

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1113 OF 2022
(Subject – Transfer)**

DISTRICT : AURANGABAD

Dinesh s/o Uttam Jadhav,)
Age : 45 years, Occu. : Service)
(as Asst. Police Inspector, Kannad City P.S.),)
R/o : Officers' Quarter No. 2, Police Line,)
Kannad, Dist. Aurangabad.)

.... **APPLICANT**

V E R S U S

1. State of Maharashtra,)
Through the Addl. Chief Secretary,)
Home Department, M.S.,)
Mantralaya, Mumbai- 32.)

2. The Director General of Police,)
Maharashtra, Maharashtra State Police)
HQ, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Marg,)
Mumbai -01.)

3. The Superintendent of Police,)
Aurangabad Rural, T.V. Centre Area,)
Aurangabad.)

... **RESPONDENTS**

APPEARANCE : Shri Avinash Deshmukh, Counsel for
Applicant.

: Shri D.M. Hange, Presenting Officer for
respondent authorities.

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

RESERVED ON : **07.05.2024**

PRONOUNCED ON : **14.08.2024**

ORDER

1. Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned counsel appearing for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer appearing for respondent authorities.

2. The present Original Application is disposed of finally with the consent of both the sides at the admission stage itself.

3. By filing the present Original Application, the applicant is seeking quashing and setting aside order of transfer of the applicant dated 09.12.2022 (Annexure A-8) issued by respondent No. 2 and also seeking direction to the respondents to extend the consequential service benefits to the applicant, to which he would become entitled in view of grant of prayer clause-A in his favour.

4. Brief facts as stated by the applicant giving rise to the Original Application are as follows :-

- (i) The applicant has entered the service in the Police / Home Department as directly recruited Police Sub-Inspector (PSI) in the year 2012. He had worked at Jalna District in Aurangabad Range till the year 2015. Thereafter, the applicant came to be transferred to Osmanabad district

in Aurangabad Range itself, where he worked till the year 2020.

(ii) The applicant further contends that in later 2020 and to be precise on 29.10.2020 he was transferred from Osmanabad District to Aurangabad (Rural) on administrative grounds. Consequent to his said transfer, the Superintendent of Police, Osmanabad was pleased to issue an order of relieving him from his post for joining under the respondent No. 3 at Aurangabad. Upon his reporting to respondent No. 3 and initially working for some period in the Control Room, on 10.02.2021 (Annexure A-1) respondent No. 3 was pleased to issue an order posting him at Khultabad Police Station, where he had joined and started discharging duties.

(iii) It is the further case of the applicant that on 28.04.2021, the respondent No. 2 was pleased to issue an order of promotion of as many as 539 Unarmed Police Sub Inspectors to the posts of Unarmed Assistant Police Inspectors (APIs) (Annexure A-2). The applicant's name was included therein at Sr. No. 236 and upon his promotion as API, he was not only allotted to the Aurangabad Revenue

Division, but was further posted therein in the Aurangabad Range. The applicant contends that before issuing the above referred order of promotion on 28.04.2021, the respondent No. 2 was pleased to issue a communication on 26.02.2021 to all the concerned Unit Heads throughout the State of Maharashtra stating therein that all the PSIs (including the applicant) who were to be promoted as APIs were directed to submit their respective choice for being posted in a particular Revenue Division. The respondent No. 2 has called upon them for choice of Revenue Division in terms of the provisions of "The Revenue Division Allotment" for appointment by nomination and promotion to the posts of Group "A" and Group "B" (Gazetted and Non-Gazetted) of the Government of Maharashtra Rules, 2015" (hereinafter be referred as the Revenue Division Allotment Rules of 2015) as amended on 15.06.2017. In response to the same, the applicant has duly submitted his choice of Revenue Divisions on 28.02.2021 (Annexure A-5) in the prescribed format to respondent No. 2. The applicant had given choice of Aurangabad Revenue Division as the first choice for being posted on promotion as an API and in Aurangabad Revenue Division he had conveyed the choices

of Aurangabad City, Aurangabad Range and Nanded Range Units in that sequence for being posted as an API.

(iv) It is the further case of the applicant that on 09.12.2022 the respondent No. 2 was pleased to issue an order of "General Transfer" of as many as 335 APIs, who according to the respondent No. 2 had completed their respective normal tenure and name of the applicant is included in the list at Sr. No. 335, as he sought to be transferred from Aurangabad (Rural) under the Aurangabad Range to Mumbai City Police Commissionerate. Hence, the present Original Application.

5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in the Aurangabad Revenue Division, there are two Police Ranges viz. Aurangabad Range and Nanded Range. Learned counsel submits that in terms of the Revenue Division Allotment Rules of 2015 as amended from time to time, it provides an opportunity to the persons being appointed to Group-A or Group-B posts under the Government Maharashtra either by nomination or by promotion to give their choice of posting in a particular Revenue Division subject to the availability of posts and as per their serial numbers in the Select List. Furthermore in terms of Rule 9 of the

Revenue Division Allotment Rules of 2015, an officer appointed on promotion in Group-A cadre while he was required to complete service of minimum three years in the allotted Revenue Division and an officer appointed on promotion in Group-B cadre was required to complete service of minimum six years in the allotted Revenue Division. As such, as far as the person like the applicant is concerned, who is promoted from the post of PSI to the Group-B post/ cadre of APIs, it is necessarily required to complete the service of minimum six years in the allotted Revenue Division. Further the Rule 12 of the Revenue Division Allotment Rules of 2015 provides that after completion of service of one year in the allotted Revenue Division, an officer may apply for change of Revenue Division on certain specified grounds.

6. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant had given the choice of Aurangabad Revenue Division as a first choice for being posted on promotion as an API. On the said backdrop and while reverting back to the order of promotion dated 28.04.2021, it becomes explicit that the applicant's choice of Aurangabad Revenue Division was accepted and he was further allotted to the Aurangabad Range for posting as an API. In terms of the provisions of the Revenue Division Allotment Rules of 2015 as amended from time to time read with the

provisions of Section 22N(1)(c) of the Maharashtra Police Act, the applicant was/is entitled to work in the Aurangabad Range for a period of 08 years from April 2021 i.e. from the date of his promotion. In the year 2022, though the applicant has placed his request before respondent No. 2 for giving extension of one year in the Aurangabad Range due to education of his two daughters, which was under a misconception that at the time of his transfer from one Range to another Range, the tenure of the applicant is in the lower cadre of PSIs was also going to be considered. However, the same was found to be wrong and incorrect in terms of the provisions of Revenue Division Allotment Rules of 2015 read with the provisions of Section 22N(1)(c) of the Maharashtra Police Act. Meanwhile, the respondent No. 2 was pleased to issue an order dated 02.08.2021, thereby posting the applicant at Kannad City Police Station and applicant had joined the said Police Station and started discharging his duties. In view of the same, the applicant has not completed the statutory tenure of two years at Police Station, Kannad City as prescribed in Section 22N(1)(c) of the Maharashtra Police Act.

7. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in the backdrop of this, it was shocking for the applicant that on 09.12.2022, the respondent No. 2 was pleased to issue an order

of “General Transfer” of as many as 335 APIs including the applicant, which is contrary to the provisions of the Revenue Division Allotment Rules of 2015 so also Section 22N of the Maharashtra Police Act. It is clear from the order dated 09.12.2022 that it is not only mid-term transfer, but it is also results in his mid-tenure transfer out of his present post at Kannad City Police Station. The applicant has completed tenure of 2 years, 4 months and 8 days in Kannad City Police Station. Learned counsel submits that above referred action of respondent No. 2 is incorrect, improper and illegal. Further the impugned order of transfer is also resulting in causing him and his family great difficulties and harassment including disturbance to the education/academic years of applicant’s daughters. Learned counsel thus submits that the present Original Application deserves to be allowed.

8. Learned Presenting Officer on the basis of affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 submits that the applicant is direct nominee from MPSC to the post of PSI from the batch of 01.01.2012. He belongs to VJNT category. Yavatmal is his home district. The applicant came to be promoted to the post of API w.e.f. 04.05.2021.

9. Learned Presenting Officer submits that various postings held by the applicant so far reveals that as a PSI from 01.01.2012 to 27.09.2013, the applicant has completed his basic training of PSI at M.P.A. Nashik, thereafter in Jalna from 04.10.2013 to 03.10.2015, further from 06.10.2015 to 30.10.2020 at Osmanabad and from 25.11.2020 to 04.05.2021 at Aurangabad (Rural). As an API from 04.05.2021 till date, as this Tribunal has granted interim stay to the transfer order of the applicant vide its order dated 14.12.2022 at Aurangabad (Rural). Learned P.O. submits that clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 22N of the Maharashtra Police Act, 1951, the normal tenure in Range is 08 years. After completion of 08 years tenure in Range, the Police officer is liable to be transferred in the General Transfers in the month of April or May of that year (i.e. as per Section 2(6A) of the Maharashtra Police Act, 1951). There are four districts viz. (i) Aurangabad Rural, (ii) Jalna, (iii) Beed and (iv) Osmanabad. The applicant has completed 08 years and 07 months up to the cut-off date of General Transfers for the year 2022 i.e. up to 31.05.2022 in Aurangabad Range including his tenure of P.S.I. and A.P.I. Hence, he was liable to be transferred in the General Transfers of the year 2022.

10. Learned Presenting Officer submits that Government vide G.R. dated 27.05.2022 issued by the General Administration Department and G.R. dated 01.06.2022 issued by the Home Department had restricted issuance of General Transfers for the financial Year 2021-22 up to 30.06.2022. Further even the General transfer orders could not be issued from 01.07.2022 to 09.12.2022 due to bandobast of Ashadi Ekadashi at Pandharpur and due to various festivals viz. Ganpati Utsa, Durga-Utsa, Dasara etc. thus they were not transferred in the public interest. After the aforesaid bandobast was over, the Police Establishment Board No. 2 decided to effect mid-term transfer of the officers, who had completed stipulated tenure and accordingly transfer orders were issued on 09.01.2022. It was issued by the Police Establishment Board, who is the competent transferring authority as per Section 22N of the Maharashtra Police Act, 1951 by following due procedure of law.

11. Learned Presenting Officer submits that transfer order of the applicant is without any mala-fide, vindictiveness and also not issued in violation of the statutory rules.

12. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the applicant came to be transferred on completion of his overall and

continuous period (i.e. in the cadre of P.S.I and A.P.I.) of more than 08 years in terms of the provisions of Section 22N(1)(c) of the Maharashtra Police Act by the competent transferring authority by following the due procedure of law. Learned P.O. submits that the Government Notifications dated 16.07.2015, 19.10.2016, 15.06.2017 and 19.07.2017 and subsequent Government Notifications issued by the Government in General Administration Department as per Annexure A-4 collectively filed by the applicant, cannot override the provisions of Section 22N of the Maharashtra Police Act, 1951.

13. Learned Presenting Officer submits that it is undisputed position that the applicant is serving on a post, which is transferable in all over Maharashtra. The applicant was due and liable to be transferred from Aurangabad Range to any other place as per administrative exigencies. Learned P.O. submits that the applicant cannot seek to interpret that he will again get six years in the cadre of API in the same Aurangabad Range by virtue of Rule 9 of the Revenue Division Allotment Rules 2015 or on the ground that he has not completed two years tenure at last place of posting. Learned P.O. submits that if such interpretation is taken into consideration, then the applicant or any other Police officer would never be transferred

from the Range owing to further promotion and transfer at any other Police Station Branch. The applicant cannot evade his transfer on the ground of education of his daughters, as his post is transferable in all over Maharashtra. Learned Presenting Officer submits that there is no substance in the present Original Application and the same is liable to be dismissed.

14. Learned counsel for the applicant on the basis of rejoinder affidavit submits that the respondents have made the contradictory statement in the affidavit in reply. The applicant's challenge to the transfer order dated 09.12.2022 is based mainly on the violation of the Revenue Division Allotment Rules of 2015. Learned counsel submits that the respondent No. 2 did not have the power and authority in law to effect any mid-tenure transfer out of Kannad City Police Station, as well as, Aurangabad Range inasmuch as that power and authority vested only in the State Government in view of the specific provisions contained in the proviso of Section 22N(1) of the Maharashtra Police Act. Learned counsel submits that Revenue Division Allotment Rules, 2015 deal with two different service conditions viz. 'transfers' and 'postings on promotion'. In other words, the provisions of Section 22N of the Maharashtra Police Act and once contained in the

Rule 2015 occupy different fields. Thus there is no question of prevailing of one of those provisions over and other.

15. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that even otherwise also the Division Allotment Rules having been introduced in the year 2015 i.e. certainly after introduction of the provisions of Section 22N of the Maharashtra Police Act that too with a specific prescription that those Rules of Division Allotment were made in supersession of all existing Government Resolutions, orders of instruments made in that behalf, it goes without saying that in fact and in law the Division Allotment Rules of 2015 would prevail over and / or would override the provisions of Section 22N of the Maharashtra Police Act.

16. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that so far as the point as to whether the impugned order of transfer dated 09.12.2022 issued by the competent transferring authority is concerned, the provisions of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2) of Section 22N of the Maharashtra Police Act apply to or they come into picture in different contingencies. It is clear that the provisions of sub-section (1) deal with "General Transfers" of Police Personnel by specifically carving out exception of "mid tenure transfers" through the proviso to said sub-section and the

provisions of sub-section (2) deal with “mid-term transfers” of the Police Personnel. In the given set of facts, the compliance of statutory requirements of provisions contained in both sub-sections (1) & (2) would be mandatory and that being so, it was permissible only for the State Government / respondent No. 1 to issue the order of transfer being the sole competent transferring authority to effect the mid-tenure transfer of Police Personnel like the applicant.

17. It is the specific case of the applicant that the provisions of Revenue Division Allotment Rules of 2015, as amended from time to time, have not been followed in its letter and spirit. The said rules provides an opportunity to the persons being appointed to Group-A or Group-B posts under the Government of Maharashtra either by nomination or by promotion to give their choice of posting in a particular Revenue Division and in terms of Rule 9 of the said Rules, an officer appointed on promotion in Group-B cadre is required to complete service of minimum six years in their allotted Revenue Division. So far as the applicant is concerned, he has promoted from the post of PSI to the Group-B post/ cadre of APIs. In terms of the aforesaid Rule 9 of the Rules of 2015, it is necessarily to complete the service of minimum six years in the allotted

Revenue Division. The applicant had given choice of Aurangabad Revenue Division as the first choice for being posted on promotion as an API. In the said backdrop if the order of promotion dated 28.04.2021 is considered, it becomes explicit that the applicant's choice of Aurangabad Revenue Division was accepted and he was further allotted the Aurangabad Range for posting as an API. Thus the applicant is entitled to work in the Aurangabad Range for a period of 08 years from April 2021 i.e. from the date of his promotion. However, in the year 2022, though the applicant has placed his request before respondent No. 2 for giving extension of one year in the Aurangabad Range due to education of his two daughters, the respondents have misconstrued the provisions at the time of his impugned transfer. It is the case of the applicant that his tenure in the lower cadre of PSI was considered, which is incorrect and not in accordance with the provisions of Revenue Division Allotment Rules of 2015 read with the provisions of Section 22N(1)(c) of the Maharashtra Police Act. Thus the applicant has challenged the impugned order of transfer dated 19.12.2022 from Aurangabad Range to Mumbai City Police Commissionerate. According to the applicant it is not only mid-term transfer, but also mid-tenure

transfer. The applicant so far has completed tenure of 2 years, 4 months and 8 days in Kannad City Police Station.

18. I have carefully gone through the Revenue Division Allotment Rules, 2015 specially made for the appointment by nomination and promotion to the post of Group “A” and Group “B” (Gazetted and Non-Gazetted) of the Government of Maharashtra. These rules are applicable only to such posts, who are transferable at State level as per the recruitment Rules. In terms of Rule 4, 5 & 6 of the said Rules of 2015, the appointments to the posts in Group ‘A’ and Group “B” by nomination and promotion in six Revenue Divisions are required to be made as per these Rules. Before allotting the revenue Divisions, every administrative department of the Government shall determine Revenue Division-wise its posts of nomination quota and promotion quota in each Group “A” and Group “B” cadre. For that purpose it is necessary to consider various aspects such as (i) recommendation or merit list from the Commission or Selection Committee, as the case may be, (ii) scrutiny of the necessary certificates and other documents, (iii) revenue divisions as required by rotation as per their serial numbers in the merit list and (iv) considering the total vacancies in the nomination quota existing at that time, in the sequential

order of Nagpur, Amravati, Aurangabad and Nashik Revenue Divisions so also and so on. The said Rules 4, 5 & 6 are reproduced herein below :-

“1.

2.

3.

4. *The appointments shall be made to the posts of Group "A" and Group "B" by nomination and promotion in six Revenue Divisions mentioned in the Schedule appended here to as per these rules.*

5. *Before allotting Revenue Divisions, every administrative department of the Government shall determine Revenue Division-wise its posts of nomination quota and promotion quota in each Group "A" and Group "B" cadre.*

6. *While making appointments to such determined posts of nomination quota and promotion quota, allotment of Revenue Divisions shall be made as follows:-*

(a) *For appointment to the posts in Group "A" and Group "B" by nomination on receipt of recommendation or merit list from the Commission or Selection Committee, as the case may be, after scrutiny of the necessary certificates and other documents, revenue divisions as mentioned in the schedule shall be allotted to the candidates by rotation as per their serial numbers in the merit list, by taking into consideration total vacancies in the nomination quota existing at that time, in the sequential order of Nagpur, Amravati, Aurangabad and Nashik Revenue Divisions. After all the vacant posts in nomination quota in the above four Revenue Divisions are filled up, the Konkan Division and Pune Division shall be allotted alternately to the remaining candidates in the merit list.*

(b) *For appointment to the posts in Group "A" and Group "B" by promotion to the officers whose names are included in the select list for promotion Revenue Divisions as mentioned in the schedule shall be allotted to the officers by rotation as per their serial numbers in the select list, by taking into consideration total vacancies in the promotion quota existing at that time, in the sequential order of Nagpur, Amravati, Aurangabad and Nashik Revenue Divisions. After all the vacant posts in promotion quota in the above four Revenue Divisions are filled up, the Konkan*

Division and Pune Division shall be allotted alternately to the remaining candidates in the select list.

For appointments to posts by promotion, the Revenue Divisions shall be allotted to all officers in the select list at the same time except in cases which are kept open due to non-availability of confidential reports, non-availability of caste validity certificates and in which departmental enquiries are in progress or where the subject matter is sub-judice. In case of latter such allotment of Revenue Division shall be made separately after final decisions on them”

19. In the instant case, the applicant had entered the service of Government of Maharashtra in its Police / Home Department as directly recruited Police Sub-Inspector (PSI) in the year 2012. He was given first posting in Jalna District of Aurangabad Range in the year 2013, where he worked till the year 2015. Thereafter, the applicant was transferred to Osmanabad district in Aurangabad Range itself, where he worked till the year 2020. By order dated 28.04.2021, the applicant came to be promoted from the post of PSI to API.

20. In the backdrop of the specific case about applicant's appointment as directly recruited PSI and further promotion from the post of PSI to API, Rule 8 and Rule 9 of the Revenue Division Allotment Rules, 2015 are relevant and the same are reproduced herein below :-

“8. (1) A candidate appointed by nomination,-
(a) in Group "A" cadre shall be required to complete service of minimum six years in the allotted Revenue Division;

(b) in Group "B" cadre shall be required to complete service of minimum nine years in the allotted Revenue Division.

(2) After allotment of the Revenue Division if an officer gets promoted before completion of the period of six years or nine years, as the case may be, he or she shall be given posting in the same Revenue Division:

Provided that, if the post is not available at the time of such promotion in that Revenue Division, then before completion of the period of six years or nine years, as the case may be, a posting on promotion may be given in any other Revenue Division :

Provided further that, if the period of six years or nine years, as the case may be, is not completed due to non-availability of posts in that Revenue Division, then in case of such officers posting for remaining period shall be given again either on promotion or transfer in the originally allotted Revenue Division :

Provided also that, after completion of a period of six years or nine years, as the case may be in the originally allotted Revenue Division, such officer may be posted in any other Revenue Division as per the availability of the posts.

9. (1) *An officer appointed on promotion,-*

(a) in Group "A" cadre shall be required to complete service of minimum three years in The allotted Revenue Division,

(b) in Group "B" cadre shall be required to complete service of minimum six years in the allotted Revenue Division.

(2) After allotment of the Revenue Division, if an officer gets promoted before completion of the period of three years or six years, as the case may be, he or she shall be given posting in the same Revenue Division :

Provided that, if the post is not available at the time of such promotion in that Revenue Division, then before completion of the period of three years or six years, as the case may be, a posting on promotion may be given in any other Revenue Division

Provided further that, if the period of three years or six years, as the case may be, is not completed due to non-availability of posts in that Revenue Division, then in case of such officers posting for the remaining period shall be given again either on promotion or transfer in the originally allotted Revenue Division :

Provided also that, after the completion of a period of three years or six years, as the case may be, in the allotted Revenue Division, such officer may be posted in any other Revenue Division as per the availability of the posts."

21. It appears that the Rule 8 of the said Rules of 2015 speaks about a candidate appointed by nomination, whereas Rule 9 prescribes the provisions about an officer appointed on promotion. Conjoint reading of both these Rules makes it clear that after allotment of Revenue Division, if an officer gets promoted before completion of the period as prescribed, he / she shall be given posting in the same Revenue Division. So far as Group-B cadre is concerned, such an officer shall be required to complete minimum service after his appointment by nomination nine years in the allotted Revenue Division or is being appointed on promotion six years in the allotted Revenue Division. In the instant case, the facts are peculiar, as the applicant was appointed by nomination in the year 2012 as PSI and admittedly he was posted in Aurangabad Revenue Division. Thereafter, in the year 2021, the applicant was promoted from the post of PSI to API. In terms of Rule 9 (2), after allotment of the Revenue Division, if an officer gets promoted before completion of the said period, which in the present case is required to be considered as nine years in Group-B cadre for the applicant in terms of Rule 8(1) (b), as he was directly recruited PSI in the year 2012. Thus after allotment of Revenue Division, which in the instant case is Aurangabad Revenue Division, such an officer gets promoted

before completion of the said period, he shall be given posting in the same Revenue Division i.e. Aurangabad Revenue Division in the instant case. On promotion in the year 2021, for certain period i.e. from 28.04.2021 to 09.12.2022 the applicant remained in Aurangabad Revenue Division, however, by impugned order dated 09.12.2022, the applicant came to be transferred from Aurangabad Revenue Division to Mumbai City Police Commissionerate.

22. In the backdrop of these factual aspects if the submissions on behalf of the applicant by his learned counsel are accepted, then the applicant, who has completed service period for more than 10 years in Aurangabad Revenue Division has again to be considered for another six years from the date of his promotion i.e. 28.04.2021. In view of the same, the applicant would be due for transfer from Aurangabad Revenue Division to another Revenue Division after completion of 16 years. In my considered opinion, this is not a correct interpretation of Revenue Division Allotment Rules 2015 of rule 4, Rule 5 and Rule 6 and particularly Rule 8 and Rule 9 respectively. If we read Rule 8 and Rule 9 of the Revenue Division Allotment Rules, 2015 conjointly, which speak about a candidate by nomination and an officer by promotion respectively, the correct, reasonable and logical

interpretation can be made to the effect that the tenure of the applicant in Aurangabad Revenue Division from the date of his appointment is required to be considered, since 1st, 2nd and 3rd proviso of Rule 8, so also, Rule 9 of the Revenue Division Allotment rules, as the case may be take care of such peculiar circumstances.

23. In view of above discussions, I find no fault in the impugned order passed by the respondent authorities, which has been made by following the provisions of Section 22N of the Maharashtra Police Act. By any stretch of imagination, it is not possible to consider that the transfer of the applicant by impugned order as mid-term and mid-tenure. In view of the same, there is no substance in the present Original Application and the same is liable to be dismissed. Hence, the following order:-

ORDER

- (i) The Original Application is hereby dismissed.
- (ii) Interim relief granted earlier stands vacated.
- (iii) In the circumstances there shall be no order as to costs.
- (iv) The Original Application is accordingly disposed of.

(Justice V.K. Jadhav)
Member (J)

24. Learned counsel for the applicant has requested for continuation of interim relief. However, considering the factual and legal aspects in this matter and the issue involved, the request is refused.

PLACE : Aurangabad.
DATE : 14.08.2024

(Justice V.K. Jadhav)
Member (J)

KPB S.B. O.A. No. 1113 of 2022 VKJ Transfer