# O.A. 351/2018 (S.B.)

# <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

# Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri S. Sheikh, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3. None for R-4.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. four weeks** 

Member (J).

## <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

## Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri A.M. Khadatkar, ld. P.O. for R-1&2 and Shri S.A. Sahu, ld. counsel for R-3.

2. The matter is part heard. On the last date, the learned counsel for the respondent no.3 was directed to get instructions as to whether any departmental inquiry is pending or not. Today nothing is filed on record. On the last date, it was also directed that the matter will be decided finally today i.e. on 21/6/2022. Today, learned counsel for R-3 is not ready to argue the matter. Hence, put up the matter on 23/6/2022 for final hearing.

3. S.O. 23/6/2022 (PH).

Member (J).

## <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

## Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri B.J. Lonare, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks four weeks time to file reply.

3. The learned P.O. has filed letter dated 21/2/2022 issued by the Additional Commissioner and Additional Director of Land Records, Pune. It is taken on record and marked Exh-X for identification. As per this letter, the proposal for deemed date of promotion is under process.

4. Hence, put up the matter <u>after four</u> <u>weeks.</u>

Member (J).

#### (S.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

#### Dated : 21/06/2022.

#### <u>C.A. 142/2020 in O.A. 235/2020, C.A. 143/2020</u> <u>in O.A. 236/2020 & C.A. 144/2020 in</u> <u>O.A.237/2020-</u>

Heard Shri G.G. Bade, ld. counsel for the applicants and Shri A.M. Ghogre, ld. P.O. for the State.

2. The proposal was moved by the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Special Tiger Protection Force. As per this proposal, the grievances of the applicants are now redressed. As per the submission of learned counsel for the applicants, all the applicants are now posted out of Special Tiger Protection Force. Therefore, O.As. become infructuous

3. In view of submission of the learned counsel for the applicants, the O.As. are disposed off as the grievances of the applicants are redressed. Accordingly, the C.As. also stand disposed off.

## Member (J).

#### O.A. 198/2021 (S.B.)

## <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

#### Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri A.D. Girdekar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the respondents.

2. As per the submission of learned counsel for the applicant, the respondents have issued appointment order in favour of the applicant. Therefore, the O.A. becomes infructuous.

3. In view of submission of learned counsel for the applicant, the O.A. is disposed off. No order as to costs.

#### Member (J).

## O.A. 938/2021 (S.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

# Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri Barhate, Id. counsel holding for Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the respondents.

2. The office objections are not removed.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant is directed to remove office objections before the next date.

S.O. one week.

## Member (J).

## O.A. 954/2021 (S.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

# Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Mrs. A.R. Iyer, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2 to 5.

At the request of Id. counsel, **S.O. two** weeks for filing service affidavit.

## Member (J).

## O.A. 1118/2021 (S.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

## Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri R.M. Fating, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. P.O. files reply of R-1 to 4. It is taken on record. Copy is given to the applicant.

3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing. The Id. P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

S.O. in due course.

## Member (J).

## O.A. 1124/2021 (S.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

## Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri R.M. Fating, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. P.O. files reply of R-1 to 4. It is taken on record. Copy is given to the applicant.

3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing. The Id. P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

S.O. in due course.

## Member (J).

O.A. 1125/2021 (S.B.)

## <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

## Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri R.M. Fating, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The ld. P.O. submits that he will file reply of R-1 to 4 and supply copy to the other side.

3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing. The Id. P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

S.O. in due course.

Member (J).

#### O.A. 92/2022 (S.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

#### Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri K.J. Khanorkar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for R-1&2. None for other respondents. Shri Pawan Rahulkar, Id. counsel for R-4.

2. The reply of R-4 is already filed on record. The Id. P.O. submitted that the reply of R-4 is sufficient to decide the matter.

3. Hence, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing. The Id. P.O. waives notice for R-1&2.

S.O. in due course.

Member (J).

O.A. 336/2022 (S.B.)

## <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

## Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri Y.J. Maheshwari, ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. P.O. files reply of R-1 to 4. It is taken on record. Copy is given to the applicant.

3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing. The Id. P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

S.O. in due course.

# Member (J).

O.A. 344/2022 (S.B.)

### <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

#### Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant has pointed out the order dated 6/4/2022. The said order shows that the respondents were directed to file reply and if the respondents failed to file reply, then the applicant shall be at liberty to press for interim relief, but thereafter on 4/5/2022 and on 7/6/2022 time was granted to file reply.

3. Today also learned P.O. seeks time to file reply. At his request, one week time is granted.

4. It is made clear that if the reply is not filed on the next date, the matter will be heard finally.

S.O. 29/6/2022.

Member (J).

O.A. 398/2022 (S.B.)

## <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

## Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri N.B. Bargat, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for R-1.

Hamdast not collected for R-2 to 5.

The applicant is directed to collect the hamdast and serve the respondents.

S.O. after four weeks.

Member (J).

O.A. 533/2022 (S.B.)

## <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

## Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, ld. CPO for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. CPO, **S.O. four** weeks for filing reply.

Member (J).

O.A. 544/2022 (S.B.)

## <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

## Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri G.G. Bade, Id. counsel holding for Shri R.V. Shiralkar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Deo, Id. CPO for the respondents.

At the request of Id. CPO, **S.O. four** weeks for filing reply.

Member (J).

O.A. 576/2022 (S.B.)

## <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

## Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, ld. P.O. for the respondents.

 The ld. P.O. files separate reply of R-2&3 and 4. Same are taken on record. Copies are given to the applicant.

3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing. The Id. P.O. waives notice for the respondents.

S.O. after two weeks.

#### Member (J).

## C.A. 263/2021 in O.A. 767/2021 (S.B.)

## <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

#### Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri S.S. Dhengale, ld. counsel for the applicants and Shri S.A. Deo, ld. CPO for the State.

2. The learned CPO raised objection about the tenability of C.A. and O.A.

3. The learned CPO submits that both the applicants were promoted in the year 1999 and they are claiming deemed date from 1985. Both the applicants are retired before 3-4 years back. The O.A. is hopelessly barred by limitation.

4. The applicants are directed to satisfy as to whether the O.A. is within limitation.

#### S.O. 6/7/2022.

Member (J).

#### O.A. 501/2017 (S.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

#### Dated : 21/06/2022.

#### C.A. 235/2022 -

Heard Shri S.R. Charpe, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. As per the submission of learned counsel for the applicant, due to oversight the order dated 1/7/2013 was not challenged and it is necessary to challenge the said order by which the applicant was retired on medical ground.

3. The application is strongly opposed by the ld. P.O.

4. Looking to the submission, the C.A. is allowed. Necessary amendment be carried out within one week and amended copy be supplied to the other side.

#### O.A. 501/2017 -

#### S.O. 28/6/2022.

### Member (J).

# O.A.Nos. 942,943,944,946 & 1033 of 2021 (S.B.)

## <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

#### Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri G.G. Bade, ld. counsel for the applicants and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. As per the submission of learned counsel for the applicants, all the O.As. are covered by the Judgment of this Tribunal in O.A. No. 644/2020. He has filed copy of the said Judgment. It is marked Exh-X for identification.

3. The ld. P.O. submits that he desires to file reply. At the request of ld. P.O., **S.O. 29/6/2022** for filing reply.

Member (J).

## O.A. 893/2021 (S.B.)

## <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

# Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Smt. K.N. Saboo, Id. counsel holding for Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for R-1&2 and Shri Bute, Id. counsel for R-3.

2. The learned P.O. submits that the applicant is given choice posting and this fact is not disputed by the learned counsel for the applicant. Therefore, nothing survives in the present O.A.

3. In view of above, the O.A. is disposed off. No order as to costs.

#### Member (J).

#### O.A. 631/2022 (S.B.)

## <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

#### Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri A.P. Sadavarte, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. The applicant is transferred from one place to other place on the ground of misconduct. Lastly, he is directed to join in the Mantralaya as per the order dated 6/6/2022.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant has pointed out the leave application dated 6/6/2022. It appears that when he came to know about the order dated 6/6/2022, he applied for causal leave. It appears that the applicant has committed misconduct and therefore he is transferred from one place to other place. Therefore, it will not be proper to grant stay to the relieving order.

4. Issue notice to the respondents returnable <u>6/7/2022</u>. Learned P.O. waives notice for State. Hamdast allowed.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within <u>three days</u> and if service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three days</u> before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

#### S.O. 6/7/2022

#### Member (J).

## O.A. 725/2016 (S.B.)

## <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

# Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, ld. P.O. for the respondents.

The learned counsel for the applicant submitted that during the pendency of the O.A., the appeal is decided by the respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel, **S.O. 4/7/2022** for taking instructions.

#### Member (J).

#### O.A. 744/2016 (S.B.)

## <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

## Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Mrs. Charlewar, Id. counsel holding for Shri D.I. Charlewar, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for R-1 and Shri Sharman, Id. counsel holding for Shri A. Lanjewar, Id. counsel for R-5. None for other respondents.

2. The learned counsel for respondent no.5 desires time to supply calculation sheet to the applicant. At his request, **S.O. 4/7/2022.** 

Member (J).

# O.A. 576/2017 (S.B.)

# <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

# Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, S.O. 5/7/2022.

Member (J).

#### O.A. 247/2019 (S.B.)

## <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

## Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri R.V. Shiralkar, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for R-1 and A. Deshpande, Id. counsel for R-2. None for R-3.

As per the submission of learned counsel for R-2, the respondent nos.2&3 will pay the amount of gratuity, GIS, leave encashment, arrears of increments etc. within a period of 15 days.

S.O. 12/7/2022.

#### Member (J).

# O.A. 566/2019 (S.B.)

## <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

# Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri A.P. Sadavarte, Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3 & 6 and Shri M. Shaikh, Id. counsel for R-4&5. None for other respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel, **S.O. after** two weeks.

Member (J).

#### O.A. 372/2022 (S.B.)

(Dipak N. Tathe Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)

#### <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

#### Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri S. Nagrale, ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The applicant was working as a Police Constable. On 29/10/2021 the offence punishable under Section 7 of the Prevention of Corruption Act is registered against the applicant. He was arrested and thereafter the applicant is suspended by the Superintendent of Police, Yavatmal as per the order dated 29/10/2021. As per the order dated 22/2/2022, the suspension was continued. Again Review Committee by letter dated 22/4/2022, the suspension is continued.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant has pointed out the Judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 1912 of 2015 (arising out of SLP No.31761 of 2013) in the case of <u>Ajay Kumar Chaudhary Vs. Union of India</u> <u>through its Secretary and another</u> in its Judgment dated 16/02/2015 and in para no. 14, it is observed that :-

14 We, therefore, direct that the currency of a Suspension Order should not extend beyond three months if within this period the Memorandum of Charges/Chargesheet is not served on the

delinguent officer/employee; if the Memorandum of Charges/Chargesheet is served a reasoned order must be passed for the extension of the suspension. As in the case in hand, the Government is free to transfer the concerned person to any Department in any of its offices within or outside the State so as to sever any local or personal contact that he may have and which he may misuse for obstructing the investigation against him. The Government may also prohibit him from contactingany person, or handling records and documents till the stage of his having to prepare his defence. We think this will adequately safeguard the universally recognized principle of human dignity and the right to a speedy trial and shall also preserve the interest of the Government in the prosecution. We recognize that previous Constitution Benches have been reluctant to quash proceedings on the grounds of delay, and to set time limits to their duration. However, the imposition of a limit on the period of suspension has not been discussed in prior case law, and would not be contrary to the interests of justice. Furthermore, the direction of the Central Vigilance Commission that pending a criminal investigation departmental proceedings are to be held in abevance stands superseded in view of the stand adopted by us.

4. The learned P.O. has pointed out the decision taken by the Review Committee dated 22/4/2022. He has submitted that the applicant is continued.

5. The Judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court is very clear. The suspension cannot be continued more than 90 days if the charge sheet is not served. If the charge sheet is served, then reasoned order must be passed for continuation of suspension.

6. In the present matter, no any charge sheet is served to the applicant and therefore

suspension cannot be continued without serving the charge sheet.

7. In view of the Judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of <u>Ajay Kumar</u> <u>Chaudhary Vs. Union of India through its</u> <u>Secretary and another</u>, the impugned suspension order dated 29/10/2021 is liable to be revoked. Hence, the following order –

## <u>ORDER</u>

(i) The O.A. is partly allowed.

(ii) The suspension order dated 29/10/2021 is hereby revoked.

(iii) The respondents are directed to reinstate the applicant within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of this order.

(iv) No order as to costs.

Steno copy is granted.

## Member (J).

#### O.A. 389/2022 (S.B.)

(Madhukar S. Rathod Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)

#### <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).

## Dated : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri S. Nagrale, ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The applicant was working as a Police Constable. Khandala, Dist. Yavatmal. On 27/08/2021 offence punishable under the Section 7 of the Prevention of Corruption Act is registered against the applicant. He was arrested and thereafter the applicant is suspended by the Superintendent of Police, Yavatmal as per the order dated 28/08/2021. As per the order dated 22/2/2022, the suspension was continued. Again Review Committee by letter dated 22/4/2022, the suspension is continued.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant has pointed out the Judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 1912 of 2015 (arising out of SLP No.31761 of 2013) in the case of <u>Ajay Kumar Chaudhary Vs. Union of India</u> <u>through its Secretary and another</u> in its Judgment dated 16/02/2015 and in para no. 14, it is observed that :-

14 We, therefore, direct that the currency of a Suspension Order should not extend beyond three

months if within this period the Memorandum of Charges/Chargesheet is not served on the delinguent officer/employee; if the Memorandum of Charges/Chargesheet is served a reasoned order must be passed for the extension of the suspension. As in the case in hand, the Government is free to transfer the concerned person to any Department in any of its offices within or outside the State so as to sever any local or personal contact that he may have and which he may misuse for obstructing the investigation against him. The Government may also prohibit him from contactingany person, or handling records and documents till the stage of his having to prepare his defence. We think this will adequately safeguard the universally recognized principle of human dignity and the right to a speedy trial and shall also preserve the interest of the Government in the prosecution. We recognize that previous Constitution Benches have been reluctant to quash proceedings on the grounds of delay, and to set time limits to their duration. However, the imposition of a limit on the period of suspension has not been discussed in prior case law, and would not be contrary to the interests of justice. Furthermore, the direction of the Central Vigilance Commission that pending a criminal investigation departmental proceedings are to be held in abeyance stands superseded in view of the stand adopted by us.

4. The learned P.O. has pointed out the decision taken by the Review Committee dated 22/4/2022. He has submitted that the applicant is continued.

5. The Judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court is very clear. The suspension cannot be continued more than 90 days if the charge sheet is not served. If the charge sheet is served, then reasoned order must be passed for continuation of suspension.

6. In the present matter, no any charge sheet is served to the applicant and therefore

suspension cannot be continued without serving the charge sheet.

7. In view of the Judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of <u>Ajay Kumar</u> <u>Chaudhary Vs. Union of India through its</u> <u>Secretary and another</u>, the impugned suspension order dated 28/08/2021 is liable to be revoked. Hence, the following order –

## <u>ORDER</u>

(i) The O.A. is partly allowed.

(ii) The suspension order dated 28/08/2021 is hereby revoked.

(iii) The respondents are directed to reinstate the applicant within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of this order.

(iv) No order as to costs.

Steno copy is granted.

## Member (J).

dnk. \*\*

#### M.C.A.No.14/2022inO.A.No.992/2021 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard ShriN.B.Rathod, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriH.K.Pande, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. M.C.A.No.14/2022 is allowed and disposed of in terms of prayer clause 'A'.

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps. **Vice Chairman** 

#### 0.A.No.755/2015 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

C.A.No.233/2022:-

Heard Shri A.Motlog holding for Shri V.Bhise, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriH.K.Pande, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. C.A.No. 233/2022 for serving the respondent nos. 12, 13 & 18 by way of paper publication in the interest of justice is allowed and disposed of.Ld. Counsel for the applicant is further directed that the same should be published in widely circulated newspaper.

3. S.O. after six weeks.

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

## Vice Chairman

## 0.A.No.177/2019 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri A.Motlog holding for Shri R.V.Shiralkar, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriM.I.Khan, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of ld. P.O., **S.O. four weeks to file reply as a last chance.** 

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

# 0.A.No.180/2021 (D.B.)

# <u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

None for the applicant. ShriM.I.Khan, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. **S.O. six weeks.** 

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

#### 0.A.No.226/2021 (D.B.)

## <u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Ms.M.Kadav, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriM.I.Khan, the ld. P.O. for the Respondents. Await service of R-5. None for R-3 & 4.

2. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that respondent no. 5 has been added as newly added party. Office is directed to issue fresh notice to the newly added party, returnable on <u>four weeks</u>. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of

compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. **<u>S.O. four weeks</u>**.

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

#### 0.A.No.809/2021 (D.B.)

## <u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

#### C.A.No.380/2021:-

Heard Shri B.Kulkarni, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriM.I.Khan, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. Ld. P.O. was given choice to file reply on C.A. i.e. on condonation of delay as well as on O.A.. However, today also reply was not filed by ld. P.O.. Considering the case of disable person, delay is condoned. Hence, **C.A. No. 380/2021 for condonation of delay is allowed and disposed of.** Ld. P.O. is directed to file reply on O.A. within four weeks time.

 Issue notice to Respondents, returnable on <u>four weeks</u>. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within <u>three</u> <u>days</u> and if service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three days</u> before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. <u>S.O. four weeks</u>.

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

## O.A.No.288/2022 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri A.Motlog holding for Shri R.V.Shiralkar, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriH.K.Pande, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of ld. P.O., **S.O. three weeks** to file reply.

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

# O.A.No.403/2022 (D.B.)

# <u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

None for the applicant. ShriH.K.Pande, the ld. P.O. for the State. Await service of R-2 & 3.

2. **S.O. six weeks.** 

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

## O.A.No.373/2022 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri N.Dhoot holding for Shri N.R.Saboo, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriH.K.Pande, the ld. P.O. for the State. Await service of R-2 & 3.

Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that he has served the respondents. At the request of ld. P.O.,
S.O. four weeks to file reply.

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

## O.A.No.426/2022 (D.B.)

## <u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri S.N.Gaikwad, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriS.A.Deo, the ld. C.P.O. for the State. Await service of R-2 to 4.

2. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that he will serve the respondents within a week. Ld. C.P.O. submits after serving respondents; he seeks time to file reply, **S.O. four weeks to file reply**.

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

## O.A.No.430/2022 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri A.M.Borkar, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriH.K.Pande, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of ld. P.O., **S.O. three weeks** to file reply as a last chance.

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

#### 0.A.No.645/2022 (D.B.)

## <u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

## C.A.No.232/2022:-

Heard Shri P.S.Kshirsagar, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriS.A.Deo, the ld. C.P.O. for the State.

# 2. C.A.No.232/2022 for Jt. O.A. is allowed and disposed of.

3. Applicant is working at Patwari in GondiaDistrict which is Naxal and Tribal Area. They have been given A.C.P. of 12 years, instead of A.C.P. they desires that they should be paid one step ahead scale as they are working in Naxal and Tribal Area.

4. Ld. C.P.O. is also not clear that whether employee should be choice for getting A.C.P. or for one step ahead pay scale.

 Issue notice to Respondents, returnable on <u>four weeks</u>. Learned C.P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with

complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

#### 11. **S.O. four weeks**.

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

## O.A.No.761/2021 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri B.Kulkarni, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriM.I.Khan, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of ld. P.O., **S.O. three weeks** to file reply.

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

## O.A.No.27/2022 (D.B.)

## <u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri S.Y.Deopujari, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriA.M.Ghogre, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The ld. P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the respondents. It is taken on record. Copy is served to the other side.

3. Hence, O.A. is **admitted** and kept for final hearing.

4. The ld. P.O. waives notices for the respondents.

## 5. **S.O. after two weeks for final hearing.**

6. Meanwhile, the ld. counsel for the applicant is at liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

## O.A.No.237/2022 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri R.Fating, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriM.I.Khan, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of ld. P.O., **S.O. four weeks to** file reply.

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

## 0.A.No.571/2016 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri A.B.Mirza, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriH.K.Pande, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of ld. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. three weeks.** 

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

## O.A.No.181/2022 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri S.P.Palshikar, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriH.K.Pande, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of ld. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 23.06.2022.** 

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

## 0.A.No.67/2021 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri S.M.Khan, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriH.K.Pande, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of ld. P.O., **S.O. 28.06.2022.** 

**Member(J) Date:-21/06/2022.** aps.

#### 0.A.No.929/2021 (D.B.)

## <u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

### C.A.No.199/2022:-

Heard Shri S.P.Palshikar, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriM.I.Khan, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that during pendency of the O.A. certain development has been taken place for that he placed C.A. for amendment. Hence, **C.A. No.199/2022 for amendment is allowed and disposed of.** The ld. counsel for the applicant is directed to carryout the amendment within one week. He is further directed to supply the same to the other side.

3. After amendment, office is directed to issue notice to the newly added Respondent no. 4, returnable on <u>four weeks</u>. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within <u>three</u> <u>days</u> and if service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three days</u> before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. <u>S.O. four weeks</u>.

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

## C.P.No.05/2022inO.A.No.665/2019 (D.B.)

## <u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Smt. Charlewar, the ld. Counsel for the applicant, ShriM.I.Khan, the ld. P.O. for the respondents and Shri N.M.Kolhe, ld. counsel for the R-3.

2. Ld. P.O. files reply on behalf of the respondent no. 2. It is taken on record. Copy is served to the other side.

3. At the request of ld. Counsel for the respondent no. 3, **S.O. four weeks to file reply.** 

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

#### 0.A.No.653/2022 (D.B.)

## <u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

## C.A.No.236/2022:-

Heard Shri D.M.kakani, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriS.A.Deo, the ld. C.P.O. for the respondents.

# 2. C.A.No.236/2022 for Jt. O.A. is allowed and disposed of.

3. The case is related totransfers of Inter Division, Revenue Department. Now, that has been revised by U/s 309 of Constitution (A-4, Pg. No. 28) it has been modified for four years to three years.

4. As requested by ld. C.P.O., it is made clear that if even two weeks' time reply is not filed, interim will be considered.

 Issue notice to Respondents, returnable on <u>four weeks</u>. Learned C.P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to

notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within <u>three</u> <u>days</u> and if service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three days</u> before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

**11. S.O. two weeks.** 

12. Steno copy is granted.

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

## 0.A.No.595/2017 (D.B.)

## <u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri S.Pande holding for Shri B.M.Kharkate, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriM.I.Khan, the ld. P.O. for the respondents and Shri A.Parihar, the ld. counsel for the R-3 & 4.

2. Ld. P.O. pointed out that applicant had been appointed by Z.P. and this is not the appropriate forum for the said employee i.e. who appoint byZ.P..

3. At the request of ld. counsel for the respondent nos. 3 & 4, **S.O. after two weeks to verify the position.** 

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

## 0.A.No.1130/2021 (D.B.)

## <u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri V.M.Moon, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriA.M.Ghogre, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. Ld. P.O. has filed correspondence dated 08.03.2022 by Desk Officer, Home Department. It is taken on record. Copy is supplied to the other side.

3. By letter dated 08.03.2022; extension of D.E. was granted upto 19.03.2022. However, ld. P.O. has also attached letter from C.P., Nagpur dated 25.05.2022 by which he is requested further extension of time till 19.09.2022.

4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is directed that since C.P., Nagpur is present controlling officer of the Police Department. So, he should also be made party to him otherwise it is difficult to give directions.

5. S.O. after two weeks.

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

#### 0.A.No.651/2022 (D.B.)

## <u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri D.M.Kakani, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriS.A.Deo, the ld. C.P.O. for the State.

2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant was appointed as Typist-Cum-Typist appointed on 21.08.2014 under O.B.C. Female Category in Tehsil Office, Buldhana by District Selection Committee and as pointed out by ld. Counsel for the applicant as per terms and condition no. 15, following observation has been made:-

> "आपणांस कक्ष अधिकारी, सामान्य प्रशासनसेवाविभाग, मंत्रालय, मुंबई यांनीकळविल्यानुसारन्यायालयीननिकालाच्याअधिनराहुनतात्पुरती नियुक्ती देण्यात येतआहे. आपलेविरूध्दचेगुन्हयातआपणदोषीअसल्याचेसिध्द झाल्यासआपलीनियुक्तीसंपुष्टात आणण्यात येईल."

3. The applicant is having family dispute. S.P., Buldhana had given letter about character of applicant which is at A-6, Pg. No. 30 and after this as per the direction of S.P., Buldhana who was Chairperson of the Committee, applicant was given appointment on 03.04.2014.

4. Now, as per impugned order dated 13.06.2022, respondents have issued a show cause notice to the applicant. Since family dispute case is

still pending. This show cause notice is seems to be pre-mature and it should not be implemented till family dispute issue is solved. **The effect of impugned order dated 13.06.2022 (A-1, Pg. No. 19) should be stayed till returnable date.** As submitted by ld. Counsel for the applicant, applicant is still in service and in show cause notice of the impugned order applicant has been given three days' time to file reply to the notices of the impugned order. Till that time this letter is kept in abeyance.

 Issue notice to Respondents, returnable on four weeks. Learned C.P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week.

Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within <u>three</u> <u>days</u> and if service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three days</u> before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

## 11. **S.O. four weeks**.

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

#### 0.A.No.903/2019 (D.B.)

## <u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri R.V.Shiralkar, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriA.M.Ghogre, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. In reply submission of applicant about certificate of 'Koli' in the category of S.B.C. is not on record, that requires to be placed on record and further about his continuity in service in S.B.C./Open category that needs to be placed on record. Respondents have also mentioned in reply para no. 10 about publication date 15.07.2019 to the applicant along with the letter dated 31.07.2019, this documents should also be placed on record. This annexures are required to be given along with reply. Then in para no. 10 (A) it has been mentioned that respondent no. 3 passed an office order on 28.12.2019 vide outward no. 3870/2019 and as per circular dated 21.12.2019 he was appointed on Additional/Supernumerary post. Applicant received and accepted the contents of the said order that is also not on record.

3. Whether these letters have been issued, whether the applicant has been challenged it or any Judicial order has been passed. Without clearing these facts, this O.A. cannot be decided.

4. The ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that record of O.A. No. 225/2020 needs to be seen along with this O.A..**Hence, office is directed to kept O.A. No. 225/2020 along with this O.A.** 

5. Matter is treated as P.H.

6. S.O. four weeks.

**Member(J) Date:-21/06/2022.** aps.

## 0.A.No.114/2018 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri S.S.Shingane, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriH.K.Pande, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. Matter is Partly Heard.

3. **S.O. eight weeks for further hearing.** 

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

# O.A.No.197/2018 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri S.N.Gaikwad, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriA.M.Khadatkar, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. **Closed for orders.** 

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

## 0.A.No.838/2021 (D.B.)

## <u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri A.P.Khadatkar, the ld. Counsel for the applicant, ShriA.M.Khadatkar, the ld. P.O. for the respondents and Shri A.P.Gujar, the ld. Counsel for the respondent nos. 5 to 10.

 Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted certain additional affidavit. It is taken on record.
Copy is served to the other side.

3. At the request of ld. Counsel for R-5 to 10, **S.O. two weeks.** 

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

# 0.A.No.930/17withC.A.03/2020(D.B.)

<u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri N.S.Warulkar, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriA.M.Khadatkar, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. **S.O. 04.07.2022.** 

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

# O.A.No.974/2017 (D.B.)

# <u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri N.S.Warulkar, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriA.M.Khadatkar, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. **S.O. 04.07.2022.** 

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

# O.A.No.537/2018 with C.A.72/2021(D.B.)

<u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri N.R.Saboo, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriA.M.Khadatkar, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. **S.O. 04.07.2022.** 

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

# O.A.No.738/2020 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri T.Rahul, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriV.A.Kulkarni, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. **Closed for orders.** 

**Member(J) Date:-21/06/2022.** aps.

# 0.A.No.66/2021 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri S.M.Khan, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriM.I.Khan, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

# 2. S.O. 24.06.2022. (P.H.)

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.

## O.A.Nos.537,538,539&540/2015 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u> : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman& Shri M.A.Lovekar, Member (J) <u>Dated</u> : 21/06/2022.

Heard Shri S.S.Kalbande, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and ShriA.M.Khadatkar, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. **S.O. 22.06.2022.** 

3. It is directed to the office that this matter should be high on board.

**Member(J)** Date:-21/06/2022. aps.