

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

-----  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION St. No.883/2016.**

( Darkabai P. Ramteke Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

-----  
**CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :09.12.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

None present for the applicant. Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On 23.8.2016 the learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that she will file application for condonation of delay and will join deceased employee's brother as party to the O.A. and sought permission to make necessary amendment. However, nothing was done on 14.9.2016 when the matter again came for hearing. On 14.9.2016 also time was sought by the applicant and the matter was posted on 7.10.2016 and thereafter on 11.11.2016 and then today. Today also none appears for the applicant. Hence the matter be kept for dismissal on 23.12.2016.

3. S.O. to 23.12.2016.

**MEMBER (J).**

09.12.2016-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

-----  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.736/2016.**

( Dr. Sumant N. Wagh Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

-----  
**CORAM :HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :09.12.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

Heard Shri A. S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, issue fresh notices to the respondents, returnable on 31.1.2017.

3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on all respondents notice of O.A. authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. stating that this Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal not be issued.

4. Authorization for service of notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

5. The service of notice may be done by the applicant by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the due date.

6. Affidavit of service be filed one week before due date.
7. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order.
8. Affidavit in reply be filed before due date.
9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.
10. S.O. to 31.1.2017.

**MEMBER (J).**

09.12.2016-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

-----  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.856/2016.**

(G. B. Gurav Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

-----  
**CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :09.12.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

Heard Shri V. D. Godbharle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply affidavit. Time granted as a last chance.
  
3. S.O. to 19.1.2017.

**MEMBER (J).**

09.12.2016-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

-----  
**MA NO.447/2016 IN OA NO.889/2016.**

(A. S. Bhosale Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)  
-----

**CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :09.12.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

Heard Shri VB Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A. S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Respondent no.2.

2. The applicant has to challenge the corrigendam which has been issued during pendency of the O.A. and therefore, he wants to amend the prayer clause only. In view thereof and for the reasons stated in the application the M.A. is allowed. Amendment be carried out forthwith.

3. Accordingly, M.A. No.447/2016 stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J).**

09.12.2016-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

-----  
**OA NO.889/2016.**

(A. S. Bhosale Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

-----  
**CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :09.12.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

Heard Shri VB Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A. S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Respondent no.2.

2. Since the pleadings are complete the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

3. S.O. to 23.12.2016.

**MEMBER (J).**

09.12.2016-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.581/2011.**

(M.U. Khade Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

----

**CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :09.12.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files additional affidavit. It is taken on record.

Its copy is served on the applicant.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19.12.2016. The matter be treated as Part Heard.

**MEMBER (J).**

09.12.2016-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

-----  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.132/2012.**

(C. M. Suryawanshi Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

-----  
**CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :09.12.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

Heard Shri Rakesh Jain, learned Advocate holding for Shri S. S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. Time granted as last chance.
  
3. S.O. to 19.12.2016.

**MEMBER (J).**

09.12.2016-ATP



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

----

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.773/2015.**

(Walmik Sitaram Shirsath Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

----

**CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE** :09.12.2016.

**ORAL ORDER**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt S.K. Ghate Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that, he has been instructed by the client to withdraw the O.A. He has also filed pursis accordingly, which is marked at Exh.X for the purpose of identification and requested that he may be permitted to withdraw the O.A. In view thereof, the O.A. is disposed of, as withdrawn, with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J).**

09.12.2016-ATP

