

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

AT MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.

OF 2025

Vijaya Shankarrao Shivsharan,

)...Applicant

Versus


The State of Maharashtra & Ors

)...Respondents

I N D E X

Sr.No.	Exhibits	Particulars	Page Nos.
01		Synopsis	A-C
02		Memo of Application	1-13
03	"A"	Copy of pension order dated 28 th January, 2022, issued by the Respondent No.2.	14-
04	"B"	Copy of the letter dated 12 th December, 2024, issued by the Respondent No.3.	15-16
05	"C"	Copy of the said letter dated 11 th February, 2025, issued by the Respondent No.2.	17

Last Page 17


(L.S. Deshmukh)
Advocate for Applicant

A

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

AT MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. OF 2025

Vijaya Shankarrao Shivsharan,)...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors)...Respondents

SYNOPSIS

CHALLENGE IN BRIEF:-

Applicant seeks to challenge the recovery of Rs.10,81,940/- initiated by the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 against the Applicant. Applicant further seeks directions against the Respondent to refund the amount of Rs. 3,16,112, which has already been recovered from the Applicant's monthly pension.

FACTS IN BRIEF:-

Sr.Nos.	Dates	Events in Chronology.
01		Applicant is 74 years old a retired servant of Respondent No.1.
02	07/12/1974	The Solapur Zilla Parishad appointed the Applicant to the post of Extension Officer-Class-III.
03	03/03/1989	The Solapur Zilla Parishad against promoted the

13

		Applicant to the post of Assistant Children Development Officer.
04	01/03/1996	The Respondent No.1 promoted the Applicant to the post of Deputy District Rehabilitation and Assistant Officer. Applicant was posted at the office of Solapur Collector.
05	2002	The Respondent No.1 again promoted the Applicant to the post of Block Development Officer, Class-I. The Respondent No.1 posted the Applicant as Block Development Officer of Dharashiv Zilla Parishad.
06	31/08/2006	Applicant having attended the age of Superannuation, retired from the services with effect from 31 st August, 2006.
07	23/03/2007	The Respondent sanctioned the monthly pension to the Applicant. However, the same was without giving effect and benefits of 6 th Pay commission.
08	28/01/2022	The Dharashiv Zilla Parishad made fixation of Applicant's pay in terms of the Revised Pay Rules of 2009 and forwarded the proposal to the Respondent No.2. The Respondent thereafter,

		revised the Applicant's monthly pension at Rs. 11225/ per month with effect from 1 st January, 2006. Thus Applicant has been receiving the pension as per the order issued by the Respondent No.2.
09	12/12/2024	The Respondent No.3 vide a letter dated 12/12/2024, addressed a letter to the Respondent No.2 and informed that Respondent No.3 has paid total amount of Rs. 13,98,052/- to the Applicant. It was also informed that amount of Rs. 3,16,112/- has been recovered from the Applicant's pension. The Respondent also informed that balance amount of Rs. 10,81,940/- is yet to be recovered.
10	10/02/2025	The Respondent No.2 by his letter dated 10/02/2025, informed and directed the Respondent No.3 to recover the amount of Rs. 10,81,940/- from the Applicant's pension.
11		Recovery sought to be made from the Applicant's pension is not permissible. Hence, this Application.

ACTS AND RULES TO BE REFERRED:-

- 1) The Constitution of India
- 2) Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1981

CASE LAWS TO BE CITED:-

- 1) *Rafiq Masi V/s The State of Punjab (reported in (2015) 4 SCC 334)*
- 2) *Ajabrao Rambhau Patil V/s The State of Maharashtra (reported in (2022) 6 AIR Bom R 304,*

POINTS TO BE URGED:-

- 1) Whether the recovery of Rs. 10,81,940/- initiated by the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 against the Applicant is legal, just and proper?
- 2) Whether the action of recovery of amount of Rs. 3,16,112/- from the Applicant's pension is legal, just and proper?
- 3) Whether the action of recovery initiated against Applicant is contrary to the law settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and Hon'ble Bombay High Court and therefore, the same is liable to be quashed and set aside?

Mumbai

Date: 20/02/2025


Advocate for Applicant

-1

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
AT MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. OF 2025

BETWEEN

Vijaya Shankarrao Shivsharan,)
Age: 78 years, Occ: Retired,)
Residing at C/o Prasenjit Kamble,)
Bhim Nagar, Karmala, District: Solapur)
Cell No. 8308155824,)
Email: lsdeshmukh32@gmail.com)...Applicant

AND

- 1) The State of Maharashtra)
(Through its Secretary,)
Rural Development Department,)
Mantralaya, Mumbai))
2) The Accountant General (A & E))
101, Maharshi Karve Marg,)
2nd Floor, Mumbai.)
3) The District Treasury Officer,)
Solapur.)...Respondents

DETAILS OF APPLICATION

1. PARTICULARS OF THE APPLICANT:-

AS STATED ABOVE IN CAUSE TITLE OF THE
APPLICATION

2. PARTICULARS OF RESPONDENTS:-

AS STATED ABOVE IN CAUSE TITLE OF THE
APPLICATION



2

Subject: Recovery from Pension

3. PARTICULARS OF IMPUGNED ORDER:-

By this Application, Applicant seeks to challenge the Order/ Letter dated 11th February, 2025, issued by the Respondent No.2, by which the recovery is directed to be made from the Applicant's monthly pension.

4. JURISDICTION OF THE TRIBUNAL:-


The cause of action arises in Mumbai District, which comes within exclusive Jurisdiction of this Tribunal and hence this Tribunal has Jurisdiction to try, entertain and dispose of the present Application.

5. LIMITATION :-

The Applicant has filed present Application within prescribed period of limitation from the date of cause of action as contemplated under section 21 of the Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985.


6. THE BRIEF FACTS :-

6.1) Applicant is a citizen of India and resident of the address mentioned in the cause title. Applicant came to be appointed to the post of Extension Officer- Class-III by the Solapur Zilla Parishad with effect from 07th December, 1974. Applicant thereafter, Solapur Zilla Parishad promoted the Applicant to



the post of Assistant Child Development Officer with effect from 03rd March, 1989.


- 6.2) Applicant further states that the Respondent No.1 State promoted the Applicant to the post of Deputy District Assistance and Rehabilitation Officer with effect from 1st March, 1996 and posted the Applicant in the office of District Collector, Solapur. Applicant further states that the Respondent No.1 State against promoted the Applicant to the post of Block Development Officer with effect from the year 2002. Applicant came to be posted as Block Development Officer at Zilla Parishad Dharashiv (Osmanabad).
- 6.3) Applicant further states that Applicant having attended the age of superannuation came to be retired from the services with effect from 31st August, 2006. Applicant further states that the Respondent No.2 vide order dated 23rd March, 2007, sanctioned the monthly pension to the Applicant as per the pay admissible to the Applicant's post. It is however, pertinent to note that the said sanction of pension of was based on the last pay drawn by the Applicant and without giving effect of implementation of 6th Pay commission.



4


6.4) Applicant further states that the Applicant's last posting was at Osmanabad Zilla Parishad. Applicant further states that the Respondent State in the year 2009 accepted the recommendation of the 6th Pay Commission. Therefore, the Osmanabad Zilla Parishad in the year 2011 made the pay fixation in terms of the provisions of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 2009. The effect to the revised pay was given with effect from 1st January, 2006. Applicant further states that the Respondent No.2 thereafter, vide order dated 28th January, 2022, revised Applicant's monthly pension and revised sanctioned pension was Rs. 11225/- per month plus reliefs thereon with effect from 01st January, 2006. Hereto annexed and marked as Exhibit-"A" is a copy of pension order dated 28th January, 2022, issued by the Respondent No.2.

6.5) Applicant further states that the Respondent State has accepted the recommendations of 7th Pay commission and implemented the same with effect from 1st January, 2016. Applicant further states that Applicant has been pursuing the matter with the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 for extension of benefits of 7th Pay commission. Applicant from time to time



has made representations to the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 and requested for fixation of Applicant's pay as per the 7th pay commission and also for revision of monthly pension. Applicant also requested for pay the arrears thereof. However, though the Applicant is entitled for benefits of pay as per the 7th pay commission, Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 have not taken steps for revision of pension as per the 7th pay recommendation.


- 6.6.) Applicant further states that the Respondent No.3 thereafter, vide a communication dated 12th December, 2024, informed the Respondent No.2 that the Respondent No.3 has paid excess amount of Rs. 13,98,052/- (Rupees Thirteen Lakh Ninety-eight Thousand and fifty two only) has been paid to the Applicant. The said communication also records that the said excess amount has been paid during the period between 01st January, 2006 and 31st October, 2022. The said also discloses that the Respondent No.3 has also recovered the amount of Rs. 1,20,000/- have been recovered from the monthly pension and arrears of Rs. 1,96,112/- have not been paid. The said communication also records that amount of Rs. 10,81,940/- is yet to be recovered from the Applicant. Hereto



6

annexed and marked as Exhibit –“B” is a copy of the said letter dated 12th December, 2024, issued by the Respondent No.3.

- 6.7) Applicant further states that the Respondent No.3 without having powers and authority of law has recovered the amount of Rs. 3,16,112/- (Rupees Three Lakh Sixteen Thousand One Hundred and Twelve only) from the Applicant's Pension. Applicant further states that the Respondent No.3 before initiation of recovery and before making recovery of Rs. 3,16,112/- did not serve a show cause notice and particularly of recovery to the Applicant. Applicant therefore, submits that the recovery of Rs. 3,16,112/- made by the Respondent No.3 from the Applicant's monthly pension is in violation of basic principles of natural justice. Applicant further states that recovery of Rs. 3,16,112/- made by the Respondent No.3 is also illegal as the same is not permissible in the eyes of law.
- 6.8) Applicant further states that the Respondent No.2 in pursuance of the letter dated 12th December, 2024, issued by the Respondent No.3, the Respondent No.2 vide a letter dated 10th February, 2025, directed the Respondent No.3 to make a recovery of Rs. 10,81,940/- (Rupees Ten Lakh Eighty One




Thousand Nine Hundred Forty only) from the Applicant's monthly pension. Hereto annexed and marked as Exhibit- "C" is a copy of the said letter dated 11th February, 2025, issued by the Respondent No.2.

- 6.9) Applicant further states that Applicant retired in the year 2006. The Respondents claim to have paid excess amount to the Applicant and therefore, the amount of Rs. 3,16,112/- have been recovered and the amount of Rs. 10,81,940/- sought to be recovered from the Applicant's monthly pension. Applicant further states that Applicant has received the amount of pension as admissible to her post and no excess amount is paid.
- 6.10) Applicant therefore, being aggrieved by the recovery directed to be made against the Applicant, prefers present Application on following amongst other grounds which are taken without prejudice to each others:-


GROUND

- I) That the impugned recovery of Rs. 3,16,112/- made by the Respondent No.3 from the Applicant's pension is illegal and not permissible in the eyes of law.




8

- II) That the impugned recovery of Rs. 10,81,940/- directed to be made from the Applicant's pension is illegal and unsustainable in the eyes of law and therefore, liable to be quashed and set aside.
- III) That the Respondent No.3 has recovered Rs. 3,16,112/- from the Applicant's monthly pension. It is however, pertinent to note that the Respondent No.3 recovered the said amount without following due process of law and without issuing of show cause notice. Therefore, the said recovery has been made in violation of basic principles of natural justice.
- IV) That the Applicant having attained age of superannuation retired from the services with effect from 31st August, 2006 and the impugned action of recovery has been initiated in the year 2024. The action of recovery is initiated almost after 18 years of Applicant's retirement and therefore the same is not sustainable in the eyes of law.



- V) That the Respondent No.2 by a letter dated 11th February, 2025, directed to recover an amount of Rs. 10,81,940/- from the Applicant's monthly pension. However, the said letter does not disclose as to how the Applicant is liable or the said amount liable to be recovered from the Applicant's pension and therefore, the said recovery action is illegal.
- VI) That the Applicant after having been attended age of superannuation retired from the services is entitled for the pension as admissible to the Applicant's last post. Applicant has been receiving the pension as per the sanctioned order issued by the Respondent No.2. Applicant is therefore, not liable for refund of any amount as claimed by the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.
- VII) That the recovery initiated against the Applicant is contrary to the law settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court India. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the matter of Rafiq Masi V/s The State of Punjab (reported in (2015) 4 SCC




334) and in the matter of Thomas Daniel V/s

The State of Kerla, has held that the recovery after retirement against the Class-IV Servant cannot be made.

VIII) That the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in the matter of Ajabrao Rambhau Patil V/s The State of Maharashtra (reported in (2022) 6 AIR Bom R 304, has held that the recovery after retirement cannot be made even if the servant belongs to Group-I category.

IX) That the impugned letter dated 11th February, 2025, issued by the Respondent No.2, by which the recovery is directed to be initiated against the Applicant does not disclose that the Applicant by way of fraud has obtained the amount which is sought be recovered.

X) That the Respondent No.1 before initiating action of recovery should have considered the hardship, which would cause prejudice and hardship to the Applicant who is a retired servant and who has crossed the age of 76 years.



11

XI) That the Respondent No.1 in the impugned letter by which the recovery is directed to be initiated against the Applicant also does not mentions as to how the Applicant is liable to pay the said amount.

XII) That even otherwise also the impugned recovery initiated against the Applicant is illegal and liable to be quashed and set aside.


7) MATTERS NOT PREVIOUSLY FILED:-

The Applicant has not filed any Application, Writ Petition or any other proceedings touching to the subject matter of departmental enquiry and revocation of Applicant's suspension and also reinstatement in any of the Tribunals or Courts of Law.

8) PRAYERS:-

A) This Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to hold and declare that the recovery initiated against the Applicant by the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 is illegal and same be quashed and set aside.

B) That this Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to quash and set aside the letter dated 11th February, 2025, issued by the Respondent No.2



12

- C) Direct the Respondents to refund the amount of Rs. 3,16,112/- (Rupees Three Lakh Sixteen Thousand One Hundred and Twelve only) and/or any amount which is already recovered along with interest thereon.
- D) Pass any other just and equitable order as this Hon'ble Tribunal deems fit and proper.

9) INTERM RLEIEF/AD-INTERIM RELIEF:-

- A) Pending hearing and final disposal of the present Application stay the impugned recovery of Rs. 10,81,940/- or part thereof, initiated by the Respondent No.2 by letter dated 11th February, 2025 or Otherwise.
- B) Pending hearing and final disposal of the Application, direct the Respondents not recover amount of Rs. 10,81,940/- or part thereof in pursuance of the letter dated 11th February, 2025, issued by the Respondent No.2.


10) PARTICULARS OF THE POSTAL ORDERS:-


Number of postal Orders:


The date of issuance:

Place: Mumbai

Date: 20/02/2025


Advocate for Applicant





13

VERIFICATION

I, Vijaya Shankarrao Shivsharan, Age: 78 years, Occ: Retired,
Residing at C/o Prasenjit Kamble, Bhim Nagar, Karmala, District:
Solapur, do hereby solemnly affirm and state that whatever stated in
paragraph Nos. 1 to 10 is true and correct to the best of my knowledge
and information, which I believe to be true.

Solemnly affirmed at Mumbai

On this 20th day of February, 2025

Identified and Explained in

Marathi Language by Me

Advocate for Applicant





Shivsharan V.S.

Deponent

SA No. 927926747366.

BEFORE ME

MANGESH G. BANSOD
NOTARY,
GOVT. OF INDIA
10/D, Bahubali Bldg.,
17H, Cawasji Palel Street,
Fort - Mumbai - 400 001.



Exh-'A' 14

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A & E)
101, MAHRSHI KARVE MARG, 2ND FLR
MUMBAI - 400 020
Pension

VIPAY COMMISSION

No. PA-SOLAPUR/2120729800/1/P/22/14/61017681

APPLICATION NO. : 143139101

AVG PAY : 16647.6 AVG GP : 0 AVG D.P. : 0.00 GR. QUAL SERVICE : 0000 (YASD)
LAST PAY : 13237.5 GP : 0 L.P.D.P. : 0 NON QUAL SERVICE : 0000 (YASD)
AVG NPA : 0 L.P. NPA : 0 WEIGHTAGE : 0000 (YASD)
AVG EMOL : 0 SCALE : 15600-39100 NET QUAL SERVICE : 30025 (YASD)
CLASS : Superannuation Pension (Rule 63)

The Treasury Officer / The Pay and Accounts Officer,
SOLAPUR

Authority for revised Pension to SMT. VIJAYA SHANKARRAO SHIVSHARAN
P.P.O. No. (213)11070134101 (Revision on A/C of 50% OF LAST PAY dated)

In having a reference to P.P.O. No. (213)11070134101 I am to request you to arrange for the payment of revised pension at Rs.11225/- (Rs. ELEVEN THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED TWENTY FIVE ONLY) Plus relief thereon with effect from 01/09/2006 TO SMT VIJAYA SHANKARRAO SHIVSHARAN
quoting this letter as an authority.

2. The expenditure is debitable to Government of MAHARASHTRA under 8793 - Inter State Surcharge.

	Pension(Rs.)	Red. Pen.(Rs.)	Rev. Red. Pen.(Rs.)	Prov. Pension of Rs. 0/- From 11 to 11, 2006 be adjusted
Bombay Before 01.11.56	0	0	0	
Z.P. After 01.05.62	0	0	0	
Min After 01.05.60	11225	9160	0	
Bombay After 01.11.56	0	0	0	
Total	11225	9160	0	

3. In the event of the death of the pensioner, family pension at Rs.0/- p.m. may please be paid to,

SMT. VIJAYA SHANKARRAO SHIVSHARAN with effect from the date following the date of death of the pensioner for 7 years or upto 07/08/2013 or till her/his remarriage whichever is earlier and thereafter at Rs.0/- with effect from 04/08/2013 for her/his life or till remarriage which ever is earlier on receipt of death certificate and form of application from widow/widower. Relief will be payable at rates applicable from time to time.

4. Identification documents have already been sent to you while Forwarding the original P.P.O. mentioned above.

5. Pension already Paid at Rs. 9911/- plus relief may be adjusted.

6. G.P.O. No.

7. Her/his pension estimated 2065/- with effect from e.d.c. out of his original Pension of Rs.9911/- which has now been revised to Rs.11225/-

8. Please acknowledge the receipt and indicate that necessary modification have been made in both the halves of the P.P.O.

REMARK: PENSION BEING PAID W.E.F. 01/09/2006 TO DATE SHOULD BE ADJUSTED.

Copy Forwarded To

1. C/O ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A&E)-ILNAGPUR
OLD BLDG. OFF. RAVIBHAVAN,
POST BOX NO.114, GPO
NAGPUR, MAHARASHTRA
SSA NO.: 1721010282341/PR-8/212/1250008505/1/P/21/1360314937 DATED 20.NOV.07

2. VIJAYA SHANKARRAO SHIVSHARAN
BHEEMNAGAR
NEAR MAHATMA GANDHI VIDYALAYA
KARMALA, DIST-SOLAPUR.

For and on behalf of

Accountant General (A & E)
Mumbai

For and on behalf of
The Accountant General (A & E)
Solapur

जून जिल्हाधिकारी कार्यालय आवार सोलापूर

77001 07 10 10 02 462

[illegible][illegible]

संदर्भ: अणुले कडील पत्र क्र.PR-II R-1/OC-491/1521300853/24-25/776 दि.04/12/2024

[illegible]

रक्कमचे 5 हप्त्या पैकी 3 हप्ते माहे डिसेंबर 2022 च्या निवृत्तीवेतनाबरोबर अदा केले व पुढील 4 था हप्ता माहे जून 2023 च्या निवृत्तीवेतनावरोबर पुढील 5 वा हप्ता माहे जून 2024 च्या निवृत्तीवेतनाबरोबर अदा केला. परंतु दिनांक 01/01/2019 ते 31/10/2022 या कालावधीतील 6 वा व 7 वा वेतन आयोग फरक रक्कम रु.196112/- संबंधित निवृत्तीवेतनधारकास अदा केली नाही. तसेच श्रीमती शिवशरण यांचे मासिक निवृत्तीवेतनातून माहे डिसेंबर 2022 ते सप्टेंबर 2023 या कालावधीत दरमहा रु.12000/- प्रमाणे वसुली केली. परंतु मा.महालेखापाल मुंबई यांच्याकडील दि.18/09/2023 च्या पत्राप्रमाणे वसुली स्थगित करणेबाबत कळविल्याने माहे ऑक्टोबर 2023 पासून वसुली स्थगित केली आहे. त्यामुळे श्रीमती शिवशरण यांचे मासिक निवृत्तीवेतनातून रु.120000/- वसूल केले आहेत.

तसेच आपणास या पत्राद्वारे कळविण्यात येते की, श्रीमती शिवशरण यांचे रु.9911/- चे सुधारित निवृत्तीवेतन आदेशामध्ये वेतन आयोग 5 वा नमुद असल्याने त्यांना रु.9911/- वर 6 व्या वेतन आयोग ऐवजी 5 व्या वेतन आयोगानुसार पन्नागाई भत्ता अदा झाल्याने दि.01/09/2006 ते 31/10/2022 या कालावधीत रु.1398000/- चे अतिप्रदान झाले. सदर अतिप्रदान रक्कमेतून रु.120000/- वसूल झाले असून उर्वरित अतिप्रदान रक्कम 1278052/- पैकी रु.196112/- फरक देय असल्याने निवृत्ती अतिप्रदान रक्कम रु.1081940/- वसुली होणे अद्याप प्रलंबित आहे.

सोबत दि.01/09/2006 ते 31/10/2022 या कालावधीतील अतिप्रदान रक्कमेचे देय व अदा विवरणपत्र व दिनांक 01/01/2019 ते 31/10/2022 या कालावधीतील 6 वा व 7 वा वेतन आयोग फरक रक्कम रु.196112/- चे विवरणपत्र जोडले आहे.

टिप:- अतिप्रदान रक्कम रु.1800000/-बाबत या कार्यालयाकडून संबंधित निवृत्तीवेतनधारकास अथवा इतर कोणासही कळविले नाही. त्यामुळे अतिप्रदान रक्कम रु.1800000/- बाबत हे कार्यालय अनभिज्ञ आहे.

अप्पर कोषागार अधिकारी
(निवृत्तीवेतन शाखा)

जिल्हा कोषागार कार्यालय, सोलापूर

प्रत:- 1. मा.महालेखापाल मुंबई यांना माहितीस्तव

2. मा.संचालक लेखा व कोषागार मुंबई यांना माहितीस्तव

3. मा.सहसंचालक लेखा व कोषागार पुणे विभाग पुणे यांना माहितीस्तव



4. मा.मुख्य कार्यकारी अधिकारी जि.प. धाराशिव(उस्मानाबाद) यांना माहितीस्तव.

5. मा.कोषागार अधिकारी जिल्हा कोषागार कार्यालय सोलापूर यांना माहितीस्तव.

6. संबंधित निवृत्तीवेतनधारक बिजया शंकरराव शिवशरण भिमनगर महात्मा गांधी विद्यालय जवळ करमाळा जि.सोलापूर यांना माहितीस्तव.

अप्पर कोषागार अधिकारी
(निवृत्तीवेतन शाखा)

जिल्हा कोषागार कार्यालय, सोलापूर



१ मे सौजन्य, सौमनस्य वरुण, नृ पतिरा वासिष्ठ, १०१, स्वामी स्वर्ग मंत्र, चन्द्रमण्डल.

संख्या - ३३०२१ दिनांक १५-१०-१९७२

பெரிய அளவுக்குள்ளேயே இருக்கிறார்கள். அந்த அளவுக்குள்ளேயே இருக்கிறார்கள். அந்த அளவுக்குள்ளேயே இருக்கிறார்கள்.

2nd Floor, Prakashrao Bhamburda, New Marine Lines, 101, Mahatma Karmaveer Road,
Chandrapur, Mumbai - 400 029 Tel No. (022) 22636630, Fax - 22088361

www.gutenberg.org/files/10000/10000-h/10000-h.htm

Date 11/02/2028

PA-1/CH-1/P/25/ORD/70084081/8007/269B

To
The District Treasury Officer
I/c District Treasury Office, Solapur
Old District Office Complex, Solapur

Subject :- Recovery from pensioner benefits in R/o Smt Vijaya Shankarrao Shivsharan

Sir,

Sir,
Kindly refer to the subject cited above; regarding the pension case of Smt Vijaya Shankarrao Shivsharan , PPO No 11070134101 and the due & drawn statement Dated 12/12/2024 furnished by you.

From the due drawn statement, it is seen that an amount of Rs 1081940/- is yet to be recovered from Smt Vijaya Shankarrao Shivsharan.

You are requested to recover the balance amount of Rs 1081940/- in suitable installments under intimation to this office and Smt Vijaya Shankarrao Shivsharan.

Yours faithfully,

Sr.Accounts Officer/PA-1

Date _____

PA-1/CH-1/P/25/ORD/70084086/

Copy forwarded to

1)Sr. Accounts Officer/PR-11
O/O Principal Accountant General(A&E)-II,Nagpur, Maharashtra
Old Bldg. Opp. Ravi Bhavan,
Post Box NO.114, GPO
Civil Lines,
Nagpur
Maharashtra,440 001

2) Smt Vijaya Shankarrao Shivsharan
c/O Prasanjit Ratankumar Kamble
Bhimnagar, near Mahatma Gandhi School
Taluka Karmala, District Solapur 413001.

[Signature]
10/2/25
Sr. Accounts Officer/PA-1

