

O.A. 109/2020 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 11/02/2021.

Shri M.S. Shukla, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. three weeks** for filing reply.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 552/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 11/02/2021.

C.A. 82/2020 -

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

For the reasons stated in the application, the C.A.No. 82/2020 is allowed.

O.A. 552/2018 –

The O.A. be kept for final hearing on 25/2/2021.

S.O. 25/2/2021.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 113/2019 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 11/02/2021.

Smt. K.N. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 23/2/2021.**

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 197/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 11/02/2021.

Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 23/2/2021.**

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 324/2020 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 11/02/2021.

Shri R.M. Fating, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O.**
18/2/2021.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 268/2020 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 11/02/2021.

There is a leave note from Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id .counsel for the applicant. Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. four weeks.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 293/2020 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 11/02/2021.

There is a leave note from Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id .counsel for the applicant. Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. four weeks.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 613/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 11/02/2021.

Shri H.V. Dhoje, Id .counsel holding for
Shri A.R. Prasad, Id .counsel for the applicant
and shri V.A. Kulkarni, Id. P.O. for the
respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the
applicant, **S.O. two weeks.**

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 85/2018 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 11/02/2021.

Shri G.N. Khanzode, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id .P.O. for the respondents.

2. It appears that on the last date the learned CPO appeared in this matter. Shri Ghogre, Id. P.O. submits that due to some personal difficulty, the Id. CPO is not available today, therefore, further time may be granted.

3. In view thereof, at the request of Id. P.O., the matter be kept on 16/2/2021 when Id. CPO will attend in this matter.

S.O. 16/2/2021.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 643/2020 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 11/02/2021.

There is a leave note from Shri S.P. Palshikar, Id .counsel for the applicant. Shri S/A/ Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. four weeks.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 870/2018 with C.A. 13/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 11/02/2021.

Heard Shri D.M. Surjuse, Id. counsel for the applicants and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned counsel for the applicants has relied upon the provisions of G.Rs. dated 20/5/2015 and 28/10/2015 related to the appointment on compassionate ground, which are superseded by G.R. dated 21/9/2017. The said G.R. dated 21/9/2017 has not been filed by the learned counsel for the applicants on record.

3. Both the learned Advocates are directed to file the said G.R. on record so that the matter can be heard properly.

S.O. 18/2/2021.

Put up along with O.A. 10/2019.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A.10/2019 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 11/02/2021.

Ms. N.S. Pathan, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. After superseding all the previous G.Rs., the Government has issued guidelines for appointment on compassionate ground vide G.R. dated 21st September,2017 (P-48).

3. The learned counsel for the applicant is directed to point out the section which covers the grievance of the applicant.

S.O. 18/2/2021.

Put up the matter along with O.A. 870/2018.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 280/2020 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 11/02/2021.

C.A. 46/2021 -

Heard Shri N.R. Saboo, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. As per the direction of this Tribunal in order dated 4/6/2020, the representation of the applicant has been decided, but the applicant is still aggrieved with the decision. The learned counsel for the applicant therefore desires to amend the O.A. and for that purpose he filed the C.A. No. 46/2021.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, the C.A. No. 46/2021 for amendment is allowed. The necessary amendment be carried out within one week and amended copy be supplied to the other side.

O.A. 280/2020 –

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. 4/3/2021** for filing reply to the amended portion.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 21/2020 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 11/02/2021.

Heard Shri B. Kulkarni, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The applicant's grievance of regular pension i.e. relief clause no.11 (i) (P-4) has been completed. The applicant's grievance of interest on delayed payment i.e. relief clause no.11 (ii) is yet to be completed.

3. The respondents are directed to pay the interest on delayed payment of retiral benefits to the applicant as per the Section 129 (a) & (b) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982 within three months from the date of this order.

4. With this direction, the O.A. stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 126/2021 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 11/02/2021.

Heard Shri R.M. Fating, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, Id. P.O. for the State.

2. The applicant was suspended vide order dated 2/5/2018 (A-8,P-31) which was given effect from 26/3/2018. As pointed out by the learned counsel for the applicant, the respondent no.2 vide letter dated 16/10/2020 (A-20,P-97) has recommended to reinstate the applicant and the Review Committee meeting has taken place on 21/12/2020 (A-20,P-98 &99) and also agreed for reinstatement of the applicant. However, till now the applicant is not reinstated.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is going to retire on 31/7/2021. However, the Id. P.O. desires two weeks time to take necessary instructions from the Department. Two weeks time is granted as prayed for.

4. In the meantime, issue notice to the respondent returnable on **25/2/2021.** Learned P.O. waives notice for State. Hamdast allowed.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

S.O. 25/2/2021.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 326/2019 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 11/02/2021.

Heard Shri G.G. Bade, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Potnis, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. has filed letter dated 3/2/2021 (P-38) addressed to the CPO of M.A.T. by the S.P., Gadchiroli. In the last line of the letter, it is mentioned that no departmental inquiry or preliminary inquiry is pending against the applicant. However, the applicant is aggrieved with the order dated 14/2/2019 (A-2,P-9). However, Rule 130 (c) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982 says that only provisional pension can be granted if a departmental or judicial proceedings are pending. The Rule 130 (c) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982 is reproduced as follows –

“(130) Provisional pension where department or judicial proceedings may be pending –

(c) No gratuity shall be paid to the Government servant until the conclusion of the departmental or judicial proceedings and issue of final orders thereon”.

3. As per the Rule 130 (c) of the M.C.S. (Pension) Rules, 1982 there should not be any

departmental or judicial proceedings against the applicant. As the respondents have submitted by its letter dated 3/2/2021 (P-38) that no departmental inquiry is pending, but the judicial proceeding is still going on. Till it is withdrawn by the State, relief cannot be granted to the applicant.

4. The learned counsel for the applicant has filed Pursis dated 16/10/2020 (P-24) and alongwith the pursis he has filed Judgment of Assistant Sessions Judge, Gadchiroli in Case No.154/2018 and by order dated 29/2/2020 the applicant has been acquitted, therefore, as no departmental or judicial proceedings are pending against the applicant as on today, the applicant is entitled for all the pensionary benefits as per the Section 130 (c) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982.

5. In this situation, the respondents are directed to grant all the pensionary benefits to the applicant within 60 days from the date of this order and submit the documentary evidence on record on the next date.

S.O. 8/4/2021 (PH).

Steno copy is granted.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 571/2020 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 11/02/2021.

Heard Shri J.M. Shamkuwar, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The respondent nos.2&3 have filed reply on 23/12/2020 (P-83) and in para-2 it is mentioned that since no Vehicle at Gadchiroli Office and Vehicle at Chandrapur Office is not in working condition, therefore, the applicant cannot be posted at Gadchiroli or at Chandrapur. In this situation, the respondents have shifted the applicant at Nagpur where the vehicle is available and Driver post is also vacant.

3. As the above facts are mentioned by the respondents vide its reply, the impugned order dated 31/7/2020 (A-1,P-22) is justified in the interest of Government duty and hence nothing survives in the O.A. Hence the following order –

ORDER

The O.A. stands dismissed. No order as to costs.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 927/2020 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 11/02/2021.

Heard Shri V.B. Gawali, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Khadatkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The respondent no.2 has filed reply on 1/2/2021 (P-27) and in para nos.8&9, it is mentioned as below –

“(8) The answering respondent deputed the applicant on the post of Assistant Accounts Officer for temporary basis, only for administrative suitability. As soon as the post of Assistant Accounts Officer is filled, the deputation of applicant may be cancelled accordingly.

(9) The answering respondent had only deputed the applicant on the post of Assistant Accounts Officer for administrative basis. It is also submitted that the answering respondent had followed all due procedure with reference to the applicant’s application for her transfer to the Wardha district and forwarded her request application to respondent no.1 by its letter dated 8/6/2020.”

3. However, the learned counsel for the applicant submitting that the respondent no.2 has no power to issue applicant’s transfer order on deputation, since the applicant is a Gazetted Officer in view of the G.R. dated 28/12/2017 (A-8,P-55). In this situation, the reply of respondent no.1 is very necessary to clear the

position whether the respondent no.2 is competent or not. The ld. P.O. to file reply of respondent no.1 within two weeks.

S.O. 25/2/2021 (PH).

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

O.A. 606/2019 (S.B.)

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 11/02/2021.

Heard Shri M. R. Patil, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The respondents have filed their reply on 6/1/2020 (P-52) and they have clarified their position vide impugned order dated 2/4/2018 (A-1,P-12) which is communicated to the Chief Engineer, PWD Department, Nagpur by the Desk Officer, Government of Maharashtra, PWD Department, Mumbai.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the Government has issued G.R. dated 12/11/2014 and the typed copy of the said G.R. (A-11,P-40A & 40B) which says that employees above 50 years age should not be deputed to the DRDA in the interest of efficient implementation of the Scheme of DRDA.

4. However, considering the applicant's age of 57 years and Government Circular dated 12/11/2014 (A-11,P-40A & 40B) , the respondents are directed to consider the applicant's case in the light of G.R. dated 12/11/2014 after receipt of applicant's representation for his grievance. The learned

counsel for the applicant is at liberty to approach to this Tribunal if he is not agreed with the decision of the respondents.

5. With this direction, the O.A. stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Steno copy is granted.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

***O.A. 493/2020 (S.B.)**

**Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan,
Vice-Chairman.**

Dated : 11/02/2021.

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The applicant is a rank of the Assistant Police Sub Inspector and as per submission made by both the counsels, it appears that the applicant will be covered by the Section 22 (N) 1(b) of the Maharashtra Police Act wherein it is mentioned that for Police Constabulary a normal tenure shall be of five years at one place of posting. The applicant is covered in Section 22 (N) 1(b).

3. The applicant was first transferred by order dated 6/7/2019 (A-1,P-10) in which the applicant's name appears at Sr.No.69 and he was transferred from Police Station, Sindhkhed Raja to Police Station, Deoulgaon Raja on request. Again the applicant has been transferred vide impugned order dated 25/4/2020 (A-2,P-16) from Police Station, Deoulgaon Raja to Police Station, Sonala and in the remarks column it is written in public interest and for administrative exigency. The applicant is aggrieved with this order, has approached to

this Tribunal. There is no any document about prior approval of the Superior Officer or order being recommended by any Civil Services Board. However, today the learned P.O. has filed minutes of the establishment board meeting dated 25/4/2020 (P-32) in which the applicant's name has been mentioned in the bottom. The learned P.O. has also pointed out Section 22J-1 of the Maharashtra Police Act which is mentioned as below –

“ 22J-1 – Police Establishment Board at District Level –

(1) The State Government shall, by notification in the Official Gazette, constitute for the purposes of this Act, a Board to be called the Police Establishment Board at District Level.

(2) The Police Establishment Board at District Level shall consist of the following members, namely :-

(a) District Superintendent of Police : Chairperson

(b) Senior-most Additional Superintendent of Police : Member
: Member/

(c) Deputy Superintendent of Police (Head Quarter) Secretary

4. The learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the person (SDPO, Deoulgaon Raja) who forwarded the report which is biased and the same person was in the Civil Services Board also so there is chance of biasedness in the decision. However, the minutes of the meeting dated 25/4/2020 (P-32) filed by the learned P.O. in which there are seven persons viz. (1) SDPO, Buldhana (2) SDPO, Deoulgaon Raja (3) SDPO, Khamgaon (4) Incharge Deputy

Superintendent of Police (Hq), Buldhana (5)
Additional Superintendent of Police, Buldhana
(6) Additional Superintendent of Police,
Khamgaon & (7) Superintendent of Police,
Buldhana (R/3). Since there were seven
persons in Selection Board, even one person
was biased, it cannot be presumed that other six
persons kept quite against injustice.

5. In this situation, only relief can be
granted to the applicant that impugned order
25/4/2020 (A-2, P-16) is remanded back to the
respondent no.3 to give personal hearing to the
applicant for altering of that order regarding his
grievances and take a decision in this regard
within two weeks.

S.O. three weeks (PH).

Steno copy is granted.

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

*

O.A.No.194/2017 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 11/02/ 2021.

C.A.No.437/2017:-

None for the applicant. Shri A.M.Khadatkar,
the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. In order dated 29.01.2021, the Id. counsel
for the applicant had desires further time to take
instructions from the client and on his request today
it was kept on board. Today none for the applicant.
As one more chance, matter will be kept today.

3. **S.O. 18.02.2021.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-11/02/2021.
aps.

O.A.No.805/2019 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 11/02/ 2021.

Heard Shri Hemant Madankar holding for Shri S.R.Charpe, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Khadatkar, the Id. P.O. for the State. Await service of respondent nos. 2 & 3.

2. The Id. counsel for the applicant submits that service of respondent nos. 2 & 3 are served and he will file affidavit in this regard.

3. **S.O. four weeks to file reply.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-11/02/2021.
aps.

C.P.No.22/2020inO.A.No.541/2016 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 11/02/ 2021.

There is a leave note of the Id. Counsel for the applicant. Shri A.M.Khadatkar, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. three weeks to file reply.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-11/02/2021.
aps.

O.A.341/2020(D.B.)&O.A.913/2019(S.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 11/02/ 2021.

None for the applicant. Shri A.M.Khadatkar, the Id. P.O. for the respondents. Shri H.D.Marathe, the Id. counsel for the respondent no. 2 (In O.A. No. 341/2020). None for the respondent no. 5 (In O.A. No. 913/2019).

2. The Id. counsel for the respondent no. 2 desires to file certain document which is taken place after last date of hearing. For filing this documents on record, **S.O. next week.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-11/02/2021.
aps.

O.A.No.574/2020 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 11/02/ 2021.

Heard Shri Anil Ghose holding for Shri R.V.Shiralkar, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two weeks to file reply.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-11/02/2021.
aps.

O.A.No.127/2017 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 11/02/ 2021.

C.A.No.84/2020:-

Heard Shri Ashish Adhe, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Khadatkar, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. counsel for the applicant submits that matter pertains to 2017 and it requires early hearing and he file C.A. No. 84/2020 in this regard.

3. **C.A.No.84/2020 for early hearing is allowed.**

4. At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 03rd Week of March, 2021.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-11/02/2021.

aps.

O.A.No.775/2020 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 11/02/ 2021.

Heard Shri A.D.Deshmukh, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. four weeks to file reply.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-11/02/2021.
aps.

O.A.No.621/2018 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 11/02/ 2021.

Heard Shri M.Moin, the Id. Counsel for the applicant, Shri S.A.Sainis, the Id. P.O. for the respondents and Shri N.D.Thombre, the Id. counsel for the respondent no. 6.

2. The Id. P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the respondent nos. 1 to 3. It is taken on record. Copy is served to the other side.

3. The reply of respondent nos. 4 to 6 are already on record.

4. Hence, the O.A. is **admitted** and kept for final hearing.

5. The Id. P.O. waives notices for the respondents.

6. **S.O. in due course.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-11/02/2021.

aps.

O.A.No.727/2018 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 11/02/ 2021.

Heard Shri H.D.Marathe holding for Shri T.J.Patil, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Khadatkar, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 15.02.2021.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-11/02/2021.
aps.

O.A.No.113/2021 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 11/02/ 2021.

Heard Shri S.E.Raghorte, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, the Id. P.O. for the State.

2. The Id. counsel for the applicant has relied upon **Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgment in Captim Paul Anthony Vs. Bharat Gold Mines Ltd. and Another in Civil Appeal No. 1906 of 1999, 1999 Supreme Court Cases (L&S) 810, decided on March 30, 1999.** He mainly relied on para nos. 22 (ii), para nos. 34, 36. However, in the said Judgment in para no. 22 (i) following observation has been made:-

"Departmental proceedings and proceedings in a criminal case can proceed simultaneously as there is no bar in their being conducted simultaneously, though separately."

3. Issue notice to Respondents, returnable on four weeks. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with

complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. **S.O. four weeks.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-11/02/2021.

aps.

O.A.No.309/2020 (D.B.)

Coram : Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman
Dated : 11/02/ 2021.

Heard Shri S.K.Verma, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P.Potnis, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., matter be kept before regular D.B., **S.O. before regular D.B..**

Vice Chairman

Date:-11/02/2021.

aps.