# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 583/2017 (Shri Satish Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

、\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

# CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

\_\_\_\_\_

DATE : 04.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.B. Rakhunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that he does not want to withdraw the present O.A. and therefore, he wants to make submissions on merit.

3. At his request, S.O. to 12.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

M.A. No. 202/2017 in O.A. St. No. 322/2017 (Shri Venkat Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 04.12.2017.

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 20.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 234/2017 (Shri Chandrakant Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 04.12. 2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri A.S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the

applicant (Absent). Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar,

learned Presenting Officer for the respondents,

present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer,

S.O. to 08.01.2018.

VICE CHAIRMAN

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 235/2017 (Shri Krushna Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 04.12. 2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri A.S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the

applicant (Absent). Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar,

learned Presenting Officer for the respondents,

present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer,

S.O. to 08.01.2018.

VICE CHAIRMAN

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 377/2017 (Shri Baburao Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 04.12. 2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer,

S.O. to 08.01.2018.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 849/2017 (Smt. Shashikala Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

### CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

### DATE : 04.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde-Upadhyay, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 17.01.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 17.01.2018.

8. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 113/2012 (Shri Bhagwat Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

# CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. DATE : 04.12.2017. ORAL ORDER:

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that he has no instructions regarding stage of certain W.Ps. filed before the Hon'ble High Court, a reference of which is made in the order dated 3.1.2017 and 14.08.2017.

3. The applicant is therefore, directed to give details of the W.P. on the next date, failing which, the present O.A. shall proceed on its own merit. With these observations, S.O. to 16.01.2018.

VICE CHAIRMAN

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

M.A. No. 422/2016 in O.A. St. No. 1713/2016 (Shri Baburao Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. DATE : 04.12.2017. ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri G.J. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present applicant is seeking pension, though he was already terminated from the service as can be seen from the Exhibit-A at page No. 8 of the paper book. The said order passed by the concerned respondent dated 30.05.2009 would show that the applicant was convicted by the Criminal Court for the offences punishable u/s 7 of the Prevention of Corruption Act and was sentenced to suffer rigorous imprisonment for three years and to pay fine of Rs. 3000/-. Therefore, he came to be terminated.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the conviction is challenged in

//2// M.A. No. 422/2016 in O.A. St. No. 1713/2016

the Hon'ble High Court by way of appeal and the appeal is pending. In view of the termination of the applicant, naturally as per the Rules, he cannot be granted any pension. In these circumstances, the O.A. is not maintainable.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant relies on the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the case of <u>State of Jharkhand & Ors.</u> <u>Vs. Jitendra Kumar Srivastava & Anr.</u> in <u>C.A.</u> <u>No. 6770 of 2013 with C.A. No. 6771 of 2013</u> <u>decided on 14.08.2013</u>. In that case however, merely Departmental Enquiry was pending and therefore, the ration would not be applicable. No prima-facie case exists. In these circumstances, following order:-

# <u>ORDER</u>

The Misc. Application for condonation of delay is dismissed without any order as to costs. Consequently, the O.A. also stands dismissed.

VICE CHAIRMAN

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 666, 667 & 668 ALL OF 2015 (Shri Madhukar & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. DATE : 04.12.2017. ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters and S/shri S.K. Shirse & D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officers for the respondents in all these matters.

2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that at the time of filing of the Original Applications, the fact that the present applicants have again commuted pension is not taken in to consideration and merely grievance, that in the revision pension, the applicants are getting less pension, is made. In O.A. No. 666/2015, at page No. 16 of the paper book, however, item No. 4 clearly specify that the applicant has again commuted pension at the time of revision of the pension.

//2// O.A. Nos. 666/15 & Ors.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicants is therefore, directed to take instructions in this regard and if necessary, carry out amendment or to take instructions from the applicants regarding withdrawal of the present Original Applications.

4. S.O. to 10.01.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 807/2016 (Shri Madhukar Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. DATE : 04.12.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER:</u>

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 3 and Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2.

2. S.O. to 22.12.2017. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

\_\_\_\_\_

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 808/2016 (Shri Gangadhar Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 04.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 22.12.2017. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

\_\_\_\_\_

O.A. NO. 685/2015 (Shri Rahul & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

\_\_\_\_\_

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 04.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri R.P. Adgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant **(absent)**. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

2. The learned P.O. submits that, wife of Shri Adgaonkar, learned Advocate is undergoing the treatment for cancer. In the circumstances, S.O. to 11.1.2018, for making submissions by the learned Advocate for the applicant on the line of order dtd. 24.7.2017.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2017

\_\_\_\_\_

O.A. NO. 118/2017 (Manasi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

\_\_\_\_\_

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 04.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Smt. Juee Parilikar – Parlekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the day she would file rejoinder affidavit. She also undertakes to supply the copy of the rejoinder to the learned P.O. for the respondents.

3. S.O. to 9.1.2018 for hearing on admission.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2017

\_\_\_\_\_

O.A. NO. 119/2017 (Gajanan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

\_\_\_\_\_

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 04.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Smt. Juee Parilikar – Parlekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the day she would file rejoinder affidavit. She also undertakes to supply the copy of the rejoinder to the learned P.O. for the respondents.

3. S.O. to 9.1.2018 for hearing on admission.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2017

\_\_\_\_\_

O.A. NO. 120/2017 (Bhushan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

### CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

\_\_\_\_\_

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 04.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Smt. Juee Parilikar – Parlekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the day she would file rejoinder affidavit. She also undertakes to supply the copy of the rejoinder to the learned P.O. for the respondents.

3. S.O. to 9.1.2018 for hearing on admission.

VICE CHAIRMAN

\_\_\_\_\_

O.A. NOS. 268, 269, 270 & 271/2017 (Vishal & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 04.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Ms. Bhavana Panpatil, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters and S/shri M.S. Mahajan, D.R. Patil & N.U. Yadav, learned Chief Presenting Officer & Presenting Officers for the respondents in all these matters.

2. The learned C.P.O. & respective P.Os. seek time to file affidavit in replies of the respective respondents in the respective matters. At their request, S.O. to 9.1.2018 for filing affidavit in replies of the respective respondents in the respective matters.

VICE CHAIRMAN

\_\_\_\_\_

O.A. NO. 352/2017 (Girish V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# **<u>CORAM</u>** : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**<u>DATE</u>** : 04.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Bayas, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. files on record short affidavit of the concerned respondent in view of the order of the Tribunal dtd. 12.6.2017. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. In the circumstances, S.O. to 4.1.2018, for hearing on admission.

VICE CHAIRMAN

\_\_\_\_\_

O.A. NO. 383/2017 (Uttam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. (This matter is placed before the Single

\_\_\_\_\_

Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 04.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant **(absent)**. Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

2. The learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply of res. no. 1 and the same is taken on record. She undertakes to serve copy thereof upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. S.O. to 9.1.2018 for hearing on admission.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2017

O.A. NO. 493/2017 (Dr. Sanjay V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

-----

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 04.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 & 2. None appears for other respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. submits that affidavit in reply is received from the concerned respondent, however, he would go through the same and find out as to whether specific pleadings are made therein and further would make the submissions as to whether the representation of the applicant is decided by the concerned respondent as directed by the Tribunal in the order dtd. 31.7.2017, or not ?

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 19.12.2017 for making submissions by the learned C.P.O.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

O.A. NO. 602/2017 (Dr. Roopali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. (This matter is placed before the Single

Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

-----

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 04.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. files on record a copy of communication dtd. 13.11.2017 received to him from the concerned respondent. It is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. In view of the said communication, the learned P.O. as well as the learned Advocate for the applicant submit that nothing survives in the present O.A. and, therefore, the same be disposed of. In the circumstances, the present O.A. stands disposed of.

4. The learned P.O. submits that he would take instructions from the concerned respondent regarding amount of costs to be deposited by the concerned respondent, if any. Record would show that a mere administrative decision that was required to be taken by the concerned respondent, was delayed by the said authority, not only before filing the present O.A., but also after filing the present O.A. though from time to time the Tribunal has directed

# <u>::-2-::</u> O.A. NO. 602/2017

therefor. He submits that he will make statement regarding how much costs will be deposited by the concerned respondent for the said negligence.

5. In the circumstances, though the present O.A. is disposed of, S.O. to 21.12.2017 for making statement by the learned P.O. regarding deposit of amount of costs.

6. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O. for the respondents.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 04-12-2017

\_\_\_\_\_

O.A. NO. 443/2017 (Dr. Uttam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**<u>DATE</u>** : 04.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submits that, he has not received instructions from the concerned respondent on the line of order dtd. 27.11.2017. In the circumstances, at her request, S.O. to 9.1.2018 for compliance of the said order with a caution that, in case the said order dtd. 27.11.2017 is not complied with, the Tribunal would pass an order calling the responsible Officer personally before the Tribunal and also imposing costs on the erring respondent for non compliance of the said order.

VICE CHAIRMAN

\_\_\_\_\_

M.A. 111/2017 IN O.A. 641/2015 (Bhagatsingh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 04.12.2017.

#### ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Juee Parilikar – Parlekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 8.12.2017.

# **VICE CHAIRMAN**

\_\_\_\_\_

M.A. 239/2017 IN O.A. 906/2016 (Dr. Atul & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 04.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri T.R. Daware, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.G. Talhar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 11.1.2018 for filing reply of the respondents to the amended O.A.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. 455/2017 WITH M.A. 426/2016 IN O.A. ST. 1824/2016 (Krishna V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

\_\_\_\_\_

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

### **<u>DATE</u>** : 04.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the proposed respondents, which are mentioned in para 3 of M.A. no. 455/2017, returnable on 15.1.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 15.1.2018.

8. Steno copy & hamdast allowed to both the sides.

\_\_\_\_\_

O.A. NO. 498/2015 (Fakirasaheb V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

\_\_\_\_\_

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 04.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of Shri Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant S.O. to 20.12.2017.

3. On today's board the Office has mentioned the name of Shri Revan B. Bhumkar, as a Advocate for the applicant. Office to rectify the said correction and mention name of Shri V.R. Bhumkar, as a Advocate for the applicant on the next date.

VICE CHAIRMAN

\_\_\_\_\_

O.A. NO. 507/2015 (Amol V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# **<u>CORAM</u>** : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 04.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that, decision on merit at the hands of Division Bench is required in the present matter.

3. In the circumstances, the present matter is removed from the board for time being and it be placed before the Division Bench, whenever it is available.

VICE CHAIRMAN

\_\_\_\_\_

O.A. NO. 512/2015 (Gana V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 04.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER** :

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

2. Upon hearing the learned P.O., it appears that, decision on merit at the hands of Division Bench is required in the present matter.

3. In the circumstances, the present matter is removed from the board for time being and it be placed before the Division Bench, whenever it is available.

VICE CHAIRMAN

\_\_\_\_\_

O.A. NO. 533/2015 (Shivaji V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

### CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 04.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that, decision on merit at the hands of Division Bench is required in the present matter.

3. In the circumstances, the present matter is removed from the board for time being and it be placed before the Division Bench, whenever it is available.

VICE CHAIRMAN

\_\_\_\_\_

O.A. NO. 550/2014 (Jagannath & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 04.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submits that, steno copy of the earlier order dtd. 4.10.2017 could not be sent earlier to the concerned respondents. In the circumstances, at her request S.O. to 9.1.2018.

3. The learned P.O. to act upon steno copy of the earlier order dated 4.10.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. 463/2017 IN REV. ST. 1658/2017 IN O.A. 623/2015 (State of Maharashtra & Ors. Vs. Premsing P. Rathod)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.DATE: 04.12.2017.

# ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for applicants in the present M.A. / respondents in the O.A. Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the respondent in the present M.A. / applicant in the O.A. has filed leave note.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the respondent, S.O. to 10.1.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

\_\_\_\_\_

O.A. NO. 255/2015 (Rajaram V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.DATE: 04.12.2017.

\_\_\_\_\_

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri S.P. Telgote, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.R. Devakate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 8.1.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 540 OF 2017** (Dr. Sakahari Mahadu Sonwane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ **OFFICE ORDER** 

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# **CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

#### DATE :04.12.2017.

# **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. On instructions, the learned Advocate for the applicant seeks permission of this Tribunal to withdraw the present Original Application.

3. Permission granted. Withdrawal is allowed. Accordingly, the present Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 04.12.2017-HDD