O.A. No. 94/2019 (SB)

# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J). <u>Dated : 05.07.2019</u>

Shri S.G.Jagtap, Id. counsel for the applicant, M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for R-1 & 7 and A.M. Kukday, Id. counsel for R-2 to 6.

At the request of Id. counsel for R-2 to 6, **S.O. 16/7/2019** for filing reply.

### Member (J)

O.A. Nos. 368,369,370 & 371 of 2019 (SB)

# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J).

### Dated : 05.07.2019

Shri G.G. Bade, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 5. Await service of R-6 to 8.

The learned counsel for the applicants submits that he will file service affidavit of R-6 to 8 during the course of day.

The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply. At his request, **S.O. four weeks**.

#### Member (J)

Shri M.V. Joshi, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service for R-2.

The ld. counsel for the applicant submits that he will file service affidavit of R-2 during the course of day.

The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply. At his request, **S.O. three weeks**.

### Member (J)

### <u>C.A. 246/19</u>

Shri Rohit Ramteke, Id. counsel holding for Shri O.Y. Kashid, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 26/7/2019**.

### Member (J)

Shri A.P. Sadavarte, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for R-1&2 and Shri A. Deshpande, Id. counsel for R-3.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 17/7/2019**.

#### Member (J)

O.A. Nos. 126 & 189 of 2015 (SB)

# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J). <u>Dated : 05.07.2019</u>

Smt. K.N. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., <u>S.O. two</u> weeks.

Member (J)

Shri R. Ramteke, Id .counsel holding for Shri O.Y. Kashid, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 19/7/2019**.

#### Member (J)

Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. It is submitted on behalf of the applicant that the G.R. dated 5/6/2018 is stayed by the Hon'ble High Court and inspite of this fact, this G.R. is placed in this proceeding and he would file the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court.

### S.O. 8/7/2019.

### Member (J)

Shri G.G. Bade, Id. counsel holding for Shri P.V. Thakre, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., <u>S.O.</u> <u>three weeks</u> for filing reply.

#### Member (J)

O.A. No. 299/2019 (SB)

# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J). <u>Dated : 05.07.2019</u>

None for the applicant. Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., <u>S.O.</u> four weeks for filing reply.

## Member (J)

Heard Shri N.D. Thombre, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. It is submitted on behalf of the applicant that the respondent no.2 who has issued the transfer order is not empowered by the law to transfer the applicant.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant to produce the order passed by the Principal Bench of MAT, Mumbai in this regard.

4. The learned P.O. requested two weeks' time to decide the applicant's representation. Time is granted.

#### S.O. 19/7/2019.

#### Member (J)

Smt. Dashputre, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2&3.

The learned P.O. is granted one week time to file reply.

### S.O. 12/7/2019.

### Member (J)

Smt. Dashputre, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2&3.

The learned counsel for the applicant submits that she will file service affidavit before the next date and for that purpose she seeks time. At her request, **S.O. one week**.

#### Member (J)

O.A. No. 783/2017 (SB)

# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J). <u>Dated : 05.07.2019</u>

None for the applicant. Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3 and none for Intervener (R/4).

### S.O. 16/7/2019.

Member (J)

### MCA 44/2019

Heard Shri Rohit Ramteke, Id. counsel holding for Shri O.Y. Kashid, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

For the reasons stated in the application, the MCA is allowed. The O.A. be restored to its original file.

### <u>O.A. 338/2017 –</u>

S.O. 19/7/2019.

### <u>Member (J)</u>

Shri S.P.Palshikar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The learned P.O. has produced letter dated 4/7/2019 written by the Under Secretary, State of Maharashtra to the learned P.O. It is mentioned that in the meeting of Review Committee held on 21/6/2019 decision was taken to reinstate the applicant in service and accordingly the proposal is forwarded to the Government for necessary orders.

In view of this, S.O. two weeks.

#### Member (J)

O.A. No. 125/2017 (SB)

# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J). <u>Dated : 05.07.2019</u>

None for the applicant. Shri M.I. Khan, ld .P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. three weeks.

Member (J)

Heard Shri R.A. Haque, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

The applicant is directed to produce the relevant papers from the Revenue Authorities in relation to the immovable property left beyond by Punvasiprasad Yadav.

#### <u>S.O. 11/7/2019</u>.

#### Member (J)

O.A. No. 678/17 with C.A. 319/18 (SB)

# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J). <u>Dated : 05.07.2019</u>

Heard Shri K.D. Bodode, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of ld .counsel for the applicant, **S.O. two weeks.** 

Member (J)

O.A. No. 818/2015 (SB)

# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J). <u>Dated : 05.07.2019</u>

Heard Shri A. Athalye, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri P.N. Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for R-1&2 and Shri B.P. Maldhure, Id. counsel for R-3.

## S.O. 18/7/2019.

Member (J)

Heard Shri G.G. Bade, ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, ld. P.O. for the State.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submitted that till today the applicant is not yet relieved from the duty. Relying upon his words, I direct the parties to maintain status-quo till Monday.

3. Issue notice to R-2 &3, returnable on <u>8/7/2019</u>. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be

taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed courier post, and acknowledgement be obtained and produced affidavit along with of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within <u>three days</u> and if service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three days</u> before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

#### S.O. 8/7/2019.

Steno copy is granted.

#### Member (J)

Heard Shri G.G. Bade, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. It is submitted that the applicant is not yet relived. Relying upon the words status-quo to maintain till Monday.

 Issue notice to R-2 &3, returnable on <u>8/7/2019</u>. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, courier and speed post, acknowledgement obtained be and with affidavit produced along of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within <u>three days</u> and if service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three days</u> before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

#### <u>S.O. 8/7/2019</u>.

Steno copy is granted.

Member (J)

### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice-Chairman and Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J) <u>Dated : 5<sup>th</sup> July 2019.</u>

#### C.A. 245/2019

None for the applicant. Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. Shri G.G. Bade, Adv. holding for Shri A.Y. Kapgate, Adv. for R. 4 and 5.

Ld. P.O. has filed C.A.No.245/2019. He submits that he has to take instructions from the department and if necessary file reply of respondents.

#### S.O. two weeks.

Member (J) Chairman Vice-

Dt. 5.7.2019. Pdg

### O.A. No.601/2018. (D.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice-Chairman and Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J) <u>Dated : 5<sup>th</sup> July 2019.</u>

Nonefor the applicant. Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

Ld. P.O. has filed reply of R.3, it is taken on record. He submits that this reply is covered for other respondents also.

#### ADMIT.

Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the learned P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

## S.O. two weeks.

Member (J) Chairman Vice-

Dt. 5.7.2019. Pdg

### O.A. No.722/2018. (D.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice-Chairman and Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J) <u>Dated : 5<sup>th</sup> July 2019.</u>

#### C.A. 206/2019

Heard Shri A.A. Syed, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. Ld. P.O. has filed correspondence dated 3.7.2019 on record. It is marked "X" for identification. In that case, candidate is at Sr. No.91 and date of appointment was taken as 18.4.1977. This document is marked "X-1" for identification.

3. Ld. P.O. has submitted an affidavit of respondent No.5 i..e Commissioner of Police, Nagpur. On previous date, query was made whether any order was passed by the competent authority for extension of time to submit online application and in reply, in para No.3 of affidavit, it is mentioned that last date of filing of online application was 17.3.2017 and it was not 20.3.2017. In these circumstances, it is necessary for the respondents to explain whether any order was issued by the competent authority after publication of the advertisement. In para 2 of the it is mentioned affidavit, that no corrigendum was issued for extension of time to submit online application. In these circumstances, question arises how server accepted online application after 17.3.2017, why applications received after 17.3.2017 were taken into consideration.

The respondents to explain this controversy.

## S.O. 19<sup>th</sup> July 2019.

Steno copies be provided to both parties.

Member (J) Chairman Vice-

Dt. 5.7.2019.

Pdg

O.A. No.800/2018. (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice-Chairman and Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J) <u>Dated : 5<sup>th</sup> July 2019.</u>

Heard Shri V.V. Dhande, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.

Khadatkar, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 4. Miss R. Bisen, Adv.for R. 5.

Ld. P.O. has filed reply of R.4, it is taken on record and a copy thereof is supplied to the Ld. counsel for the applicant. Reply of R.5 has already been filed on recorfd.

### ADMIT.

Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the learned P.O. waives notice for the respondents 1 to 4.

S.O. two weeks for final hearing.

Member (J) Chairman Vice-

Dt. 5.7.2019. Pdg

O.A.(St.) No.266/2019. (D.B.)

### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice-Chairman and Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J) <u>Dated : 5<sup>th</sup> July 2019.</u>

### <u>C.A. 53/2019</u>

Heard Shri R.R.Ramteke, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., S.O. 19.7.2019 for filing an application on condonation of delay.

Member (J) Chairman

Vice-

Dt. 5.7.2019. Pdg

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice-Chairman and Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J) <u>Dated : 5<sup>th</sup> July 2019.</u>

Heard Shri S.A. Marathe, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri , the learned P.O. for the respondents.

Closed for orders.

Member (J) Chairman

Vice-

Dt. 5.7.2019. Pdg

O.A. No.505/2018. (D.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice-Chairman and Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J) <u>Dated : 5<sup>th</sup> July 2019.</u>

Heard Smt. S.S. Dashputre, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

Ld. P.O. has filed reply on behalf ofR.2, it is taken on record and a copy thereof is supplied to the Ld. counsel for the applicant.

#### ADMIT.

Shri A.P. Potnis, the learned P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

S.O. one week for final hearing.

Member (J) Chairman Vice-

Dt. 5.7.2019.

Pdg

O.A. No.1007/2018. (D.B.) <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice-Chairman and

## Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J) <u>Dated : 5<sup>th</sup> July 2019.</u>

#### <u>C.A. 248/2019.</u>

**1.** Heard Shri S.C. Deshmukh, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjukar, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. None for R. 4 and 5.

2. C.A. No.248/2019 is allowed, keeping open the point whether the degree holders who are appointed are necessary party or not. Matter be kept for final hearing. Applicant to amend the O.A. and take steps for issuing notice added respondent Nos. 6 to 17.

Issue notice to respondent Nos. 6
 to 17 returnable after three weeks.

4. Shri P.N. Warjukar, the learnedP.O. waives notice for the respondents 1to 3. Hamdast granted.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, courier speed post, and acknowledgement be obtained and produced with affidavit along of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within <u>three days</u> and if service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three days</u> before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

10. S.O. three weeks.

Member (J) Vice-Chairman

Dt. 5.7.2019.

Pdg

O.A. No.411/2018 (D.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice-Chairman and Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J) <u>Dated : 5<sup>th</sup> July 2019.</u>

Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

By record, it appears that the applicant was allowed to retire from 2.5.2015 vide Govt. order dated 10.11.2017 (Annexure R-2, P.58) of O.A, No. 480/2016. In para No.2, it has been mentioned that the applicant was allowed to retire from 2.5.2015 i.e. voluntary retirement. However in para No.3, it has been further mentioned that pending departmental enquiry and disproportionate asset. crime was registered against the applicant in the Court. However, nothing is placed on record regarding these two points. Even in the reply, this point has not been mentioned and surprisingly the Govt. had issued two letters dated 15.2.2018. By one letter, they have issued show cause and by further letter they have served chargesheet for departmental enquiry for absence of 587 days from 4.6.2014 to 25.2.2016. When the concerned applicant was in duty himself, nothing prevented the respondents to take action regarding his absence before issuing retirement order dated 10.11.2017.

Ld. P.O. is directed to ask the responsible officer from the Mantralaya to remain present before this Tribunal with

documents of departmental enquiry and Court cases about disproportionate asset which they have mentioned in their order dated 10.11.2017.

## S.O. 26<sup>th</sup> July 2019.

Steno copies be provided to both the parties.

Member (J) Chairman Vice-

Dt. 5.7.2019. Pdg

O.A. Nos.507,508, 509 & 510 of 2019. (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice-Chairman and Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J) <u>Dated : 5<sup>th</sup> July 2019.</u>

Heard Shri G.B. Dharmadhikari, the Ld. counsel for the applicants and Shri S.A. Deo, the learned C.P.O. for the respondent No.1.

2. The Ld. CPO has invited our attention on page No.113 in para 8 of High Court judgment that the applicants C.A.W. No. 1524/2019 in W.P. No. 8451/2018 and other CAWs were declared infructuous. However, the Ld. counsel for the applicants submits that the petitioners are permitted to file Original Application in M.A.T. and accordingly they have filed O.A. as s per High Court direction. It seems that the applicants were not parties to the W.P., therefore, issue notice to the respondent No.2 returnable after three weeks.

3. Shri S.A. Deo, Ld. CPO waives notice for respondent No.1. Hamdast granted.

4. Ld. CPO seeks three weeks' time to file reply.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, courier speed post. and acknowledgement obtained and be with affidavit produced along of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within <u>three days</u> and if service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three days</u> before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

#### 10. S.O. three weeks.

Member (J) Vice-Chairman
Dt. 5.7.2019.
Pdg
O.A. No.40/2015. (D.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice-Chairman and Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J) <u>Dated : 5<sup>th</sup> July 2019.</u>

Heard Miss K.K. Pathak, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjukar, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

Ld. P.O. has filed a letter dated 28.6.2019 alongwith annexures. In the last line, it is clearly mentioned that Kalelkar Committee has no concern with the forest department.. They have also annexed pages of Committee report. There also in this background, it is mentioned that it was applicable to PWD, Irrigation and Housing Department. This correspondence is taken on record and copy of the same is given to the Ld. counsel for the applicant.

# S.O. <u>17<sup>th</sup> July 2019</u>.

Member (J)

Vice-

Chairman

Dt. 5.7.2019.

Pdg

O.A. No.619/2015. (D.B.)

# Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice-Chairman and Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J) Dated : 5<sup>th</sup> July 2019.

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I. Khan, the learned P.O. for the respondents 1 to 3. None for R.4.

At the request of the Ld. counsel for the applicant, S.O. one week.

Member (J) Chairman

Vice-

Dt. 5.7.2019.

### O.A. No.316/2015. (D.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice-Chairman and Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J) <u>Dated : 5<sup>th</sup> July 2019.</u>

Heard Shri P.J. Mehta, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. counsel for the applicant desires promotion of the applicant on ST point, since this issue of promotion vis-

Pdg

a-vis reservation is pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in S.L.P.

S.O. eight weeks.

Member (J) Chairman Vice-

Dt. 5.7.2019. Pdg

O.A. No.652/2015. (D.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice-Chairman and Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J) <u>Dated : 5<sup>th</sup> July 2019.</u>

Heard Shri C.V. Khadse, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N. Warjukar, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

# S.O. <u>9<sup>th</sup> July 2019</u>.

Member (J) Chairman

Vice-

Dt. 5.7.2019. Pdg

O.A. No.516/2018. (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice-Chairman and Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J) <u>Dated : 5<sup>th</sup> July 2019.</u>

Heard Mrs. S.P. Giratkar, the Ld. counsel for the applicants and Shri P.N. Warjukar, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

The Ld. counsel for the applicants has placed reliance on the judgment in W.P. No. 2046/2010. One week time is granted to the Ld. P.O. to inform whether this judgment was challenged by the Government.

> S.O. <u>17<sup>th</sup> July 2019</u>. To be treated as part heard.

Member (J) Chairman Vice-

Dt. 5.7.2019. Pdg

O.A. No.133/2013. (D.B.)

Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,

#### Vice-Chairman and Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J) <u>Dated : 5<sup>th</sup> July 2019.</u>

Heard Shri M.R. Khan, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

As per order dated 29.4.2019, the Ld. counsel for the applicant has filed certified copy of evidence recorded in Sessions Trial. Ld. P.O. seeks two weeks' time to study this document.

#### S.O. two weeks.

Member (J) Chairman Vice-

Dt. 5.7.2019. Pdg

#### O.A. No. 480/2016 with C.P. 23/2018. (D.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice-Chairman and Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J) <u>Dated : 5<sup>th</sup> July 2019.</u>

Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, the Ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

### S.O. <u>26<sup>th</sup> July 2019</u>.

Member (J) Chairman Vice-

Dt. 5.7.2019. Pdg