

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

MA 351/2017 IN OA 816/2016

(Dr. Deshmukh & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

Coram : Justice M.T. Joshi, V.C.

Date : 28.08.2017

ORAL ORDER:-

None for the applicant. Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to
3.10.2017 for filing reply to the M.A. for amendment.

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

MA ST. 1103/2017 IN OA 259/2016

(Ravikiran Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

Coram : Justice M.T. Joshi, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

Date : 28.08.2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri K.P. Koli, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.T. Veer, learned Adv. for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. The learned Adv. seeks time to answer the basic issue that was raised by the Tribunal vide order dtd. 15.6.2017. In the circumstances, S.O. to 13.9.2017 for answering the basic issue raised by the Tribunal vide order dtd. 15.6.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

OA 237/2013

(Kaveri Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

Coram : Justice M.T. Joshi, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

Date : 28.08.2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri P.G. Gunale, learned Adv. for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 29.9.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

OA 468/2014

(Dr. Veena Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

Coram : Justice M.T. Joshi, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

Date : 28.08.2017

ORAL ORDER:-

None appears for the applicant. Shri D.R. Patil, learned P.O. for the respondents, is present.

2. The learned P.O. points out towards para 8 of the reply (page 41), which would show that the applicant has already tendered resignation in 2003 and therefore, she was not allowed to resume the duty. He submits that nothing survives in the present O.A.

3. In the circumstances, office is directed to issue notice to Shri V.D. Gunale, learned Advocate for the applicant for remaining present in the present matter on 27.9.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

OA 478/2014

(Amarjeet Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

Coram : Justice M.T. Joshi, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

Date : 28.08.2017

ORAL ORDER:-

None appears for the applicant. Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned P.O. for the respondents, is present.

2. It appears that no interim relief was granted in the issue of appointment of res. no. 3.

3. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, the Office is directed to issue notice to Shri Sanket S. Kulkarni, learned Adv. for remaining present in the present matter on 27.9.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

OA 332/2014

(Swati Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

Coram : Justice M.T. Joshi, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

Date : 28.08.2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Adv. holding for Shri S.B. Bhosale, learned Adv. for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Adv. for the applicant, S.O. to 26.9.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

MA 352/17 IN OA 770/07

(The State of Mah. & Ors. Vs. Narendra)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

Coram : Justice M.T. Joshi, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

Date : 28.08.2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned P.O. for the present applicants/ respondents in O.A., is present. None appears for the present respondent / applicant in O.A.

2. The learned P.O. submits that copy of the present M.A. would be supplied to Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Adv. for the present respondent / applicant in O.A. At his request, S.O. to 12.9.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

OA 685/2015

(Rahul & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

Coram : Justice M.T. Joshi, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

Date : 28.08.2017

ORAL ORDER:-

None appears for the applicants. Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned P.O. for the respondents, is present.

2. Read the order dtd. 24.7.2017. the learned Adv. for the applicant was required to make submissions on instructions that would have been received to him as highlighted in the earlier order.

3. In view of absence of learned Adv. for the applicant, S.O. to 26.9.2017, for making submissions on the line of the order dtd. 24.7.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

OA 889/2011

(Sunita Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

Coram : Justice M.T. Joshi, V.C.

Date : 28.08.2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri S.B. Gastgar, learned Adv. for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. Upon hearing, the learned Counsel for the applicant, on instructions, submits that the applicant would be satisfied, if the concerned respondents are directed for considering the case of the applicant for restoration to the earlier post as Matron from which she was transferred, as can be seen from Exh. P page 63.

3. In the circumstances, O.A. is disposed of with a direction to res. nos. 2 & 3 to consider the case of the

::-2-::

OA 889/2011

applicant for restoration to the post of Matron in case any vacancy exists or arises in near future, within a period of 6 months. With this direction the O.A. is disposed of without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

MA 562/15 IN OA ST. 113/2015

(Ravindra Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

Coram : Justice M.T. Joshi, V.C.

Date : 28.08.2017

ORAL ORDER:-

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned P.O. for the respondents, is present.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply. It is to be noted that vide order dtd. 28.4.2017 last chance was granted therefor. Thereafter also, on 2 occasions, time was sought. In the circumstances, S.O. to 26.9.2017 for filing reply with a further order that in case no reply is filed on that day, the concerned respondents shall deposit costs of Rs. 10,000/- by the Govt. account payee cheque in this Tribunal.

3. Steno copy allowed to the P.O.

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

M.A.No.191/2017 IN O.A.St.No.519/2017

(Sk. Md. Aminuddin V/s. State of Maharashtra&Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM :B.P. PATIL,MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard ShriU.A.Khekale learned Advocate
for the applicant and ShriS.K.Shirselearned
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply in the
M.A. Time granted.

3. S.O.26-09-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 F

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.416/2016

(ShriRamhariGosawi V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM :B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard ShriD.T.Devane learned Advocate holding for ShriM.B.Kolpe learned Advocate for the applicant and ShriS.K.Shirselearned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file additional affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.
Time granted.

3. S.O. 22-09-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 F

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.534/2016
(ShriLaluPawar V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM :B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard ShriD.T.Devane learned Advocate holding for ShriM.B.Kolpe learned Advocate for the applicant and ShriI.S.Thoratlearned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file additional affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. 22-09-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 F

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.500/2016
(ShriSaleem Khan Maseed KhanSukede V/s.
The State of Maharashtra &Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM :B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard ShriKakasaheb B. Jadhav learned
Advocate for the applicant,
ShriM.S.Mahajanlearned Chief Presenting Officer
for the respondents and ShriS.B.Mene learned
Advocate for respondent no.3.

2. Learned CPO seeks time to produce record
and keep Sub Divisional Magistrate-respondent
no.2 present before the Tribunal on the ground
that respondent no.2 is before the Hon'ble High
Court and concerned employeeShri More who is
in custody of record, is not feeling well.

3. At therequest of learned C.P.O., S.O. 01-09-
2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 F

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.505/2016

(Smt.ManjulaSuralkar V/s.The State of Mah.&Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM :B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard ShriN.K.Tungar learned Advocate for
the applicant and ShriS.K.Shirselearned
Presenting Officer for the respondents.
ShriN.L.Jadhav/K.N.Farooqui learned Advocate
for respondent no.4 (**absent**).

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O.18-09-
2017 for producing record and keeping
responsible officer of the respondents present
before the Tribunal.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 F

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.763/2016

(ShriSantoshGhorpade V/s. State of Maharashtra&Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM :B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

ShriArunShejwal learned Advocate for the
applicant is **absent**. ShriM.P.Gudelearned
Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. Since none is present for the applicant,
S.O. to 05-10-2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 F

MEMBER (J)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 262/2016
(ShriDagdu V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM :B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 22.09.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 KPB

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 892/2016
(Smt. Babita V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM :B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

ShriAjeetMane, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 20.09.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 KPB

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 600/2016
(ShriSadashiv V/sState of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM :B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.P. Sathe, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that there is no need to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and 5.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 21.09.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 KPB

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 405/2017
(ShriWali Abdul V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM :B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. AnanghaPedgaonkar, learned Advocate holding for ShriAjinkya Reddy, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed separate affidavit in replies on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 4 respectively. Same are taken on record and the copies thereof, have been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 26.09.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 KPB

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 589/2017
(Shri Kailas V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has passed examination of Stenography in Marathi having speed of 100 w.p.m. and Marathi Typing speed of 30 w.p.m., when he was appointed as English Stenographer in the year 2008. He has submitted that in the year 2016, recovery of an amount of Rs. 80,224/- has been directed against him. But thereafter, it had been stayed by the Director of Prosecution, Mumbai, on 29.07.2016. He has submitted that thereafter, Rules were amended on 24.03.2017, whereby though the persons who have already passed Marathi Stenography and Marathi typing examination at the time of their appointment, are exempted for appearing for the examination again. He has submitted that in spite of the said fact, the respondent No. 3 issued impugned order dated 10.08.2017 directing recovery of an amount of Rs. 80,224/- from the salary of the applicant. He has submitted that the said order is illegal and therefore, he prayed to stay the execution of impugned order of recovery.

3. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file detailed affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

4. On perusal of record, it reveals that the impugned order has been issued in spite of the fact that the said order has been stayed by the Director of Prosecution, Mumbai on 29.07.2016. There is nothing on record to show that the said order has been withdrawn by the Director of Prosecution, Mumbai. Moreover, in view of amendment to Maharashtra Civil Services (Compulsory Marathi Shorthand and Marathi Typing Examination for English Stenographers and English Typists) Rules 1991, the exemption to appear for the examination is granted to the employees already passed the examination at the time of his appointment. In such circumstance, it is just and proper to stay the execution of impugned order till filing of affidavit in reply by the respondents. Hence, the impugned order is stayed till filing of the affidavit in reply by the respondents.

5. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 29.09.2017.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O.to 29.09.2017.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 KPB

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 590/2017
(Smt. Kantabai V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM :B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 26.09.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O.to 26.09.2017.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 KPB

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 349/2017 in O.A. St. No. 1166/2017
(ShriUdalsingh V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM :B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.L Kanade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to amend the M.A. by calculating the period of delay caused in filing the accompanying O.A. Leave granted as prayed for. The applicant shall amend the Misc. Application on or before next date.

3. S.O. to 03.10.2017

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 KPB

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 350/2017 in O.A. St. No. 1168/2017
(ShriJanardhan V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM :B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.L Kanade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to amend the M.A. by calculating the period of delay caused in filing the accompanying O.A. Leave granted as prayed for. The applicant shall amend the Misc. Application on or before next date.

3. S.O. to 03.10.2017

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 KPB

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. St. No. 372/2017
(ShriChandrakant V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM :B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to amend the pleadings and prayer clauses suitably in view of the order passed by the respondent No. 2 on 1.7.2017. Leave granted as prayed for. The amendment be carried out within a period of one week.

3. S.O. to 16.10.2017

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 KPB

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 601/2016
(Smt. Sarla V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM :B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.P. Golewar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 29.09.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 KPB

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 704/2016 WITH
CAVEAT NO. 299/2016
(ShriPandurang V/s.State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM :B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Shri P.S. Dighe, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 (Caveator), **absent**.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.09.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 KPB

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 19/2017
(ShriYogesh V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM :B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate
for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande,
learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the
applicant, S.O. to 04.09.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 KPB

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 32/2017
(Smt. Subhadrabai V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM :B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 25.09.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 KPB

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 106/2017
(Smt. Sayashree V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 04.10.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 KPB

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 276/2017
(ShriPrashant V/s.State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.J. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Same is taken on record.

3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 25.09.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 KPB

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 290/2017
(Shri Sanjay V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Narke, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.J. Godbole, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder affidavit. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 18.09.2017. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 KPБ

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 340/2017
(ShriKishor V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent 1, 2 & 4 and ShriAshishRajkar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, are present.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 has submitted that he will file affidavit in reply during the course of the day.

3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 4. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 21.09.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 KPB

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 366/2017
(Shri Kumar V/s. State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 11.09.2017. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 KPB

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 473/2017
(Dr. Manoj V/s.State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 25.09.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 KPB

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 491/2017
(ShriSantosh V/s.State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.M. Kamble, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Salve, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 28.09.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDER 28-8-2017 KPB

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 231/2017
(Shri Rajesh V/s.State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. It is contention of the applicant that he was placed under suspension by the impugned order dated 22.10.2004. Thereafter, he was reinstated in service by order date 22.09.2009, subject to final decision in the criminal case. The applicant was acquitted by the Special Judge, Kopergaon, by the judgment and order dated 12.07.2013. Thereafter, the applicant approached to the respondent No. 2, by filing representation dated 3.6.2016, with a request to treat his suspension period as duty period. The applicant has placed reliance on the order passed by the respondent No. 2 in case of similarly situated person on 3.5.2016, wherein the suspension period of that

employee was treated as duty period, as he was acquitted of the offences charged against him. The respondent No. 2 has not decided the representation of the applicant dated 3.6.2016 till today. No specific reasons have been assigned by the respondent No. 2 for not deciding the representation of the applicant.

3. In these circumstances, it is just and proper to direct the respondent No. 2 to decide the representation of the applicant dated 3.6.2016 within a period of two months from the date of this order. Accordingly, O.A. stands disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the representation of the applicant dated 3.6.2016 within a period of two months from the date of this order and shall be informed the applicant about decision in writing. There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 232/2017
(ShriDattatray V/s.State of Maharashtra &Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 28.08.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. It is contention of the applicant that he was placed under suspension by the impugned order dated 22.10.2004. Thereafter, he was reinstated in service by order date 22.09.2009, subject to final decision in the criminal case. The applicant was acquitted by the Special Judge, Kopergaon, by the judgment and order dated 12.07.2013. Thereafter, the applicant approached to the respondent No. 2, by filing representation dated 2.6.2016, with a request to treat his suspension period as duty period. The applicant has placed reliance on the order passed by the respondent No. 2 in case of similarly situated person on 3.5.2016, wherein the suspension period of that

employee was treated as duty period, as he was acquitted of the offences charged against him. The respondent No. 2 has not decided the representation of the applicant dated 2.6.2016 till today. No specific reasons have been assigned by the respondent No. 2 for not deciding the representation of the applicant.

3. In these circumstances, it is just and proper to direct the respondent No. 2 to decide the representation of the applicant dated 2.6.2016 within a period of two months from the date of this order. Accordingly, O.A. stands disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the representation of the applicant dated 2.6.2016 within a period of two months from the date of this order and shall be informed the applicant about decision in writing. There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 04 OF 2017

(Shri Kailas R. Shriramwar Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI
VICE CHAIRMAN**

DATE : 28.08. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri R.B. NarwadePatil – learned counsel for the applicant.

2. Vide order dated 21.08.2017 the Registrar of this Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad was pleased to refuse the registration under Rule 5 (4) of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedural) Rules, 1988. On 10.07.2017 the office has raised the following office objections: -

- 1) Annextures are not mentioned properly;
- 2) Page No. 32 not legible;

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant appeared today and has undertaken to remove all the office objections today itself.

4. Technically the Registrar was right in refusing the registration since nobody appeared for the applicant in view of the objection in spite of repeated chances. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that he could

CHAMBER APPEAL NO.04 OF 2017

not attend the Tribunal due to his personal difficulty and, therefore, the registration was refused. The fact that the O.A. is filed for direction to the respondents to give the applicant all the benefits of seniority, continuity of service, pay scale along with back wages considering 23.12.2009 as his initial deemed date of appointment and for that purpose issue necessary orders and in order to give an opportunity to the applicant to prove his claim on merits, it will be in the interest of justice to allow the appeal as the applicant shall not suffer for the negligence of his Advocate.

5. In view of the above, I pass the following order:

ORDER

(i) Chamber Appeal No. 04/2017 is allowed as the learned Advocate for the applicant has undertaken to remove all the office objections. On removal of office objections, the Registrar of this Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad is directed to place this matter before the appropriate Bench for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M. A. NO.358/2014 IN O. A. ST.NO.1184/2014
(Dr. Chate Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

OFFICE

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 28-08-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

None present for the applicant. Heard Smt S. K. Ghate Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for the respondents no.1 to 3. None present for the Respondent no.4.

2. The learned P.O. files on record copy of the communication received by her. The same is accepted and marked as document "X" for the purpose of identification. It would show that, still "the process is going on". It is also necessary to point out the order dated 12.06.2017. In the said order it is highlighted that, not only the present M.A. is pending since long even the decision on the proposal dated 14.09.2004 as referred in the order dated 22.3.2017 is also pending. It was ordered that the proposal be decided within 3 months.

3. In the circumstances, the M.A. was adjourned to 03.07.2017 subject to the cost of Rs.10,000/- by Govt.cheque. Thereafter, again on 3.7.2017 and 27.7.2017 though time was granted no action was taken and even the cost was not deposited.

4. Further, the present communication from the Deputy Director would show that, "process is going on", at the directorate level though

the Respondent no.2 the Director is also party to the present proceeding.

5. In the circumstances, it appears that, coercive action like calling Respondent no.2 in the Tribunal has become inevitable due to the conduct of the Respondent no.2.

6. In the circumstances, S. O. to 26.09.2017. The Respondent no.2 shall personally remain present before the Tribunal and also to deposit the costs as directed earlier, failing which coercive action like initiating proceeding for contempt would be started.

7. The learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy of this order.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

O. A. NO.70/2017.

(Shri Shendge Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

----- OFFICE
ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 28-08-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Mrs. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate holding for Shri A. S. Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply to the amended application. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S. O. to 27.09.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORAL ORDERS 28-08-2017-ATP

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O. A. NO.152/2017.
(Shri Chatre Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

----- OFFICE
ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 28-08-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

None present for the applicant. Heard Shri V. R. Bhumkar
learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The applicant was required to satisfy the query raised by this
Tribunal as has been highlighted vide order dated 09.08.2017.

3. In view of absence of the learned Advocate for the applicant,
S. O. to 09.09.2017 for satisfying the query.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORAL ORDERS 28-08-2017-ATP

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, the applicant is going to retire on 31.8.2017.

4. In the circumstances, S. O. to 31.08.2017 for filing affidavit on the line of the order dated 11.04.2017 with a condition that, in case no affidavit is filed on that day the Respondent no.1 shall deposit a cost of Rs.25,000/- by Govt. cheque on that day.

5. The learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy of this order.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

O. A. NO.180/2017.

(Shri Shelke Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

----- **OFFICE**
ORDER **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 28-08-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri V. G. Pingle learned Advocate holding for Shri U. S. Sawji learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Shri V. G. Pingle learned Advocate holding for Shri U. S. Sawji learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. At his request, S. O. to 04.10.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORAL ORDERS 18-08-2017-ATP

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

O. A. NO.230/2017.

(Dr. Kale Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench

due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 28-08-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Dr. Kole Nagnath Vinayakrao, the Applicant,
party in person and Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to
file affidavit in reply. At his request, S. O. to 26.09.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORAL ORDERS 18-08-2017-ATP

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

O. A. NO.327/2017.

(Shri Pofale Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

----- **OFFICE**
ORDER **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench

due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 28-08-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

None present for the applicant. Heard Shri V. R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents no.1 & 2 and Miss Vanita Panpatil learned Advocate holding for Shri S. B. Talekar learned Advocate for the Respondents no.3 & 4.

2. Miss Vanita Panpatil learned Advocate holding for Shri S. B. Talekar learned Advocate for the Respondents no.3 & 4 seeks time to file affidavit in reply. At the request of herself as well as the learned P.O., S. O. to 27.09.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORAL ORDERS 18-08-2017-ATP

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

O. A. Nos. 268, 269, 270 & 271 of 2017.

(Shri Machave & 3 Oths. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

----- **OFFICE**
ORDER **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench

due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 28-08-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Miss Ashlesha Raut learned Advocate holding for Shri S. B. Talekar learned Advocate for the applicants in all the O.As. and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in all the matters.

2. The learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. At his request S. O. to 26.09.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORAL ORDERS 18-08-2017-ATP

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M. A. NO.64/2017 IN O. A. ST.NO.100/2017.
(Shri Sonkamble Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

----- OFFICE
ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 28-08-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Miss Vanita Panpatil learned Advocate holding for Shri V. B. Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S. K. Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply. He further submits that, the subject in the present O.A. is the subject matter of the Writ Petition in the Hon'ble High Court. At his request, S. O. to 26.09.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORAL ORDERS 28-08-2017-ATP

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

O. A. NO. 658/2017.

(Shri Ambalkar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

----- OFFICE
ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 28-08-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

None appears for the applicant. Heard Shri M. P. Gude learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The record would show that, since the ex-parte grant of interim relief on 16.5.2017 neither the applicant nor his counsel has turned to collect the notices despite grant of opportunities for five times since then.

3. Further, the second set of the application is also not filed. The application is therefore, dismissed in default. The interim relief stands vacated.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORAL ORDERS 28-08-2017-ATP