

O.A. 155/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri S.M. Khan, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. P.O. files reply on behalf of the respondents. It is taken on record. Copy is served to the Id. counsel for the applicant.

The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

The Id. P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

S.O. 11/10/2021.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 552/2020 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri G. Segar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. P.O. files reply on behalf of R-2. It is taken on record. Copy is served to the Id. counsel for the applicant.

The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

The Id. P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

S.O. four weeks.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 449/2021 (S.B.)

(Gulab A. Rathod Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for R-1&2 and Ms. Aarti Singh, Id .counsel for R-3.

2. The applicant was suspended vide order dated 4/6/2018 (A-1,P-14) when he was working in Zilla Parishad as Agriculture Officer. The similarly placed employees were reinstated by the respondent no.3, but the applicant was not reinstated and therefore the applicant preferred the appeal before the Commissioner, Amravati who passed order in appeal on 12/4/2019 (A-5,P-31 to 34). The relevant order is on page no.34 where it is directed that the respondent no.3 to consider along with other employees about reinstatement of applicant , but the respondent no.3 did not act on the same.

3. After hearing pleadings of both the sides, various Judgments of Hon'ble Apex Court and Hon'ble High Court and Government of Maharashtra G.Rs. were also considered. In view of this following Judgments of Hon'ble Apex Court are reproduced below –

(i) The Apex Court in Civil Appeal No. 1912 of 2015 (arising out of SLP No.31761 of 2013) in the case of Ajay Kumar Chaudhary Vs. Union of India through its Secretary and another in its Judgment dated 16/02/2015 in para no. 14, it has observed that :-

14 We, therefore, direct that the currency of a Suspension Order should not extend beyond three months if within this period the Memorandum of Charges/Chargesheet is not served on the delinquent officer/employee; if the Memorandum of Charges/Chargesheet is served a reasoned order must be passed for the extension of the suspension. As in the case in hand, the Government is free to transfer the concerned person to any Department in any of its offices within or outside the State so as to sever any local or personal contact that he may have and which he may misuse for obstructing the investigation against him. The Government may also prohibit him from contacting any person, or handling records and documents till the stage of his having to prepare his defence. We think this will adequately safeguard the universally recognized principle of human dignity and the right to a speedy trial and shall also preserve the interest of the Government in the prosecution. We recognize that previous Constitution Benches have been reluctant to quash proceedings on the grounds of delay, and to set time limits to their duration. However, the imposition of a limit on the period of suspension has not been discussed in prior case law, and would not be contrary to the interests of justice. Furthermore, the direction of the Central Vigilance Commission that pending a criminal investigation departmental proceedings are to be held in abeyance stands superseded in view of the stand adopted by us.

(ii) The Hon'ble Apex Court in its Judgment in Civil Appeal No. 8427-8428 of 2018 (Arising out of S.L.P. (Civil) No. 12112-12113 of 2017) in the case of **State of Tamil Nadu Vs. Pramod Kumar IPS and Anr. delivered on 21/08/2018** in its para no. 24 had observed as follows:-

*24. This Court in **Ajay Kumar Choudhary v. Union of India, (2015) 7 SCC 291** has frowned upon the practice of protracted suspension and held that suspension must necessarily be for a short duration. On the basis of the material on record, we are convinced that no useful purpose would be served by continuing the first Respondent under suspension any longer and that his reinstatement would not be a threat to a fair trial. We reiterate the observation of the High Court that the Appellant State has the liberty to appoint the first Respondent in a non sensitive post.*

(iii) The Principal Bench of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal Mumbai Bench in O.A. No. 35/2018 Judgment delivered on 11/09/2018 has also rejected continuation of suspension beyond 90 days.

(iv) The Government of Maharashtra has issued G.R. dated 09/07/2019. The Id. Counsel for the applicant has relied on para no. (ii) of the said G.R. on Pg. No. 35.

(v) The Hon'ble High Court of Bombay, Bench at Nagpur in W.P. No. 7506/2018, Judgment delivered on 17.07.2019 was also on same principle. It has observed in para no. 2 that **facts of this case are squarely covered by Government Resolution G.A.D. dated 09/07/2019.**

1 (ii) fuyfcr 'kkI dh; I oclh; kT; k i dj.h 3 efgU; kpk dkylo/hr foHkxh; plkl' h l q d: u nkskjki i = ctlo.; kr vkysulgh] v'k i dj.h ek I oclh; k; ky; kpsvlnsk i grk] fuyau I ekr dj.; k'kok; vU; i ; k; jgr ulgh R; keGsfuyfcr 'kkI dh; I oclh; kcr foHkxh; plkl' kph dk; bkgh I q d: u nkskjki i = ctlo.; kph dk; bk; hfuyauki kl u 90 fnol h; k vkr dKvclj i .ksdsh t kbjy ; kph n{krk@ [kcj nkjh ?ks; kr ; koh-

4. This O.A. is squarely covered by Government of Maharashtra G.A.D. 'kkI u fu.kz dz 118@i zdz11@11v] fnukd 09-07-2019.

6. The respondents have not followed settled legal citations, as discussed above and G.R. 'kkI u fu.kz dz 118@i zdz11@11v] fnukd 09-07-2019.

3. The applicant's matter taken into the Department and his name appears at Sr.No.122 (P-21) and on column no.13 it is written "D.E. is pending" . Since no action has been taken by the respondent no.3 even after direction of the Commissioner, Amravati and as per order of this Tribunal dated 21/6/2021, the suspension order dated 4/6/2018 (A-1,P-14) issued by the respondent no.3 in respect of applicant does not sustain in the eyes of law and in the interest of justice, the following order -

ORDER

(i) The suspension order of applicant dated 4/6/2018 (A-1,P-14) is revoked with immediate effect. He should be posted by the competent authority as per observations made in para-24 above by the Hon'ble Apex Court in case of **State of Tamil Nadu Vs. Pramod Kumar IPS and Anr. delivered on 21/08/2018** within six weeks from the date of this order.

(ii) The order be complied within 45 days from the date of receipt of this order.

(iii) The O.A. stands disposed off.

(iii) No order as to costs.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 539/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri V.A.Kothale, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. It appears that the recovery order has been issued against the applicant by the Director, GVISH, Amravati (R/3) vide order dated 2/7/2021 (A-2,P-14) in view of that the applicant has been paid excess amount to the tune of Rs. 7,86,637/-. The respondent no.3 is directed to get verification of applicant's pay done by the concerned Pay Verification Unit and after fixation by the Pay Verification Unit, if there is excess payment, then to take suitable action.

2. The learned counsel submits that for last two months the applicant's pay has been stopped. It is total injustice to the applicant. The respondent no.3 is directed that at least basic pay of the applicant of his post should be paid and continue till final decision of recovery part.

3. The Id. P.O. desires to file reply. At his request, **S.O. four weeks** for filing reply.

Steno copy is granted...

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 551/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Id. counsel
for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id.
P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O.**
11/10/2021 for filing reply.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 618/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri R.V. Shiralkar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. P.O. files reply on behalf of R-2. It is taken on record. Copy is served to the Id. counsel for the applicant.

The Id. counsel files rejoinder. It is taken on record. Copy is served to the other side.

The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

The Id. P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

S.O. 4/10/2021.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 675/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri A.P. Sadavarte, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. counsel has already filed reply. The learned counsel desires two weeks time to file rejoinder. He is permitted to do so.

The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

The Id. P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

S.O. 11/10/2021.

Interim relief to continue till then .

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 676/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri A.P. Sadavarte, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. counsel has already filed reply. The learned counsel desires two weeks time to file rejoinder. He is permitted to do so.

The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

The Id. P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

S.O. 11/10/2021.

Interim relief to continue till then .

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 662/2021 (S.B.)

(D.S. Waware Vs. State of Mah. & ors.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri G.K. Bhusari, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

It appears that in order dated 8/9/2021 in para-4 the respondents were directed to consider the applicant's choice at page no.53 at any vacant post. The learned P.O. wants to take instructions in this regard and for that purpose he seeks further time. At his request, **S.O. one week.**

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 682/2021 (S.B.)

(R.O. Patil Vs. State of Mah. & ors.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. P.O. has already filed reply of R-1&2. It is taken on record. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

In the meantime, the Id. counsel is at liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

The Id .P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

S.O. 11/10/2021.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 799/2021 (S.B.)

(S.B. Asode Vs. State of Mah. & ors.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri H.D. Gedam, Id .counsel holding for Shri S.O. Ahmed, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. Issue notice to the respondents returnable **after four weeks.** Learned P.O. waives notice for State. Hamdast allowed.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and

acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

S.O. after four weeks.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 836/2021 (S.B.)

(K.C. Chavan Vs. State of Mah. & ors.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri A.P. Tathod, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. Issue notice to the respondents returnable **after four weeks.** Learned P.O. waives notice for State. Hamdast allowed.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced

along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

S.O. after four weeks.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 849/2021 (S.B.)

(K.S. Gade Vs. State of Mah. & ors.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri A.P. Sadavarte, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. The learned counsel submits that he will remove office objections within one week. Admittedly, the applicant was due for transfer. The applicant has been transferred from Yavatmal to Wardha. It is submitted that the applicant has been relieved, but he has not joined at Wardha. The applicant has submitted representation dated 9/8/2021 (A-7,P-51). In this situation, the respondents are at liberty to decide the applicant's representation dated 9/8/2021 (A-7,P-51) in the light of G.R. dated 9/4/2018 (A-3,P-24 to 45).

3. Issue notice to the respondents returnable **after four weeks.** Learned P.O. waives notice for State. Hamdast allowed.

4 Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date

of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

S.O. after four weeks.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 855/2021 (S.B.)

(Dr. Pradhnya B. Ganvir Vs. State of Mah. & ors.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri N.D. Thombre, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. The applicant has been transferred vide order dated 9/8/2021 (A-2,P-27 to 50) from Primary Health Centre, Borkhedi, Dist. Nagpur to Joint Director of Health Services (Leprosy) office, Dhule. The applicant's name appears at Sr.No.373 on page no.46. It is submitted that while transferring the applicant as per provision of Clause-2 of Govt. GAD G.R. dated 29/7/2021 (A-6,P-67) has not been followed. The Id .counsel has filed list of Medical Officers, Group-A working under the respondent no.3 in the Nagpur region at Annex-A-3,P-51to 60 in which the applicant's name appears at Sr.71 on page no.59. The learned counsel submits that as per provision of Clause-2 of Govt. GAD G.R. dated 29/7/2021 (A-6,P-67) the employees who were working for longer period, they will be considered for transfer in 25% quota, however, the applicant was not considered. The applicant has submitted representation dated 27/2/2021 (A-4,P-61 to 64) given three choices which were also not considered As per Clause-M on page no.15 of the O.A. it is mentioned as below –

“(M) That the applicant submits nobody is posted in her place, nobody joined till date and the post is still vacant. So also, there are two other posts of Medical Officers in Nagpur District at Primary Health Centre, Salaigodhani and Patansavangi are still vacant. Hence, if interim relief in terms of stay to the impugned transfer of the applicant is granted, no prejudice is going to be caused to anybody.”

3. The learned counsel submits that the applicant has been already relieved, but due to medical ground of applicant's mother-in-law and husband-wife to be posted at one place as far as possible. The applicant has not joined at his place till now. The learned counsel has filed today applicant's representation dated 15/8/2021. It is taken on record and marked Exh-X. The learned counsel further submits that though the applicant has been relieved, but nobody has been posted against the

place of applicant. In this situation, considering the Clause-2 of Govt. GAD G.R. dated 29/7/2021 (A-6,P-67) and representation of the applicant, **the respondents are directed to consider the applicant's representation dated 15/8/2021 for re-joining on the same post before filing of reply.**

4. Issue notice to the respondents returnable **after four weeks.** Learned P.O. waives notice for State. Hamdast allowed.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

S.O. after four weeks.

Steno copy is granted...

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 856/2021 (S.B.)

(P.N. Wange Vs. State of Mah. & ors.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Mrs. B.P. Maldhure, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. The Id. counsel has relied on G.R. dated 9/7/2021(A-9,P-35), but after that two G.Rs. have been issued regarding 15% of transfers and other regarding 25% of transfers. The learned counsel is directed to file those G.Rs. on record for deciding the O.A. It appears from the record that the applicant has completed six years at the present post and then transfer order dated 9/8/2021 (A-1,P-20) has been issued. The learned counsel submits that the applicant has not been relieved, but it is administrative matter. Hence, issue notice to the respondents returnable **after four weeks.** Learned P.O. waives notice for State. Hamdast allowed.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date

of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

S.O. after four weeks.

Steno copy is granted...

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 857/2021 (S.B.)

(M.D. Wankhede Vs. State of Mah. & ors.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri C.A. Babrekar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. The learned counsel submitted that the applicant has made representation dated 21/4/2020 (P-21) for transfer. He has completed more than three years at the present post.

3. The respondents are directed to consider the applicant's representation 21/4/2020 (P-21).

4. In the meantime, issue notice to the respondents returnable **after six weeks.** Learned P.O. waives notice for State. Hamdast allowed.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

S.O. after six weeks.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 821/2021 (S.B.)

(J.K. Gajbhe Vs. State of Mah. & ors.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri V.R. Borkar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkhar, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. The applicant retired on superannuation on 30/6/2013 as per synopsis on page no.1. The recovery order has been issued on 13/7/2021 (A-1,P-12) by the Additional Treasury Officer, Treasury Office, Chandrapur to the tune of Rs. 2,74,611/-. It appears that the recovery order has been issued without giving any opportunity of hearing to the applicant. Hence, **the recovery order dated 13/7/2021 (A-1,P-12) is stayed till filing of reply.**

3. Issue notice to the respondents returnable **after four weeks.** Learned P.O. waives notice for State. Hamdast allowed.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is

put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

S.O. after four weeks.

Steno copy is granted...

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 839/2021 (S.B.)

(M.B. Atram Vs. State of Mah. & ors.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri P.V. Thakre, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. The applicant stood retired on superannuation on 21/7/2007 as per order dated 31/7/2007 (A-1,P-11). The applicant was granted pensionary benefits taking into consideration that the applicant was working in the naxalite area and he was getting one step above pay scale. Subsequently, the proposal was submitted to A.G. pointing out this fact and the A.G. has revised the pension as per A-4,P-17&18 and in pursuance to that recovery order dated 24/2/2021 (A-5,P-20) is issued by the Treasury Officer, Wardha (R/5). As submitted by the Id. counsel, the recovery of Rs.9,000 p.m. from May,2021 to August,2021 has been already made. In this situation, the respondents are directed not to recover further from the applicant till filing of reply.

3. Issue notice to the respondents returnable **after four weeks.** Learned P.O. waives notice for State. Hamdast allowed.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

S.O. after four weeks.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 852/2021 (S.B.)

(S.G. Parkhedkar Vs. State of Mah. & ors.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri V.A. Alone, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. The applicant was placed under suspension vide order dated 2/7/2021 (A-7,P-36&37). In the meantime, issue notice to the respondents returnable **after four weeks.** Learned P.O. waives notice for State. Hamdast allowed.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

S.O. after four weeks.

Steno copy is granted...

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 835/2021 (S.B.)

(G.Y. Kharbikar Vs. State of Mah. & ors.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

None for the applicant. Heard Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. Issue notice to the respondents returnable **after six weeks.** Learned P.O. waives notice for State. Hamdast allowed.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry

within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

S.O. after six weeks.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 982/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri P.D. Meghe, Id. counsel for
the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkhar, Id. P.O.
for the respondents.

S.O. Next week.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 145/2019 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri M.R. Khan, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

With the consent of Id. counsel for both the parties, **S.O. 18/10/2021.**

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

(S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

**O.A.Nos. 545,546,547,548,549,550,551,
578,579 of 2020**

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Id. counsel
for the applicants and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O.
and other Id. P.Os. for the respondents.

S.O. Next week.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 577/2017 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri D.M. Surjuse, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for R-1&2 and Shri V.G. Palshikar, Id. counsel for R-3.

With the consent of Id. counsel for both the parties, **S.O. 04/10/2021.**

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 977/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri P.P. Khaparde, Id. counsel
for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id.
P.O. for the respondents.

With the consent of Id. counsel for both
the parties, **S.O. 13/10/2021.**

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 581/19 with C.A. 150/21 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri N.W. Almelkar, Id. counsel
for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O.
for the respondents.

Next week.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 208/2020 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri V.B. Bhise, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

With the consent of Id. counsel for both the parties, **S.O. two weeks (PH).**

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 214/2020 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri I.G. Meshram, Id. counsel
for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O.
for the respondents.

**4/10/2021 (high on board at HP
Sr.No.1)**

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 720/20 with C.A. 321/20 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 27/09/2021.

Heard Shri M.M. Sudame, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for respondent nos.1&2. There is a leave note from Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id. counsel for R-3.

With the consent of Id. counsel for both the parties, **S.O. 18/10/2021.**

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

*

O.A.No.93/2020 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 27/09/ 2021.

Heard Shri C.V.Jagdale, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. P.O. desires time to file reply. Since one and half year was passed. If reply is not file till next date; the matter be heard on merit. **S.O. two weeks to file reply as a last chance.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-27/09/2021.
aps.

O.A.No.706/2020 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 27/09/ 2021.

Heard Shri S.M.Khan, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P.Potnis, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The O.A. was filed on 02.11.2020; however respondents have not filed reply till now. The Id. counsel for the applicant has filed pursis dated 06.09.2021 and today he submits that the applicant was not given promotion because Post Graduate qualification of Doctor of Medicine was not attached with his service book or not available with respondent no. 3. In the pursis, the Id. counsel for the applicant submitted in para no. 4 which is reproduced below:-

"4. That the R-4, Deputy Director, Medical Health, Akola Region, Akola vide his recommendation letter no. – 45177-79/19 dated 27/12/2019 has already conveyed to the Commissioner, Medical Health, Mumbai that the post Graduation qualification of Doctor of Medicine (MD) has already incorporated in service book pertain to applicant and recommended for giving promotion. However, no action has been taken in this respect till date.

A copy of recommendation letter of the Deputy Director, Medical Health, Akola

Region, Akola No.-45177-79/19 dated 27/12/2019 is annexed with this pursis as Annexure No. P-2."

3. As per the documents at Annexure-P-2, it appears from the para no. 2 that applicant has obtained M.D. Degree on 18.06.2015.

4. In view of this situation, **Respondent no. 3 is directed to take into account M.D. Degree of the applicant for further promotion and examine the letter dated 27.12.2019 by respondent no. 2 and take the decision about promotion of the applicant within thirty days from the date of receipt of this order.**

5. With this direction, **O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.**

6. **Steno copy is granted.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-27/09/2021.
aps.

O.A.No.715/2020 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 27/09/ 2021.

Heard Shri R.Waghmare, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, the Id. P.O. for the State.

2. As requested by Id. counsel for the applicant, Issue fresh notice to Respondent nos. 2 to 4, returnable on four weeks. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. **S.O. four weeks.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-27/09/2021.

aps.

O.A.No.876/2020 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 27/09/ 2021.

Heard Ms. A.Singh holding for Shri S.P.Meghe, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. three weeks to file reply.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-27/09/2021.

aps.

O.A.No.46/2021 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 27/09/2021.

C.A.No.280/2021:-

Heard Shri P.S.Wathore, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. As requested in C.A. No. 280/2021 para no. 4; Interim Order granted on 05.02.2021 will be continued till filing of the reply. Hence, **C.A. No. 280/2021 is allowed and disposed of.**

3. However Interim order passed on dated 05.02.2021 in para no. 3 which is reproduced below:-

"In view of observation in order dated 18.01.2021 three weeks time is granted. However, the respondents are directed to maintain status-quo till filing of the reply by the respondents."

4. The above order will be continued till further orders.

5. **S.O. as and when D.B. will come.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-27/09/2021.
aps.

O.A.No.412/2021 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 27/09/ 2021.

Heard Shri S.R.Charpe, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. four weeks to file reply as a last chance.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-27/09/2021.

aps.

O.A.No.458/2021 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 27/09/2021.

C.A.No.216/2021:-

Heard Shri R.M.Fating, the Id. Counsel for the applicant, Shri M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the State and Shri D.M.Surjuse holding for Shri P.B.Patil, the Id. counsel for the respondent no. 2.

2. The Id. counsel for the respondent no. 2 submits that he has not received the copy of C.A. No. 216/2021. The Id. counsel for the applicant is directed to supply the same to the otherside.

3 At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. after four weeks to file reply.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-27/09/2021.
aps.

O.A.St.No.2387/2019 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 27/09/ 2021.

C.A.Nos.501,502,503/2019 & 290/2021:-

Heard Shri A.P.Thakare, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for the State. Await service of respondent nos. 2 to 4.

2. As per the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **C.A. No. 290/2021 is allowed and disposed of.** The Id. counsel for the applicant is permitted to correct the address of Respondent Nos. 2 & 3 as per prayer in C.A. No. 290/2021. The Id. P.O. further submits that he is going to file reply of respondent no. 1.

3. Issue fresh notice to Respondent nos. 2 & 3, returnable on four weeks. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal

(Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. **S.O. four weeks.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-27/09/2021.

aps.

O.A.St.No.29/2021 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 27/09/ 2021.

C.A.No.11/2021:-

Heard Shri V.B.Bhise, the Id. Counsel for the applicant, Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the Id. P.O. for the State and Shri R.V.Shiralkar, the Id. counsel for the respondent nos. 2 & 3.

2. The Id. counsel for the respondent nos. 2 & 3 submits that his reply is ready. However, office has taken some objections regarding paper quality and etc; so he is now prepared the fresh reply. He is permitted to file it on record. He is further directed to supply the copy to the other side.

3. The Id. counsel for the applicant has filed C.A. No. 11/2021 for condonation of delay which was examined and only reason given is repeated representation by applicant. It is settled principle that repeated representations cannot be cause for condonation of delay. However, condonation of delay was allowed. The Id. counsel for the applicant submits that the order passed by respondents is not reasonable. Accordingly, the Id. counsel for the applicant further submits that charges are minor in nature. However, it is made clear that it is not for the counsel to decide that charges are minor or major; it is upto the Enquiry Officer /Disciplinary Authority to decide that the charges levelled against the applicant are minor or major.

4. It is seen from the record that regular D.E. has been conducted and there were five charges against the applicant. D.E. was ordered vide order dated 07.07.2012 (A-1, Pg. No. 14) and on Pg. No. 16; five charges were levelled against the applicant. The Departmental Enquiry Officer made the enquiry and submitted report on 07.02.2013 (A-5, Pg. No. 30) and his final conclusion is on Pg. No. 40; where he has mentioned that charge nos. 2, 3 & 5 are proved fully and

charge no. 4 is not proved and about charge no. 1 no decision is taken since that matter was in court.

5. After receipt of the enquiry report, the applicant was served show cause notice as per order dated 25.02.2013 (A-6, Pg. No.41) by respondents and explanation given by the applicant was received as per dated 21.03.2013 (A-7, Pg. Nos. 42 to 47). After receipt of reply, the respondents passed the order dated 18.06.2013 (A-8, Pg. No. 48).

6. With all the records; it appears that right from the beginning to the end of the order, applicant was given chances to defend himself and all the procedures of D.E. have been followed. Applicant further made appeal against the order dated 18.06.2013 (A-8, Pg. No. 48) by which he was compulsorily retired vide his letter dated 13.03.2017 (A-9, Pg. Nos. 50 to 54).

7. It appears that though the order was passed in D.E. on 18.06.2013 it is almost after four years appeal was filed. But even then appeal was considered and applicant was given chance of personal hearing on 30.06.2017 at 11:00 a.m. (A-10, Pg. No. 55). He was given hearing as part of natural justice and then detail order was passed on 17.07.2017 (A-11, Pg. Nos. 56 to 60); By this order appeal was rejected.

8. Since order was passed on 18.06.2013 (A-8, Pg. No. 48) and applicant preferred appeal on 13.03.2017 (A-9, Pg. No. 50) i.e. almost after four years even then applicant didn't preferred appeal within a reasonable time, but his appeal was considered by respondents and again he was given chance to be heard and then appeal was rejected on 17.07.2017 (A-11, Pg. Nos. 56 to 60).

9. In this situation, the D.E. has been conducted in full fairness and applicant has been given full chance to defend himself. All principle of justice have been followed. In this situation, this bench does not find any reason to interfere with the order passed by the respondents. Hence, **O.A. is dismissed with no order as to costs.**

Date:-27/09/2021.
aps.

Vice Chairman

O.A.No.935/2020 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 27/09/ 2021.

Heard Shri S.N.Gaikwad, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for the respondents. Await service of respondent nos. 2 to 5.

2. During discussion as pointed out by Id. P.O. vide Annexure-A-7, Pg. No. 74; applicant has submitted representation to Government and a chart is given on Pg. No. 75; there are two chart; in first chart he has shown years and whether he passed examination or he didn't appear and how he has passed the examination in four years and in three chances. This kind of chart should be filed by the Id. counsel for the applicant from 2008 to 2012 or when they passed the examination. After examining the chart; this issue will be cleared that whether applicant is entitled to get the benefits of passing the examination as per rule published on 08.08.2001 (A-3, Pg. No. 29 to 33) which governs the promotion of J.E as per clause 13 on Pg. No. 33.

3. **S.O. 29.09.2021.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-27/09/2021.
aps.

O.A.No.940/2020 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 27/09/ 2021.

Heard Shri J.M.Shamkuwar, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. P.O. has filed reply of respondent nos. 1 to 5. It is taken on record. Copy is served to the other side.

3. The Id. counsel for the applicant submits that he is not pressing for Interim Order. In view of this matter is **admitted** and kept for final hearing.

4. The Id. P.O. waives notices for the respondents.

5. **S.O. in due course.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-27/09/2021.

aps.

O.A.No.746/2021 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 27/09/ 2021.

Heard Shri S.R.Charpe, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the Id. P.O. for the State.

2. Issue notice to Respondents, returnable on after four weeks. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. **S.O. after four weeks.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-27/09/2021.

aps.

O.A.No.806/2021 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 27/09/ 2021.

None for the applicant. Shri A.P.Potnis, the Id. P.O. for the State.

2. Issue notice to Respondents, returnable on six weeks. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. **S.O. six weeks.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-27/09/2021.

aps.

C.P.No.41/2021inO.A.No.795/2018 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 27/09/ 2021.

Heard Shri P.P.Deshmukh, the applicant in person. Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the State.

2. The Id. P.O. has pointed out that as per this Tribunal's order dated 20.07.2020; respondent no. 2 is directed to decide the representation within sixty days. As documents placed by Id. P.O.; correspondence dated 16.09.2021; it appears that applicant was heard by respondent no. 2. As per letter dated 28.10.2020 filed by Id. P.O. along with letter dated 16.09.2021; it is mentioned in the last line of the order at Pg. No. 7 that applicant's representation dated 13.01.2020 and 14.06.2018 was decided on 28.10.2020 only.

3. Applicant didn't bring this facts on record and filed C.P.. Since order has been complied; C.P. does not survive. **Hence, C.P. stands dismissed with no order as to costs.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-27/09/2021.
aps.

C.P.Nos.09&10/21inO.A.Nos.485&530/18(D.B.

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 27/09/ 2021.

Heard Shri S.M.Khan, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, the Id. P.O. for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 04.10.2021.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-27/09/2021.
aps.

C.P.No.10/2021inO.A.No.530/2018 (D.B.)

**Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 27/09/ 2021.**

Heard Shri S.M.Khan, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri , the Id. P.O. for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. four weeks.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-27/09/2021.
aps.

O.A.No.141/2019 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 27/09/ 2021.

Heard Shri R.V.Shiralkar, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the Respondents.

2. **Office is directed to put this matter on 01.10.2021, if possible or otherwise put up this matter before regular D.B..**

Vice Chairman

Date:-27/09/2021.
aps.

O.A.No.414/2021 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 27/09/ 2021.

Heard Shri P.S.Wathore, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. **S.O. 29.09.2021.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-27/09/2021.
aps.