ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 164/2017 (Shri Nilesh R. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 08.09.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.N. Patale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. has produced on record the communication dtd. 8.9.2017 issued by the Joint Director (Administration), Accounts & Treasuries, Mumbai addressed to the Joint Director, Accounts & Treasuries, Aurangabad Region, Aurangabad informing that the approval for the proposal to transfer applicant from the Office of Sub Treasury Officer, Shirur Anantpal, Dist. Latur to the office of Treasury Office, Nanded has been approved. The learned P.O. submits that the res. no. 3 is going to issue necessary modified order on the basis of the approval granted by the Joint Director (Administration), Accounts & Treasuries, Mumbai today only and he will file the copy of the said

### ::-2-:: O.A. NO. 164/2017

modified transfer order of the applicant during the course of the day. Therefore, he prayed to pass necessary orders in the O.A.

- 3. The learned Adv. for the applicant on instructions submits that the purpose of filing this O.A. has been served in view of the communication dtd. 8.9.2017 issued by the Joint Director (Administration), Accounts & Treasuries, Mumbai. Therefore, the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. and therefore he prayed to dispose of the O.A.
- 4. In view of the communication dtd. 8.9.2017 issued by the Joint Director (Administration), Accounts & Treasuries, Mumbai and in view of the modified order dtd. 8.9.2017 issued by the Joint Director, Accounts & Treasuries, Aurangabad transferring the applicant from the Office of Sub Treasury Officer, Shirur Anantpal, Dist. Latur to the Office of Treasury Office, Nanded, the purpose of filing the O.A. has been

### <u>::-3-::</u> O.A. NO. 164/2017

served and, therefore, the O.A. is disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 08-09-2017

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 36/2017

(Shri Kamlakar B. Vyawhare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 08.09.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Sachin K. Vyawhare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. The applicant has challenged the impugned order dtd. 25.8.2016 passed by the res. No. 1 treating his suspension period as duty period for the purpose of pension and pensionary benefits only, by filing the present O.A.
- 3. The learned Adv. for the applicant submits that the applicant has filed review petition on 14.10.2016 before the res. No. 1 against the impugned order and the same is still pending with the respondent no. 1. Since the applicant has approached the res. No. 1 to review the order challenged in the present O.A. and the review petition is pending before the res. No. 1, the

### ::-2-:: O.A. NO. 36/2017

present O.A. is not maintainable. Therefore, it would be just and proper to dispose of the O.A. with a direction to the res. No. 1 to decide the said review petition within a stipulated time.

4. In these circumstances, the O.A. stands disposed of with a direction to res. No. 1 to decide the review petition dtd. 14.10.2016 filed by the applicant within a period of one month from the date of this order by giving proper opportunity of hearing to the applicant. There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 08-09-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 305/2017 (Smt. Rajmudra M. Khillare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 08.09.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Ms. Pradnya Talekar, learned Adv. Holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to file written notes of arguments during the course of the day. The learned C.P.O. also seeks leave to file short reply of the respondents during the course of the day. Leave as sought for is granted.
- 3. Reserve for orders. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till further orders.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 08-09-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 647/2017 (Dr. Sonali B. Sayamber V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 08.09.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. The learned C.P.O. submits that he wants to take necessary instructions from the respondents in respect of the grounds on which the applicant has been relieved. He has also submitted that he will keep present before the Tribunal the concerned Medical Superintendent, Sub Dist. Hospital, Vaijapur, Dist. Aurangabad on Monday the 11th September, 2017.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 11.9.2017.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.849/2016

(Shri Ratnakar Khat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 08.09.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.G.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. 12-10-2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.879/2016 (Shri Sk. Mujib Sk. Gafar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.-----

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 08.09.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Abhijit Mane learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D.Gadekar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. states that he will file reply during the course of the day. He also undertakes to serve copy of the same on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 12-10-2017 for filing rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.884/2016 (Shri Lahu Gajdhane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 08.09.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri V.G.Salgare learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

- 2. Today, learned P.O. submits that respondent no.2 has deposited amount of costs of Rs.10,000/- with the Registry and he prays for leave to file reply of respondent no.2. Leave as prayed for is granted.
- 3. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.2. It is taken on record. He undertakes to serve copy of the reply on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 03-10-2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.921/2016 (Shri Sanjay Mali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 08.09.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. On previous date, costs of Rs.10,000/- was saddled on the respondents for failure to file affidavit in reply. Today also, learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.
- 3. Time is granted to the learned P.O. for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents on payment of costs of Rs.5,000/- in addition to earlier costs of Rs.10,000/-.
- 3. S.O. to 12-10-2017.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.16/2017 (Shri Sk. Mukhtar Sk. Noor Ali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 08.09.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.G.Magre learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D.Godhamgaonkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the re`spondents. Time granted as a last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 11-10-2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.138/2017 (Shri Babu Phule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 08.09.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.G.Magre learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D.Godhamgaonkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted as a last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 11-10-2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.167/2017 (Shri Fakirrao Wadhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 08.09.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Abhijit Mane learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted as a last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 13-10-2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.202/2017 (Shri Ashok Wagh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 08.09.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Ganesh Jadhav learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Shelke learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted as a last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 13-10-2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.208/2017 (Marathwada Sarva Shramik Sanghatana & Ors. V/s.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 08.09.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Ganesh Jadhav learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Shelke learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted as a last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 13-10-2017.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.209/2017

(Marathwada Sarva Shramik Sanghatana & Ors. V/s. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 08.09.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Ganesh Jadhav learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Shelke learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted as a last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 13-10-2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.257/2017 (Shri Jagannath Ghadge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 08.09.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri V.S.Kadam learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

- 2. Learned CPO seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 10-10-2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.354/2017 (Shri Devendra Katte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 08.09.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Ku. Ashlesha Raut learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B.Talekar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent no.1. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. 16-09-2017 for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if necessary.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.358/2017 (Sapana Jawalkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 08.09.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri V.R.Jain learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. On previous dates, none appeared for the applicant. Notice was issued on 27-06-2017. As the notice was not collected and not served on the respondents, fresh notice was issued on 20-07-2017. Still notices are not collected and service affidavit has not been produced on record. Hence, O.A. stands dismissed in default.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.359/2017 (Saraswati Lakhmaji V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 08.09.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri V.R.Jain learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. On previous dates, none appeared for the applicant. Notice was issued on 27-06-2017. As the notice was not collected and not served on the respondents, fresh notice was issued on 20-07-2017. Still notices are not collected and service affidavit has not been produced on record. Hence, O.A. stands dismissed in default.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.203/2017 (Shri S.G.Wagatkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

.

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 08.09.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record.

Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19-09-2017.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.No.480/2016 IN O.A.St.No.1607/2017 (Shri Mahadeo Wankhede V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 08.09.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.P.Landge learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R.Pande learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted as a last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 29-09-2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.561/2016 (Shri Sukhdev Chitte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 08.09.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record. Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 03-10-2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.579/2016 (Shri Dnyaneshwar Gavade & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 08.09.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record. Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 04-10-2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.623/2016 (Shri Anil P. Katkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 08.09.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri A.S.Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri G.N.Patil learned Advocate for respondent no.2 have filed **leave note** on record. Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. 22-09-2017.

MEMBER (J)

#### **FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.878/2016

(Shri Nitinkumar Tukaram Adhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 08.09.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos.1 & 2 and Smt. S.D.Shelke learned Advocate holding for Shri D.R.Shelke learned Advocate for respondent nos.3 and 4.

2. Applicant is claiming deemed date of promotion on the ground that he had passed professional examination which was necessary for promotion on the post of Junior Engineer in the year 1990 in view of the G.Rs. dated 16-09-1964 and 07-06-1965. His seniority ought to have been mentioned in the category of Junior Engineers w.e.f. 01-02-1991, and accordingly, he ought to have been considered eligible for the promotion but the respondents had given him promotion w.e.f. 23-02-2012 (paper book

page 14). Therefore, he approached the Tribunal with the request to grant deemed date of promotion from the date of passing professional examination i.e. from 01-02-1991.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant further submitted that the applicant had representation dated 27-09-2012 to the respondents which forwarded was to Superintending Engineer, Jalgaon. The applicant has filed another representation dated 28-05-2015 addressed to the Secretary but the said representation has not been decided by the respondents though forwarded by the proper authority. He has submitted that similar issue was involved in O.A.No.233/12, 234/12, 235/12 and 236/12 before the Principal Seat of the Tribunal Mumbai and it was decided at on 07-10-2013, wherein relief had been granted to the applicants in those matters. He has submitted that as the similar issue had already been decided, it is necessary to give the benefits on the same line as claimed by the applicant to him.

- 4. Learned P.O. has submitted that the applicant is not entitled to get deemed date of promotion as claimed by him as the G.R. dated 16-09-1965 has been wrongly interpreted by the applicant. She has submitted that respondents have not yet decided the representations of the applicant.
- In view of the abovesaid facts, it is crystal 5. clear that the representations made by the applicant are still pending with the respondents and they have not yet decided the same. Principal Seat of the Tribunal at Mumbai had decided similar issue involved in other O.As. In these circumstances it would be just and proper direct the respondents to decide the representations filed by the applicant, which are pending with them since the year 2012 within 2 months from the date of this order by considering the decision rendered by this Tribunal in aforesaid O.A.No.233/12, 234/12, 235/12 and 236/12. Therefore, respondent directed to take decision on no.1 is the

representations of the applicant dated 23-02-2012 and 28-05-2015 within 2 months from the date of this order and communicate the result to the applicant in writing.

6. S.O. to 13-11-2017

MEMBER (J)

#### **FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

O. A. NO.117/2017.

(Shri C. N. Dhawale Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

**DATE** : 08.09.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

It is reported that, Shri S. D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Heard Smt P. R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The order dated 25.07.2017 would show that, the applicant intended to file the application for amendment of the O.A. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 28.09.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ATP ORAL ORDERS 08.09.2017.

O. A. NO.512/2017.

(Shri N. G. Jadhav Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 08.09.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

None present for the applicant. Heard Smt. P. R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The notices are not collected. In the circumstances, as a last chance S.O. to 26.9.2017 either for taking steps or for passing necessary orders.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ATP ORAL ORDERS 08.09.2017.

O. A. NO. 255/2015.

(Shri R. A. Sande Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

DATE: 08.09.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A. R. Devkate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I. S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. The learned Advocate for the applicant clarified that, the present O.A. is not for fixation of pension during the pendency of the D.E. He makes it clear that, D.E. is already concluded and the issue is regarding the delayed payment of retiral benefits etc. In the circumstances, it appears that, the application is maintainable. In the circumstances, O.A. to continue.
- 3. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. At his request, S.O. to 04.10.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

### M. A. No.323/2017 IN O. A. NO.663/2016.

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

### **CORAM**: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 08.09.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V. B. Wagh learned Advocate holding for Shri P. G. Rodge, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S. K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt Vidya Taksal learned Advocate holding for Shri A. S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Original Applicant.

- 2. The submissions of the present applicant in M.A. would show that, now he has become eligible for appointment to the post, which is claimed by the applicant in O.A.
- 3. In view of the above fact the present intervention application is allowed. The original applicant in O. A. is directed to join the present applicant as a Respondent no.4, in O.A.

### -2- M. A. No.323/2017 IN O. A. NO.663/2016.

- 4. Shri Wagh learned Advocate holding for Shri P. G. Rodge learned Advocate for the applicant waives notice for added Respondent no.4 in O.A.. He seeks time to file reply in O.A.
- 5. S.O. to 04.10.2017 for filing reply by added Respondent no.4.
- 6. The present M.A. allowed without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ATP ORAL ORDERS 08.09.2017.