# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J). <u>Dated : 06.11.2019</u>

### O.A.Nos.659,660,661,662,663,664, 665, 666,667,668,669 & 670 of 2018.

None for the applicants. Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3. None for R-4.

It seems that on the last date no one appeared for the applicants. Today also none appeared for the applicants.

S.O. 02/12/2019 for hearing as a last chance.

### Member (J)

O.A. No. 701/2017 (SB)

# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J). <u>Dated : 06.11.2019</u>

Shri A.N. Ansari, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., <u>S.O. two</u> weeks for filing reply as a last chance.

## Member (J)

O.A. No. 477/2018 (SB)

# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J). <u>Dated : 06.11.2019</u>

None for the applicant. Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two** weeks for filing reply.

#### Member (J)

O.A. No. 928/2018 (SB)

# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J). <u>Dated : 06.11.2019</u>

Shri S.P. Palshikar, ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, ld. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., <u>S.O. one</u> week for filing reply.

## Member (J)

O.A. No. 176/2019 (SB)

# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J). <u>Dated : 06.11.2019</u>

None for the applicant. Shri M.I. Khan, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 3. None for R-4 to 6.

S.O. three weeks.

Member (J)

O.A. No. 618/2019 (SB)

# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J). <u>Dated : 06.11.2019</u>

Shri D. Chatterjee, ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, ld. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., <u>S.O. three</u> weeks for filing reply.

## Member (J)

O.A. No. 636/2019 (SB)

# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J). <u>Dated : 06.11.2019</u>

None for the applicant. Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for R-1 to 4. None for R-5.

At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. two** weeks for filing reply.

#### Member (J)

O.A. No. 674/2019 (SB)

# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J). <u>Dated : 06.11.2019</u>

Ms. P. Bambole, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **<u>S.O. three</u>** weeks for filing reply.

## Member (J)

O.A. No. 740/2019 (SB)

# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J). <u>Dated : 06.11.2019</u>

Shri S.P. Palshikar, ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, ld. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. P.O., **<u>S.O.</u> <u>20/11/2019</u>** for filing reply.

## Member (J)

# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J). <u>Dated : 06.11.2019</u>

Shri S.P. Palshikar, ld. counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M. Ghogre, ld. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2&3.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the respondent nos.2&3 are served and he will file service affidavit.

3. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply. At his request, **S.O. three weeks**.

### Member (J)

# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J). <u>Dated : 06.11.2019</u>

Heard Ms. S. Thakur, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for R-1. Await service of R-2&3.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submitted that R-2 is served and she will file service affidavit to that effect.

3. It is submitted that the address of R-3 is incorrect and permission be given to the applicant to correct the same. The applicant has filed application for correction of cause title. Permission is granted to correct the same.

After correction address of R-3, re-issue notice to R-3, returnable <u>in three</u> weeks. Hamdast allowed.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the

case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

 In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date.
Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

#### S.O. three weeks.

Member (J)

O.A. No. 125/2017 (SB)

# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J). <u>Dated : 06.11.2019</u>

None for the applicant. Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

# <u>S.O. 02/12/2019.</u>

Member (J)

O.A. No. 99/2017 (SB)

# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J). <u>Dated : 06.11.2019</u>

None for the applicant. Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. in due course.

Member (J)

O.A. No. 462/2017 (SB)

# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J). <u>Dated : 06.11.2019</u>

None for the applicant. Shri A.M. Ghogre, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

S.O. in due course.

Member (J)

# O.A. No. 502/2017 (SB)

# <u>Coram</u> : Hon. Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member (J). <u>Dated : 06.11.2019</u>

None for the applicant. Shri H.K. Pande, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

# S.O. in due course.

Member (J)

dnk.

\*\*\*

#### O.A. 858/2019 (D.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice-Chairman and Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member(J)

#### Dated : 06/11/2019.

Heard Shri N.D. Thombre, Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N.Warjurkar, Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. It is grievance of the applicant that the charge sheet is served on the applicant on 17/12/2018 in respect of the incident which occurred in the year 2007. It is submitted that in view of the inordinate delay, it is not proper to permit the respondents to proceed with the departmental inquiry. The learned counsel for the applicant has placed reliance on the Judgment in case of **Subhas Chandra Basu** Vs. Bank of Baroda (SLR) 1991 (1), 38 (Calcutta High Court) and the Judgment in case of the State of M.P. Vs. Bani Singh and Ano., AIR, 1990 SC 1308. It is contended by the learned counsel for the applicant that in both the matters the departmental inquiries were quashed considering the inordinate delay in serving the charge sheet on the delinquent.

3. It is submission of the learned P.O. that opportunity be given to the respondents to file reply to the O.A. The learned counsel for the applicant has cleared that only charge sheet is received by the applicant and till today there is no progress in the disciplinary inquiry. In case on which reliance is placed by the applicant the State of M.P. Vs. Bani Singh and Ano., AIR, 1990 SC 1308. In para-4 the Hon'ble Apex Court has observed that in that case it was not shown by the Department that they were not aware of the said irregularities and learnt about the same in the year 1987. In these circumstances unless opportunity is given to the respondents to file reply, they would not get opportunity to explain what were the causes for not taking any action against the applicant though the misconduct was committed in the year 2007.

4. We have also perused the charge sheet. It was alleged that on 27/4/2007 the applicant was attached to the Rural Hospital, Chamorshi, he was on duty, he was under influence of liquor, the applicant was dealing with the injured involved in accident, the applicant under the influence of liquor abused the relatives of the said injured person. The second head of the charge is that the applicant also abused the News Reporter representing the News Paper daily

"Deshonatti" also slapped him. and Considering the the seriousness of misconduct, in our view it is suitable to give opportunity to the respondents to submit their reply to know the reasons why the delay is caused in issuing the charge sheet. It seems that till today the progress in the inquiry is, only the Inquiry Officer is appointed, but till today evidence is not recorded. Under these circumstances, it is not suitable to give any interim relief, but the respondents are directed not to conclude the inquiry before filing their reply. The respondents are directed to file their reply within three weeks.

#### S.O. three weeks.

Member (J)

Vice-Chairman

dnk.

\*\*\*

## O.A.No.727/2018 (D.B.)

 $\underline{\text{Coram}}:$  Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman and

Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J) <u>Dated</u> : 06/11/2019.

None for the applicant. Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the State. Await service of respondent no. 2.

2. S.O. three weeks.

Member(J) Chairman Date:-06/11/2019. aps.

#### O.A.No.850/2018 (D.B.)

Coram:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman and

## Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J) Dated : 06/11/2019.

Heard Ms. Joshi holding for Smt. S.V.Sirpurkar, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. Today, rejoinder is filed by the applicant. Hence, the matter is **admitted** and kept for final hearing.

3. The Id. P.O. waives notices for the respondent nos. 1 & 2.

#### 4. S.O. 25/11/2019.

Member(J) Chairman Date:-06/11/2019. aps.

### O.A.No.798/2019 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman and

### Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J) <u>Dated</u>: 06/11/2019.

Heard Smt. N.R.Saboo, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the State. Await service of respondent nos. 2 to 4.

2. The ld. counsel for the applicant submits that she will file service affidavit today itself. At the request of ld. P.O., **S.O. three weeks**.

Member(J) Chairman Date:-06/11/2019. aps.

### 0.A.No.810/2019 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman and

# Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J) <u>Dated</u>: 06/11/2019.

Heard Shri M.A.Sable, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P.Potnis, the Id. P.O. for the State. Await service of respondent nos. 2 & 3.

2. The ld. counsel for the applicant submits that the notices have been served. The ld. P.O. desires three weeks to file reply. **S.O. three weeks.** 

Member(J) Chairman Date:-06/11/2019. aps.

# O.A.Nos.822,823,824,825,826,827&828/19 D.B.

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman and

Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J) Dated : 06/11/2019.

Heard Shri Vishal Anand, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N.Warjurkar, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. four weeks** to file reply.

Member(J) Chairman Date:-06/11/2019. aps.

### 0.A.No.829/2019 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman and

### Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J) <u>Dated</u>: 06/11/2019.

Heard Shri G.K.Bhusari, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the State. Await service of respondent no. 2.

2. The Id. counsel for the applicant submits that notice for respondent no. 2 is served. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. three weeks** to file reply.

Member(J) Chairman Date:-06/11/2019. aps.

### 0.A.No.839/2019 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman and

# Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J) Dated : 06/11/2019.

Heard Smt. Saboo, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N.Warjukar, the Id. P.O. for the State. Await service of respondent nos. 2 & 3.

 The Id. counsel for the applicant submits that she will collect the hamdast within two days.
S.O. three weeks.

Member(J) Chairman Date:-06/11/2019. aps.

### O.A.No.858/2019 (D.B.)

 $\underline{\text{Coram}}:$  Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman and

Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J) Dated : 06/11/2019.

Heard Shri N.D.Thombre, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri , the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2.

Member(J) Chairman Date:-06/11/2019. aps.

### 0.A.No.1025/2018 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman and

#### Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J) <u>Dated</u>: 06/11/2019.

Heard Shri Rahul Waghmare holding for Shri N.B.Rathod, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for the respondent nos. 1 & 2. Shri Joshi Chetan Mukund, present personally for the respondent nos. 3 & 4.

2. The Chief Finance Officer, Maharashtra Information Technology Corporation had submitted the information in writing about the qualification of the Experts who were Members of the scrutiny committee and the procedure followed by them.

3. The Id. P.O. is directed to file the above same information in the form of affidavit.

4. S.O. last week of November, 2019.

Member(J) Chairman Date:-06/11/2019. aps.

### O.A.No.932/2017 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman and

Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J) <u>Dated</u> : 06/11/2019.

Heard Shri S.P.Palshikar, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. 08/11/2019.** 

Member(J) Chairman Date:-06/11/2019. aps.

### O.A.No.579/2017 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman and

Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J) <u>Dated</u> : 06/11/2019.

Heard Shri S.P.Palshikar, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 28/11/2019.** 

Member(J) Chairman Date:-06/11/2019. aps.

### 0.A.No.962/2018 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman and

Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J) <u>Dated</u>: 06/11/2019.

Heard Shri A.A.Syed, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. None for the respondent no. 4.

 The Id. P.O. is directed to produce records of enquiry before next date of hearing. S.O. 27/11/2019.

Member(J) Chairman Date:-06/11/2019. aps.

#### O.A.No.579/2019 (D.B.)

 $\underline{\textit{Coram}}:$  Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman and

# Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J) <u>Dated</u>: 06/11/2019.

Heard Shri S.M.Bhagde, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P.Potnis, the Id. P.O. for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. None for the respondent no. 4.

2. The Id. P.O. submits reply on behalf of the respondent no. 2. It is kept on record. Copy is supplied to the other side.

3. S.O. 18/11/2019.

Member(J) Chairman Date:-06/11/2019. aps.

### 0.A.No.265/2010 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman and

Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J) <u>Dated</u>: 06/11/2019.

Heard Ms. Joshi holding for Mrs. R.S.Sirpurkar, the Id. Counsel for the applicant, Shri P.N.Warjukar, the Id. P.O. for the respondent nos. 1 & 2. None for the respondent no. 3.

2. At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 20/11/2019**.

Member(J) Chairman Date:-06/11/2019. aps.

# O.A.No.846/2012 with C.A.258/2018 (D.B.)

 $\underline{\textit{Coram}}: Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman and$ 

Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J) Dated : 06/11/2019.

None for the applicant. Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. P.O. submitted that he has not received the instructions from the department as to compliance the order dated 14/10/2019.

3. S.O. 26/11/2019.

Member(J) Chairman Date:-06/11/2019. aps.

### O.A.No.897/2012 (D.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman and

Shri A.D.Karanjkar, Member(J) <u>Dated</u>: 06/11/2019.

Heard Shri S.P.Palshikar, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. two weeks.** 

Member(J) Chairman Date:-06/11/2019. aps.

# O.A.No.113/2017 (S.B.)

### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u> :06/11/2019.

#### C.A.No.347/2017:-

None for the applicant. Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. P.O. desires to file reply on O.A. and submits that condonation of delay i.e. C.A. No. 347/2017 will be kept open.

3. S.O. three weeks.

Vice

**Chairman Date:-06/11/2019.** aps.

### O.A.No.116/2018 (S.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u> :06/11/2019.

Heard Shri S.M.Khan, the Id. Counsel for the applicant, Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the Id. P.O. for the State and Smt. Saboo holding for Shri H.D.Marathe, the Id. counsel for the respondent nos. 2 & 3.

2. On repeated occasions V.I.D.C. had changed the advocates. Now, today also the Id. counsel for the respondent nos. 2 & 3 requested for extension of time.

 In view of negligence shown by V.I.D.C., the matter will be heard finally on S.O. 19/11/2019.

4. If reply is not filed by V.I.D.C. till next date, the matter be heard finally.

Vice

### O.A.No.563/2018 (S.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u> :06/11/2019.

None for the applicant. Shri S.A.Deo, the Id. C.P.O. for the State. Await service of respondent nos. 2 & 3.

2. The Id. C.P.O. submits that he needs to check that whether the applicant as on today working under Municipal Administration or in State Government, after checking that it will be decided for further action.

3. S.O. three weeks.

Vice

### O.A.Nos.590 & 591/2018 (S.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u>:06/11/2019.

Heard Smt. Saboo, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Deo, the Id. C.P.O. for the State. Await service of respondent no. 2.

2. The ld. counsel for the applicant submits that she will file service affidavit during the course of the day. At the request of Id. C.P.O., **S.O. four weeks to file reply.** 

Vice

#### O.A.No.619/2018 (S.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u> :06/11/2019.

Heard Shri W.N.Nayse, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for the State. Await service of respondent nos. 2 & 3.

2. The ld. counsel for the applicant submits that by mistake notice has not been collected. Issue fresh notice to the respondent nos. 2 & 3.

 Issue fresh notice to R-2 & 3, returnable on <u>three weeks</u>. Learned P.O. waives notice for R-1. Hamdast allowed.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules,1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and

acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **three days** and if service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date. Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. <u>S.O. three weeks</u>.

Vice

# 0.A.No.699/2018 (S.B.)

### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u> :06/11/2019.

Heard Smt. N.R.Saboo, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Deo, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. C.P.O. submits the reply on behalf of the respondent nos. 1 to 3. It is taken on record. Copy is served to the other side.

3. Hence, the matter is **admitted** and kept for final hearing.

4. The Id. P.O. waives notices for the respondents.

5. S.O. in due course.

Vice

#### O.A.Nos.230,231&232/2019

(S.B.)

### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u> :06/11/2019.

Heard Shri G.K.Bhusari, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. P.O. filed the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent nos. 2 & 3. It is taken on record. Copy is served to the other side. He further submits that reply of other respondents are not needed.

3. Hence, the matter is **admitted** and kept for final hearing.

4. The Id. P.O. waives notices for the respondent nos. 1 to 3.

#### 5. S.O. four weeks.

6. Meanwhile, the Id. counsel for the applicant is at liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

Vice

## O.A.No.778/2019 (S.B.)

## <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u> :06/11/2019.

Heard Shri C.F.Bhagwani, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. four weeks** to file reply.

Vice

## O.A.No.797/2019 (S.B.)

# <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u> :06/11/2019.

None for the applicant. Shri P.N.Warjukar, the Id. P.O. for the State. Await service of respondent nos. 2 to 4.

2. S.O. three weeks.

Vice

## O.A.No.809/2019 (S.B.)

# <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u> :06/11/2019.

Heard Shri B.Kulkarni, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. three** weeks to file reply.

Vice

## O.A.No.796/2019 (S.B.)

## <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u> :06/11/2019.

Heard Shri S.E.Raghorte, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Deo, the Id. C.P.O. for the respondents.

2. As per the request of Id. C.P.O., **S.O. four** weeks to file reply.

Vice

## O.A.No.803/2019 (S.B.)

### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u> :06/11/2019.

### C.A.No.379/2019:-

Heard Shri N.D.Thombre, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Deo, the Id. C.P.O. for the respondents.

2. As suggested by Id. C.P.O., **C.A. No. 379/2019 for Jt. O.A. is allowed.** 

3. S.O. four weeks to file reply.

Vice

### O.A.No.197/2018 (S.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u>:06/11/2019.

Heard Shri S.N.Gaikwad, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N.Warjukar, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. P.O. filed reply on behalf of the respondent no. 2. It is taken on record. Copy is served to the other side.

3. The ld. counsel for the applicant wants to go through the same. **S.O. 28/11/2019.** 

Vice

### O.A.No.53/2019 (S.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u> :06/11/2019.

Heard Shri S.K.Thengri, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N.Warjukar, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. As per order dated 03/10/2019 applicant filed representation. Today Id. P.O. placed on record order dated 11/10/2019 by Regional Deputy Director, Ground Water Surveyor, Nagpur. The same is supplied to the other side.

3. The ld. counsel for the applicant desires time to file rejoinder. **S.O. 22/11/2019.** 

Vice

## O.A.No.45/2016 (S.B.)

# <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u> :06/11/2019.

Heard Smt. N.R.Saboo, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. two weeks.** 

Vice

### 0.A.No.502/2016 (S.B.)

### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u> :06/11/2019.

Heard Shri S.C.Deshmukh, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.M.Ghogre, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. P.O. submits that he is yet to receive the information from the department. **S.O. 28/11/2019.** 

Vice

### O.A.No.394/2019 (S.B.)

#### <u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u> :06/11/2019.

Heard Shri B.Kulkarni, the ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the ld. P.O. for the respondents.

2. As submitted by Id. counsel for the applicant, the applicant's case is covered by Judgment in O.A. No. 542/2017 delivered on 14/03/2019.

3. The Id. P.O. is directed to file reply of respondent no. 1 or he can decide that whether the reply of respondent no. 1 in O.A. No. 542/2017 can be adopted in this O.A. or not?

 Since, Shri A.M.Khadatkar is not present today and he is dealing in this matter. S.O. 19/11/2019.

Vice