408

214. Name: Sneha Devrao Meshram
Age: 27, Occupation: Student
Address: Indira Nagar, Mul Road,
Chandrapur, Pin Code: 442401
Dist: Chandrapur
215. Name: Ashwini Vishwanath Kurane
Age: 34, Occupation. Student
Address. A/P Ashta, Mahatma Gandhi
Housing Society, Tal. Walwa,
Dist. Sangli, Pin Code: 416301
216. Name: Shital Suryabhan Wagh
Age: 28, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Jalgaon Neur,
Tal. Yeola, Dist. Nashik
217. Name: Ankita Dattatray Sarap
Age: 29, Occupation: Student
Address: Near Hanuman Mandir,
Shivaji Chowk, Kaulkhed, Akola
Dist: Akola, Pin Code: 444001
218. Name: Ekvira Sudhakar Jawanjal
Age: 27, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Talwel,
Tq. Chandurbazar, Dist. Amravati
219. Name: Rupali Jagannath Beldar
Age: 38, Occupation: Housewife
Address: H.No. 5-13-92,
Near Kumawat Mangal Karayalaya,
Padampura, Sambhajinagar
220. Name: Dipali Vikas Patil
Age: 33, Occupation: Housewife
Address At Post Satana, Baglan,
District Nashik, Pin Code: 423301
221. Name: Savitree Pimpalkar
Age: 29, Occupation: Homemaker
Address: Durga Chowk,
A/P Savarde, Tal Hatkanangale,
Dist. Kolhapur, Pin Code: 416109

222. Name: Priti Purushottam Nikure
Age: 37, Occupation. Homemaker
Address Dhume Nagar Road,
Gurunagar, Ward No. 5,
Near Hanuman Mandir, Wani,
At Post Wani, Dist. Yavatmal,
Pin Code: 445304
223. Name: Pushapanjali Jagannath Deshmukh
Age: 41, Occupation Homemaker
Address. A/P Hivtad, Tal. Atpadi,
Dist. Sangli, Pin Code: 415306
224. Name: Priyanka Rajendra Mane
Age: 28, Occupation: Student
Address: Shivaji Chouk, Swara Parlour,
Pethvadgaon, Dist. Kolhapur
225. Name: Anjali Deepak Pandit
Age. 31, Occupation: Student
Address. Khori Galli, Beside Post Office,
Near Ved Pratishthan, Potdar Niwas,
Latur, Pin Code: 413512, Dist: Latur
226. Name: Radha Sanjay Otari
Age: 25, Occupation: Student
Address Plot No. 1009/1,
Shri Ram Colony, Near Shivganga Colony.
Salokhe Nagar Road, Saneguruji Vasahat,
Kolhapur, Pin Code: 416012, Dist: Karveer
227. Name: Ankita Devidas Bhusari
Age: 23, Occupation. Student
Address: At Post Sawna,
Chikhali, Dist. Buldhana,
Pin Code: 443201
228. Name: Vrushali Pradeep Yamawar
Age: 34, Occupation: Student,
Address: Bhiwapur Ward,
Near Hanuman Temple,
Chandrapur, Pin Code: 442401
Dist Chandrapur

229. Name: Rupali Anandrao Pawar
Age 30, Occupation: Student
Address. At Post Kundalwadi,
Tal. Walwa, Dist. Sangli,
Pin Code 415411
230. Name: Shital Vasantrya Najan
Age: 32, Occupation. Student
Address. Shivajinagar, Aurangabad
Dist: Aurangabad
231. Name: Sneha Rusing Ade
Age: 26, Occupation: Student
Address Bhaghya Nagar,
Near Vijay Cinema Hall, Pusad
Dist: Yavatmal
232. Name: Ashwini Anaji Sonawane
Age: 26, Occupation. Student
Address: At Post Deola,
Tal. Deola, Dist. Nashik
233. Name: Diksha Dattatray Kale
Age 24, Occupation. Student
Address: At Post Neknkor,
Tq. Beed, Dist. Beed
234. Name: Suvarna Anand Naik
Age: 36, Occupation: Student
Address: Flat No. 3, Skyline Apt.,
Shivsamarth Nagar, Peth Rd,
Nashik, Pin Code: 4422004, Dist: Nashik
235. Name: Sonali Mahadu Waghmare
Age: 29, Occupation: Student
Address At Post Chapadgaon,
Tal. Karjat, Dist. Ahmednagar
236. Name: Pooja Shrawanji Futane
Age 33, Occupation Student
Address: Shri Enclave Apartment,
Shegaon Rahatgaon Road, Kewal Colony,
Amravati, Pin Code: 444604,
Dist: Amravati

237. Name: Jagriti Balasaheb Patil
Age: 24, Occupation Student
Address: At Post Londhave,
Tal. Amalner, Dist. Jalgaon,
Pin Code: 425401
238. Name: Pallavi Sanjay Khamkar
Age: 22, Occupation. Student
Address At Post Shingarwadi Takali Haji,
Tal Shirur, Dist. Pune
239. Name: Usha Kawaduji Dhande
Age: 40, Occupation Student
Address: Tulasi Nagar, CTPS Road,
Chandrapur, Pin Code: 442401
Dist Chandrapur
240. Name: Ku. Pranali Bhaurao Balpande
Age: 24, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Kandri, Tah. Mohadi,
Dist. Bhandara, Pin Code: 441914
241. Name: Pallavi Sanjivan Khandare
Age: 28, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Sanglud,
Tq. Daryapur, Dist. Amravati
242. Name: Zanje Pooja Arun
Age: 29, Occupation: Student
Address: Neral, Taluka Karjat,
Dist. Raigad, Pin Code: 410101
243. Name: Megha Nivrutti Nikade
Age: 29, Occupation Student
Address. AP - Sheloli, Tal Bhudargad,
Dist. Kolhapur
244. Name: Sneha Tryambak Zade
Age: 31, Occupation Student
Address. At Baragaon, Post Gujari,
Ralegaon, Dist. Yavatmal, Pin Code: 445001

245. Name: Rakshika Dilip Bhagat
Age: 26, Occupation. Student
Address: Plot No 31, Kamla Park,
Devi Nagar, Lohara, Darwha Road,
Yavatmal, Pin Code: 445001
246. Name: Swati Nathu Lokhande
Age: 28, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Talni,
Tq. Hadgaon, Dist. Nanded,
Pin Code: 431743
247. Name: Nitin Rameshrao Shirsat
Age: 31, Occupation: Student
Address: Kanchan Nagar, Old Jalna,
Dist. Jalna, Pin Code: 431203
248. Name: Patil Nileema Vilas
Age: 29, Occupation Student
Address: Plot No. 78, Oswal Nagar,
Deopur, Tal Dist Dhule, Pin Code: 424005
Dist: Dhule
249. Name: Rohini Kashinath Wankhede
Age: 33, Occupation. Student
Address: C/O Kashinath Wankhede,
Dwarka Nagar, In Front of Cotton Market,
Buldana, Pin Code: 443001, Dist: Buldana
250. Name: Shital Kalusing Sundarde
Age: 26, Occupation: Student
Address: At Tadegaon Wadi,
Taluka Bhokardan, Post Tadegaon,
Jalna, Pin Code: 431114, Dist: Jalna
251. Name: Shivani Dadasaheb Baglane
Age: 28, Occupation: Student
Address: Canara Bank Colony,
Beed, Pin Code: 431122
252. Name: Dipali Manohar Gujarwad
Age: 26, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Badur, Tq. Biloli,
Dist. Nanded, Pin Code: 431710

253. Name: Deepali Pandurang Suryatal
Age: 35, Occupation Job
Address: At Lingi, Post Hayatnagar,
Taluka Vasmath, Dist. Hingoli,
Pin Code: 431512
254. Name: Ashavini Anil Bhale
Age: 28, Occupation Student
Address: At Post Dhayri, Pune,
Tal. Haveli, Dist. Pune
255. Name: Gaidhani Darshana Ashok
Age: 27, Occupation: Student
Address At Post Palse,
Tal & Dist. Nashik, Dist: Nashik
256. Name: Meghana Ganesh Nimje
Age: 26, Occupation: Student
Address: At Post Kubi,
Tah. Kuhi, Dist. Nagpur
257. Name: Pratiksha Sanjay Mane
Age: 26, Occupation: Student
Address. At Thamkewadi, Post Gogave,
Tal. Shahuwadi, Dist. Kolhapur
258. Name: Nashibkar Jaya Uttamrao
Age: 36, Occupation Homemaker
Address C/O Satish M Gite,
17/312 ESIC Nagar, Near D N. Nagar
Police Station, New Link Road,
Andheri West, Pin Code: 400053
Dist: Mumbai Suburban
259. Name: Priyanka Dattatray Jawadwad
Age 31, Occupation: Student
Address: At Telengpura, Bahadarpura,
Tq Kandhar, Dist. Nanded,
Pin Code: 431714
260. Name: Rathod Rukmeen Sheku
Age: 33, Occupation: Student
Address: At Mogra (Shivajinagar),
Post Anandgaon, Tq Majalgaon, Dist. Beed

261. Name: Harsha Hanmantrao Dhuppe
Age: 32, Occupation: Student
Address: Plot No. 13, Gut No. 93,
Tantradnya Nagar, Near High Court Colony,
Satara Parisar, Aurangabad
Dist: Aurangabad
262. Name: Ankita Yalappa Khaire
Age: 26, Occupation: Student
Address: Pritish Hou Society,
Uttam Nagar, CIDCO, Nashik
263. Name: Jyoti Bhagwan Tidke
Age: 31, Occupation: Student
Address: Navi Peth, Pune, Pin Code: 411030
264. Name: Shital Trambak Waje
Age: 31, Occupation. MPSA Student
Address. Trambak Waje,
Vrundavan Nagar, Saradwadi Road,
Sinnar, Pin Code: 422103
265. Name: Suvarna Shalik Wadaskar
Age: 32, Occupation: Homemaker
Address: Taj Celebration,
Umed Park, Kayar Road, Ganeshpur,
Post Palsoni, Tq. Wani, Dist. Yavatmal,
Pin Code: 445304
266. Name: Dhanashree Maruti Patil
Age: 26, Occupation: Student
Address: Near Kamanı, Main Road,
Bhadole, Dist. Kolhapur
267. Name: Kunal Sanjay Gawai
Age: 26, Occupation Student
Address: 401 Snehpratik,
Vitthal Nagar, Jambhulwadi Road,
Ambegaon Kh., Pin Code 411046, Haveli, Pune
268. Name: Raut Bhushan Dhuraji
Age: 25, Occupation. Student
Address: 401 Sneh Pratik,
Vitthal Nagar, Jambhulwadi Road, Ambegaon Kh.
Pin Code: 411046, Dist. Pune

269. Name: Sachin Ramrao Jadhav
Age: 32, Occupation Student
Address At Post Barul,
Tq Kandhar, Dist. Nanded
270. Name: Sachin Santoshrao Wagh
Age: 33, Occupation: Student
Address. At Post Shirpur Jain,
Tq. Malegaon, Dist. Washim,
Pin Code: 444504
271. Pankaj Hindurao Gavali
Age: 34, Occupation: Student
Address: At Hirawade Khalasa,
Post Hasur Dumala, Tal, Karveer,
Dist. Kolhapur
272. Pushpak Ramesh Karad
Age: 26, Occu: Student
Address: Plot NO. 51, Madhav Nagar,
Manmad-423104, Dist. Nashik
273. Ankush Devram Rathod
Age-30, Occu: Student
Address: Jain Nagar, Sonapur,
Mankhurd, Mumbai-400043
274. Shivaji Balaji Gopwad
Age: 30, Occu : Student
Address: At Shirur, Post KAwtha,
Tal: Kandhar, Dist: Nanded
275. Sagar Ramesh Darade
Age: 25, Occu: Student
Address: At Nalwadi, Post Chas
Tal Sinnar, Dist. Nashik

V E R S U S

....

APPLICANTS

1. Maharashtra Public Service Commission
Through The Secretary.
Trishul Gold Field, Plot No. 34, Sector 11,
Opp. Sarovar Vihar, Belapur CBD
Navi Mumbai-400614

2. The Tata Consultancy Services
ION Digital Zone, IDZ, Powai
Aurum IT Park, Morarji Nagar,
Near Hotel Ramada, Powai,
Mumbai-400087
3. The State of Maharashtra
Through The Secretary,
General Administration Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-400032
4. Rode Swati Raghunath
Seat No-MB051048
5. Rudrakar Mangesh Dinkar
Seat No-MB084306
6. Ghadge Samadhan Bibhishan
Seat No.- MB093284
7. Shelake Saudagar Baburao
Seat No.- RM103019
8. Lokhande Kakasaheb Ramchandra
Seat No.-RM114136
9. Rathod Vikas Keshav
Seat No-RM132095

R. No. 4 to 9 address as same above R. No. 1 ... **RESPONDENTS**

W I T H

2. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 812 OF 2024

WITH

MISC. APPLICATION NOS. 555/2024 & 16/2025

**DISTRICT : CHH.
SAMBHAJINAGAR,
NANDED, ETC.**

1. Akshay S/o. Manikrao Jadhav,
Age: 29 Years, Occu- Student,
R/o. R-18, Sara Vaibhav,
Jatwada Road, Harsul,
Chh. Sambhajinagar (MH)

2. Anil S/o. Gangadhar Yeotiwad
Age: 29 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Mangnali, Tal. Dharmabad,
Dist. Nanded.
3. Vitthal Shivaji Chavan
Age: 32 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Kotgyalwadi Tanda,
Post Gojegaon, Tal. Mukhed,
Dist. Nanded.
4. Sudarshan Ulhas Rathod
Age: 27 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At post Iwaleshwar,
Tal. Mahur, Dist. Nanded.
5. Ganesh Baban Idage
Age: 28 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Post Jalgaon (Maira),
Tal. Georai, Dist. Beed.
6. Manohar Babasaheb Dahifale,
Age: 27 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At post Mohate, Tal. Pathardi,
Dist. Ahmednagar.
7. Yogesh Gautam Gaikwad
Age: 25 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. Adarsh Nagar, Shirur Kasar,
Dist. Beed.
8. Mahesh Sanjay Phate
Age: 28 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At post Gardi, Tal. Pandharpur,
Dist. Solapur.
9. Akshay Rajendra Patil
Age: 27 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. Nawa bhoi wada,
Tal. Dondaicha, Dist. Dhule.
10. Yogesh Rajaram More
Age: 28 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. Bhadkimbe, Tal. Walwa, Dist. Sangli.

11. Praful Umakant Ringole
Age: 33 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Dara post Sakhare,
Tal. Zari jamani, Dist. Yavatmal.
12. Rishikesh Dinkar Awatade
Age: 27 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. At post wirvade (bk) Tal. Mohol,
Dist. Solapur.
13. Archana Ankush Kachare
Archana Chandrakant Garale
Age: 36 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/e Room no.2246/045,
Ganesh Nagar, Airoli, Chinchpada,
Navi Mumbai, Thane.
14. Varsha Shivaji Gaikwad,
Age: 28 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. At post Kadlas, Tal. Sangola,
Dist. Solapur.
15. Snehal Govind Mohite
Age: 33 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. At post Lonand, Near Dyanoba Mauli,
Tal. Pargaon Khandala, Dist. Satara.
16. Vivek Sudhakar Nalte
Age: 34 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. Rangargali, Gangakhed, Dist. Parbhani.
17. Akshay Balasao Kale
Age: 29 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. At post Palasdeo,
Tal. Indapur, Dist. Pune.
18. Madhuri Dayanand Nagare
Age: 26 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Ramshej, Post Ashewadi,
Tal. Dindori, Dist. Nashik.
19. Vilas Gunvantrao Wakode
Age: 33 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. Flat no.06, Appt. no. 312/4,
Uma Bhavan, Pathardi Shivar, Nashik.

20. Yogesh Balaji Katkule
Age: 26 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Lakha, Post Sugav,
Tal. Deglur, Dist. Nanded.
21. Waman Rambhau Navale
Age: 27 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At post Chincholi Niloba,
Tal. Aundha nagnath, Dist. Hingoli.
22. Shweta Anil Jadhav
Age: 27 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. Room no 201, B-2, Police Quarter,
Police Quarter, Police Mukhyalaya,
In front of new bus stand, Jalgaon.
23. Mayur Shivaji Walke
Age: 27 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Post Khedale, Tal. and Dist. Nashik.
24. Popat Hanumant Atkare
Age: 32 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. At Thodsarwadi, Post Kini,
Tal. and Dist. Dharashiv.
- 25) Amardip Ankush Jagadale
Age: 35 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. At post Ghaturvasti, Tal. Mangalwedha,
Dist. Solapur.

.... APPLICANTS**V E R S U S**

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through its Principal Secretary,
General Administration Department
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
2. The Secretary,
Maharashtra Public Service Commission,
Trishul Gold Field, Plot No.34,
In front of Sarovar Vihar, Sector 11,
CBD Belapur, Navi Mumbai - 400614.

3. Tata Consultancy Services
Through its Chairman, 9th Floor,
Nirmal Building, Nariman Point,
Mumbai-400021.

... RESPONDENTS

W I T H

3. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 855 OF 2024

WITH

MISC. APPLICATION NO. 556 OF 2024

**DISTRICT : AHMEDNAGAR, LATUR,
NANDED, ETC.**

1. Krushna Ramdas Chemte,
Age: 29 Years, Occu- Student,
R/o.: At Eknathwadi, Tal. Pathardi,
Dist. Ahmednagar (MH).
2. Gauri Pradip Divekar
Age: 23 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Post Varvand,
Tal. Daund, Dist. Pune.
3. Shivaji Subhash Atole
Age: 32 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. At Rawangaon,
Tal. Daund, Dist. Pune.
4. Yogesh Khandu Shelke
Age: 29 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Taloshi, Post Kaluste,
Tal. Igatpuri, Dist. Nashik.
5. Kashinath Janardhan Kandare
Age: 34 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. At post Rui,
Tal. Kandhar, Dist. Nanded.
6. Mehrun Sikandar Munjewar
Age: 34 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. At post mamta nagar,
Shirur Tajband,
Tal. Ahmadpur, Dist. Latur.

7. Nitin Subhash Khedkar
Age: 27 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. At. Karodi, Ta. Pathardi,
Dist. Ahmednagar.

.... **APPLICANTS****V E R S U S**

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through its Principal Secretary,
General Administration Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
2. The Secretary,
Maharashtra Public Service Commission,
Trishul Gold Field, Plot No.34,
In front of Sarovar Vihar, Sector 11,
CBD Belapur, Navi Mumbai - 400614.
3. Tata Consultancy Services
Through its Chairman,
9th Floor, Nirmal Building, Nariman Point,
Mumbai-400021.

... **RESPONDENTS****W I T H****4. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 977 OF 2024****WITH****MISC. APPLICATION NO. 557 OF 2024**

**DISTRICT : CHH.
SAMBHAJINAGAR,
NANDURBAR, ETC.**

1. Nikhil Minnath Bhadane,
Age: 31 Years, Occu- Nil,
R/o.: At Post Wadgaon (Tigji), Tal. Soyegaon,
Dist. Chh. Sambhajinagar (MH).
2. Rahul Subhash Salunke
Age: 27 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. Plot no.26, Gut No.93/P,
Near Hanuman Mandir, Deolai,
Satara Parisar, Chh. Sambhaji Nagar (MH).
3. Nitin Bhaskar Bagul
Age: 30 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. At Kamaravad,
Tal. Shahada, Dist. Nandurbar.

4. Namdev Bhagwan Rajguru
Age: 34 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. At Post Deulgaon Mali,
Tal. Mehekar, Dist. Buldhana.
5. Amol Ratan Dange
Age: 27 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. At Tarapur, Post Kothali,
Dist. Buldhana.
6. Dipak Nanabhau More
Age: 30 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. At post Kharjai, Tal. Chalisgaon,
Dist. Jalgaon.
7. Sagar Dnyaneshwar Rayate,
Age: 29 Years, Occu- Nil,
R/o.: At post Khadak Malegaon,
Tal. Niphad, Dist. Nashik (MH).

... **APPLICANTS****V E R S U S**

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through its Principal Secretary,
General Administration Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
2. The Secretary,
Maharashtra Public Service Commission,
Trishul Gold Field, Plot No.34,
In front of Sarovar Vihar, Sector 11,
CBD Belapur, Navi Mumbai - 400614.
3. Tata Consultancy Services
Through its Chairman, 9th Floor,
Nirmal Building, Nariman Point,
Mumbai-400021,

... **RESPONDENTS****W I T H****5. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 798 OF 2024****WITH****MISC. APPLICATION 558 OF 2024****DISTRICT : DHARASHIV,
JALNA, ETC.**

1. Shubhangi Vasudev Tambade
Age: 25 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At post-Pimpalgaon (Ko),
Tal. Kallamb, Dist. Dharashiv.
2. Amol Shivaji Shelke
Age: 29 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Songiri Post. Waroud,
Tal. Jafrabad, Dist. Jalna.
3. Mahesh Laxman Bhogal
Age: 27 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Post Raipur, Tal. Partur,
Dist. Jalna.
4. Abhijit Shubhash Idpate
Age: 27 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. Gurukrupa Colony,
Near SRPF Camp, Amravati.
5. Siddhant Vilas Khadse
Age: 27 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Khurda Post Shiopur,
Tal. Deoli, Dist. Wardha.
(Deleted as per order dated 01.08.2024)
6. Mayur Suresh Thakur
Age: 25 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. Swami Vivekand Colony, Dhule.
Mob. No.:- 8805630075.
7. Shubham Gangadhar Takras
Age: 27 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. Enadarpura, Near Swagat Lawn,
Washim.
8. Pankajkumar Bhagwan Godghase
Age: 30 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. Walmiki Niwas, Datta Nagar,
Lakhala Washim.
9. Avinash Dnyaneshwar Wagh
Age: 29 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. Plot No.24, Gut No.9/1/2b,
Om Shanti Nagar, Jalgaon.

10. Vinod Ramchandra Karche
Age: 29 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Post Pimpri,
Tal. Mulshirab, Dist. Solpaur.
11. Gajanan Sahdev Parihar
Age: 29 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Post Ancharwadi,
Tal. Chikali, Dist. Buldhana.
12. Firoza Aziz Shah
Age: 40 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. Yasmeen Nagar, No.2,
Near Aziz Masjid Walgaon Road,
Amravati.
13. Kishor Dadasaheb Shingade
Age: 29 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. Sharadchandra Pawar College Road,
Biroba Colony, Lonand, Dist. Satara.
14. Navnath Baburao Kshirshagar
Age: 35 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. Jategaon Bk, Tal. Shirur, Dist. Pune.
15. Neha Sanjay Takate
Age: 28 Years, Occ. Student,
R/o. D/3/6, Rajaykarmachari Society,
Ashok Nagar, Satpur, Nashik.
16. Umesh Liladhar Sonawane
Age: 35 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. Plot No.31, Shree Nagra, Old MIDC Area,
Behind Siddhi Vinayak School, Jalgaon.
17. Hitesh Surchand Sarate
Age: 27 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Post Andhalgaon,
Tal. Mohadi, Dist. Bhandara.
18. Payal Bhagwan Jadhav
Age: 28 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. Panchsil Nagar, Lohara,
Amravati Road, Yavatmal.
19. Dhanashri Samadhan Bhosale
Age: 27 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At post Bembale,
Tal. Madha, Solapur.

20. Shubham Suresh Pise
Age: 29 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Post Palashi,
Tal. Man, Dist. Satara.
21. Sachin Shamrao Kinare
Age: 32 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. Parvati Nagar,
Near MSEB 32, Shirala, Sangali.
22. Sagar Nivrutti Shinde
Age: 23 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Pimpalwadi,
Tal. Gangapur, Dist. Aurangabad.
23. Rohit Baban Nalamwar
Age: 27 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Post Jimalgoota,
Tal. Aheri, Dist. Gadchiroli.
24. Deepali Tilakchand Parate
Age: 33 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. B.no.69/70, Techops City,
Near Sanjuba High School,
Bahadura, Tal, and Dist. Nagpur.
25. Ashiwini Shankarrao Bhosale
Age: 35 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. 13, Akansha new Kranti Co.,
Sambhajinagar, MIDC Satara.
26. Mahesh Antu Virkar
Age: 32 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At post-Vidani (Tilekar Vasti),
Tal. Phaltan, Dist. Satara.
27. Mahendra Ambrushi Jadhav
Age: 30 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Saranwadi, Post Pimpalwadi,
Tal. Paranda, Dist. Dharashiv.
28. Sushilkumar Tanajirao Kachare
Age: 35 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. At Post Rajegaon,
Tal. Majalgaon, Dist. Beed.

29. Tulasabai Ambadas Sapkal
Age: 27 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Post Lihakhedi, Tal. Sillod,
Dist. Chh. Sambhajinagar.
30. Prashant Narayan Shelke
Age: 31 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. Datta Nagar, Lakhala, Washim.
31. Ravindra Chandrabhan Dhote
Age: 34 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Post Amkhed, Tal. Malegaon,
Dist. Washim.
32. Baliram Sukhdev Shinde
Age: 28 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Parvatinagar, (Begapura),
Tal. and Dist. Aurangabad.
33. Kiran Pandurang Chavan
Age: 30 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. Near Hutatma Smarak,
Indoli, Satara.
34. Danesh Arun Sonar
Age: 26 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. Shivaji Nagar, Paildad,
Amalner, Dist. Jalgaon.
35. Shweta Sadashiv Pharne
Age: 25 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Pharnewadi, Post Borgaon,
Tal. Walwa, Dist. Sangli.
36. Keshao Bhagwan Sable
Age: 34 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Mohaja, Ingole, Sawad, Washim.
37. Sharad Bhaskar Satale
Age: 29 Years, Occ. Student,
R/o. At Tandoli, Post Pangri,
Tal. Parli Vaijanath, Dist. Beed.

38. Shyam Digambarrao Hambarde
Age: 30 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Vishnupuri,
Tal. and Dist. Nanded.
39. Somanath Navanath Sonawane
Age: 28 Years, Occ: Student,
At Post Pimple, Tal. Indapur, Dist. Pune.
40. Mininath Arjun Aher
Age: 29 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At post Wadalebhoi,
Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik.
41. Ishwar Natthu Rathod
Age: 28 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Kotha Tanda, Post Shiranjani,
Tal. Himayatnagar, Dist. Nanded.
42. Rupali Ramesh Nikhate
Age: 28 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At post Peer Burhan Nagar,
Workshop Corner, Nanded.
43. Sandip Gopal Sabale
Age: 33 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Jaitapur, Post Mulher,
Tal. Satana, Dist. Nashik.
44. Akshay Baburao Jadhao
Age: 28 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Post Chikli, Dist. Buldhana.
45. Aishwarya Jalindar Choudhari
Age: 26 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Post Bori, Tal. Jintur,
Dist. Parbhani.
46. Rahul Pandit Sankpal
Age: 33 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Post Gad Mudshingi,
Tal. Kaweer, Dist. Kolhapur.
47. Shankar Vitthal Jagtap
Age: 29 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. Erandgaon Bk,
Tal. Yevla, Dist. Nashik.

48. Mahadev Gurunath Khed
Age: 31 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At post Gaudgaon,
Tal. Akkalkot, Dist. Solapur.
49. Shankar Haridas Chaudhari @
Virat Haridas Chaudhari
Age: 30 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Post Saudane,
Tal. Mohol, Dist. Solapur.
50. Monika Vijay Rakhonde
Age: 23 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Shivajinagar, Gadage Nagar,
Post Shivaji Nagar, Tal. and Dist. Amravati.
51. Ajay Raghunath Chimate
Age: 28 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. Bhomalwadi, Nandgaon Road,
Near Mandir, Bhomalwadi,
Tal. Karjat, Dist. Raigad.
52. Deepak Shivaji Jadhav
Age: 30 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At Mhalaspur, post Kunoli,
Tal. Selu, Dist. Parbhani.
53. Narendrasing Popatsing Khandade
Age: 32 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. At post Pachanwadgaon,
Tal, and Dist. Jalna.
54. Amit Gorakhnath Kamble
Age: 34 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. 910, Mangurroad,
Siddharth Nagar, Yalgud,
Hatkanandale, Kolhapur.

... **APPLICANTS****V E R S U S**

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through its Principal Secretary,
General Administration Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

2. The Secretary,
Maharashtra Public Service Commission,
Trishul Gold Field, Plot No.34,
In front of Sarovar Vihar, Sector 11,
CBD Belapur, Navi Mumbai - 400614.
3. Tata Consultancy Services
Through its Chairman, 9th Floor,
Nirmal Building, Nariman Point,
Mumbai-400021,

... **RESPONDENTS****W I T H****6. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 961 OF 2024****WITH****MISC. APPLICATION NO. 559 OF 2024****DISTRICT : AHMEDNAGAR,
NANED, ETC.**

1. Ganesh Ashok Gawali,
Age: 33 Years, Occu- Nil,
R/o.: At post Miri, Tal. Pathardi,
Ahmednagar (MH).
2. Pralhad Hanumantrao Nagamwad
Age: 31 Years, Occ. Nil,
R/o. At post Ambulga (BK),
Tal. Mukhed, Dist. Nanded
3. Vishal Radhesham Wayal
Age: 30 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. At Post Hol, Tal. Baramati, Dist. Pune.
4. Ankush Manikrao Kaware
Age: 29 Years, Occ: Student,
R/o. Near post office, Telhara,
Tal. Telhara, Dist. Akola.
5. Mangesh Manohar Pawade
Age: 31 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. At post Thilori,
Tal. Daryapur, Dist. Amravati.
6. Yogeshri Vijayrao Tapas
Age: 38 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. Ward No.2, Talegaon,
Dashar, Amravati, Dist. Amravati.

7. Avinash Sudam Bhagat
Age: 30 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. At post Chikatgaon,
Tal. Vaijapur, Dist. Chh. Sambhajinagar.
8. Sachin Tukaram Nikam Patil,
Age: 34 Years, Occu- Nil,
R/o: At post Kandalgaon,
Tal. Karveer, Dist. Kolhapur (MH).
9. Kapil Tanaji Jathar
Age: 35 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. At post Jatharwadi,
Tal. Karveer, Dist. Kolhapur (MS).
10. Komal Prakash Shintre
Age: 30 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. At Post Anjani,
Tal. Tasgaon, Dist. Sangli (MS).
11. Amol Namdev Gite,
Age: 35 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. Gitewada, Mahatma Gandhi Road,
Perbaba Darga, Devlali Gaon,
Nashik Road, Nashik (MH).
12. Ravindra Abasaheb Garad
Age: 33 Years, Occ: Nil,
R/o. Agar Takali, Joshi wada,
At post Gandhinagar, Nashik (MH)

... **APPLICANTS****V E R S U S**

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through its Principal Secretary,
General Administration Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
2. The Secretary,
Maharashtra Public Service Commission,
Trishul Gold Field, Plot No.34,
In front of Sarovar Vihar, Sector 11,
CBD Belapur, Navi Mumbai - 400614.

3. Tata Consultancy Services
Through its Chairman, 9th Floor,
Nirmal Building, Nariman Point,
Mumbai-400021,

... **RESPONDENTS****W I T H****7. ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 960 OF 2024****WITH****MISC. APPLICATION NO. 560 OF 2024****DISTRICT : JALNA**

Sachin Mahadeo Doijad,
Age: 32 Years, Occu- Nil,
R/o.: At Bori, Post Dahipuri,
Tal. Ambad, Dist. Jalna, (MH).

... **APPLICANT****V E R S U S**

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through its Principal Secretary,
General Administration Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
2. The Secretary,
Maharashtra Public Service Commission,
Trishul Gold Field, Plot No.34,
In front of Sarovar Vihar, Sector 11,
CBD Belapur, Navi Mumbai - 400614.

... **RESPONDENTS**

Appearance : Shri A.B. Chalak, learned counsel for the
applicants in O.A. Nos. 812/2024,
960/2024, 798/2024 & 961/2024,

: Shri Ashish Hazari, learned counsel with
Shri Pratik Bhosale, learned counsel for
the applicants in O.A. Nos. 855/2024 &
977/2024

: Shri P.B. Kamble, learned counsel for
the applicants in O.A. No. 850/2024,

- : Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officers for the respondent authorities in all these matters,
- : Shri B.B. Yenge, learned counsel for respondent M.P.S.C. in all these O.As.
- : Shri D.A. Gaikwad, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 4 to 9 in O.A. No. 850/2024.
- : Shri R.K. Ashtekar, learned counsel for the applicants in all the M.As./intervenors.

CORAM : **Hon'ble Shri Justice V.K. Jadhav,**
Vice Chariman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Vinay Kargaonkar,
Member (A)

RESERVED ON : **08.01.2025**
PRONOUNCED ON : **11.02.2025**

COMMON ORDER

(Per : Justice V.K. Jadhav, Vice Chairman)

1. Shri A.B. Chalak, learned counsel for the applicants in O.A. Nos. 812/2024, 960/2024, 798/2024 & 961/2024, Shri Ashish Hazari, learned counsel with Shri Pratik Bhosale, learned counsel for the applicants in O.A. Nos. 855/2024 & 977/2024, Shri P.B. Kamble, learned counsel for the applicants in O.A. No. 850/2024, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officers for the respondent authorities in all these matters, Shri B.B. Yenge, learned counsel for respondent

M.P.S.C. in all these O.As., Shri D.A. Gaikwad, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 4 to 9 in O.A. No. 850/2024 and Shri R.K. Ashtekar, learned counsel for the applicants in all the M.As./intervenors.

2. In view of the fact that in all these Original Applications the facts and prayers made are identical, we have heard all these matters together and deem it appropriate to pass this common order.

3. The matter is finally heard with consent of both the sides at the admission stage.

4. The applicants through these Original Applications are challenging the conduct of skill test examination on 04.07.2024 (Marathi Language) and from 10.07.2024 to 13.07.2024 (English Language) by respondent - Maharashtra Public Service Commission (for short the MPSC). It has alleged that the respondent MPSC by providing faulty and defective keyboards to the candidates on the given dates of examination has caused innumerable loss in terms of poor performance of the candidates, who appeared for the Maharashtra Non-Gazetted Group-B and Group-C Combine Preliminary Examination pursuant to common advertisement No. 01/2023

dated 20.01.2023 issued by the respondents. The applicants are thus seeking directions to the respondent authorities to arrange re-examination of the typing test of all the affected applicants herein and further directions to the respondent authorities to provide them authentically verified, technically working and normal functioning computer system while conducting the re-examination.

5. During the pendency of these Original Applications the following applicants have filed pursis for withdrawal of their respective O.As. to their extent only for the reason that on 30.09.2024 the respondent MPSC has declared the result of the typing skill tests and as per the said result-sheet, the applicant/s to have been declared 'pass' the typing skill test.

O.A. No.	Applicant sr. No. in O.A.	Name of applicant, who filed affidavit of withdrawal of O.A. to his extent.
850/2024	188	Aakash Balasaheb Mali
	83	Gaurav Rambhau Kshirsagar
	51	Rahul Maruti Vanjare
	91	Gopal Onkar Rathod
	259	Priyanka Dattatray Jawadwad
	266	Dhanashree Maruti Patil
	254	Ashavini Anil Bhale
	183	Hemkant Rajendra Patil
	02	Atul Subham Sawant
	40	Sanket Sanjay Desai
	162	Parmeshwar Fulchand Ade
	256	Meghana Ganesh Nimaje
	79	Bhushan Bhimrao Jadhav

	268	Raut Bhushan Dhuraji
	218	Ekvira Sudhakar Jawanjal
	85	Ashish Mohan Sahare
	114	Vaibhav Pritam Darade
	23	Yogesh Arun Deshmukh
	212	Samruddhi Vaibhav Chougule
	81	Bhalchandra Sunil Sakhare
	112	Nikosh Udaysing Jadhao
	170	Saurabh Sanjay Murkule
	123	Sachin Santosh Jadhav
	18	Swapnil Tanaji Patil
	125	Sachin Dhananjay Survase
798/2024	15	Neha Sanjay Tekate
	19	Dhanashri Samadhan Bhosale
	20	Shubham Suresh Pise
	30	Prashant Narayan Shelke
812/2024	10	Yogesh Rajaram More
	20	Yogesh Balaji Katkule
	24	Popat Hanumant Atkare
977/2024	05	Amol Ratan Dange
961/2024	07	Avinash Sudam Bhagat

6. Brief facts giving rise to these Original Applications are as follows:-

- (i) Pursuant to common advertisement no. 01/2023 dated 20.01.2023 for Maharashtra Non-Gazetted Group-B and Group-C Combine Preliminary Examination – 2023 issued by the respondent MPSC, the preliminary examination was conducted on 30.04.2023 onwards. All the applicants had appeared for Non-Gazetted Group-C

posts and the result thereof was declared on 12.09.2023, wherein all the applicants are declared to have been cleared the cut-off and qualified for the next stage of main examination.

(ii) Thereafter, notification no. 111/2023 was published for the main examination of Non-Gasetted Group-C post on 13.10.2023, wherein all these applicants applied for the said main examination as qualified candidates.

(iii) The result of the said main examination was declared on 16.05.2024, wherein all the applicants declared to have been qualified and thus become eligible for next phase of examination i.e. typing skill test of qualifying nature.

(iv) Accordingly, respondent no. 01 has published notification of the Clerical Typing and Tax Assistant Skill Test dated 06.06.2024.

(v) Respondent MPSC had published notification dated 24.06.2024 for issuance of hall-tickets to conduct the typing skill test for Non-gazetted Group-C posts from 01.07.2024 to 13.07.2024.

(vi) Respondent MPSC, however, declared by notification dated 01.07.2024 that due to some technical issues the tests scheduled from 01.07.2024 to 03.07.2024 are postponed and it's rescheduled dates were declared by notification dated 04.07.2024, wherein it was notified that batches will be adjusted from 10.07.2024 to 13.07.2024.

(vii) Thus, from 04.07.2024 the candidates scheduled for the said examination appeared for the examination. According to applicants, they had noticed that all 30% to 40% keyboards (all of ACER Company) only were arranged by respondent MPSC (Marathi language) on 04.07.2024 were defective and faulty leading to inefficient performances and wastage of time of the candidates.

(viii) According to applicants, after getting so many complaints on 04.07.2024 with respect to defective and faulty keyboards, the respondent MPSC changed all the ACER Company keyboards from the next date i.e. from 05.07.2024 and replaced them with newly purchased LOGITECH Company keyboard.

(ix) According to applicants, from 10.07.2024 to 13.07.2024 along with regular candidates those were

already scheduled on that dates the skill test was conducted and again old and defective keyboards were provided to regularly scheduled candidates from 10.07.2024 to 13.07.2024, who were appearing for English Language Skill Test, while rescheduled candidates from 01.07.2024 to 03.07.2024 were provided with Newly introduced LOGITECH company keyboards, which were performing up to the mark. Thus, the regularly scheduled candidates of dates from 10.07.2024 to 13.07.2024 were provided with defective keyboards, while the candidates regularly scheduled from dates 05.07.2024 to 09.07.2024 and the rescheduled candidates of dates 10.07.2024 to 13.07.2024 were provided with brand new LOGITECH Company keyboards. In consequence thereof the performance of the candidates appearing on the said dates as detailed above has been badly affected. Hence, all these Original Applications.

7. The crux of the submissions of learned counsel appearing for the applicants is as follows:-

(A) All the candidates, who appeared on 04.07.2024 and the candidates who appeared on dates 10.07.2024 to 13.07.2024 (English Language only), had to attend the

typing skill test with ACER Company keyboards of which 30 to 40% were defective. The applicants are sufferers.

(B) The applicants were being treated unequally and unjustly by being provided with faulty and defective keyboards leading to their inefficient and below par performances while the others who were being provided with new LOGITECH keyboards were being treated fairly leading to their efficient performances.

(C) The said typing skill examination is just a qualifying nature and that the merit of the candidates will be entirely depend on the main examination marks only.

(D) It is not the first time examination in which the respondent no. 02 has faced one or other such issues. Respondent no. 02 has faced technical issue while conducting typing skill examination with respect to advertisement nos. 58/2022 and 60/2022 and reexamination was conducted. Thus, facing of the technical issues is not a new aspect in conducting of such highly competitive and timely examination and considering the same, the respondent MPSC has

conducted reexamination in connection with the aforesaid advertisements.

(E) All the applicants herein are well adept the required skill test of typing and all have the Government approved certificate of passing the skill test examination with required speed and accuracy.

(F) All these candidates on the aforesaid specified dates, who found the said keyboards defective and faulty had complained to the Invigilators and other such authorities conducting and invigilating the said examination about the same.

(G) Various issues faced by the candidates on those particular dates appearing for typing skill test with respect to the keyboards are as follows:-

(i) Backspace, spacebar and shift keys were most commonly found to be defective. They were either not working or if pressed were not getting pressed leading to time wastage and inefficiency.

(ii) In general most of the keys were found to be hard to press or operate leading to multiple times

pressing of same key till it gets visually acknowledged on screen.

(iii) Few keys were so hard that they needed to be pressed in-vertically 90 degree angle to get reflected on screen and this was found with spacebar key, which is most important key as after every word, usages of the spacebar key is must.

(iv) In respect of certain candidates, the spacebar key operates at 90 degree and only if pressed at the centre of the spacebar key and not at its either end, depicting that the said keys were defective.

(v) The applicants are meritorious candidates and they are possessing the necessary typewriting certificates issued by the Government of Maharashtra.

(vi) The applicants were not able to complete 1500 keystrokes within the prescribed time limit due to faulty and defective ACER Company keyboards.

(vii) Thus, by conducting the typing skill test re-examination of the affected applicants herein will

cause no harm to other candidates as this examination is of only qualifying nature and that the merit of the candidates will be entirely depend on the main examination marks only. However, by not allowing all the affected applications for reexamination will cause grave injustice to them leading innumerable and irreparable loss for no fault on their part.

8. The learned counsel for the applicants in all these Original Applications submit that the Original Applications deserve to be allowed in terms of the prayer made therein.

9. The learned counsel for the applicants have placed their reliance on the following citations:-

(1) Dr. (Major) Meeta Sahai Vs. State of Bihar, AIR ONLINE 2019 SC 1766.

10. Shri B.B. Yenge, learned special counsel appearing for respondent MPSC on the basis of the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of the said respondent MPSC submits that all the candidates including some of the private respondents in all these Original Applications were provided with keyboards that were working in proper condition for typing skill test. All the

participants including the applicants had an access to proper working condition keyboards for all the typing skill tests maintaining thereby the fairness and integrity of the typing skill test.

12. The learned counsel for the respondent MPSC submits that on 01.07.2024 during the first shift of Marathi Typing test a significant technical issue was observed where the candidates were redirected to their Home Page upon starting their typing test creating confusion. Technical team of the service provider was taking efforts to resolve the issue and conduct the test. However, said technical issue persisted. Thus, the respondent MPSC after assessing the technical issue encountered during the typing skill test, concluded that it would not be possible to conduct the test. Also the candidates gathered for next 03 shifts outside the exam premises had become hostile. The respondent MPSC decided to cancel the typing skill test scheduled on dates 01.07.2024 to 03.07.2024 to sort out the technical issue. Thus, no typing test was conducted during those dates. In response to resolve this issue the candidates, who were to appear for typing skill examination from 01.07.2024 to 03.07.2024 were rescheduled to attend the typing skill test between 10.07.2024 to 13.07.2024. Thus, the

reschedule programme of typing skill test was communicated to the candidates by publishing notification dated 04.07.2024 by the respondent MPSC.

13. The learned counsel for respondent MPSC submitted that the respondent MPSC conducts the recruitment for Clerk post, which is a Group-C post and the said recruitment process was conducted in 03 steps, i.e. the preliminary examination, main examination, typing skill test (qualifying in nature). The aspiring candidates appearing for the preliminary examination based on their performance in the preliminary examination are qualified to appear for main examination. Thereafter, on the basis of the marks obtained in the main examination, the candidates in the ratio of 1:3 are qualified to appear for the typing skill test in either Marathi or English language. Thereafter, the computer based typing skill test is conducted by the respondent MPSC through a service provider which is appointed by it. At present the TATA Consultancy Services is the service provider appointed by the respondent MPSC for conducting the typing skill test. Important instructions for conducting the typing skill test have been published on the website of the respondent MPSC on 24.05.2023 for information of all the candidates.

14. The learned counsel appearing for respondent MPSC submits that in terms of those instructions published by the MPSC on its website dated 24.05.2023, a clear break-up of the time allocated for Keyboard Test, Mock Test and Actual Test is apparent. So far Marathi typing is concerned, Keyboard Test (05 minutes) + Break (05 minutes) + Mock (10 minutes) + Break (02 minutes) + Marathi Typing (10 minutes)/ (15 minutes for Divyang candidates). Total examination duration is 32 minutes and for Divyang candidates 37 minutes. Similarly, for English typing Keyboard Test (05 minutes) + Break (05 minutes) + Mock (10 minutes) + Break (02 minutes) + English Typing (10 minutes)/ (15 minutes for Divyang candidates). Thus, total examination duration is 32 minutes and for Divyang candidates 37 minutes.

15. The learned counsel for respondent MPSC submits that in view of above it is evident that the time allocated for Typing Skill Test was designed with anticipation that in the event of any technical issues related to the Keyboard or other matters arising during the test, the candidates would have an opportunity to address and rectify such issues at an early stage during the Keyboard Test or Mock Test, but before the actual test. The system was designed to ensure that if a candidate is

encountered a problem at a particular stage i.e. Keyboard Test, Mock Test, Actual Test respectively that is to say 02 minutes of Start of Keyboard Test, the candidate would be promptly relocated to another computer system and the Keyboard Test would be restarted from the beginning of that particular stage. By deploying this system, it was ensured that the candidate do not lose even the precious single 'Second' of the time allocated for each stage. This protocol was consistently applied across the Keyboard Test, Mock Test and in exceptional cases during the Actual Test.

16. The learned counsel for the respondent MPSC submits that Mock Test was conducted for 10 minutes with furthermore 02 minutes break was designed to familiarize the candidates with the actual test process. Thus, total duration of 20 minutes allocated for both the Keyboard Tests and the Mock Test provides candidates with ample opportunity to raise and sort out any issues they may encounter during the actual Typing Skill Test beforehand. The learned counsel appearing for respondent MPSC submits that all above instructions were communicated to the candidates via the public address system in the test hall at the time of the examination. Additionally, the

said instructions were also conveyed by the invigilators appointed by the respondent MPSC.

17. The learned counsel for the respondent MPSC submits that the instructions for the candidates for Typing Skill Test published on the website of the MPSC contain major instructions regarding the Keyboard and technical issues. It is stated in those instructions that in case of any error pertaining to (i) Keyboard error, (ii) Machine auto lock, (iii) Power disruption and (iv) Forced session time-out, the candidate be patient and contact to Invigilator. In case of all above technical failure candidate will be allowed to re-login and complete the test. If there is no technical fault in the keyboard, the candidate will not get Keyboard replacement. Those instructions have been followed scrupulously during the Typing Skill Test.

18. The learned counsel for the respondent MPSC submits that the MPSC has nowhere mentioned in the instructions that it would provide any specific/particular type of keyboards to the candidates. The candidates are always provided with keyboards which are functional and proper and the candidates cannot demand any particular type of keyboards, which they used to and have practiced during their

preparation. This is a combine competitive examination in which nearly 15500 candidates have appeared and the best among them should get in.

19. The learned counsel for the respondent MPSC submits that names of total about 275 candidates are listed in these Original Applications. The performance of all the candidates including first 55 serially listed applicants in O.A. No. 850/2024 utilized the same computer system on different days for the Typing Skill Test. The learned counsel has shown us the data to demonstrate that total number of candidates including the applicants herein, who used the same computer system and keyboards for both Marathi & English language typing test and achieved an accuracy rate exceeding 90% correct word typing in English and Marathi language. According to learned counsel, the data implies that even though the applicants are complaining about the keyboard and computer system provided to them were not properly working, but the same keyboards and computer systems are used by other candidates who are not before this Tribunal, but have performed well. Thus, the only inference could be drawn from this data is that the fault did not lie with the keyboards and the computer system, but only with the participating candidates'

ability and their typing skill. The applicants are making a hue and cry about the keyboards and the computer system, which were also used by other candidates with their skill and ability. It is thus clear afterthought action of the applicants to raise the issue about the keyboards and the computer system after knowing well that their performance is not enough to qualify them in the examination.

20. The learned counsel for the respondent MPSC submits that the respondent MPSC used ACER and Logitech company keyboards for typing test and merely 15549 number of candidates appeared for the said examination and about 11310 candidates have achieved over 90% accuracy in their type words in the test passages given to them. This itself ruled out the prayer of the applicants that they could not perform well due to faulty and defective keyboards, thereby violating the rights of other candidates, who have performed well in the said examination.

21. The learned counsel for the respondent MPSC submits that the MPSC has decided to conduct reexamination for the matters mentioned as advertisement nos. 58/2022 and 60/2022. The said typing test was conducted only on 07.04.2023 in 04 shifts. The decision of conducting

reexamination was then made in light of technical glitch experienced by the candidates at the system level during conduct of typing test. Thus, the reexamination was then deemed necessary to uphold the integrity of the selection process. Thus, the circumstances prevailing at that time did not prevail during the current typing examination.

22. The learned counsel for the respondent MPSC submits that the representations submitted collectively and individually were duly reviewed by the respondent MPSC on their substantive merits. However, upon thorough examination, it was decided that these representations did not meet the requisite standard of merit. Consequently the respondent MPSC concluded that the representations lacked the necessary basis for consideration and, therefore, chose not to entertain them.

23. The learned counsel for the respondent MPSC submits that if the issue raised by the applicants is accepted, it would adversely impact on other candidates, who might lose the chance of their selection in spite of performing well in the typing test due to granting the applicants a second chance for appearing in the typing test. The MPSC follows uniform procedure for all the candidates and recommend only the

meritorious candidates. The MPSC has followed fair, legal and transparent procedure while conducting the typing skill test. All the Original Applications are devoid of merit and liable to be dismissed.

24. The learned counsel for the applicants in these Original Applications in rejoinder affidavits has raised the following points:-

(i) that the private respondents were provided with LOGITECH Company keyboards, whereas the applicants in these Original Applications were provided with ACER Company Keyboards, making it clear that, after discrepancies found amongst 30-40% candidates on 04.07.2024, which defective ACER Company keyboards, they were replaced by new LOGITECH Company keyboard.

(ii) This issue was found throughout the shifts of 04.07.2024. Thus taking cognizance of the same, the respondent MPSC changed the old defective and faulty ACER Company keyboards with newly purchased effectively functioning Logitech Company keyboards from 05.07.2024 to 09.07.2024 across the each shift therein. However, when the system got overloaded from

10.07.2024 to 13.07.2024 with rescheduled candidates, the MPSC has provided with old defective keyboards to regularly scheduled candidates (of English Language Typing Skill test) from 10.07.2024 to 13.07.2024 leading to the poor performance of the applicants herein, while the rescheduled candidates (of Marathi Language Typing Skill test) were provided with brand new fully functional Logitech keyboards.

(iii) It is explicitly accepted by the respondent MPSC that there were many candidates who had complained about the defectiveness in the keyboards being allotted to them. The concern raised by the candidates was never about faulty computer system as a whole, but was just about defective and faulty keyboards. Hence changing the entire computer system was never the solution to their problem and changing of defective and faulty keyboards was the only solution to the grievance raised by the applicant during the examination.

(iv) The CCTV footages of the examination hall during the said shifts can very well highlight the above said issue, which the applicants claim to be the most effective evidence of their unresolved concerns.

(v) In response to the statement made by the respondent MPSC with respect to 90% accuracy achieved by 11310 candidates out of total appearing 15549 candidates, it is submitted that the test is not just about accuracy, but about speed with accuracy, where there were 1500 keystrokes for Marathi language skill test and 2000 keystrokes for English language skill test to be performed with 90% accuracy for reserved category candidates and 93% accuracy for unreserved category in 10 minutes total time allotted. Thus, even if the candidate marks half the keystrokes with more than 90% accuracy or as the case may be due to defective keyboards, the purpose of the candidate itself will get nullified as he has not achieved his target marks in terms of number of key strokes.

(vi) The applicants along with other candidates have raised this issue before the invigilator present during the actual conduct of the test on multiple times, but without any concerned being resolved in the applicants' favour and merely a baseless statement has been made by the respondent MPSC in its affidavit in reply.

(vii) The applicants have analyzed the data of the candidates in terms of declaration of the result by the respondent MPSC about qualified and disqualified candidates with respect to said skill test examination. It is submitted that percentage of the failure has been in the higher bracket throughout the examination scheduled wherever ACER company keyboards are provided as compared to LOGITECH company keyboards irrespective of whether it is Marathi language skill test or English language skill test. It is thus clear that only day i.e. 04.07.2024 where ACER company keyboards were provided, the percentage of failure was double and the percentage of failure on the remaining days where Logitech company keyboards were provided is less, making it very much evident that existence of defective ACER company keyboards on 04.07.2024 for Marathi language skill test. Similar is the analysis of the English language skill test.

25. The learned counsel for the respondent MPSC has filed sur-rejoinder affidavit in all these matters and has raised the following points:-

(i) The Table 'X' shown in the sur-rejoinder affidavit consists all the applicants in O.A. no. 855/2024, who have appeared in Marathi typing skill test. It is clearly visible from the column 'Backspace Count', 'Number of correct words', 'Total mistakes' and 'Percentage of mistakes with reference to question Word Count' that their performance also affected not due to faulty keyboards, but due to non-possessing the required typing skill.

(ii) The learned counsel for the respondent MPSC submits that the performance of the candidates including first 55 serially listed applicants in O.A. No. 850/2024, who utilized the same computer system on different days for the typing skill test, was comprehensively detailed in the Table provided in the affidavit filed in O.A. No. 850/2024. The data presented encompasses a breakdown of the total number of candidates including those applicants, who during the Typing skill test utilized the same computer system for both the English and Marathi language typist skill test. Out of total 1069 candidates who successfully passed the typing skill test conducted on 04.07.2024 in all 04 shifts. It is submitted that around 500 candidates utilized the same computer system and

keyboards as those used by the applicants. If these 500 candidates were able to perform proficiently under identical condition, while the applicants failed to demonstrate comparable proficiency the same is attributable to deficiency in their typing skills.

(iii) The learned counsel for the respondent MPSC submits that if the objections raised by the candidates were found to be not genuine and valid, the candidates were not permitted to relocate to new computer system or keyboards solely for the purpose of satisfying their concerns. The decision to allow or deny relocation/keyboard change was made after a thorough investigation of the validity/genuineness of the objections by the Invigilators appointed by the Commission. The Commission had nowhere mentioned in the Instructions for typing skill test regarding the provision of providing keyboards from any specific company. The Commission had provided all functional keyboards that were properly functioning at the time of typing skill test. The Commission followed the procedural directives outlined in paragraph No. 1.6 of the 'Important Instructions to Candidates for Marathi and English Typing Skill Test'.

(iv) The learned counsel for the respondent MPSC submits that the keyboards used on 04.07.2024 were without 'CTRL and Alt Keys', but the keyboards were functionally proper and candidates did not face any issues due to absence of 'CTRL and Alt Keys' while typing. There is no use of the CTRL and Alt keys in the typing skill test. The commission had not scheduled typing skill test on 06.07.2024 as TCS had scheduled other examinations on 06.07.2024 which had short descriptive and as per the TCS standard practice, TCS had to perform the Mock on 05.07.2024 evening immediately after typing skill test examination. So, TCS replaced keyboards on 04.07.2024 evening and 05.07.2024 morning.

(v) The learned counsel for the respondent MPSC submits that number of candidates, who achieved over 90% accuracy in their typed words was determined by considering a total of 1500 key strokes for Marathi and 2000 key strokes for English as per the specified criteria outlined in Clause 10.2.9 of the Advertisement no. 111/2023. This method of calculating is correct and aligns with the established rules for evaluating typing accuracy. Furthermore, as per the same clause,

candidates, who failed to type the words in the provided paragraph are considered to have made errors and the accuracy rate of 90% has been derived based on this criterion also. This approach ensures that any omissions, additions or inaccuracies in the typed test are accounted for in calculating overall performance and accuracy of each candidate.

(vi) The learned counsel for the respondent MPSC submits that some of the keyboards used during the typing skill test conducted on 04.07.2024 were also utilized for Marathi typing skill test conducted on 05.07.2024 and 08.07.2024 to 13.07.2024 by the Commission in some labs. As such, the data presented by the applicants regarding the specific usage of ACER and Logitech company keyboards lacks concrete certainty and cannot be definitively verified.

(vii) The learned counsel for respondent MPSC submits that the Commission cannot ascertain the exact type of keyboards used by each individual candidate, as the keyboards were shared across multiple days and sessions. Furthermore, it is not feasible for the Commission to provide a segregation of types of keyboards used during

the course of the examination. The learned counsel further submits that day-wise statistics as provided by the applicants may be influenced by various external variables, including differing varying levels of candidates skills and preparedness on specific days, their ability to tolerate the stress of test atmosphere rendering them insufficient for evaluating individual abilities.

(viii) The learned counsel for respondent MPSC on the basis of Table 'A' and Table 'B' of paragraph 11(iv) of sur-rejoinder filed in O.A. No. 850/2024 submits that the said Tables provide detailed analysis of individual performances highlighting that the candidates, who did not qualify exhibited a higher incidence of errors, specifically high number of incorrect words typed. This individualized data analysis indicates that the primary factor contributing to unsuccessful outcome was the candidates' own inaccuracies during the test, rather than any issues with faulty keyboards alleged.

(ix) It is crystal clear from Tables 'A' and 'B' particularly from the title 'Backspace Count' that the candidates, who successfully qualified the Typing skill test have pressed the Backspace Key significantly fewer number of times

when compared to those who failed. The frequency with which the candidate presses the backspace key is direct and reliable indicator of the accuracy of their typing. Lower number of backspace key presses suggests that the candidate made fewer errors, thereby demonstrating a higher level of typing accuracy. Conversely, candidates, who pressed the backspace key more frequently, can be reasonably inferred to have made more typing errors, thus exhibiting lower level of accuracy, which directly contributed to their failure in the test. There is no substance in the claim of the applicants that backspace key was faulty or contributed to failure of the candidates. The data presented in the sur-rejoinder affidavit particularly in column of 'Backspace Count' does not support any assertion of mal functioning of Backspace Key.

(x) The learned counsel for MPSC submits that it is unequivocally evident from the column titled 'Number of Incorrect Words' in the Table presented in the sur-rejoinder that the candidates, who have successfully passed typing test have typed a significantly fewer number of incorrect words compared to the candidates, who did

not qualify. This data is a clear and objective measure of typing accuracy of the candidate reflecting their proficiency in performing typing skill.

Similarly column titled 'Percentage of Mistakes' with reference to 'Question Word Count' furthermore this distinction reflects. The candidates, who successfully qualified the typing skill test made a significantly lower percentage of mistakes as compared to those, who did not qualify. This percentage is a critical matrix representing the ratio of errors made by each candidate relating to the total number of words in the passage. Lower percentage of mistakes denotes higher percentage of typing accuracy. The candidates who did not qualify made a higher percentage of mistakes reflecting a lower level of typing accuracy and proficiency, which contributed to their failure.

(xi) The learned counsel for respondent MPSC further pointed out from Table 'C' mentioned in paragraph 11(iv) of sur-rejoinder affidavit, which provides list of qualified 09 candidates, who have done 'ZERO' words mistakes in shift – II dated 04.07.2024.

(xii) The learned counsel for respondent MPSC submits that out of total 1069 candidates, who participated in the Typing Skill Test conducted on 04.07.2024, it is made clear that 193 candidates made only 'O', '01' or '02' total word mistakes in the entire passage. Thus, the number of mistakes made by these candidates is calculated in terms of percentage, with reference to the total word count of the passage, their mistake percentage effectively amounts to 0% mistakes. Furthermore all these 193 candidates, 32 candidates made no mistakes at all in the entire passage, resulting in a 0% mistake rate when calculated with reference to the total word count. Out of these 32 candidates who made no mistakes, 09 candidates have been shown in the Table 'C' are from the same shift-2 of 04.07.2024 of Marathi Typing Skill Test. This exceptional performance by these candidates clearly demonstrates that the keyboards provided by the Commission were functioning properly and without any technical issues.

(xiii) The learned counsel for respondent MPSC submits that out of 15,549 candidates, who appeared for the Typing Skill Test, 11,624 candidates have qualified which, when calculated, amounts to a qualification percentage of

74.75%. This clearly indicates that the majority of candidates successfully passed in the Typing Skill Test and there is no basis to conclude that there was fault with the keyboards.

(xiv) The learned counsel for respondent MPSC submits that proportion of candidates raising objections with a request to re-test for typing skill test is minimum compare to total number of candidates, who undertook the test and satisfy with its conduct. Several candidates have filed intervention applications affirming that the skill test was conducted properly and that there were no issues regarding the keyboards, contrary to the claims made by the applicants. The learned counsel submits that granting re-test based solely on the underperformance of certain candidates would undermine the competitive standing of the qualified candidates, who are not before this Tribunal. Accepting the applicants' request for a second chance would unjustly impact the opportunities of other meritorious candidates, who might lose an opportunity of getting in the merit list.

(xv) The learned counsel for respondent MPSC submits that typing skill test was conducted fairly and results were

based on individual typing proficiency. Therefore, the applicants' claims are baseless and do not warrant any reconsideration or re-test as this would unfairly disrupt the merit based selection process and disadvantage the qualified candidates. The Original Applications being devoid of merits are liable to be dismissed.

26. The learned counsel for respondent MPSC has relied on the following citations:-

(1) *Tajvir Singh Sodhi & Ors. Vs. The State of Jammu and Kashmir & Ors., 2023 Live-Law (SC) 253.*

(2) *Om Prakash Shukla Vs. Akhilesh Kumar Shukla and Others, 1986 (Supp.) SCC 285.*

(3) *Ram Vijay Singh & Ors. Vs. State of U.P. & Ors., Civil Appeal No. 367/2017 delivered by Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 11.12.2017.*

(4) *C/M Shri Gauri Shanker Sanskrit Maha Vidyalaya & Ors. Vs. State of U.P. and Others, Writ C. No. 64968/2009, delivered on 20.05.2011 by Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad.*

(5) *Shri Raghav Mann And Ors. Vs. Office of the District And Sessions, AIR ONLINE 2020 DEL 1590*

27. The respondent nos. 04 to 09 are the candidates who appeared for the typing skill test examination from 05.07.2024 to 13.07.2024 were provided with Logitech Company keyboards. The learned counsel for respondent nos. 04 to 09 on the basis of the affidavit in reply submits that they had appeared for typing skill test examination in between 05.07.2024 to 13.07.2024 and they were provided with efficiently working Logitech Company keyboards, which were in brand new condition. There were hardly any discrepancies found in the functioning of the said keyboards. The learned counsel submits that there were other candidates whose typing skill tests (English language) were scheduled in between 10.07.2024 to 13.07.2024 and there were many candidates from these group who complained to the invigilators about the defects in these ACER Company keyboards leading to their poor and below par performance and even wastage of their time during the examination. They agree to the contentions raised by the applicants in the Original Applications and they have no objection for their re-examination.

28. We have also heard Shri R.K. Ashtekar, learned counsel appearing for the intervenors in following Misc. Applications:-

- (1) M.A. No. 561/2024 in O.A. No. 850/2024
- (2) M.A. No. 555/2024 in O.A. No. 812/2024
- (3) M.A. No. 556/2024 in O.A. No. 855/2024
- (4) M.A. 557/2024 in O.A.No. 977/2024
- (5) M.A. No. 558/2024 in O.A. No. 798/2024
- (6) MA 559/2024 in O.A.No. 961/2024
- (7) M.A. No. 560/2024 in O.A. No. 960/2024

29. The learned counsel for the intervenors in the aforesaid Misc. Applications submits that the relief sought by the original applicants are vague because in the schedule/advertisement itself it has specifically stated that, if any defect in the keyboards or operating system noticed/occurred then the concerned candidate should immediately intimate to the Invigilator. In the present matters the original applicants have made statement in their Original Applications that they have orally intimated the said fact to the Invigilator, but from the perusal of Emails and applications filed by the original applicants it reveals that the Emails have been sent by them in the month of August, 2024. Even in one of the Original Application the applicant has stated that he has not given any application or complaint because his mental condition was not proper.

30. The learned counsel appearing for intervenors submits that an advertisement was issued in the month of January, 2023 and since last more than 1 ½ year the intervenors and the successful candidates are awaiting for the appointments. The selection process is already lingering since January, 2023. As per the schedule of the Maharashtra Public Service Commission it has to be completed within a year. The original applications have been filed with a intention and ulterior motive to stall the selection process. The learned counsel submits that near about 15059 candidates are waiting for their appointments. The learned counsel submits that no original applicant has given written complaint on the same day. Thus, by sending Email after considerable gap reflects that the Original Applications have been filed by the original applicants after thought to stall the entire selection process.

31. The learned counsel appearing for intervenors submits that the intervenors have successfully passed the typing skill test. In view of the interim order, the respondent MPSC is not taking step and competing the selecting process before of pendency of the Original Applications before this Tribunal. The original applicants have approached this Tribunal after thought when they are become unsuccessful in the typing skill test.

32. The learned counsel appearing for intervenors submits that some of the intervenors are very poor and middle class candidates. Even some of the candidates from the category of Project Affected Persons, Earthquake affected persons, handicapped persons, Ex-serviceman, Part-time and Orphan are exempted from typing skill test. However, due to pendency of the Original applications the respondent M.P.S.C. is not processing the selection process further. The Original Applications deserve to be dismissed.

33. We have heard at length the submissions advanced by the learned counsel appearing for the applicants in Original Applications, learned Presenting Officer appearing for the State authorities, learned special counsel appearing for respondent M.P.S.C. and learned counsel appearing for intervenors.

34. In the instant Original Applications the applicants have highlighted series of issues regarding typing skill test process conducted by the respondent MPSC. Though it was initially scheduled from 01.07.2024 to 13.07.2024, it was postponed due to technical difficulties. Though, it was resumed on 04.07.2024 the candidates appeared for the said typing skill test continued to face challenges with mal-functioning of the

keyboards, which were allegedly not resolved. Subsequently, new arrangement was made from 05.07.2024 to 09.07.2024 to provide updated keyboards at the examination centre. However, only Marathi language typing candidates received the new keyboards while English language typing candidates were provided with old mal-functioning keyboards. Even though the candidates had requested for replacement as these keyboards hinder from ability to perform efficiently during examination, lack of response from the respondent MPSC has left them with frustration.

35. Per contra, the respondent MPSC on the basis of the data received from the T.C.S. demonstrated that there was absolutely no fault in the keyboards and, in fact, fault lies with the unsuccessful candidates for not performing well in typing skill test.

36. The typing test for employment reveals speed accuracy to detect an skill under pressure. The typing test for employment is a pre-employment assessment that measures the candidate's typing speed and accuracy. It involves type the given text within a set time frame, with results usually reported in words per minute (WPM) and error rate. In the instant case, same is considered 1500 key strokes for Marathi and 2000 key

strokes for English as per the specified criteria outlined in clause No. 10.2.9 of the advertisement.

37. Typing tests are a common pre-employment assessment tool used to evaluate a candidate's typing speed and accuracy. These tests typically require applicants to type a given text within a set time frame, with results reported in words per minute (WPM) and error rate. For instance, certain criteria may specify 1,500 keystrokes for Marathi and 2,000 keystrokes for English, as outlined in specific job advertisements. Assessing typing skills is crucial for roles that demand attention to detail, efficiency, and the ability to perform under pressure. While standard interviews might not reveal carelessness, typing assessments can highlight such tendencies, helping employers avoid costly mistakes. Moreover, these tests provide insight into a candidate's proficiency with computers and their ability to integrate seamlessly into the existing workforce.

38. In government offices and non-profit organizations, where accuracy, efficiency, and professionalism are paramount, typing tests ensure that candidates can handle sensitive and confidential information with precision. Legal professionals, administrative assistants, and executive assistants often deal with complex documents that require a high level of accuracy

and speed. Data entry specialists in government agencies play a crucial role in analyzing data for informed decision-making. By conducting typing assessments, employers can identify candidates who can respond promptly to critical situations and meet the demands of these positions with ease. Furthermore, typing tests can assess a candidate's ability to remain calm under pressure. Typing under timed conditions to a certain accuracy level can indicate how well a candidate handles job stress, providing valuable insight for the employer during the selection process. Skills-based typing tests offer an objective evaluation of candidates, regardless of their resume, educational level, or work experience. In summary, typing tests are an effective tool for employers to assess a candidate's suitability for roles that require precision, speed, and the ability to work under pressure. They help ensure that the selected candidates possess the necessary skills to perform effectively in their roles.

39. In view above discussion, we do not find any substance in the submission made on behalf of the applicants that the typing skill test is just a qualifying nature and that the merit of the candidates will be entirely depend on the main examination marks only. The Skill-based typing tests offer an

objective evaluation of candidates, regardless of their resume, educational level or work experience. We again repeat here that while the standard of interview might hide carelessness, a typing skill assessment brings those errors to the forefront.

40. It is not seriously disputed that on 01.07.2024 during first shift of Marathi language typing skill test significant technical issue was observed, where the candidates were redirected to their Home Page upon starting their typing skill test, which has created confusion. Thus, despite the efforts taken by the technical team to resolve the issue and conduct the typing skill tests, the technical issue seems to have persisted. After assessing the technical issue encountered during the typing skill test on the said date, the respondent MPSC has decided to cancel the typing skill test scheduled on 01.07.2024 to 03.07.2024 to sort out the technical issue. Thus, the typing skill tests were not conducted for the period in between 01.07.2024 to 03.07.2024. Thus, it appears that the technical issue as arose was entirely different due to which the respondent MPSC was constrained to reschedule the typing skill tests.

41. We do not find any substance in the submission that because of re-scheduling of the dates of typing skill tests, the

applicants have been provided with ACER Company keyboards for Marathi language typing skill test of which 30 to 40% keyboards were defective keyboards. According to the applicants, they were being treated unequally and unjustly by providing faulty keyboards leading to their inefficient and poor performance compare to other candidates, who were being provided with new Logitech Company keyboards.

42. Undisputedly, the keyboard test was conducted for a duration of 05 minutes followed by 05 minutes break specifically to assess the keyboard related issues. The Mock Test was conducted for 10 minutes with furthermore 02 minutes break to familiarize the candidates with the actual test process. It is with an object to facilitate the candidates appearing for skill test to give their best performance without any technical issue so also to let the candidates settle physically and mentally getting ready for main typing skill examination. These instructions are provided by the respondent MPSC on its website with a clear break-time allocated for keyboard test, mock test and actual test. We agree that this allocation of time was designed with an anticipation that in the event of any technical issues related to the Keyboard or other matters arising during the test, the candidates would have an opportunity to

address and rectify such issues at an early stage during the Keyboard Test or Mock Test, but before the actual test. In case if the technical issue is not likely to be resolved, the candidate would be relocated to another/new computer system, subject to verification of the keyboard by the Invigilators appointed by the respondent MPSC.

43. We have carefully gone through the chart prepared and placed before this Tribunal in paragraph nos. 12 & 13 of the affidavit in reply of respondent MPSC. In O.A. No. 850/2024 together with M.A. No. 357/2024 names of total 275 applicants are enlisted. The chart demonstrates the performances of all the candidates including 55 serially listed applicants in O.A. No. 850/2024, who utilized same computer system for typing skill tests on the different dates. It is including comprehensive analysis of the performance of number of candidates including the applicants, who used the same computer system for both Marathi and English typing skill tests. It appears from the said chart that the applicants in O.A. No. 850/2024 with M.A. No. 357/2024 had achieved the accuracy rate exceeding 90% correct words in the English & Marathi language typing skill tests. This data negate the

contentions raised by the applicants about faulty and defective keyboards or mal functioning of the keyboards.

44. In O.A. No. 850/2024 and other connected O.As. the respondent MPSC had filed affidavit detailing a clear segregation of the performances data. In the sur-rejoinder affidavit filed on behalf of respondent MPSC in paragraph no. 05 the Table 'X' is presented, which consists of some of the applicants from O.A. No. 855/2024, who have appeared in Marathi language typing skill test conducted by the respondent MPSC on other than 04.07.2024. The said chart 'X' is reproduced herein below:-

Table -X											
OA 855/2024											
Marathi Typing Skill Test-Different Shifts (Passage with 1500 keystrokes) (Not Qualified Candidates)											
Sr . No .	Roll Number	Name	Back space Count	Question Word Count	Typed Word Count	Number of correct words	Number of Incorrect Words	Number of words Not Typed	Number of extra words typed	Total mistakes	Percentage of mistakes with reference to Question word count
1	MB 053094	CHEMTE KRUSHNA RAMDAS	106	226	202	197	5	24	0	29	12
2	RM 104312	DIVEKAR GAURI PRADIP	51	224	210	182	26	16	2	44	19
3	RM 104083	Atole Shivaji Subhash	180	224	201	181	18	25	2	45	20
4	MB 052409	YOGESH KHANDU SHELKE	110	235	210	207	3	25	0	28	11
5	RM 121086	KANDHARE KASHINATH JANARDHAN	154	231	207	197	9	25	1	35	15
6	RM 121043	MUNJEWA R MEHRUN SIKANDAR	241	231	205	190	15	26	0	41	17
7	RM 1111323	KHEDKAR NITIN SUBHASH	145	219	160	145	15	59	0	74	33

45. Further, in paragraph no. 11(iv) of the sur-rejoinder affidavit, the respondent MPSC has submitted Table providing

analysis of the individuals' performance. Those are marked as Table 'A' and Table 'B' in paragraph 11(iv) of the sur-rejoinder.

The Table 'A' and Table 'B' are reproduced hereunder:-

Table -A											
OA 961/2024											
Marathi Typing Skill Test-Shift 02 (excluding Sr. No. 6 who is from Shift 01) dated 04 July, 2024 (Passage with 1500 Key Strokes) (Not Qualified Candidates)											
Sr. No.	Roll Number	Name	Back space Count	Question Word Count	Typed Word Count	Number of correct words	Number of Incorrect Words	Number of words Not Typed	Number of extra words typed	Total mistakes	Percentage of mistakes with reference to Question word count
1	MB 042137	GAWALI GANESH ASHOK	190	213	167	134	33	46	0	79	37
2	MB 042082	WAYAL VISHAL RADHES HAM	237	213	185	176	9	28	0	37	17
3	MB 042415	KAWARK E ANKUSH MANIK	132	213	166	159	7	7	0	54	25
4	MB 042344	PAWADE MANGES H MANOHA R	163	213	189	168	21	24	0	45	21
5	MB 042078	Yogeshri Vijayrao Tapas	221	213	121	110	9	94	2	105	49
6	MB 041317	NAGAMW AD PRALHA D HANMAN TRAO	174	245	213	190	21	34	2	57	23
Table -B											
In Result Declared dated 30.09.2024 (Marathi) list of serially Qualified 06 candidates in result in Shift 2 dated 4 July 2024											
Sr. No	Roll Number	Name	Back space Count	Question Word Count	Typed Word Count	Number of correct words	Number of Incorrect Words	Number of words Not Typed	Number of extra words typed	Total mistakes	Percentage of mistakes with reference to Question Word Count
1	MB 042227	Adhikrao Sarjerao Patil	34	213	212	208	4	1	0	5	2
2	MB 042421	Amane Prasad Mahadev	106	213	206	200	6	7	0	13	6
3	MB 042251	AMBHOR E PALLAVI PARMES HWAR	55	213	213	202	11	0	0	11	5
4	MB 042297	AMBULG EKAR VISHAKH A UTTAMR AO	84	213	201	192	9	12	0	21	9

5	MB 042164	AMETWA D NAGNAT H GANGAD HAR	75	213	211	199	11	3	1	15	7
6	MB 042255	ANJALI VITTHAL WAGHMA RE	52	213	212	204	8	1	0	9	4

46. It is clear from the aforesaid Table 'A' and Table 'B' particularly from column 'Backspace Count' that, the candidates, who have successfully qualified in the typing skill test have pressed the Backspace key in less number of time (as per Table 'B') compare to the candidates, who had failed (as per Table 'A'). It reflects about the accuracy maintained by the candidates in connection with their typing skill. We agree that pressing less number of Backspace key indicates that the candidates have made fewer errors and maintained thereby higher level of typing accuracy. On the other hand, the candidates who have pressed the backspace key more number of times indicates that they have made more typing errors. Thus, we find no substance in the claim of the applicants that the backspace key was faulty or contributed to the failure of the candidates.

Similarly it is also evident from column 'Number of Incorrect Words' of the above tables that the candidates, who have successfully passed the typing skill test have typed less number of incorrect words compared to the candidates, who

have failed. Further, from the column 'Percentage of Mistakes with reference to Question Word Count', the candidates, who found to be successfully qualified in the typing skill test have made less percent of mistakes compare to the candidates, who have failed. There can be no double opinion that lower percent of mistake demonstrates higher typing accuracy.

47. It is also explicitly clear from Table 'C' of paragraph no. 11(v) in the sur-rejoinder affidavit that 09 candidates as detailed therein have committed 'ZERO' words mistakes in shift no. 02 on 04.07.2024. The said Table 'C' is reproduced herein below:-

Table -C											
In Result Declared dated 30.09.2024 (Marathi) List of Qualified 09 candidates who have done ' 0 ' words mistakes in Shift 2 dated 4 July, 2024											
Sr. No.	Roll Number	Name	Back space Count	Question Word Count	Typed Word Count	Number of correct words	Number of Incorrect Words	Number of words Not Typed	Number of extra words typed	Total mistakes	Percentage of mistakes with reference to Question Word Count
1	MB 042148	WAGASKAR NUTAN BALU	60	213	213	213	0	0	0	0	0
2	MB 042125	NIRWAL AKSHAY ARJUNRAO	43	213	213	213	0	0	0	0	0
3	MB 042066	Mulani Taher Nabilal	45	213	213	213	0	0	0	0	0
4	MB 042176	UNDIRWADE AMIT RAJU	57	213	213	213	0	0	0	0	0
5	MB 042177	SAWANT POOJA CHANDRASH EKHAR	14	213	213	213	0	0	0	0	0
6	MB 042311	AWATE OMKAR ASHOK	38	213	213	213	0	0	0	0	0
7	MB 042307	MALI SHIVAJI HARIDAS	32	213	213	213	0	0	0	0	0
8	MB 042289	SHELAR SNEHAL HANUMANT	53	213	213	213	0	0	0	0	0
9	MB 042390	MOMIN JUNED SHAFIK	65	213	213	213	0	0	0	0	0

48. There are in all 15,549 candidates, who appeared for typing skill test, out of them 11,624 candidates have qualified. Thus, the percentage of the success of the candidates comes to 74.75%. This itself indicates that maximum candidates have successfully qualified in the typing skill test.

49. The aforesaid data ruled out the claim of the applicants about faulty / mal functioning of the keyboards. We find no substance in the contention of the applicants that their underperformance is the result of the faulty keyboards and not owing to their lack of typing skill.

50. It further appears that on 15.07.2024 and onwards the complaints have been raised by the applicants about the faulty keyboards. The proportion of the candidates, who have raised objections and requesting for re-examination of typing skill test, is minimum, compare to the total number of candidates, who have successfully passed the typing skill test. Though the respondent nos. 04 to 09 in O.A. No 850/2024, have supported the applicants' case, however, as the earmarked keyboards are not provided to the candidates, it is thus very difficult to verify about the keyboards of which company were provided to respondent nos. 04 to 09 and as to whether contentions raised by them are correct or not. The result data

does not specify which company keyboards were used on which dates. Further, some of the keyboards used on 04.07.2024 were also used on 05.07.2024 and 08.07.2024 to 13.07.2024 by the Commission in some labs for Marathi typing skill test. Thus, the data placed before us regarding 'failed candidates' presented by the applicants cannot be a basis to substantiate their claims or objections.

51. It is to be further noted here that some of the applicants in respective Original Applications have filed their affidavit of withdrawal as during pendency of these Original Applications they have been declared as qualified in the said typing skill test. It is specifically averred in their affidavit of withdrawal that they were earlier apprehending their disqualification. List of such applicants, who filed affidavit for withdrawal of respective O.As. to their extent only, is reproduced in this judgment in paragraph no. 05 above.

52. It thus appears that due to apprehension of being failed/unsuccessful in the typing skill test led the applicants to approach this Tribunal in hasty manner with a hyper sensitive approach.

53. Further, the nature of computer based typing skill test as explained by the respondent MPSC that number of keystrokes pressed by the candidates for live test passage was displayed on the computer screen at the end of allotted time of test. The candidates, who apprehend that they may not qualify in the typing skill test, have approached this Tribunal by raising grievance about the keyboard and other technical issues. The same keyboards and computer systems used by the applicants have also used by other candidates, who do not have any complaint regarding the keyboards. The same is reflected from the contents of the applications submitted by the intervenors approaching to this Tribunal in the pending O.As. We do not agree with the contentions raised by the applicants that they could not perform well due to faulty keyboards.

54. We have initially decided to impose costs on the applicants, who have withdrawn their Original Applications for the reason that during pendency of the O.As. results are declared and they have passed the typing skill test. However, considering that now a days the recruitment process of the public employment has become so serious and sensitive that sometimes the candidates may apprehend their failure to pass in the examination hastily approach the Tribunal without giving

any serious thought to it. In fact, the person appearing for recruitment process for public employment should express high standard of patience, quietness and tolerance. Therefore, we accept the withdrawal applications filed by the aforesaid applicants in these Original Applications and dispose of the Original Applications to their extent without any costs.

55. In the case of **Dr. (Major) Meeta Sahai Vs. State of Bihar** reported at **AIR ONLINE 2019 SC 1766** relied upon by the learned counsel for the applicants in paragraph nos. 16 to 18 the Hon'ble Supreme Court has made following observations:-

"16. Furthermore, before beginning analysis of the legal issues involved, it is necessary to first address the preliminary issue. The maintainability of the very challenge by the appellant has been questioned on the ground that she having partaken in the selection process cannot later challenge it due to mere failure in selection. The counsel for respondents relied upon a catena of decisions of this Court to substantiate his objection.

17. It is well settled that the principle of estoppel prevents a candidate from challenging the selection process after having failed in it as iterated by this Court in a plethora of judgements including Manish Kumar Shahi v. State of Bihar⁴, observing as follows:

"16. We also agree with the High Court that after having taken part in the process of selection knowing fully well that more than 19% marks have been earmarked for viva voce test, the appellant is not entitled to challenge the criteria or process of selection. Surely, if the appellant's name had appeared in the merit list, he would not have even dreamed of challenging the selection. The appellant invoked jurisdiction of the High Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India only after he found that his name

does not figure in the merit list prepared by the Commission. This conduct of the appellant clearly disentitles him from questioning the selection and the High Court did not commit any error by refusing to entertain the writ petition.” The underlying objective of this principle is to prevent candidates from trying another shot at consideration, and to avoid an impasse wherein every disgruntled candidate, having failed the selection, challenges it in the hope of getting a second chance.”

18. However, we must differentiate from this principle insofar as the candidate by agreeing to participate in the selection process only accepts the prescribed procedure and not the illegality in it. In a situation where a candidate alleges misconstruction of statutory rules and discriminating consequences arising therefrom, the same cannot be condoned merely because a candidate has partaken in it. The constitutional scheme is sacrosanct and its violation in any manner is impermissible. In fact, a candidate may not have locus to assail the incurable illegality or derogation of the provisions of the Constitution, unless he/she participates in the selection process.”

56. It is well settled that the principle of estoppel prevents a candidate from challenging the selection process after having failed in it. However, in paragraph no. 18 of the judgment in the case of **Dr. (Major) Meeta Sahai** (cited supra), the Hon’ble Supreme Court has carved out the situation where the candidate alleges misconstruction of the statutory rules and discriminatory consequences arising therefrom cannot be condoned merely because a candidate has partaken in the process. In the instant matters there are no allegations of the misconstruction of the statutory rules and discrimination arising therefrom.

57. The learned counsel for respondent MPSC has placed his reliance on the case of **Tajvir Singh Sodhi & Ors. Vs. The State of Jammu and Kashmir & Ors.** (cited supra), wherein in paragraph no. 12.1 the Hon'ble Supreme court has made the following observations:-

“12.1. Thus, the inexorable conclusion that can be drawn is that it is not within the domain of the Courts, exercising the power of judicial review, to enter into the merits of a selection process, a task which is the prerogative of and is within the expert domain of a Selection Committee, subject of course to a caveat that if there are proven allegations of malfeasance or violations of statutory rules, only in such cases of inherent arbitrariness, can the Courts intervene.

Thus, Courts while exercising the power of judicial review cannot step into the shoes of the Selection Committee or assume an appellate role to examine whether the marks awarded by the Selection Committee in the viva-voce are excessive and not corresponding to their performance in such test. The assessment and evaluation of the performance of candidates appearing before the Selection Committee/Interview Board should be best left to the members of the committee. In light of the position that a Court cannot sit in appeal against the decision taken pursuant to a reasonably sound selection process, the following grounds raised by the writ petitioners, which are based on an attack of subjective criteria employed by the selection board/interview panel in assessing the suitability of candidates, namely, (i) that the candidates who had done their post-graduation had been awarded 10 marks and in the viva-voce, such PG candidates had been granted either 18 marks or 20 marks out of 20. (ii) that although the writ petitioners had performed exceptionally well in the interview, the authorities had acted in an arbitrary manner while carrying out the selection process, would not hold any water.”

58. In the case of **Om Prakash Shukla Vs. Akhilesh Kumar Shukla and Others** (cited supra) relied upon by the

respondent MPSC, in paragraph no. 24 the Hon'ble Supreme Court has made the following observations:-

“24. Moreover, this is a case where the petitioner in the writ petition should not have been granted any relief. He had appeared for the examination without protest. He filed the petition only after he had perhaps realised that he would not succeed in the examination. The High Court itself has observed that the setting aside of the results of examinations held in the other districts would cause hardship to the candidates who had appeared there. The same yardstick should have been applied to the candidates in the District of Kanpur also. They were not responsible for the conduct of the examination.”

59. In the case of **Ram Vijay Singh & Ors. VS. State of U.P. & Ors.** (cited supra) relied upon by the learned counsel for respondent MPSC, in paragraph no. 32 the Hon'ble Supreme Court has made the following observations:-

“32. It is rather unfortunate that despite several decisions of this Court, some of which have been discussed above, there is interference by the Courts in the result of examinations. This places the examination authorities in an unenviable position where they are under scrutiny and not the candidates. Additionally, a massive and sometimes prolonged examination exercise concludes with an air of uncertainty. While there is no doubt that candidates put in a tremendous effort in preparing for an examination, it must not be forgotten that even the examination authorities put in equally great efforts to successfully conduct an examination. The enormity of the task might reveal some lapse at a later stage, but the Court must consider the internal checks and balances put in place by the examination authorities before interfering with the efforts put in by the candidates who have successfully participated in the examination and the examination authorities. The present appeals are a classic example of the consequence of such interference where there is no finality to the result of the examinations even after a lapse of eight years. Apart from the examination authorities even the candidates are left wondering about the certainty or otherwise of the result of the examination – whether they have passed or not; whether their result will be approved or disapproved by the Court; whether they will get

admission in a college or University or not; and whether they will get recruited or not. This unsatisfactory situation does not work to anybody's advantage and such a state of uncertainty results in confusion being worse confounded. The overall and larger impact of all this is that public interest suffers."

60. In the case of **C/M Shri Gauri Shanker Sanskrit Maha Vidyalaya & Ors. Vs. State of U.P. and Others** (cited supra), in paragraph nos. 31, 32 & 33 the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad, by referring to the observations made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, has made the following observations:-

"31. In Om Prakash & others Vs. Radhacharan & others 2009 (6) SC 329, the Court observed :

"It is now a well settled principle of law that sentiment or sympathy alone would not be a guiding factor in determining the rights of the parties which are otherwise clear and unambiguous."

32. In Subha B. Nair & others Vs. State of Kerala & others 2008 (7) SCC 210, the Court said :

"This Court furthermore cannot issue a direction only on sentiment/sympathy."

33. In Jagdish Singh Vs. Punjab Engineering College & others JT 2009 (8) SC 501, the Court referred to the observations made earlier in Kerala Solvent Extractions Ltd. Vs. A. Unnikrishnan and another 1994 (1) SCALE 63 with approval as under :

"The reliefs granted by the courts must be seen to be logical and tenable within the framework of the law and should not incur and justify the criticism that the jurisdiction of the courts tends to degenerate into misplaced sympathy, generosity and private benevolence. It is essential to maintain the integrity of legal reasoning and the legitimacy of the conclusions. They must emanate

logically from the legal findings and the judicial results must be seen to be principled and supportable on those findings. Expansive judicial mood of mistaken and misplaced compassion at the expense of the legitimacy of the process will eventually lead to mutually irreconcilable situations and denude the judicial process of its dignity, authority, predictability and respectability."

61. In view of the discussion as above, we proceed to pass the following order :-

ORDER

- (i) The Original Application Nos. 850, 798, 812, 855, 961, 977 and 960 all of 2024 are hereby dismissed.
- (ii) The interim relief granted in these Original Applications stands vacated.
- (iii) The following applicants are hereby permitted to withdraw the Original Applications to their extent only. No costs.

O.A. No.	Applicant sr. No. in O.A.	Name of applicant, who filed affidavit of withdrawal of O.A. to his extent.
850/2024	188	Aakash Balasaheb Mali
	83	Gaurav Rambhau Kshirsagar
	51	Rahul Maruti Vanjare
	91	Gopal Onkar Rathod
	259	Priyanka Dattatray Jawadwad
	266	Dhanashree Maruti Patil
	254	Ashavini Anil Bhale
	183	Hemkant Rajendra Patil
	02	Atul Subham Sawant
	40	Sanket Sanjay Desai
	162	Parmeshwar Fulchand Ade
	256	Meghana Ganesh Nimaje
	79	Bhushan Bhimrao Jadhav
	268	Raut Bhushan Dhuraji
	218	Ekvira Sudhakar Jawanjal

	85	Ashish Mohan Sahare
	114	Vaibhav Pritam Darade
	23	Yogesh Arun Deshmukh
	212	Samruddhi Vaibhav Chougule
	81	Bhalchandra Sunil Sakhare
	112	Nikosh Udaysing Jadhao
	170	Saurabh Sanjay Murkule
	123	Sachin Santosh Jadhav
	18	Swapnil Tanaji Patil
	125	Sachin Dhananjay Survase
798/2024	15	Neha Sanjay Tekate
	19	Dhanashri Samadhan Bhosale
	20	Shubham Suresh Pise
	30	Prashant Narayan Shelke
812/2024	10	Yogesh Rajaram More
	20	Yogesh Balaji Katkule
	24	Popat Hanumant Atkare
977/2024	05	Amol Ratan Dange
961/2024	07	Avinash Sudam Bhagat

- (iv) In the circumstances, there shall be no order as to costs.
- (v) The Original Applications are accordingly disposed of.
- (vi) In view of disposal of Original Applications itself by this common order, the Misc. Applications filed by the intervenors are also disposed of.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

62. At this juncture, learned Counsel Shri Chalak appearing for the applicants submits that during the pendency of these O.As. the interim order was operating and it was in

force till the disposal of the O.As. The applicants are intending to approach the Hon'ble High Court and applicants have a strong hope of success thus the status quo may be directed to be maintained.

63. Learned Special Counsel Shri Yenge appearing for the respondent MPSC submits that large number of candidates are awaiting appointment orders and they are almost 7050 compared to small number of the applicants whose applications now came to be dismissed.

64. In view of the above submissions and considering that large numbers of candidates are waiting for appointment for a long period, we are not inclined to extend the interim order. Hence, the request is refused.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

Place : Aurangabad

Date : 11.02.2025