ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.1832/2022 (Chetan Gangane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to

non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17-10-2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Yuvraj V. Kakade, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Applicant has filed present O.A. seeking following reliefs:
  - "A. This original application may kindly be allowed.
  - B. The order dated 29/09/2022 issued by Director, Health Services, Maharashtra State Mumbai thereby declaring the applicant non-eligible for admission to NEET PG-2022 PG Course may kindly be quashed and set aside.
  - C. The order/communication dated 04/10/2022 bearing Outward No.AAS/PGCell/DnP/Dr. Gangane/1481/2022 dated 04/10/2022 issued by the office of Director, Health Services, Maharashtra State Mumbai may kindly be quashed and set aside.

- D. It be declared that, the applicant is eligible to be admitted to NEET PG 2022 PG Course as per his merit and by adding the marks for the service given by the applicant in trible and difficult area and accordingly respondents be directed to admit the applicant to NEET PG course as per the preference given by the as in-service candidate.
- E. It be direct the respondent authority to accept the amount for the breach of bond and thereby consider the name of the petitioner as inservice candidate with the incentive marks of the service given by the petitioner in difficult and tribble area.
- F. Any other appropriate orders may passed in interest of justice.
- G. Cost of this application be awarded."
- 3. Applicant has sought following interim relief:
  - "a. By an order of interim relief, the operation of the order dated 29/09/2022 and 4/10/2022 of the Director of Health Services declaring the applicant as not eligible for admission to PG Course be stayed and suspended.
  - b. By an order of interim relief, the Director Health Service be direct to add the name of the applicant as in-service candidate by adding the marks for the service given by the applicant in

trible and difficult area for further round/ selection list.

- c. By an order of interim relief, the Commissioner, State CET Cell Mumbai may kindly be directed to consider the candidature of the applicant for admission to the PG Course as per the preference and the his merit by adding the marks for the service given by the applicant in trible and difficult area and to give admission to the applicant to the Post Graduate course.
- d. Any other appropriate interim orders may be passed.
- 4. Shri Kakde, learned Counsel appearing for the applicant submitted that the request of the applicant to be considered as in-service candidate for completing the PG course has been rejected by the respondents for wrong reasons. Learned Counsel pointed out the order of rejection which is at page 287 of the paper book of O.A. Name of the applicant is at Sr.No.88. Applicant is declared to be not eligible and in the remarks column following remarks are entered:

"As per clause 9 of G.R. dated 19-03-2019 and clause 9.1 of G.R. dated 26-03-2020 not eligible as bond not completed also NOC not issued."

- 5. On the aforesaid two grounds request of the applicant has been rejected. Learned Counsel submitted that the applicant had approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing Writ Petition No.10235/2022 seeking writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or directions in like nature, thereby directing the respondent authority to grant the permission to break the bond by depositing the amount to the authority and thereby consider the name of the petitioner as in-service candidate for P.G. Course. Writ Petition came to be disposed of by directing the respondent authorities, more particularly, respondent no.3 in the said Writ Petition to consider the application of the petitioner dated 26-09-2022 on its own merit and pass the appropriate order. Learned Counsel pointed out that the said authority decided application of the applicant and rejected the same mentioning therein that the applicant did not deposit the bond amount as provided in the G.R. dated 19-03-2019, more particularly, as per clause 9 of G.R. dated 19-03-2019 and clause 9.1 of G.R. dated 26-03-2020.
- 6. Learned Counsel submitted that in none of the said G.Rs. there is any such provision that the bond amount has to be deposited along with the form of application or at the time of seeking permission to appear for the entrance

examination. Learned Counsel in the circumstances, prayed for interim relief as reproduced hereinabove.

- 7. Learned Counsel further submitted that since the request of the applicant has not been considered, he has not been given any weightage of the service rendered by him in rural, remote or difficult areas. Learned Counsel submitted that, if at all, the Tribunal is inclined to grant interim relief as prayed for by the applicant, direction is required to be given to the respondent authorities to consider the said aspect also.
- 8. Request for interim relief made by the applicant has been opposed by the respondents. Learned P.O. though sought time for filing affidavit in reply further submitted that from the record also it appears that, the permission has been rejected on justifiable grounds. He invited my attention to clause 9 of G.R. dated 19-03-2019 and clause 9.1 of G.R. dated 26-03-2020. Learned P.O. submitted that having regard to the conditions as are incorporated in the said clauses, the application has been rightly rejected by the respondent authorities. Learned P.O. further invited my attention to clause 4.1 of the G.R. dated 19-03-2019. Learned P.O. submitted that the applicant was supposed to seek "No Objection" from the competent authority before

making an application for NEET PG Examination. Since the applicant did not make any such application before applying for the NEET PG Examination and submitted the same in the month of April, 2022, it has not considered. Learned P.O., therefore, submitted for rejecting the prayer for interim relief.

9. I have carefully considered the submissions advanced by the learned Counsel for the applicant as well as the learned P.O. In so far as the ground as about non-payment of bond amount is concerned, *prima facie* there appears no non-compliance, even in view of the clause 9 of G.R. dated 19-03-2019 and clause 9.1 of G.R. dated 26-03-2020. I deem it appropriate to reproduce hereinbelow both the said clauses, as those are in vernacular which read thus:

### (Clause 9 of G.R. dated 19-03-2019)

"९. पदव्युत्तर अभ्यासक्रमासाठी निवड झालेल्या उमेदवारांनी पदव्युत्तर पदिवका/पदवी अभ्यासक्रम पुर्ण झाल्यावर, असे वैद्यकीय अधिकारी सार्वजिनक आरोग्य विभागामध्ये (म्हणजेच सार्वजिनक आरोग्य विभागाच्या अखत्यारीतील आरोग्य संस्थांमध्येच) किमान ३ वर्षे सेवा व पदव्युत्तर पदवी पुर्ण झाल्यावर सार्वजिनक आरोग्य विभागाचे (म्हणजेच सार्वजिनक आरोग्य विभागाच्या अखत्यारीतील आरोग्य संस्थांमध्येच) किमान ५ वर्षे सेवा करतील असे बंधपत्र (हमीपत्र) अभ्यासक्रमासाठी रूजू होण्यापूर्वी संचालक, आरोग्य सेवा—१, मुंबई यांच्याकडे भरून देणे आवश्यक राहील. बंधपत्रातील अटी न पाळल्यास सदर वैद्यकीय अधिका—यांना

रू.५०,००,०००/— (रू. पन्नास लक्ष) एवढी किंवा शासन वेळोवेळी विहीत करेल एवढी बंधपत्राची रक्कम दंड म्हणून संचालक, आरोग्य सेवा—१, मुंबई यांचेकडे जमा करावी लागेल व असा दंड वसूल करावयाची जबाबदारी संचालक, आरोग्य सेवा—१, मुंबई यांची राहील."

### (Clause 9.1 of G.R. dated 26-03-2020)

| शासन निर्णय क्र.मवैअ २०१९/प्रक.  | शासन निर्णय क.मवैअ २०१९/प्रक.          |
|----------------------------------|--|
| १६५/सेवा ३ दिनांक १९.०३.२०१९     | १६५ (भाग अ)/सेवा ३ दिनांक              |
| (संकेतांक क्र.                   | मार्च २०२० च्या शुध्दीपत्रकान्वये      |
| २०१९०३१३१०४७१२३४१७)              | करण्यात आलेल्या सुधारणा                |
| ९.१ — १९.३.१९ च्या शासन निर्णयात | शासन निर्णयातील मुद्दा क्रमांक ९       |
| नाही.                            | मध्ये ९.१ चा नव्याने अंतर्भूत:         |
|                                  |  |
|                                  | ९.१ — सेवांतर्गत पदव्युत्तर पदविका     |
|                                  | पुर्ण केल्यानंतर बंधपत्र पूर्ण करणे    |
|                                  | आवश्यक राहील. संबंधीत वैद्यकीय         |
|                                  | अधिका—यास पदव्युत्तर पदवी              |
|                                  | करावयाची असल्यास पदविकेसाठीचा          |
|                                  | बंधपत्र कालावधी पुर्ण करणे             |
|                                  | आवश्यक राहील. बंधपत्र कालावधी          |
|                                  | पूर्ण न केल्यास मुद्दा क्रमांक ९ मध्ये |
|                                  | नमूद दंडात्मक स्क्कम भरूनच             |
|                                  | संबंधीत वैद्यकीय अधिका—यास             |
|                                  | पदव्युत्तर पदवीसाठी पात्र ठरविण्यात    |
|                                  | येईल.                                  |

- 10. In none of the above G.Rs. it has been mandated that the bond amount has to be paid alongwith the application seeking permission to apply for PG Course as in-service candidate.
- 11. Vide application dated 26-09-2022, the applicant has requested the respondent authorities to accept the bond amount. However, that request was not considered and the applicant was required to approach the Hon'ble High Court. After the order was passed by the Hon'ble High

Court to consider the said application the concerned authority has rejected the application filed by the applicant referring to the clause 9 of G.R. dated 19-03-2019 and clause 9.1 of G.R. dated 26-03-2020.

- 12. It appears to me that the relevant provisions in aforesaid both the G.Rs. have not been properly interpreted by the respondents. *Prima facie*, therefore, it appears to me that the non-payment of bond amount at the time of making application for NEET PG Examination cannot be a reason for rejecting the candidature of the applicant for inservice candidate for PG Course.
- 13. The second reason assigned by the respondents is that, the applicant did not submit the application seeking No Objection for appearing in the NEET PG Examination before filing such application. It is true that, the applicant filled in application for NEET PG Examination 2022 in the month of February, 2022. It is further not in dispute that the applicant preferred an application seeking no objection to sit for the NEET PG Examination in the month of April, 2022.
- 14. As per clause 4.1 of the G.R. dated 19-03-2019 a candidate has to seek No Objection before making an application for the NEET PG Examination. However, the

respondent no.3 did not respond to the application filed by the applicant in the month of April, 2022 seeking No Objection for appearing in the NEET PG Examination. It was open for the said respondent to decide the application of the applicant submitted in the month of April, 2022 before the applicant appears for the said examination in There was no reply from the side of the May, 2022. respondents, the applicant appeared for the NEET PG Examination 2022 and secured 312 marks. As has been submitted by the learned Counsel for the applicant since the application preferred by the applicant on 02-04-2022 seeking permission to appear for NEET PG Examination was not rejected till the date of NEET PG Examination, the applicant presumed the said application to have been allowed and hence appeared for the NEET PG Examination.

15. Learned Counsel further pointed out that in the brochure of the NEET PG Examination also no such requirement is mandated that in-service candidate should obtain permission prior to making the application for the said examination. From the facts and circumstances which have come on record, it prima facie appears that the candidature of the applicant cannot be kept out of consideration on the aforesaid ground. However, the said aspect will certainly require adjudication at the time of final

hearing. Since the process for admission is in progress, I deem it appropriate to pass the following **ad-interim order**:

### ORDER

- (i) Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 14-11-2022.
- (ii) Respondents shall provisionally consider the candidature of the applicant as in-service candidate for PG Course by further considering the weightage, if any, liable to be given towards the service claimed to have been rendered by the applicant in rural, difficult or remote areas.
- (iii) Respondents shall file their reply on or before 14-11-2022 on interim relief application and shall show cause why this ad-interim order shall not be confirmed.
- (iv) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- (v) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- (vi) The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the

Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

(vii) S.O. to 14-11-2022.

(viii) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 17-10-2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 311/2019 (Smt. Rekha I. Manikhedkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri A.D. Patil, learned counsel holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. The learned counsel has sought time for filing the rejoinder affidavit of the applicant. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 24.11.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 115/2020 (Shri Pravin S. Thakre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri A.D. Patil, learned counsel holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing the affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 24.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 387/2020 (Shri Ajay R. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri N.J. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing the affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 24.11.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 552/2020 (Shri Vyankat N. Gaikwad & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri Sharad V. Natu, learned counsel for respondent nos. 4, 8 & 9 (absent).

- 2. The learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing the affidavit in reply of the remaining respondents. Time granted. The learned counsel for the applicant has also sought time for filing the rejoinder affidavit to the affidavit in reply of respondents, who have filed their reply.
- 3. S.O. to 25.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 582/2020 (Muktar F. Tadvi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri D.B. Shinde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. The learned counsel has sought time for filing the rejoinder affidavit of the applicant. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 25.11.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 24/2021 (Dr. Vaishali S. Ganjewar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.....

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. The learned PO has tendered across the reply of respondent nos. 1 to 6. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.
- 3. S.O. to 25.11.2022 for fling rejoinder, if any, by the applicant.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

O.A. NOS. 124, 125 AND 155 ALL OF 2021 (Shri Kiran B. Kamble & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

------

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicants in all these matters and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these matters, are present.

- 2. The learned counsel tendered across the bar the rejoinder affidavit of the applicant in O.A. No. 155/2021. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.
- 3. S.O. to 29.11.2022 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 623/2021 (Mehboobali Y. Khan Pathan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. The learned counsel has sought time for filing the rejoinder affidavit of the applicant. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 28.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 631/2022 (Shri Ratan G. Kunte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Vinod Dhotre, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. The learned P.O. has sought time for filing the affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 28.11.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 42/2022 (Shri Surendranath B. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri S.S. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.D. Kotkar, learned counsel for respondent no. 17, are present.

- 2. Await service.
- 3. S.O. to 28.11.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 243/2022 (Shri Ghansham D. Chavan & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri D.T. Dewane, learned Counsel for the applicants, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel for respondent no.2, are present.

- 2. The learned P.O. / learned counsel for the respective respondents have sought time for filing the affidavit in reply as per order dated 10.8.2022. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 28.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 291/2022 (Smt. Manisha G. Bargaje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri P.G. Tambde, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. The learned counsel has sought time for filing the rejoinder affidavit of the applicant. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 28.11.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 454/2022 (Shri Rajendra B. Kawale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri V.V. Gujar, learned Counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

- 2. The learned P.O. has tendered across the bar the affidavit in reply of respondent no. 2. It is taken on record. The learned P.O. undertook to supply copy of reply of respondent no. 2 upon the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 28.11.2022 for filing rejoinder, if any, by the applicant.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 490/2022 (Shri Satish L. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. The learned C.P.O. has sought time for filing the affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 29.11.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 499/2022 (Shri Laxminarayan A. Shingare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Vikrant Palsikar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. The learned P.O. has sought time for filing the affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 29.11.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 521/2022 (Shri Shivling S. Hankare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. The learned P.O. has sought time for filing the affidavit in reply of the rest of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 29.11.2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 651/2022 (Shri Santosh S. Suparkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. The learned P.O. has tendered across the bar the affidavit in reply of respondent nos. 2 & 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.
- 3. S.O. to 30.11.2022 for filing rejoinder, if any, by the applicant.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 681/2022 (Nashaboina S. Yadgiri Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Yogesh P. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for respondent nos. 2 & 3, are present.

2. S.O. to 30.11.2022 for filing the affidavit in reply.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 870/2019 (Dr. Devrao S. Dakhure Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE** : 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. In the present matter the respondents were directed to place on record certain information vide order passed on 6.9.2022. Accordingly, the learned Presenting Officer has furnished on record the said information. The said information with the covering letter dated. 14.10.2022 is taken on record and copy of the documents produced on record is supplied to other side.
- 3. List the present matter for further consideration on 9.11.2022. **High on Board**.

VICE CHAIRMAN

T.A. NO. 17/2022 (W.P. NO. 5627/2022) (Shri Yadav S. Sonkamble & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 9.11.2022 in Regular Admission Category.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 670/2022 (Dr. Rajeshree N. Agarwal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.B. Kakade, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

- 2. The present Original Application has been filed seeking following reliefs:-
  - "(A) The original application may please be allowed.
  - (B) The respondent may kindly be directed to issue continuation order to applicant from March 2022 as a Medical Officer at Women's Hospital, Jalna as qualified Gynecologist.
  - (b-1) The respondent may kindly be directed to issue appointment order to applicant as Medical Officer at Women's Hospital, Jalna on vacant place.
  - (b-ii) The respondent may kindly be directed not to advertise the vacant post of Medical Officer at Women's Hospital, Jalna till final disposal of this application.
  - (b-iii) The Enquiry Report dated 17.03.2022 issued by Dr. Rejendra S. Patil, Medical Superintendent and Dr. Smt. Archana V. Bhosale, Civil Surgeon, Civil Hospital, Jalna may kindly be quashed and set aside.
  - (C) Any other suitable and equitable reliefs which deems fit may kindly be granted in favour of the applicant.

### Interim Relief

- (A) Pending hearing and final disposal of the Original Application the respondent authority be restrained from advertising the post of Medical Officer at District Women Hospital, Jalna.
- (a-i) During the pendency of Original Application and final disposal of the Original Application the process of recruitment of Medical Officer, Districts Women's Hospital may kindly be stayed."
- 3. After filing the present application the applicant sought amendment in the O.A., which was allowed and the amendment has been carried out. Thereafter the applicant has filed short affidavit on 3.10.2022. The learned counsel for the applicant has prayed for interim relief thereby restraining the respondents from filling the post of Medical Officer at District Women's Hospital, Jalna. It is the contention of the applicant that false allegations were made against the applicant and enquiry in that behalf is conducted behind her back. The learned counsel submitted that the applicant has been working on the said post since year 2013. The learned counsel in the circumstances has prayed for interim relief as noted above.
- 4. The learned Chief Presenting Officer has strongly opposed for granting any interim relief. The learned C.P.O. submitted that there were serious complaints against the applicant and on the basis of the said complaints enquiry was conducted by the respondents wherein prima-facie substance was found. The learned C.P.O. further submitted that the applicant being contractual employee the respondents were not expected to conduct a regular

departmental enquiry. In the circumstances, the respondents have taken the decision not to continue the applicant further on the said post. The learned C.P.O. further submitted that the present is not the case that the applicant has been dismissed by way of punishment. He further submitted that in fact the appointment on the post on which the applicant was working has been already made and according to his information the concerned Medical Officer has joined on the said post.

- 5. According to the learned counsel for the applicant though another candidate was appointed on the post on which the applicant was working and though said candidate joined subsequently the said candidate resigned from the said post. The learned counsel in the circumstances prayed for restraining the respondents from filling the subject post.
- 6. After having considered the submissions advanced by the learned counsel appearing for the applicant and the learned C.P.O. for the respondents, it does not appear to me that it is possible to accept the request of the applicant to keep the subject post vacant. It would be against the public policy to keep the post of Medical Officer vacant. The issue involved in the present matter is whether the contractual employee possesses any right as the regular employee. Another question which requires adjudication is whether the stigma attached to the applicant without conducting enquiry can be sustained. These issues can be decided at the time of final hearing and till then the post of Medical Officer cannot be kept vacant. However, if ultimately the applicant succeeds necessary reliefs can be granted in favour of the applicant. In the

circumstances, the request for interim relief is rejected. The respondents to file affidavit in reply on or before the next date so that the matter can be taken up for further consideration immediately.

7. S.O. to 15.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

O.A. NO. 810/2021 WITH M.A. NO. 219/2022 (Shri Ganesh B. Agale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. When the present matter is taken up consideration Shri Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the Revenue Qualifying Examination (for short RQ Examination) for this year is scheduled on 1.11.2022. The learned counsel has tendered across the bar the Circular and the RQ Examination program. Same is taken on record. The learned counsel for the applicant submitted that vide the interim order passed by this Tribunal on 21.12.2021 the applicant was permitted to appear for the RQ Examination to be held in May-2022, however, the result was directed to be withheld subject to the result of the enquiry conducted against the applicant in connection with the alleged misconduct of copying in the examination held in May-2021. The learned counsel pointed out that the departmental enquiry has been completed and the applicant has been punished and his 2 increments are stopped without giving cumulative effective. The learned counsel submitted that for one misconduct the applicant cannot be subjected for 2 punishments. The said issue is to be adjudicated in the present matter. The

::-2-::

learned counsel for the applicant however further prayed that if the respondents are not directed to declare the result of the RQ Examination held in May-2022, the applicant may lose the chance to appear in the RQ Examination to be held on 1.11.2022 if he would have failed in some of the subjects in the RQ Examination held in May-2022. If he is declared fail in some of the subjects in the RQ Examination held in May-2022 then he may be required to appear in the RQ Examination to be held on 1.11.2022 for the said papers. He therefore prayed for declaring the result of the RQ Examination held in May-2022 and to provisionally permit the applicant to appear in the RQ Examination to be held on 1.11.2022.

- 3. The learned Chief Presenting Officer has strongly opposed for granting any interim relief stating that serious misconduct of copying has been proved against the applicant and in the circumstances he cannot be again permitted to appear in the RQ Examination to be held on 1.11.2022.
- 4. As has been mentioned hereinabove, the order debarring the applicant from appearing in the RQ Examination for 2 years is yet to be adjudicated. In the meanwhile as has been submitted by the learned counsel the enquiry conducted for same misconduct has been culminated in imposing punishment of stoppage of 2 increments. In the circumstances, prima-facie case is made out for accepting the request of the applicant. Hence the following order:-

### ORDER

- (i) The respondents shall declare the result of the RQ Examination held in May-2022 on or before 25.10.2022 and shall allow the applicant to appear, if required, in RQ Examination to be held on 1.11.2022.
- (ii) S.O. to 17.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17.10-2022

O.A. NO. 381/2022 WITH M.A. NO. 220/2022 (Smt. Manjushree S. Deokar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. the present matter is taken up When consideration Shri Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the Revenue Qualifying Examination (for short RQ Examination) for this year is scheduled on 1.11.2022. The learned counsel has tendered across the bar the Circular and the RQ Examination program. Same is taken on record. The learned counsel for the applicant submitted that vide the interim order passed by this Tribunal on 28.4.2022 the applicant was permitted to appear for the RQ Examination to be held in May-2022, however, the result was directed to be withheld subject to the result of the enquiry conducted against the applicant in connection with the alleged misconduct of copying in the examination held in May-2021. The learned counsel pointed out that the departmental enquiry has been completed and the applicant has been punished and her 2 increments are stopped without giving cumulative effective. The learned counsel submitted that for one misconduct the applicant cannot be subjected for 2 punishments. The said issue is to be adjudicated in the present matter. The

::-2-::

learned counsel for the applicant however further prayed that if the respondents are not directed to declare the result of the RQ Examination held in May-2022, the applicant may lose the chance to appear in the RQ Examination to be held on 1.11.2022 if she would have failed in some of the subjects in the RQ Examination held in May-2022. If she is declared fail in some of the subjects in the RQ Examination held in May-2022 then she may be required to appear in the RQ Examination to be held on 1.11.2022 for the said papers. He therefore prayed for declaring the result of the RQ Examination held in May-2022 and to provisionally permit the applicant to appear in the RQ Examination to be held on 1.11.2022.

- 3. The learned Chief Presenting Officer has strongly opposed for granting any interim relief stating that serious misconduct of copying has been proved against the applicant and in the circumstances she cannot be again permitted to appear in the RQ Examination to be held on 1.11.2022.
- 4. As has been mentioned hereinabove, the order debarring the applicant from appearing in the RQ Examination for 2 years is yet to be adjudicated. In the meanwhile as has been submitted by the learned counsel the enquiry conducted for same misconduct has been culminated in imposing punishment of stoppage of 2 increments. In the circumstances, prima-facie case is made out for accepting the request of the applicant. Hence the following order:-

### ORDER

- (i) The respondents shall declare the result of the RQ Examination held in May-2022 on or before 25.10.2022 and shall allow the applicant to appear, if required, in RQ Examination to be held on 1.11.2022.
- (ii) S.O. to 17.11.2022.

::-3-::

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 17.10-2022

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 230 OF 2020 (Ashvini D. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.11.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 352/2022 in O.A. St. No. 962/2022 (Dinakar S. Gawande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri O.D. Mane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the present M.A. with liberty to file fresh application appropriately in view of office objection.
- 3. I have no reason to refuse the permission. Hence, permission for withdrawal of the present M.A. is granted. The M.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the applicant to file fresh M.A. appropriately in view of office objection. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)** 

M.A. No. 392/2022 in O.A. St. No. 78/2022 (Ashru M. Kaldate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.M. Hambe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21.11.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 428/2022 in T.A. 09/2022 (W.P. 8518/2022) (Laxman M. Kashid & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ketan Pote, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 09.11.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 445/2022 in T.A. 10/2022 (W.P. 4726/2022) (Namdev V. Shelar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ketan Pote, learned Advocate Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 09.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 763 OF 2022 (Shivram N. Dhapate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM . Handhia Chai V.D. Danama Manchan (I)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.M. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 21.11.2022.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 21.11.2022.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 917 OF 2022

(Santosh P. Madane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri C.R. Thorat, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 10.11.2022.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 10.11.2022.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 588 OF 2020 (Savita S. Salve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 14.11.2022 for passing necessary orders.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 51 OF 2021 (Devidas F. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Amit Savale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to compassionate appointment. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 22.11.2022.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 199 OF 2021 (Ravindra N. Kshirsagar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri C.R. More, learned Advocate holding for Shri G.J. Pahilwan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.11.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 356 OF 2021 (Mirza Saleem Baig Ismail Baig V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that the affidavit in rejoinder is filed contending that similarly situated employees have been granted benefits of refund of recovered amount and as such, the response of respondents is necessary by way of sur-rejoinder. He further submitted that the respondents are orally telling the applicant that because of pendency of the O.A. they are not able to refund the recovered amount to the applicant.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer submits that he will seek instructions from the concerned respondent/s on this aspect of the matter and will file sur-rejoinder, if necessary and therefore, he seeks time. Time granted.
- 4. S.O. to 15.11.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 358 OF 2021

(Taj Mohamad Khan Ameer Mohamad Khan Durani V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that the affidavit in rejoinder is filed contending that similarly situated employees have been granted benefits of refund of recovered amount and as such, the response of respondents is necessary by way of sur-rejoinder. He further submitted that the respondents are orally telling the applicant that because of pendency of the O.A. they are not able to refund the recovered amount to the applicant.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer submits that he will seek instructions from the concerned respondent/s on this aspect of the matter and will file sur-rejoinder, if necessary and therefore, he seeks time. Time granted.
- 4. S.O. to 15.11.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 723 OF 2021 (Amol V. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**DATE** : 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri G.P. Darandale, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.N. Kakade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.11.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 753 OF 2021 (Shankar P. Dhupe & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to arrears of wages. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 22.11.2022.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 63 OF 2022 (Sandeep S. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Kishor Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to compassionate appointment. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 25.11.2022.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 331 OF 2022 (Mandabai C. Khambat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to getting family pension after one year of missing of pensioner applicant. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 18.11.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 506 OF 2022 (Mangala V. Donde & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Amit Savale, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to compassionate appointment. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 22.11.2022.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 539 OF 2022 (Bhimraj S. Sontkamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri M.M. Parghane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.11.2022.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 573 OF 2022 (Anandsingh B. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 21.11.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 574 OF 2022 (Soma M. Dhangar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM . Hon'hia Shei V.D. Dongeo Momboe (I)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 21.11.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 459/2019 in O.A. No. 618/2019 (Dhondiram G. Kamble died through his LRs. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.A. Bharat, learned Advocate holding for Shri U.L. Momale, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 21.11.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 135/2020 in O.A. ST. No. 336/2020 (Khurshid Begum Mohd. Moosa V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.G. Dalal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.11.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 194/2020 in O.A. No. 174/2020 (Ravindra P. Bobekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.G. Vasmatkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Khande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 (**Absent**).

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.11.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 993 OF 2019 (Dileep R. Joshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.
- 3. S.O. to 22.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 994 OF 2019 (Dileep K. Thorat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1. Shri Ajikya Reddy, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3, **absent**.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.
- 3. S.O. to 22.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 165 OF 2020 (Babu D. Ghute V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.
- 3. S.O. to 18.11.2022.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 97 OF 2021 (Shahaji W. Surwase V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.D. Godbharle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.
- 3. S.O. to 12.12.2022.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 98 OF 2021 (Bhagwat B. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

\_\_\_\_\_

**DATE** : 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.D. Godbharle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.
- 3. S.O. to 12.12.2022.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 117 OF 2021 (Sudhir R. Tambe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply to the amended O.A.
- 3. S.O. to 22.11.2022.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 817 OF 2021 (Vilas K. Dhole V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri K.N. Shermale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice on the respondents.
- 3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 14.11.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 159 OF 2022 (Sanjay D. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Akshay Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.
- 3. S.O. to 23.11.2022.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 232 OF 2022 (Sudhakar Y. Dandge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRI

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.....

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.O. Awasarmol, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.
- 3. S.O. to 24.11.2022.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 233 OF 2021 (Sudhakar Y. Dandge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.O. Awasarmol, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.
- 3. S.O. to 24.11.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 269 OF 2022 (Sharda V. Andure & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avishkar Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

- 2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3.
- 3. At the request of learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 1 to 3, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.
- 4. S.O. to 16.11.2022.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 657 OF 2022 (Laxman H. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.D. Godbharle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 11.11.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 672 OF 2022 (Dinesh R. Choudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.G. More, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.N. Pagare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.
- 3. S.O. to 21.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 673 OF 2022 (Dinesh R. Choudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.G. More, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.N. Pagare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.
- 3. S.O. to 21.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 674 OF 2022 (Dinesh R. Choudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.G. More, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.N. Pagare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.
- 3. S.O. to 21.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 689 OF 2022 (Madhav B. Marde & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAW . Harible Chri V.D. Danger Marchag (1)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Kishor Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 5 & 6, **absent**.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4.
- 3. S.O. to 14.11.2022.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 721 OF 2022 (Laxman R. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 24.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 722 OF 2022 (Devidas M. Kandhare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 24.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 723 OF 2022 (Dattatrya K. Istake V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 24.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 724 OF 2022 (Baban N. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 24.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 733 OF 2022 (Rahul R. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Avinash Khedkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submits that during the course of the day affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 4 would be filed.
- 3. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2, 3 & 5.
- 4. S.O. to 22.11.2022.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 815 OF 2022 (Akash D. Nagrale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.P. Salgar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice on the respondents.
- 3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.11.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 862 OF 2022 (Shankar S. Patange V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Avinash Khedkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice on the respondents.
- 3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 25.11.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 869 OF 2022 (Ganpat L. Shewalkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 07.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 892 OF 2022 (Balaji V. Potdar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 07.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 893 OF 2022 (Ganpat L. Shewalkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 07.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 894 OF 2022 (Umakant K. Damekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 07.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 895 OF 2022

(Dnyaneshwar Dnyanoba Bhimrao Tidke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 07.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

M.A. No. 567/2019 in O.A. St. No. 2091/2019 (Baburao K. Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Shakil U. Shaikh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit in M.A..
- 3. S.O. to 17.11.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 306/2020 in O.A. St. No. 1336/2020 (Sanjeev R. Kadam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.D. Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted as one more last chance for filing rejoinder affidavit in M.A., if any.
- 3. S.O. to 15.11.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

M.A. No. 143/2022 in O.A. St. No. 75/2022 (Sudhir S. Bramhne V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM . Hawkla Chri V.D. Dangua Mambau (I)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri A.B. Kale, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 18.11.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 178/2022 in O.A. St. No. 447/2022 (Ratnabai B. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Amit Savale, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.R. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice on the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21.11.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 183/2022 in O.A. St. No. 2093/2019 (Rameshwar N. Gupta V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Shakil U. Shaikh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit in M.A..
- 3. S.O. to 17.11.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 567/2019 in O.A. St. No. 2091/2019 (Baburao K. Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Shakil U. Shaikh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit in M.A..
- 3. S.O. to 17.11.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 209/2022 in O.A. St. No. 108/2021 (Ashok R. Jujgar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A..
- 3. S.O. to 18.11.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 295/2022 in O.A. St. No. 910/2022 (Nanda S. Nagare & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A..
- 3. S.O. to 23.11.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 79/2022 in O.A. St. No. 216/2022 (Sahebrao C. Shinde & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondents. Hence, the present matter will proceed further without affidavit in reply of the respondents in accordance with law.
- 3. S.O. to 21.11.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.205 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.680 OF 2020 (Ravindra Ganpat Barde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

#### ORDER

By this application the applicant is seeking condonation of delay of about 3 years, 2 months and 10 days caused in filing the accompanying Original Application under Section 19 of Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 seeking revision in pension and arrears of pension.

2. The applicant stood retired on superannuation on 31.05.2017 from the post of Police Sub Inspector office of respondent No.1 i.e. the Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar Dist. Ahmednagar. At the time of retirement the applicant was working on the post of P.S.I. and his pay was fixed in the pay scale of Rs.5200-20200 + Grade Pay of Rs.4300/-. This pay scale was granted in view of the promotion of the applicant to the post of Police Sub Inspector on 09.04.2012. However, after retirement,

the pension papers of the applicant were prepared of the post of Assistant Sub Inspector in the pay scale of Rs.5200-2022 + Grade Pay of Rs.2800/-. Thereby the applicant was put to loss in getting pension only because the applicant was temporarily promoted and that therefore he was not eligible for drawing the pension of the post of Police sub Inspector. In view of the same, the applicant is seeking revision in pension for which he is entitled. The applicant is not responsible for wrong pension fixation. The applicant was waiting that some positive steps would be taken by the respondents. The delay is not deliberate. Hence, this application.

3. Affidavit-in-reply is filed on behalf of the respondent No.1 by one Pranjali Navnath Sonavane working as Deputy Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar, thereby it is contended that the Special Inspector General vide it's order dated 09.04.2012 gave posting of 30 non-qualified Assistant Sub-Inspector including the applicant under Rule 90(3) of Mumbai Police Act on the post of temporary P.S.I. Those temporary Police Sub-Inspectors were not continued

for more than period of 90 days from their appointment. Before completing 90 days, they were reverted to the post of Assistant Sub-Inspector. After one day gap they were re-appointed on the post of temporary Police Sub-Inspector. In view of the same, the applicant is not entitled for getting benefit of the pay scale of Police Sub-Inspector. There is no merit in the case of the applicant. No sufficient cause is shown by the applicant. Hence, the application is liable to be dismissed.

- 4. The applicant filed affidavit-in-rejoinder denying the adverse contentions raised in the affidavit-in-reply and reiterating the contentions raised in the application.
- 5. I have heard at length the arguments advanced by Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant on one hand and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer representing the respondents on other hand.
- 6. After having considered the rival pleadings and submissions, it appears that the applicant is seeking revision in pension. The parties are at dispute as to

whether the applicant being temporarily promoted to the post of Police Sub-Inspector and continued with technical break would be entitled for fixation of pension in the pay scale of Police Sub-Inspector. The said aspect is required to be taken into consideration in the Original Application. Prima-facie it cannot be said that there is no merit in the contentions raised by the applicant. The applicant has also relied upon the pay fixation of similarly situated persons. there is delay of about 3 years 2 months and 10 days the Original Application along with delav as condonation application is presented on 10.08.2020, whereas the applicant stood retired on 31.05.2017. However, the said delay cannot be said to be deliberate or intentional one. Thereby, the applicant had nothing to gain. Considering the case of the applicant, it cannot be said that if his case is considered other Government servant will be adversely affected.

7. It is a settled principle of law that the expression "sufficient cause" is to be construed liberally. Refusing to give indulgence is likely to defeat the cause of justice at the threshold. In view of the same, this is a

fit case to condone the delay of about 3 years, 2 months and 10 days caused in filing the Original Application by imposing moderate costs upon the applicant. I compute the costs of Rs.1,000/- (One Thousand only) on the applicant and proceed to pass the following order: -

#### ORDER

The Misc. Application No. 205/2020 is allowed in following terms:-

- (A) The delay of about 3 years, 2 months and 10 days caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned subject to payment of costs of Rs. 1000/- (One Thousand only) by the applicant. The amount of costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal within a period of one month from the date of this order.
- (B) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.

#### MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.204 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.678 OF 2020 (Ramdas Nagoji Sangle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

#### ORDER

By this application the applicant is seeking condonation of delay of about 4 years, 1 month and 31 days caused in filing the accompanying Original Application under Section 19 of Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 seeking revision in pension and arrears of pension.

2. The applicant stood retired on superannuation on 30.06.2015 from the post of Police Sub Inspector office of respondent No.1 i.e. the Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar Dist. Ahmednagar. At the time of retirement the applicant was working on the post of P.S.I. and his pay was fixed in the pay scale of Rs.5200-20200 + Grade Pay of Rs.4300/-. This pay scale was granted in view of the promotion of the applicant to the post of Police Sub Inspector on 09.04.2012. However, after retirement,

the pension papers of the applicant were prepared of the post of Assistant Sub Inspector in the pay scale of Rs.5200-2022 + Grade Pay of Rs.2800/-. Thereby the applicant was put to loss in getting pension only because the applicant was temporarily promoted and that therefore he was not eligible for drawing the pension of the post of Police sub Inspector. In view of the same, the applicant is seeking revision in pension for which he is entitled. The applicant is not responsible for wrong pension fixation. The applicant was waiting that some positive steps would be taken by the respondents. The delay is not deliberate. Hence, this application.

3. Affidavit-in-reply is filed on behalf of the respondent No.1 by one Pranjali Navnath Sonavane working as Deputy Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar, thereby it is contended that the Special Inspector General vide it's order dated 09.04.2012 gave posting of 30 non-qualified Assistant Sub-Inspector including the applicant under Rule 90(3) of Mumbai Police Act on the post of temporary P.S.I. Those temporary Police Sub-Inspectors were not continued

for more than period of 90 days from their appointment. Before completing 90 days, they were reverted to the post of Assistant Sub-Inspector. After one day gap they were re-appointed on the post of temporary Police Sub-Inspector. In view of the same, the applicant is not entitled for getting benefit of the pay scale of Police Sub-Inspector. There is no merit in the case of the applicant. No sufficient cause is shown by the applicant. Hence, the application is liable to be dismissed.

- 4. The applicant filed affidavit-in-rejoinder denying the adverse contentions raised in the affidavit-in-reply and reiterating the contentions raised in the application.
- 5. I have heard at length the arguments advanced by Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant on one hand and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer representing the respondents on other hand.
- 6. After having considered the rival pleadings and submissions, it appears that the applicant is seeking revision in pension. The parties are at dispute as to

whether the applicant being temporarily promoted to the post of Police Sub-Inspector and continued with technical break would be entitled for fixation of pension in the pay scale of Police Sub-Inspector. The said aspect is required to be taken into consideration in the Original Application. Prima-facie it cannot be said that there is no merit in the contentions raised by the applicant. The applicant has also relied upon the pay fixation of similarly situated persons. there is delay of about 3 years 2 months and 10 days the Original Application along with delav as condonation application is presented on 10.08.2020, whereas the applicant stood retired on 31.05.2017. However, the said delay cannot be said to be deliberate or intentional one. Thereby, the applicant had nothing to gain. Considering the case of the applicant, it cannot be said that if his case is considered other Government servant will be adversely affected.

7. It is a settled principle of law that the expression "sufficient cause" is to be construed liberally. Refusing to give indulgence is likely to defeat the cause of justice at the threshold. In view of the same, this is a

fit case to condone the delay of about 4 years, 1 month and 31 days caused in filing the Original Application by imposing moderate costs upon the applicant. I compute the costs of Rs.1,000/- (One Thousand only) on the applicant and proceed to pass the following order: -

#### ORDER

The Misc. Application No. 204/2020 is allowed in following terms:-

- (A) The delay of about 4 years, 1 month and 31 days caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned subject to payment of costs of Rs. 1000/- (One Thousand only) by the applicant. The amount of costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal within a period of one month from the date of this order.
- (B) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.

### MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.337 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.1240 OF 2020 (Nitin A. Shete V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

### ORDER

By this application the applicant is seeking condonation of delay of about 1 year, 10 months and 5 days caused in filing the accompanying Original Application under Section 19 of Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 seeking to challenge the impugned order/letter dated 22.12.2017 (Annex. 'D') issued by the respondent No.4 i.e. the Principal, Government I.T.I., Nanded Tq. & Dist. Nanded rejecting the claim of the applicant for compassionate appointment that compassionate appointment contending available to the heirs of the deceased Government servant working in the Group 'C' or 'D', whereas the deceased father of the applicant was getting salary in the pay scale of Rs.9300-34800 + Grade Pay of Rs.4300 and seeking direction for his appointment on compassionate ground.

- 2. The applicant is son of the deceased Government servant named Ashok Gurunath Shete, who died in harness on 10.07.2010 while working with the respondent No.4 on the post of Maths Instructor. Thereafter immediately the applicant made application on 16.11.2010 (Annex. 'B') seeking compassionate appointment. The applicant persuaded his claim by making subsequent representations dated 24.09.2012 and 21.09.2017 (Annex. 'C' collectively). However, without considering the claim of the applicant, the respondent No.4 issued impugned order/letter dated 22.12.2017 (Annex. 'D') rejecting the claim of the applicant for compassionate appointment.
- 3. It is contended that the deceased father of the applicant was having unblemished service. The claim of the applicant is rejected contending that the deceased father of the applicant was getting pay in the pay scale of Rs.9300-34800 with Grade Pay of Rs.4300/- which is for Group 'B'. In fact the deceased father of the applicant remained throughout on the same post as Maths Instructor falling in Group 'C' category. The applicant has good case on merits as

regards classification of the post of deceased father of the applicant in view of the G.R. dated 02.07.2002 (Annex. 'E' collectively) governing the classification of post on the basis of pay scale. There is however delay in filing the Original Application. The delay is not deliberate. The applicant could not instruct his Advocate as he was suffering from High Blood Pressure and was undergoing medical treatment. Hence, this application.

- 4. In spite of grant of opportunities, affidavit-inreply is not filed on behalf of the respondents.
- 5. I have heard at length the arguments advanced by Shri N.K. Tungar, learned Advocate for the applicant on one hand and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer representing the respondents on other hand.
- 6. Considering the date of impugned order/letter dated 22.12.2017 (Annex. 'D') and filing of this Original Application on or about 17.12.2020, there is delay of about 1 year 10 months and 5 days in filing the Original Application. It is a fact that from 23.03.2020 onwards there was nation wise Lock-down

due to Covid-19 pandemic situation. The applicant is seeking condonation of delay also on the medical ground for which he has placed on record the medical papers which would show that in the year 2019, he was suffering from High Blood Pressure and was undergoing medical treatment. So far as the merit of the case is concerned, it is to be seen as to whether the post held by the deceased father of the applicant was falling under Group 'C' or 'B' category. That is crucial in order to consider the claim of the applicant for compassionate appointment.

7. In the circumstances, refusing to give indulgence is likely to defeat the cause of justice at the threshold. It is a settled principle of law that the expression "sufficient cause" is to be construed liberally. Thereby the applicant had nothing to gain. In view of the same, this is a fit case to condone the delay of about 1 year, 10 months and 5 caused in filing the Original Application by imposing moderate costs upon the applicant. I compute the costs of Rs.500/- (Five Hundred only) on the applicant and proceed to pass the following order: -

## ORDER

The Misc. Application No. 337/2020 is allowed in following terms:-

- (A) The delay of about 1 year, 10 months and 5 days caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned subject to payment of costs of Rs. 500/- (Five Hundred only) by the applicant. The amount of costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal within a period of one month from the date of this order.
- (B) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.422 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.1760 OF 2021 (Abhijeet Gangadhar Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

DATE : 17.10.2022.

### ORDER

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

By this application the applicant is seeking condonation of delay of about 4 years and 02 days caused in filing the accompanying Original Application under Section 19 of Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 challenging the impugned communication/letter dated 28.01.2015 (Annex. '28' of O.A.) issued by the respondent No.2 i.e. the Superintending Engineer & Administrator, Command Area Development Authority, Latur, thereby rejecting the claim of the applicant for compassionate appointment stating that there is no provision for substitution of the name in the policy of compassionate appointment.

2. The father of the applicant namely Gangadhar Prabhu Kamble while in Government service in the office of Executive Engineer Majalgaon Project Division, Majalgaon on the post of Senior Clerk died in harness

on 15.02.1995. The mother of the applicant made compassionate appointment application for on 31.03.1995. On 16.10.2001, the applicant's mother's name was recommended for compassionate appointment in the office of the respondent No.5 but the appointment letter was not issued to her. completion of the age of 40 years, her name was deleted from the waiting list as per letter dated 15.07.2008. The applicant at that time was minor. He completed age of majority on 23.09.2011 and made application on 10.09.2013. His claim was rejected by the impugned communication stating that there is no provision for substitution of name in the scheme of compassionate appointment.

3. It is contended that there are various decisions of the Tribunal and Hon'ble High Court stating that restrictions of substation of name of legal heirs is not correct and such condition which was in G.R. dated 20.05.2015 is deleted. In view of the same, the applicant has good case on merits. There is, however, delay in presenting the Original Application. It is the contention of the applicant that the applicant was not

knowing that restriction of not substituting the name of the legal heirs was declared illegal by the decision of the Hon'ble High Court and also by decisions of various Benches of this Tribunal allowing the substitution of the name of the legal heirs. He got knowledge about the same when he read such news published in news papers on 20.11.2021. Before that Covid-19 pandemic situation was also going on. Hence, this application.

- 4. Affidavit-in-reply is filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 & 3 by one Sachin Ishwar Shivange working as Executive Engineer in the office of Majalgaon Canal Division No.7, Gangakhed, Dist. Parbhani, thereby he denied adverse contentions raised in the application and specifically contended that the applicant has no case on merits in view of concerned G.R. The impugned communication is legal and proper. In sufficient cause is shown by the applicant for huge delay. Hence, the application is liable to be rejected.
- 5. I have heard at length the arguments advanced by Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the

applicant on one, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer representing the respondent Nos.1,4,5 & 6 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3 on other hand.

- 6. The Original Application along with this delay condonation application is 15.12.2021 filed on challenging the impugned communication dated 28.01.2015 issued by the respondent No.2 rejecting the claim of the applicant for compassionate appointment stating that there is no provision of substitution of name of legal heirs in the policy of compassionate appointment. In view of the same, the delay is of about 5 years 10 months and not 4 years and 2 months. It appears that while calculating the said delay, the applicant has deducted the period of prevailing situation of Covid-19 pandemic situation since March, 2020 onwards for about one and half year.
- 7. Considering the facts of the case it appears that the applicant has good case on merits. The applicant and his family members are waiting for compassionate

appointment since 1995. Though the mother of the applicant was recommended for getting compassionate appointment, she did not get actual appointment. Moreover, there are various decisions of this Tribunal allowing the substitution of name of legal heir of deceased Government servant for compassionate appointment. In such circumstances, the case of the applicant is required to be considered. It is possible only after registration of the Original Application.

8. In the circumstances as above, refusing to condone delay is likely to defeat the cause of justice at the threshold. The delay caused in the matter cannot be said to be deliberate or intentional. Thereby the applicant had nothing to gain. It is a settled principle of law that the expression "sufficient cause" is to be construed liberally. In view of the same, this is a fit case to condone the delay of about 5 years and 10 months caused in filing the Original Application by imposing moderate costs upon the applicant. I compute the costs of Rs.1,500/- (One Thousand Five Hundred only) on the applicant and proceed to pass the following order: -

### ORDER

The Misc. Application No. 422/2021 is allowed in following terms:-

- (A) The delay of about 5 years and 10 months caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned subject to payment of costs of Rs. 1,500/- (One Thousand Five Hundred only) by the applicant. The amount of costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal within a period of one month from the date of this order.
- (B) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.

MEMBER (J)

## M.A.NO.191/2022 WITH M.A.NO.151/2022 IN M.A.NO.229/2021 IN O.A.ST.655/21

(Pundlik N. Amberao through L.Rs. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.G. Vasmatkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The original applicant namely Pundlik N. Amberao filed Original Application St.No.655/2021 seeking arrears of revised pay together with interest on account of his promotion to the post of Civil Engineering Assistant (wrongly mentioned as Assistant Civil Engineer). He filed the Original Application St.No.655/2021 together delay condonation application bearing M.A.229/2021.
- 3. During pendency of the said proceedings, the original applicant died on 10.12.2021. M.A.No.151/2022 is taken up by his heirs and legal representatives namely widow and son bringing themselves on record. The said M.A.No.151/2022 is filed on or about 18.02.2022. There is delay in filing the said application and therefore, they filed M.A.No.191/2022 on or about 29.04.2022 seeking condonation of delay.
- 4. Copy of the death certificate filed in M.A.No.151/2022 would show that the original

applicant died on 10.12.2021 i.e. during pendency of the O.A.St.No.655/2021 together with M.A.No.229/2021. This will show that the original applicant died during prevailing of Covid-19 pandemic situation.

- 5. Learned Advocate for the applicant has placed on record the order of the Hon'ble Apex Court dated 10.01.2022 passed in M.A.No.21 of 2022 In M.A.No.665 of 2021 in Suo Motu Writ Petition (C) **No.3 of 2020**, thereby excluding the period of limitation from 15.03.2020 to 28.02.2022. In view of the same, this is a fit case to allow the applicants to bring themselves on record as heirs and legal representatives of original applicant by condoning delay. Accordingly, M.A.No.191/2022 and M.A.No.151/2022 in O.A.St.No.655/2011 are allowed. Thereby by condoning the delay, the applicants namely the widow and son are allowed to bring themselves on record heirs and legal as representatives of the original applicant in M.A.No.229/2021 in O.A.St.No.655/2021.
- 6. Amendment be carried out in the said proceedings within the period of two weeks and to serve the amended copy on the other side. No order as to costs.

### M.A.NO.229 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.655/21

(Pundlik N. Amberao through L.Rs. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAN . Harita Shri V.D. Danna Marshar (I)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 17.10.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.G. Vasmatkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 23.11.2022.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.799 OF 2016

(Bhura R. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.
- 3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.835 OF 2018

(Sunil K.Pawara V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri C.V. Bhadane, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.
- 3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 14.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)** 

M.A.NO.401 OF 2022 IN O.A.NO.853 OF 2018

(Pramod C. Bute V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

CORAM . How bic Shir v.b. Dongie, in

DATE: 17.10.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks withdrawal of the present Misc. Application No.401/2022 with liberty to file fresh.
- 3. Permission to withdraw the present Misc. Application with liberty as prayed is granted.
- 4. Accordingly, the Misc. Application stands disposed of as withdrawn. No order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.853 OF 2018**

(Pramod C. Bute V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.
- 3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 17.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.420 OF 2019**

(Raju P. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 17.10.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.
- 3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.427 OF 2019

(Ranjeet S. Savale (Dhangar) V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAN . Hardle Chri V.D. Danne Marcher (I)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 17.10.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.
- 3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 24.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.712 OF 2021

(Dr. Subhash G. Kabade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.
- 3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 11.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.522 OF 2021

(Ravindra D. Raut V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri O.D. Mane, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.
- 3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 24.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.963 OF 2018**

(Vitthal G. Mhashal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
WITH

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.964 OF 2018

(Laxman C. Zole V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
WITH

### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.965 OF 2018**

\_\_\_\_\_\_

(Navnath G. Vairal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.J. Godbole, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these O.As.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 21.10.2022 for re-hearing.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.835 OF 2019

(Sanjay M. Churmurkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 17.10.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 25.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.929 OF 2019**

(Shaikh Mohd Rafi Shaikh Mohammad Shafi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 28.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.945 OF 2019

(Dr. Prashant D. Warkari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM . Handhia Shai V.D. Dangga Magabar (I)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 17.10.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 28.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.954 OF 2019**

(Rameshwar R. Chandak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Additional affidavit in reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 28.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.984 OF 2019

(Dr. Prithviraj K. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 17.10.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 30.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.87 OF 2020

(Rajendra b. Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_ CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 29.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 20 OF 2021

(Ramraje S. Chandane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 29.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.248 OF 2021

(Sunil S. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 to 4.

2. By consent of all the sides, S.O. to 29.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.288 OF 2021

(Shubham K. Shreebhate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 23.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.466 OF 2021

(Dr. Yashwant M. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 30.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.757 OF 2021

(Jayashri B. Bhokre & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAN . Harthle Shei V.D. Danne Marcher (T)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 17.10.2022.

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 23.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 17.10.2022

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.9 OF 2022

(Dr. Prithviraj K. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 17.10.2022.

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 30.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 17.10.2022

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.669 OF 2022

(Vivek T. Bade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 17.10.2022.

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the respondent No.3.

- 2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 14.11.2022 for final hearing.
- 3. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 17.10.2022

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.692 OF 2022

(Avinash T. Dhondge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.10.2022.

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent No.3.

- 2. This is a matter pertaining to transfer of the respondent No.3.
- 3. In view of the impugned transfer order, the applicant apprehends his mid-term transfer order as the respondent No.3 is posted in his place. In view of the same, the record of the Civil Services Board in respect of transfer order of the respondent No.3 is necessary to decide this O.A. The said original record was called for by order dated 07.09.2022.
- 4. Learned C.P.O. submits that the said record is not available today.
- 5. The respondents are directed to produce the same before the next date of hearing positively without fail.
- 6. S.O. to 14.11.2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

DATE 17.10.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.937 OF 2022 (Bharat Satwajirao Khanderay V/s The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# Per: Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson, M.A.T., Mumbai

- 1. Shri Jayant B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, ld. C.P.O. for the respondents, are present
- 2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 10.11.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **10.11.2022**.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 932 OF 2022

(Rahul K. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Kiran G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

- 2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 19.11.2022.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 19.11.2022.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 933 OF 2022

(Manisha S. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench)

**DATE**: 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Kiran G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

- 2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 19.11.2022.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 19.11.2022.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1281 OF 2022 (Ahemadkhan I. Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE : 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant, on instructions, submits that the purpose of filing the present Original Application has been served. The applicant is, therefore, intending to withdraw the present O.A. Hence, the following order: -

ORDER

The present Original Application stands disposed of since withdrawn. There shall be no order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1794 OF 2022

(Kamlakar S. Aandhale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

- 2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 11.11.2022.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 11.11.2022.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.NO. 450/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1794/2022 (Kamlakar S. Aandhale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

- 2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.
- 3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.
- 4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

C.P.NO. 31/2022 IN O.A.NO. 44/2020

(Asha WD/o Sandesh Gaikawad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

- 2. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant has tendered across the bar a copy of the order dated 11<sup>th</sup> October, 2022 passed by Hon'ble High Court of Bombay Bench at Aurangabad thereby rejecting the application for extension of time to comply with the order.
- 3. Issue simple notice to respondents, returnable on 30.11.2022.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 30.11.2022.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

C.P.NO. 30/2022 IN O.A.NO. 217/2021

(Sunil Trimbak Apte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench)

**DATE** : 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.R. Barlinge, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

- 2. When the present case is taken up for consideration, learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to substitute the name of Dr. Ashwini Joshi in place of Mr. Saurabh Vijay.
- 3. Leave granted as prayed for by the applicant. The necessary amendment be carried out forthwith.
- 4. After carrying out the necessary amendment by the applicant, issue simple notice to the said respondent, returnable on 28.11.2022.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure)

Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. S.O. to 28.11.2022.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 537 OF 2022 (Namdeo M. Wade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Three opportunities are already availed by the respondents to file affidavit in reply. In the interest of justice, time is granted by way of last chance for filing affidavit in reply.
- 3. S.O. to 20.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 603 OF 2017

(Jaideep Annappa Limbale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

- 2. The present case is not on board. It is taken on board at the request of learned counsel for the applicants.
- 3. Learned counsel appearing for the applicants submits that applicant Nos. 12 & 13 viz. Balasaheb S/o Shrikant Kadam & Shivaji S/o Gurappa Bokade do not desire to prosecute this Original Application further and hence, has prayed for disposing of the same insofar as those applicants are concerned.
- 4. In view of the aforesaid submission made on behalf of the said applicants, the following order is passed: -

#### ORDER

The present Original Application stands disposed of insofar as applicant Nos. 12 & 13 are concerned, as

they do not wish to prosecute the same further. There shall be no order as to costs.

(ii) S.O. to 29.11.2022 for final hearing insofar as remaining applicants are concerned.

# **VICE CHAIRMAN**

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 607 OF 2017

(Balaji Rajaram Parodwad & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

- 2. The present case is not on board. It is taken on board at the request of learned counsel for the applicants.
- 3. Learned counsel appearing for the applicants submits that applicant Nos. 1 & 5 viz. Balaji S/o Rajaram Parodwad & Ramesh S/o Vishwanath Jogpete, do not desire to prosecute this Original Application further and hence, has prayed for disposing of the same insofar as those applicants are concerned.
- 4. In view of the aforesaid submission made on behalf of the said applicants, the following order is passed: -

#### ORDER

The present Original Application stands disposed of insofar as applicant Nos. 1 & 5 are concerned, as

they do not wish to prosecute the same further. There shall be no order as to costs.

(ii) S.O. to 29.11.2022 for final hearing insofar as remaining applicants are concerned.

# **VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 690 OF 2022 (Sachin J. Gadekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri P.V. Bodke Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 29.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 705 OF 2022 (Amol V. Sanap & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri M.S. Karad, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. Await service for respondent No. 3.
- 3. S.O. to 28.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 726 OF 2022 (Dinesh N. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri D.T. Devane, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 29.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 782 OF 2022 (Bhausaheb A Shelke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.B. Solanke, learned counsel holding for Shri Amol R. Gaikwad, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. Await service.
- 3. S.O. to 28.11.2022. Interim relief to continue till then.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 793 OF 2022 (Babynanda G. Gosavi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 29.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 794 OF 2022 (Ishvar S. Survase V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 29.11.2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 813 OF 2022 (Sheshrao M. Koturwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 30.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 814 OF 2022 (Sanjay V. Birhade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 30.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.NO. 5/2021 O.A.ST.NO. 778/2020 (Shridhar R Kundatwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri R.D. Biradar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 17.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.NO. 140/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 494/2022 (Vinod V. Bandale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 24.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 655 OF 2021 (Rahul D. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri U.L. Talegaonkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shrise, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 21.11.2022 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 684 OF 2021 (Dr. Rekha G. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Smt. Neha Kamble, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted as a most last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 30.11.2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 01 OF 2022 (Vishnu B. More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 15.11.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 02 OF 2022 (Shantilal D. Hiwarale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 15.11.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 53 OF 2022 (Sudhakar N. Dusane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 29.11.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 54 OF 2022 (Bhagwat S. Mane & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 23.11.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.NO. 366/18 IN M.A.ST.1591/18 IN O.A.ST.1592/18 WITH M.A.353/20 IN O.A.ST.1469/20 WITH M.A.295/19 IN O.A.ST.993/19

(Dr. Ravindra A. Daware & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these cases, are present.

2. S.O. to 20.10.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.NO.601/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 2211/2019 (Maharashtra Rajya Rekhachitra Shakha Karmachari Sanghatna through its President Zaki Ahmed Jafri V/s. State of Maha. & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

**DATE** : 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri A.S. Khedkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 22.11.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.ST.NO. 1291/2020 IN O.A.NO.493/2018 (Rajendra K. Shimpi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE : 17.10.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri H.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shrise, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 23.11.2022 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.NO. 362/2021 IN O.A.NO. 745/2021 (Arvind D. Gaikwad & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 24.11.2022 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO. 174/2022 IN O.A.NO. 262/2017 (Trimbak G. Phasle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE : 17.10.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 25.11.2022 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 49 OF 2015 (Dattatray G. Zade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 23.11.2022 for final hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 245 OF 2015 (Jagannath H. Mhaske V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE : 17.10.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Ms. Angha Pandit, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 23.11.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 499 OF 2015 (Dr. Maroti D. Dake V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE : 17.10.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 24.11.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 156 OF 2017 (Raufkhan H. Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Anudip D. Sonar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 24.11.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 141 OF 2018 (Nanda P. Bankar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent No. 2, are present.

2. S.O. to 25.11.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 242 OF 2018 (Sayeeda Begum Syed Mohd. Ali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri U.T. Pathan, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. S.O. to 25.11.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 374 OF 2018 (Girish B. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 28.11.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

O.A.NO. 774/2018 WITH O.A.NO. 777/2018 (Narayan D. Nagtilak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned counsel holding for Shri M.P. Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both these cases, are present.

2. S.O. to 29.11.2022 for final hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 540 OF 2019 (The Maharashtra State Gazetted VeterinaryOfficers Union V/s.

State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

(The present case is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench)

DATE: 17.10.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri P.R. Tandale, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. S.O. to 29.11.2022 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

T.A.NO.18/2022 IN W.P.NO.9387/2022 (The Maharashtra Public Prosecutors Association Through its President V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to

non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17-10-2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.D.Joshi, learned Counsel holding for Shri P.R.Katneshwarkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 09-11-2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.517/2017 (Anup Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to

non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE** : 17-10-2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri M.V.Bhamre, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 16-11-2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.845/2017 (Rambhau Kuskar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to

non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17-10-2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 15-11-2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.569/2018 (Prashant Bonge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to

non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17-10-2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.B.Solanke, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 14-11-2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.232/2019 (Maruti Kamble & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to

non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17-10-2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 17-11-2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

C.P.NO.36/2019 IN O.A.NO.229/2015
(Dr. Bhaskar Borgaonkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
C.P.NO.37/2019 IN O.A.NO.230/2015
(Dr. Dilip Tandale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to

non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE** : 17-10-2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri P.R.Tandale, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 28-11-2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

C.P.NO.07/2021 IN O.A.NO.768/2017 (Rajan Lengde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to

non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 17-10-2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.B.Mene, learned Counsel for respondent nos.2 to 4, are present.

2. S.O. to 29-11-2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.192/2016 (Maroti Koli V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to

non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17-10-2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri A.S.Golegaonkar, learned Counsel for the applicant is **absent**.

Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting
Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 29-11-2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.577/2017 (Ramesh Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to

non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17-10-2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri A.G.Ambetkar, learned Counsel for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Saket Joshi, learned Counsel holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Counsel for respondent no.3, are present.

2. S.O. to 28-11-2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.387/2018 (Pramod Gite V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to

non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE** : 17-10-2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Saket Joshi, learned Counsel holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant, Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri R.D.Khadap, learned Counsel for respondent no.5, are present.

2. S.O. to 24-11-2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.756/2018 (Barindrakumar Gavit V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to

non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17-10-2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri G.R.Jadhav, learned Counsel holding for Shri Avishkar S. Shelke, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. Learned Counsel for the applicant has filed affidavit in rejoinder. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 28-11-2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.501/2020 (Dr. Prashant Shamkumar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to

non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17-10-2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 14-11-2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.557/2020 (Savita Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to

non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17-10-2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri A.A.More, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 29-11-2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.231/2021 (Sushilkumar Jadhav & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to

non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17-10-2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.B.Solanke, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 29-11-2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.463/2021
(Payal Tathe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
M.A.NO.242/2021 IN O.A.NO.299/2019
(Rahul Pol & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

.\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to

non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE** : 17-10-2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Ku. Preeti Wankhade, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 22-11-2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.485/2021 (Amol Kale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to

non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17-10-2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri M.G.Deokate, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri Sanjay Wakure, learned Counsel for respondent no.5 and Shri A.B.Chalak, learned Counsel for respondent no.6, are present.

2. S.O. to 29-11-2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.579/2021 (Siddharth Pandurnikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to

non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 17-10-2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.G.Kulkarni, learned Counsel holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant, Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Shailendra S. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for respondent no.4, are present.

2. S.O. to 29-11-2022.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.637/2021 (Ravindra Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

(This case is placed before Single Bench due to

non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 17-10-2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Prafull Bodade, learned Counsel holding for Shri J.B.Choudhary, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 30-11-2022.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**