## **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 77 OF 2016**

[Anil Panditrao Ghodke Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 10.10. 2016.

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Kanade Angad L. – learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Absent**). Shri S.K. Shirse– learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none appeared for the applicant, S.O. to 21.11.2016.

10.10.2016- Kpb(SB)

M.A. No. 398/2016 in O.A. St. No. 1814/2016 [Ramesh Nivruti Shrimangale Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

**DATE** : 10.10. 2016.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. P.R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 21.11.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

# //2// MA 398/16 in OA ST. 1814/2016

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

8. S.O. to 21.11.2016.

10.10.2016- Kpb(SB)

O.A. St. No. 1654/2016 [Subhash P. Thorat Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J). DATE : 10.10. 2016. <u>ORAL ORDER:</u>

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal – learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh– learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 22.11.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

# //2// OA ST. 1654/2016

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

8. S.O. to 22.11.2016.

10.10.2016- Kpb(SB)

O.A. St. No. 1704/2016 [Sakharam S. Kude Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J). DATE : 10.10. 2016. ORAL ORDER:

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal – learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh– learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 22.11.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

# //2// OA ST. 1704/2016

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

8. S.O. to 22.11.2016.

10.10.2016- Kpb(SB)

M.A. St. No. 1655/2016 in O.A. St. No. 1656/2016 [Vishnu D. Bidwe & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J). DATE : 10.10. 2016. ORAL ORDER:

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal – learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh– learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. For the reason stated in the application and considering the fact that the relief claimed by all the applicants are similar in nature and hence, the M.A. for sue-jointly is allowed and the same stands disposed of.

10.10.2016- Kpb(SB)

O.A. St. No. 1656/2016 [Vishnu D. Bidwe & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J). DATE : 10.10. 2016. ORAL ORDER:

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal – learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh– learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 22.11.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

# //2// OA ST. 1656/2016

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

8. S.O. to 22.11.2016.

10.10.2016- Kpb(SB)

M.A. St. No. 1659/2016 in O.A. St. No. 1660/2016 [Bhimrao S. Gawande & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J). DATE : 10.10. 2016. ORAL ORDER:

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal – learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh– learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. For the reason stated in the application and considering the fact that the relief claimed by all the applicants are similar in nature and hence, the M.A. for sue-jointly is allowed and the same stands disposed of.

10.10.2016- Kpb(SB)

O.A. St. No. 1660/2016 [Bhimrao S. Gawande & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J). DATE : 10.10. 2016. ORAL ORDER:

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal – learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh– learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 22.11.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

# //2// OA ST. 1660/2016

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

8. S.O. to 22.11.2016.

10.10.2016- Kpb(SB)

M.A. St. No. 1787/2016 in O.A. St. No. 1788/2016 [Anil P. Salve Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 10.10. 2016.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Smt. S.A. Dhongde – learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Absent**). Smt. P.R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 21.11.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

# //2// MA 1787/16 in OA ST. 1788/2016

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

8. S.O. to 21.11.2016.

10.10.2016- Kpb(SB)

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 329 OF 2016**

[Zakeriya M. Khan Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 10.10. 2016.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri A.B. Rajkar – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 21.11.2016.

10.10.2016- Kpb(SB)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 633 OF 2014 [Dr. Balasaheb Deshmukh & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 10.10. 2016.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the Applicants, Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri K.G. Salunke – learned Advocate holding for Smt. Yogita Thorat – learned Advocate for respondent nos. 4 & 5.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos. 1 to 3. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 22.11.2016.

10.10.2016- Kpb(SB)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 155 OF 2016 [Dr. J.S. Veer & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 10.10. 2016.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the Applicants, Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 4. Shri N.S. Rodge – learned Advocate for respondent nos. 5 & 6 (**absent**).

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos. 1 to 4. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 22.11.2016.

10.10.2016- Kpb(SB)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 544 OF 2016 [Dr. Seema A. Kulkarni & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 10.10. 2016.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the Applicants, Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 4 and Shri S.S. Shinde – learned Advocate holding for Shri Vivek Bhavthankar – learned Advocate for respondent nos. 5.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos. 1 to 3. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 22.11.2016.

10.10.2016- Kpb(SB)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 545 OF 2016 [Dr. Satish R. Runwal & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 10.10. 2016.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the Applicants, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for respondents nos. 1 to 4 and Shri S.S. Shinde – learned Advocate holding for Shri Vivek Bhavthankar – learned Advocate for respondent nos. 5.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos. 1 to 3. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 22.11.2016.

10.10.2016- Kpb(SB)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 175 OF 2016 [Banaji B. Chilgar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 10.10. 2016.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri K.G. Salunke – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3. Shri S.V. Munde, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4, **absent**.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos. 2 & 3. It is taken on record and the copy thereof, has been served upon the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 27.10.2016.

10.10.2016- Kpb(SB)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 188 OF 2016 [Jyoti V. Rathod & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 10.10. 2016.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri A.U. Pawar/Mujahedul Haque – learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Absent**). Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri A.B. Rajkar – learned Advocate for respondent no. 4, are present. Shri S.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3, **absent**.

2. On last three occasions, also nobody appeared for the applicant. Hence, the matter be kept for dismissal on 15.11.2016.

10.10.2016- Kpb(SB)

## **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.265/2016**

(S.H.Rathod V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 10-10-2016

# ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files a short reply on behalf of respondent no.3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O.15-11-2016.

**MEMBER (J)** 

## **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.286/2016**

(S.K.Bhingardive & Ors. V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 10-10-2016

## ORAL ORDER:-

Shri N.V.Gaware learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 4. It is taken on record. He undertakes to serve copy of the reply on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant may file rejoinder, if any.

3. S.O.21-11-2016.

**MEMBER (J)** 

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.332/2016 WITH CAVEAT NO.38/2016

(M.B.Borse V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 10-10-2016

# ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri K.B.Jadhav learned Advocate holding for Shri H.U.Dhage learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondent authorities and Shri S.R.Dheple learned Advocate for respondent no.3.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O.25-10-2016.

**MEMBER (J)** 

### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.366/2016**

(Dr. S.H.Wange V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 10-10-2016

#### ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri S.D.Dhobale learned Advocate holding for Shri A.N.Gaddime learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali Dheshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri A.A.Shelke learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent no.4 as well as the learned P.O. seek time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O.26-10-2016.

**MEMBER (J)** 

# **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.387/2016**

(Dr. N.M.Mufti V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 10-10-2016

# ORAL ORDER:-

Smt. Vinaya Muley learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O.15-11-2016.

**MEMBER (J)** 

### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.421/2016**

(S.V.Kuillare V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 10-10-2016

#### ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri Sham Patil learned Advocate for respondent no.2.

2. Learned Advocate appearing for respondent no.2 seeks time to file reply. Learned P.O. also seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

4. S.O.18-10-2016.

MEMBER (J)

### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.460/2016**

(M.J.Khating V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 10-10-2016

#### ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri Swapnil Tawshikar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents. Shri Vinod Vibhute learned Advocate for respondent nos.5 and 6 is **absent**.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 4. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he will go through the reply and file rejoinder, if necessary.

4. S.O.25-10-2016.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.505/2016 (M.A.Suralkar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J) DATE : 10-10-2016 **ORAL ORDER**:-

Heard Shri N.K.Tungar learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos.1 to 3 and Shri K.N.Farooqui learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

 Learned Advocate Shri Farooqui files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.4. It is taken on record.
Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that till today provisional pension is not sanctioned to the applicant.

4. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

5. Learned P.O. is directed to take instruction in this regard.

6. S.O.15-11-2016.

**MEMBER (J)** 

## **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.549/2016**

(G.R.Chavan V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 10-10-2016

## ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri H.A.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.3 and 4. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder, if necessary. Time granted.

4. S.O.15-11-2016.

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.555/2016**

(M.B.Pawar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J) DATE : 10-10-2016 **ORAL ORDER**:-

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri G.N.Patil learned Advocate for respondent no.2.

2. Applicant has sought relief of regular pension. He has retired on superannuation on 31-08-2012. Pension was not granted to him. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that now during the pendency of the original application, applicant has received pension order in the month of September, 2016 and he has also got consequential benefits. However, these amounts are paid at belated stage without interest thereon.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that since the applicant has retired long back on 31-08-2012 and the pensionary benefits are paid in September, 2016, applicant is entitled to interest on the delayed payment. It is therefore

O.A.No.555/16

submitted that O.A. may be disposed of with liberty to the applicant to file representation for getting interest on delayed payment.

4. In view thereof, O.A. stands disposed of with liberty to the applicant to file representation claiming interest on the delayed payment, as may be admissible, as per rules. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)** 

YUK ORAL ORDER 10-10-2016

=2=

### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.574/2016**

(J.D.Siddhewar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 10-10-2016

## ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Respondent no.2 has already filed reply in the matter. Since the pleadings are complete, the O.A. is admitted.

3. Applicant may file rejoinder, if necessary.

4. S.O.11-11-2016 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)** 

# **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.610/2016**

(J.J.Aglave V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 10-10-2016

# ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O.22-11-2016.

**MEMBER (J)** 

### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.617/2016**

(Y.B.Mulla V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 10-10-2016

#### ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant has died during the pendency of the O.A., and therefore, O.A. be disposed of accordingly.

3. In view of above submission, O.A. stands disposed of as abated.

**MEMBER (J)** 

# MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD

# **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.642/2016**

(Dr. Sanjay Ghogre & Ors. V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 10-10-2016

# ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O.21-11-2016.

**MEMBER (J)** 

YUK ORAL ORDER 10-10-2016

# MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.666/2016**

(V.R.Thorat V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 10-10-2016

# ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O.21-11-2016.

**MEMBER (J)** 

YUK ORAL ORDER 10-10-2016

# MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD

#### M.A.No.239/2016 IN O.A.St.No.1171/2016

(Dr. S.B.Kendre V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri J.D.Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 10-10-2016

# ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri Pradeep Shahane learned Advocate for respondent no.2.

2. Learned P.O. as well as the learned Advocate for respondent no.2 seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O.18-11-2016.

**MEMBER (J)** 

YUK ORAL ORDER 10-10-2016

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 108 OF 2016**

[Vijay Naresh Pawar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J) [This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]

DATE : 10.10. 2016.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ashish B. Rajkar – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the Learned Advocate for the Applicant.

At the request of Learned Advocate for the Applicant,
S.O. to 17<sup>th</sup> November, 2016, for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (J)

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 176 OF 2016**

[Shruti Rajaram Damgir & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J) [This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]

DATE : 10.10. 2016.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri R.D. Khadap – learned Advocate for the Applicants (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents, present

2. At the request of Learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 17<sup>th</sup> November, 2016 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 177 OF 2016**

[Jayant Bhaskar Patil Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J) [This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]

DATE : 10.10. 2016.

#### ORAL ORDER:

Shri S.S. Thombre – learned Advocate for the Applicant (**absent**). Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present

2. At the request of Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 17<sup>th</sup> November, 2016 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 180 OF 2016**

[Sidheshwar Vajinath Patil & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J) [This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]

DATE : 10.10. 2016.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri R.D. Khadap – learned Advocate for the Applicants (**absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. At the request of Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 17<sup>th</sup> November, 2016 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 403 OF 2016**

[Prakash Arjun Doiphode Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J) [This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]

DATE : 10.10. 2016.

#### ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

At the request of Learned Advocate for the Applicant,
S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> November, 2016, for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (J)

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 510 OF 2016**

[Vijaykumar Haribhau Gade Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J) [This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]

DATE : 10.10. 2016.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri M.U. Shelke – learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate – learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.R. Dheple, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.S. Shaikh – learned Advocate for respondent No. 3

2. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of his behalf and the same has been taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> November, 2016.

**MEMBER (J)** 

# M.A.NO. 224/2016WITH M.A.NO. 225/2016 IN O.A.NO. 401/2016 WITH CAVEAT NO. 49/2016

[Jalamsing Davanji Valvi Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

#### CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J) [This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]

DATE : 10.10. 2016.

#### ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde – learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri C.D. Biradar – learned Advocate for intervenor.

2. There was a departmental enquiry against the applicant in which competent authority has passed an order, a copy of which is placed on record at p.b. page15, Annexure 'A-3'. The operative part of the said order reads as under: -

#### "आदेश

- (१) श्री. जे.डी. वळवी, नायब तहसिलदार (निवडणूक) तहसिल कार्यालय, जालना सध्या तहसिलदार जिंतूर जि. परभणी यांना महाराष्ट्र नागरी सेवा (शिस्त व अपील) नियम १९७९ चे नियम ५(१) (चार) अन्वये त्यांची ०१ जुलै २०१६ रोजी देय होणारी एक वेतनवाढ एक वर्षासाठी रोखून ठेवण्यात येत आहे.
- (२) आदेशाची नोंद संबंधीताचे मूळ सेवापूस्तीकेत घेण्यात यावी.
- (३) सर्व संबंधीताना कळवून प्रकरणाची मूळ संचीका अभिलेख कक्षाकडे वर्ग करण्यात यावी."

#### :: - 2 - ::

#### M.A.NO. 224/2016WITH M.A.NO. 225/2016 IN O.A.NO. 401/2016 WITH CAVEAT NO. 49/2016

3. Admittedly, no appeal against this order was filed. However, it seems that the intervenor Shri Bhaskar Madhavrao Kulkarni filed complaint against the action taken by the original applicant and on the basis of the said complaint, on 6.5.2016 (Annexure A-4 p.b. page-18 of the O.A.) Divisional Commissioner, Aurangabad, has reviewed the order passed by the Collector, and the operative part of the said review order reads as under: -

# "आदेश

- जिल्हाधिकारी जालना यांचे अपीलाधीन आदेशात बदल करण्यात येतो.
- २. श्री. जे.डी. वळवी, तत्कालीन नायब तहसीलदार, तहसील कार्यालय जालना सध्या तहसिलदार जिंतूर जि. परभणी यांना कनिष्ठ सेवेत (नायब तहसिलदार संवर्गात) दोन वर्षाकरीता पदावनत करण्याची शिक्षा बजावण्यात येते.
- सदर शिक्षेचा अंमल सुरू असतांना श्री. जे.डी. वळवी हे रजेवर गेल्यास त्यांचा रजेचा कालावधी वगळून शिक्षेचा कालावधी गणण्यात यावा.
- 8. सदर शिक्षेचा अंमल संपल्यानंतर व मूळ पदावर पुनस्थापित केल्यानंतर श्री. जे.डी. वळवी यांचे भावी काळातील वेतनवाढी पुढे ढकलल्या जाणार नाहीत."

4. According to the applicant, the Divisional Commissioner has no authority to pass review order. It seems from the review order that Divisional Commissioner, Aurangabad, has passed the order of enhancement of penalty on the applicant. In view thereof, the applicant has been demoted to the post of Naib Tahsildar for two years.

#### :: - 3 - ::

#### M.A.NO. 224/2016WITH M.A.NO. 225/2016 IN O.A.NO. 401/2016 WITH CAVEAT NO. 49/2016

5. According to the Learned Advocate for the applicant, this order is not yet served upon the applicant; whereas according to the Learned Presenting Officer, the order of reversion has been served on the applicant on 25.5.2016 itself.

6. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has invited my attention to one order relieving the applicant, which is Annexure 'P-1' p.b. page-30 of M.A.No. 224/2016, it is dated 19.5.2016. However, there is no acknowledgement receipt of this order placed on record. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant was already on leave. Learned Chief Presenting Officer is, therefore, directed to file acknowledgment receipt of relieving order received by the applicant.

7. Learned Advocate for the intervenor submits that the applicant has passed one order in the case of Niloba Mokida Bhutekar Vs. Vasant Nagorao Kulkarni & Others, on 24.5.2005 when he was not Tahsildar at that time and, therefore, the said order was passed without jurisdiction. However, it seems that the Vasant Nagorao Kulkarni has filed appeal against the said order and the appeal was allowed. On query, Learned Advocate for the intervenor submits that he will file copy of the order passed in appeal. It prima facie seems that the litigation between Shri Niloba Mokida Bhutekar and Vasant Nagorao Kulkarni & Others, is revenue and quasi-judicial matter and the order was passed by the applicant in his capacity as a Tahsildar. Shri Vasant Nagorao Kulkarni, has already filed appeal against the said order. It is to be, therefore, seen as to whether the Appellate Authority

# M.A.NO. 224/2016WITH M.A.NO. 225/2016 IN O.A.NO. 401/2016 WITH CAVEAT NO. 49/2016

has considered the fact that the applicant was having no authority to pass order as a Tahsildar, since he was not Tahsildar, but he was Naib Tahsildar. Only on the basis of such findings, the question of locus standi of the intervenor will have to be considered, otherwise it seems to be quasijudicial litigation and prima facie intervenor cannot be intervene in the departmental action against the original applicant. However, same issue will be considered after going through the order passed by the Appellate forum.

8. Hence, S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> October, 2016.

**MEMBER (J)** 

M.A.NO. 395/16 IN C.P.ST.1801/16 IN O.A. 536/11

[Digambar Balaji Jadhav Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J) [This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]

DATE : 10.10. 2016.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.S. Shelke, Learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D. Suryawanshi – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. In O.A. No. 536/2011, this Tribunal vide order dated 14.9.2015 directed the respondents to consider the applicant's claim for promotion confirming deemed date on which his juniors were promoted and to pass necessary orders within three months from the date of that order. It is stated that till today no order has been passed and, therefore, the said order is not completed with by the respondents.

3. In view thereof, issue notices to the respondents in M.A. No. 395/2016, which is filed by the applicant seeking permission to file contempt petition, returnable on 17<sup>th</sup> November, 2016.

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### O.A.NO. 781/2016 WITH M.A.NO. 319/2014

[Anandraj Harichandra Kuwar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

### CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J) [This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]

**DATE** : 10.10. 2016.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Divisional Bench is not available.

3. At the request and by consent of both the parties, this O.A. and M.A. have been taken up for disposal.

4. In the present Original Application the applicant has claimed the following reliefs: -

"B] By issue of an appropriate order or direction, the respondents may kindly be directed to consider the claim of the applicant for promotion from the reserved category of Scheduled Tribe to the post of Dy. Commissioner, Woman and Child Development with deemed date of November, 2004 with consequential benefits.

B-1] By issue of an appropriate order or direction, the respondent no. 1 may kindly be directed decide the proposals dated 19.4.2012 and 28.6.2012 respectively sent by the respondent no. 2 to the respondent no. 1 for promotion to the post of Dy. Commissioner, Woman and Child Development within stipulated period."

#### :: - 2 - ::

#### O.A.NO. 781/2016 WITH M.A.NO. 319/2014

5. A detailed order has been passed by this Tribunal on 17.06.2016 in M.A. No. 319/2014 In O.A.St. No. 1203/2014 (Now O.A. No. 781/2016), wherein it was observed in paragraph Nos. 4 to 6 as under: -

"4. Learned Advocate for the applicant has invited my attention to Annexure A-10, page 56 and proposal dated 22-03-2012 at page no.57 from which it seems that the proposal for promotion was sent to the appropriate authority for consideration. Finally vide proposal dated 19-04-2012, the Commissioner, Women and Child Development, Maharashtra State, Pune has sent another proposal to the Chief Secretary 19-04-2012 for promoting various on candidates from different reserved It is stated that the said categories. proposal is still pending.

5. From all the circumstances referred above, it is clear that the question of promotion of different candidates from different reserved categories including the ST category to which the applicant belongs, is under consideration before the competent authority.

6. Learned Advocate for the applicant, therefore, seeks permission to amend the O.A. for claiming direction for taking action on this proposal within a specific time limit. In view thereof, he seeks one week's time for the same. Time granted.

S.O.24-06-2016."

#### :: - 3 - ::

## O.A.NO. 781/2016 WITH M.A.NO. 319/2014

6. Thereafter, Learned Presenting Officer sought adjournment after adjournment for taking instructions as to what happened with the proposal as mentioned in the order dated 17.6.2016. However, no instructions have been received by Learned Presenting Officer. Learned Presenting Officer submits that today also she is instructed to take adjournment, but no instructions have been given as to what is the status of the proposal and whether the said proposal has been disposed of or not?

7. It is admitted by both the parties that the present Original Application can be disposed of, if directions are issued to the respondent No. 1 to take decision on the proposals submitted to it on 19.4.2012 and 28.6.2012 by respondent No. 2. In view thereof, I pass the following order: -

# <u>O R D E R</u>

(i) The M.A. No. 319/2014 for condonation of delay is allowed.

(ii) The present Original Application is disposed of with the directions to respondent No. 1 to take decision on the proposals dated 19.4.2012 and 28.6.2012 send by respondent No. 2 to it, as regards promotion of the applicant to the post of Deputy Commissioner, Woman and Child Development.

iii) The necessary decision as per rules and regulation and considering the circumstances of the case shall be taken within a

# :: - 4 - ::

# O.A.NO. 781/2016 WITH M.A.NO. 319/2014

period of three months from the date of this order and to communicate the same to the applicant in writing by R.P.A.D.

(iv) There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)** 

### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 777 OF 2016**

[Annasaheb Balu Gaikwad Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 10.10. 2016.

#### ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. On instructions, Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks permission of this Tribunal to withdraw the present Original Application.

3. Permission granted. Withdrawal is allowed. Accordingly, the present Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

#### MEMBER (J)

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 207 OF 2015**

[Balika Dinkarrao Tawshikar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 10.10. 2016.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Swapnil Tawshikar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Anant Devkate – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O.
to 25<sup>th</sup> October, 2016 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)** 

# M.A.NO. 396/2016 IN O.A.NO. 691/2016

[Pravin Vasant Gaikwad Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE : 10.10. 2016.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.A. Dhakne – learned Advocate for the Applicant (**absent**). Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> November, 2016.

MEMBER (J)

#### M.A.NO. 359/2016 IN O.A.NO. 647/2016

[Maharashtra Rajya Nagar Parishad Karmachari Sanghatana, Br. Beed Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J) DATE : 10.10. 2016.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri M.S. Indani – learned Advocate for the Miscellaneous Applicant/Intervenor, Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 5 and Shri S.P. Urgunde – learned Advocate for respondent No. 6/ Original Applicant.

2. Learned Advocate for the Original Applicant submits that he is not in a possession of copy of Miscellaneous Application. Learned Advocate for the intervenor has supplied the copy thereof to the original applicant.

3. Learned Advocate for the intervenor submits that the applicant has been protected by this Tribunal on the point of interim relief and applicant has not yet been relieved and intervenor wants to object for that.

## :: - 2- ::

## M.A.NO. 359/2016 IN O.A.NO. 647/2016

4. In view thereof, Learned Advocate for the Original Applicant is directed to file his reply within one week and on that date the issue regarding intervention also be considered.

5. Learned Chief Presenting Officer also seeks time to file affidavit in reply in the O.A. Time granted.

6. S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> October, 2016.

**MEMBER (J)**