## O.A. 446/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram:** Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the respondents.

At the request of ld. counsel for the applicants, **S.O. two weeks**.

Member (J).

## O.A. 67/2020 (S.B.)

**Coram:** Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

Heard Shri B. Lahiri, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3 and Shri S.G. Bhagat, Id. counsel for R-4.

At the request of Id. counsel for R-4,  $\underline{\textbf{S.O.}}$  3/3/2022

Member (J).

## O.A. 206/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram:** Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

None for the applicants. Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for R-1&3. None for R-2.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two** weeks for filing reply.

Member (J).

## O.A. 750/2019 (S.B.)

**Coram**: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

Heard Shri Phadnis, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. PO for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two weeks** for filing reply as a last chance.

Member (J).

## O.A. 1016/2019 (S.B.)

**Coram**: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

Heard S.M. Khan, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. PO for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. three** weeks for filing reply.

Member (J).

#### O.A. 43/2020 (S.B.)

**Coram**: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

Heard S.A. Puranik, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. PO for the respondents.

- 2. The learned P.O. files reply of R-3. It is taken on record. Copy is given to the applicant.
- 3. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two weeks** for filing reply of other respondents.

Member (J).

#### O.A. 234/2020 (S.B.)

Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

Heard A.B. Mirza, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. PO for the respondents.

- 2. The learned P.O. files reply of R-3. He submits that it is sufficient to decide the matter. It is taken on record. Copy is given to the applicant.
- 3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing. The Id. P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

#### S.O. in due course.

Member (J).

#### O.A. 785/2020 (S.B.)

Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

Heard P.D. Sharma, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kukarni, Id. P.O. for R-1. None for R-2&3.

- 2. The respondent nos.2&3 are contesting respondents, but they did not appear.
- 3. On the earlier dated 27/1/2022, the specific order was passed stating that one more opportunity was given to the respondents to file reply. In case no reply is filed on next date, the matter shall be finally heard.
- 4. In view of above, the matter be kept on the next date for final hearing without reply.

#### S.O. 11/3/2022.

Member (J).

#### O.A. 937/2020 (S.B.)

**Coram**: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

Heard Shri S.M. Khan, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. PO for the respondents.

- 2. The learned P.O. files reply of R-1 to 3. It is taken on record. Copy is given to the applicant.
- 3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing. The Id. P.O. waives notice for the respondents.
- 4. The applicant is at liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

#### S.O. in due course.

Member (J).

## O.A. 513/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram**: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. PO for the respondents.

- 2. The learned P.O. files reply of R-2. He submits that it is sufficient to decide the matter. It is taken on record. Copy is given to the applicant.
- 3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing. The Id. P.O. waives notice for the respondents.
- 4. The applicant is at liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

#### S.O. in due course.

Member (J).

# O.A. 551/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram:** Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two** weeks for filing reply.

Member (J).

#### O.A. 710/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram**: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, ld. PO for the respondents.

- 2. The learned P.O. files reply of R-2&3. It is taken on record.
- 3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing. The ld. P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

#### S.O. in due course.

Member (J).

## O.A. 815/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram:** Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

Heard Ms. B.V. Reddy, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. PO for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. three** weeks for filing reply.

Member (J).

## O.A. 834/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram**: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

Heard Shri G.G. Bade, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. PO for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O.,  $\underline{\text{S.O. two}}$   $\underline{\text{weeks}}$  for filing reply.

Member (J).

## O.A. 871/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram:** Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

Heard Shri S.M. Bhagde, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. PO for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. three** weeks for filing reply.

Member (J).

## O.A. 1123/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram:** Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

Heard Shri D.S. Sawarkar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. PO for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. three weeks** for filing reply.

Member (J).

# O.A. 18/2022 (S.B.)

**Coram:** Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

None for the applicant. Shri V.A. Kulkarni, ld. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2 to 7.

<u>S.O. three weeks</u> for filing service affidavit.

Member (J).

## O.A. 39/2022 (S.B.)

**Coram:** Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

Heard Shri D.S. Sawarkar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. PO for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. three** weeks for filing reply.

Member (J).

#### O.A. 1125/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram**: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

#### C.A. 68/2022 -

Heard Shri R.M. Fating, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

- 2. The learned counsel for the applicant pointed out the order dated 6/12/2021. The applicant was to challenge the impugned order.
- 3. Looking to the development during pendency of the O.A., the C.A. for amendment is allowed.
- 4. The applicant to carry out necessary amendment within one week and amended copy be supplied to the ld. P.O.

# O.A. 1125/2021 -

#### S.O. two weeks.

Member (J).

#### O.A. 1118/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram**: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

#### C.A. 69/2022 -

Heard Shri R.M. Fating, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

- 2. The learned counsel for the applicant pointed out the order dated 6/12/2021. The applicant was to challenge the impugned order.
- 3. Looking to the development during pendency of the O.A., the C.A. for amendment is allowed.
- 4. The applicant to carry out necessary amendment within one week and amended copy be supplied to the ld. P.O.

# O.A. 1118/2021 -

#### S.O. two weeks.

Member (J).

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

#### C.A. 293/2020 in O.A. St. 1653/2020 -

Heard Shri A.A. Mankar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. four weeks** for filing reply on C.A.

Member (J).

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

#### C.A. 294/2020 in O.A. St. 1655/2020 -

Heard Shri A.A. Mankar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. four weeks** for filing reply on C.A.

Member (J).

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

#### C.A. 295/2020 in O.A. St. 1657/2020 -

Heard Shri A.A. Mankar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. four weeks** for filing reply on C.A.

Member (J).

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

#### C.A. 296/2020 in O.A. St. 1659/2020 -

Heard Shri A.A. Mankar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. four** weeks for filing reply on C.A.

Member (J).

#### O.A. 20/2022 (S.B.)

**Coram**: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

Heard Shri A.P. Tathod, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for R-1 and Shri T.M. Zaheer, Id. counsel for R-2.

The Id. counsel for the applicant files rejoinder. It is taken on record. Copies are given to the other sides.

The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing. The Id. P.O. waives notice for R-1.

#### S.O. 9/3/2022.

Till then, interim relief to continue.

Member (J).

## O.A. 913/2019 (S.B.)

**Coram**: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

Heard Shri N.A. Jachak, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for R-1. None for R-2 to 5.

At the request of ld. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. two weeks**.

Member (J).

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

# C.A. No. 74/2021 in O.A. 343/2021 -

Heard Shri G.G. Bade, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The Id. P.O. files reply of R-2 in O.A. It is taken on record.

**S.O. two weeks** for hearing on C.A.

Member (J).

## O.A. 618/2019 (S.B.)

**Coram**: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

Heard Shri B. Lahiri, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O.** 3/3/2022.

Member (J).

# O.As. 1006,1007 & 1008 of 2019 (S.B.)

**Coram:** Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. and other Id. P.Os. for the respondents.

At the request of ld. counsel for the applicants,  ${\color{red} {\bf S.O.}}$  two weeks.

Member (J).

(Shri Narayan G. Ghormare Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.) (S.B.)

Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

#### **ORDER**

#### C.A. No. 75/2022 -

Heard Shri G.G. Bade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. For the reasons stated in the application, the C.A. No. 75/2022 is disposed off.

#### O.A. No. 775/2013 -

Heard Shri G.G. Bade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, learned P.O. for the respondents.

- 2. The O.A. is decided finally with the consent of learned counsel for both the parties.
- 3. The applicant has approached this Tribunal for the following reliefs –
- " (7) (1) declare that, the applicant having completed more than 20 years of qualifying service as per the Maharashtra Civil Services Pension Rules, is entitled for pension, gratuity and other retirement benefits on attaining the age of superannuation.
- (2) direct the respondent to fix pension and gratuity of the applicant as per rules thereby directing the respondent to release the pension and other retire benefit in favour of the applicant on attaining age of superannuation.
- (3) grant any other relief which this Hon'ble Tribunal deems fit & proper in the facts and circumstances of the case so also in the interest of justice."
- 4. The case of the applicant is that he was appointed as a Mustering Assistant on 5/2/1981. He was absorbed in regular service in the Forest Department on the post of Forest Guard w.e.f. 25/26-6-2003 in view of the G.Rs. dated 1/12/1995 & 21/4/1999. The applicant is now retired, but his service is counted from the date of absorption in regular service, i.e., 25/26-6-2003, therefore, he is not getting full pensionary benefits.

- 5. The learned counsel for the applicant has pointed out the Judgments of this Tribunal in O.A. 85/2021 and O.A.545/2020 with connected matters.
- 6. This Tribunal relied on the Judgment of M.A.T., Bench at Aurangabad in the case of *Ramchandra Kondiba Mahajan vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors*., granted relief to the applicant in the cited Judgment of this Tribunal.
- 7. The M.A.T., Bench at Aurangabad has held that Mustering Assistants are entitled for regular service benefit from the date of their initial appointments as Mustering Assistant. The Judgment of M.A.T., Bench at Aurangabad was challenged before the Hon'ble High Court. The said order was upheld by the Hon'ble High Court. The Judgment of Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad was challenged in SLP No.23504/2016 before the Hon'ble Apex Court. The said SLP was dismissed on 23/2/2017. Now the Judgment of M.A.T., Bench at Aurangabad attained the finality. Hence, the applicant is entitled for all the regular service benefits from the date of his initial appointment as a Mustering Assistant, i.e., 5/2/1981.
- 8. In that view of the matter, I pass the following order –

#### **ORDER**

- (i) The O.A. is allowed.
- (ii) The respondents are directed to give all the pensionary benefits to the applicant treating him as permanent from the date of his initial appointment as a Mustering Assistant w.e.f. 5/2/1981.
- (iii) No order as to costs.

Member (J).

O.A. No.275 of 2019 ( Govinda S/o L. Lad Vs. State of Mah. & Ors. )
With

O.A. No. 276 of 2019 (Moreshwar D. Apturkar Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.) (S.B.)

Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

#### **ORDER**

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri A.M. Ghogre, learned P.O. for the respondents.

- 2. Both the O.As. are filed by the applicants on the basis of the Judgment in O.A.462/2004. Both the applicants approached this Tribunal for direction to the respondents to absorb them as per the Govt. G.Rs. dated 1/12/1995 & 21/4/1999. This Tribunal granted following reliefs –
- "(i) It is declared that the applicant nos.1 and 2, 5 and 6,9 to 18 and 20&21 shall be held to be in service as on 31/5/1993 and consequently they are entitled for the benefit of the scheme floated vide G.R. dated 1/12/995 and subsequently ratified in terms of G.R. dated 21/4/1999.
- (ii) The respondents shall take necessary steps in that regard. If any monetary benefits became payable to the applicants same shall be paid to them immediately.
- (iii) There shall be no order as to costs".
- 3. Even after passing the Judgment by this Tribunal, the applicants namely Govinda L. Lad and Moreshwar D. Apthurkar are not given any benefit as per the Judgment of this Tribunal in O.A.462/2004, therefore, both the applicants approached to this Tribunal.
- 4. The applications are strongly opposed by the respondents. Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicants. He has pointed out the Judgment of this Tribunal regarding both the applicants and submitted that the specific directions were given, but those directions are not complied by the respondents. Hence, the applicants prayed to grant relief by directing the respondents to pay the pensionary benefits.
- 5. Heard Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents. He has pointed out the G.R., particularly, clause no.3.1 and 3.3 of the G.R. dated 1/12/1995. Once the observation is made by

this Tribunal, then the respondents have to comply it. Whether that order was illegal or not was to be decided by the next higher Court. If the respondents were aggrieved, then it was for the respondents to challenge the said order before the higher Court.

- 6. There is no dispute that the Judgment in O.A.462/2004 is not challenged by the respondents, therefore, this Judgment now attained finality. Hence, in view of the Judgment, the respondents are bound to give benefit to the applicants from the date mentioned in the Judgment.
- 7. Both the applicants are now retired. The learned counsel for the applicants pointed out seniority list and submitted that juniors were absorbed in the regular service and they were given the benefits as per G.Rs. dated 1/12/1995 & 21/4/1999, but the applicants were not given the benefit of the said G.Rs. Hence, the following order –

#### **ORDER**

- (i) The O.As. are allowed.
- (ii) The respondents are directed to comply the order of this Tribunal in O.A. No. 462/2004 and give all the benefits of regularisation and also pensionary benefits to the applicants treating them as permanent employees w.e.f. 31/5/1993 as mentioned in the order itself.
- (iii) No order as to costs.

Member (J).

O.A. No.852 of 2019 ( Vinod R. Wagarkar Vs. State of Mah. & Ors. )
With

O.A. No. 1005 of 2019 (Waman R. Kumbhalkar Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.) (S.B.)

Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,

Member (J).

Dated: 23/02/2022.

#### **ORDER**

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

- 2. The learned counsel for the applicants submitted that the applicants are appointed as Mustering Assistant in the year 1987. The services of both the applicants were discontinued on 30/6/1992. They approached to the Labour Court. As per the direction of Labour Court, the applicant V.R. Wagarkar was reinstated with continuity of service from 26/9/2002 and the applicant W.R. Kumbhalkar was reinstated in service 10/9/1996. Both the applicants are entitled for absorption in view of the G.Rs. dated 1/12/1995 & 21/4/1999.
- 3. This Tribunal and other Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad and Mumbai have held that Mustering Assistants are entitled for all the service benefits from the date of their initial appointments on the post of Mustering Assistant. One of the Judgment of M.A.T., Bench at Aurangabad was challenged before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad and the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad has confirmed the Judgment of M.A.T., Bench at Aurangabad stating that the Mustering Assistants are entitled for regular service and all the service benefits treating as permanent employee from the date of their initial appointments as Mustering Assistant. That Judgment was challenged before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has dismissed the SLP, therefore, the Judgment of M.A.T., Bench at Aurangabad attained the finality.
- 4. Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, learned counsel for the applicants. He has relied on the Judgments of this Tribunal in O.A. 462/2004, decided on 14/8/2015 and O.A. 545 of 2020 with connected matters decided on 17/12/2021.

- 5. The respondents have continued the services of both the applicants as per the direction of the Labour Court. The applicant V.R. Wagarkar is in service and the applicant W.R. Kumbhalkar is retired on 28/2/2018. Both the applicants are entitled for absorption in regular service as per the G.Rs. dated 1/12/1995 & 21/4/1999.
- 6. In that view of the matter, I pass the following order –

#### **ORDER**

- (i) The O.As. are allowed.
- (ii) The respondents are directed to absorb the applicants in regular service with all consequential benefits of permanency and pensionary benefits to the applicants treating them in regular service with effect from the date of their initial appointments as Mustering Assistant.
- (iii) No order as to costs.

Member (J).

dnk...

# <u>Coram</u>:Hon'ble Shri M.A. Lovekar, Member(J)

# Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

Heard Shri S.A. Sahu, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. for the respondents.

On oral request of Ld. CPO, S.O. three weeks for filing reply.

Member (J)

pdg

(D.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Hon'ble Shri M.A. Lovekar, Member(J)

Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri, S.A. Deo, the learned C. P.O. for the respondents.

On oral request of Ld. CPO, S.O. 7.3.2022.

Member (J)

(D.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Hon'ble Shri M.A. Lovekar, Member(J)

Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

Heard Shri S.I. Ghate, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. for the respondents.

It is pointed out by the learned counsel for the applicant that interim order dated 17.9.2020 has not been so far complied with by respondent department. Ld. CPO seeks time of two weeks to ascertain factual position, make a statement and file reply.

S.O. two weeks.

Member (J)

### Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

Heard Shri P.V. Ghare, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The applicant has filed affidavit. In view of para 7 of the same, application is disposed of as withdrawn.

Member (J)

Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

### C.A. No.70/2022.

Heard Shri R.M. Fating, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, the learned P.O. for the respondent No.1. Shri N.A. Waghmre, Adv. for R. 2 and 3. Shri T.M. Jaheer, Adv. for Rs. 4.

It is pointed out by learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 that the copy of amendment application is not received by him. The learned counsel for the applicant points out that respondent Nos. 2 and 3 have been served with copy amendment application. Ld. counsel for R. 2 and 3 shall obtain the same from the department.

#### S.O. two weeks.

Member (J)

## Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

Heard Shri Abhijit Barahate, Adv. holding for Shri N.R. Saboo, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

Ld. P.O. has filed reply of R.2, it is taken on record.

S.O. four weeks.

Member (J)

(D.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Hon'ble Shri M.A. Lovekar, Member(J)

Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri, A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

Ld. P.O. makes a statement that on the next date reply will be filed without fail.

S.O. one week.

Member (J)

## Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

Heard Shri V.B. Gawali, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

On oral request of Ld. P.O.., S.O. three weeks to file reply.

Member (J)

O.A. No.1060/2021.

(D.B.)

# <u>Coram</u>:Hon'ble Shri M.A. Lovekar, Member(J)

## Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

Heard Shri V.B. Gawali, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

On oral request of Ld. P.O.., S.O. three weeks to file reply.

Member (J)

O.A. No.1130/2021.

(D.B.)

Coram:Hon'ble Shri M.A. Lovekar, Member(J)

Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

Heard Shri V.M. Moon, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. <u>two weeks.</u>

Member (J)

O.A. No.58/2022. (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Hon'ble Shri M.A. Lovekar, Member(J)

Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

Heard Shri A.P. Chaware, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

On oral request of Ld. P.O., S.O. four weeks for filing reply.

Member (J)

O.A. No.220/2019. (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Hon'ble Shri M.A. Lovekar, Member(J)

Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

### MCA No. 02/2022.

Heard Shri Amol Motaley, Adv. holding for Shri R.V. Shiralkar, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. one week.

Member (J)

(D.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Hon'ble Shri M.A. Lovekar, Member(J)

Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

#### CA No. 385/2020.

Heard Shri N. Karade, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, the learned P.O. for the respondent No.1.

- 2. Issue notice on C.A. No. 385/2020 to remaining respondents returnable in <u>four</u> weeks.
- 3. Shri V.A. Kulkarni, the learned P.O. waives notice for the respondent No.1. Hamdast granted.
- 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice

of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

- 6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

#### 9. S.O. four weeks.

Member (J)

Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

Heard Shri A.M. Sudame, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Ld. P.O., S.O. <u>two</u> <u>weeks</u> to take instructions and to file reply as a last chance.

Member (J)

### Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

Heard Shri S. Katkar, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. for the respondent No.1.

- 2. Issue notice to remaining respondents returnable in four weeks.
- 3. Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. waives notice for the respondent No.1. Hamdast granted.
- 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

- 6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

### 9. S.O. four weeks.

Member (J)

Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

Heard Mrs.. S.W. Kolhe, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. for the respondents.

On oral request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. <u>two weeks.</u>

Member (J)

### Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondent No.1.

- 2. Issue notice to remaining respondents returnable in four weeks.
- 3. Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. waives notice for the respondent No.1. Hamdast granted.
- 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure)

Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 9. S.O. four weeks.

Member (J)

O.A. No. 899/2020.

(D.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Hon'ble Shri M.A. Lovekar, Member(J)

Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

Heard Shri A.M. Sudame, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. for the respondents.

The learned counsel for the applicant desires to unconditionally withdraw the application. O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn.

Member (J)

### Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

Heard Shri A.M. Sudame, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. for the respondents.

The learned counsel for the applicant desires to unconditionally withdraw the application. O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn.

Member (J)

O.A. No. 965/2020.

(D.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Hon'ble Shri M.A. Lovekar, Member(J)

Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

Heard Miss V.B. Manohar, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. two weeks

Member (J)

### Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

Heard Shri S.R. Charpe, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O for the respondents.

The learned counsel for the applicant, on instructions, desires to unconditionally withdraw the application. O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn.

Member (J)

(D.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Hon'ble Shri M.A. Lovekar, Member(J)

Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

Heard Shri D.H.. Sharma, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. for the respondents.

2. On oral request of Ld. counsel for the applicant, S.O. <u>four weeks.</u>

Member (J)

### Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. for the respondent No.1.

- 2. Ld. CPO submits that the point regarding applicability of the G.R. pertaining to payment of ex gratia payment to the applicant on account of death of her husband who was Police Patil, is required to be kept open.
- 2-A. Issue notice to remaining respondents returnable in four weeks.
- 3. Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. waives notice for the respondent No.1. Hamdast granted.
- 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice

of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

- 6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

#### 9. S.O. four weeks.

Member (J)

## Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

None for the applicant. Heard Shri A.P. Potnis, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

### 2. S.O. two weeks.

Member (J)

## Dated: 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2022.

Heard Shri V.R. Deshpande, the learned counsel for the applicant and Shri, A.P. Potnis, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

### 2. S.O. <u>two weeks.</u>

Member (J)