ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.435/2018 (Prakash Ramekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM . D D DAMII MEMBER (I)

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.09.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Smt. Bharati Sathe-Sohoni learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.
- 3. S.O. to 09-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.437/2018 (Vijay Suradkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.09.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Ram Shinde learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

- 2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 5. It is taken on record. She undertakes to serve copy of the reply on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 05-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.524/2018 (Jayant Chiwhane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.09.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 24-09-2018.
- 4. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.548/2018 (Ganga Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.09.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Shri S.N.Deshmukh appears and files Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent no.3.
- 3. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.5. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 4. Learned Advocate for respondent no.3 as well as the learned C.P.O. sought time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.
- 5. S.O. to 16-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.586/2018 (Adinath Bhosale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.09.2018

OBAL OBDED :

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1, 2 and 3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 24-09-2018.
- 4. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.542/2018 (Tukaram Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.09.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D.Raut learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri R.B.Ade learned Advocate for respondent no.3.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file service affidavit since website of he postal authority is not up to the date, and therefore, he could not secure tracking record of service of notice.
- 3. Learned P.O. as well as the learned Advocate for respondent no.3 sought time to file reply. Time is granted.
- 4. S.O. to 22-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.667/2017 (Sandip Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.09.2018

ODAL ODDED

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.A.Gaikwad learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer files a short affidavit of Shri N.H.Gaikwad, Sub Divisional Officer, Sillod and also tendered unconditional apology. Learned P.O. states that the S.D.O. Sillod is present in person with original record and he will produce copy of the original record.
- 3. Considering the unconditional apology tendered by Shri N.H.Gaikwad, S.D.O., Sillod and for the reasons mentioned in the affidavit, show cause notice issued to him is recalled.
- 3. S.O. to 09-10-2018 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.61/2018 (Bipin Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

.

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.09.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 11-09-2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.No.50/2018 IN O.A.St.No.240/2016 (Ramkisan Khajekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.09.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.C.Sonone learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P.Bhumkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted to file reply subject to payment of costs of Rs.5000/- (Rs. Five Thousand Only) on or before next date.
- 3. S.O. to 11-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.St.No.702/2018 WITH M.A.St.No.701/2018 IN O.A.No.517/2015

(Yunuskhan Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.09.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri R.B.Ade learned Advocate holding for Shri C.V.Dharurkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12-09-2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.No.322/2018 IN M.A.No.437/2017 IN O.A.No.201/2016 (Govind Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.09.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri R.B.Ade learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. M.A.No.322/2018 is filed for restoration of M.A.No.437/2017. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that M.A.No.437/2017 (Delay Condonation) may be restored to its original stage as valuable rights of the applicant are involved in the O.A.
- 3. In view of the submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant and without entering into the merit of the case, M.A.No.322/2018 is allowed subject to payment of costs of Rs.5000/- (Rs. Five Thousand Only) on or before 12-09-2018. Order dated 12-06-2018 passed by the Tribunal dismissing the case in default is hereby recalled.

4. On depositing costs of Rs.5000/-, M.A.No.437/2017 in O.A.No.201/2006 be restored to its original stage. In case applicant fails to deposit costs of Rs.5000/- on or before 12-09-2018, the matter shall automatically stand dismissed.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.627/2012 (Duryodhan Mate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM . D D DAWII MEMBER (I)

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.09.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D.Shinde learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. 18-09-2018.
- 3. Applicant shall note that as the matter is pretty old no further adjournment will be granted.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.789/2017 (Tejrao Wagh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.09.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.B.Salunke learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G.Salgare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. has submitted that the order directing recovery from the applicant is passed before the judgment of the Hon'ble the Apex Court in the case of Rafiq Masih (White Washer) etc. He has submitted that the Hon'ble Apex Court has delivered judgment in the case of Rafiq Masih (White Washer) etc. on 18-12-2014. Guidelines in the said case are applicable with prospective effect and those cannot be made applicable retrospectively, and therefore, on the basis of the said judgment the applicant is not entitled to get benefit.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that he wants to study the decision of Hon'ble the Apex Court and whether it is retrospectively applicable. He sought time. Time is granted.
- 4. S.O. to 18-09-2018.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.790/2017 (Gulab Khandare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.09.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.B.Salunke learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G.Salgare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. has submitted that the order directing recovery from the applicant is passed before the judgment of the Hon'ble the Apex Court in the case of Rafiq Masih (White Washer) etc. He has submitted that the Hon'ble Apex Court has delivered judgment in the case of Rafiq Masih (White Washer) etc. on 18-12-2014. Guidelines in the said case are applicable with prospective effect and those cannot be made applicable retrospectively, and therefore, on the basis of the said judgment the applicant is not entitled to get benefit.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that he wants to study the decision of Hon'ble the Apex Court and whether it is retrospectively applicable. He sought time. Time is granted.
- 4. S.O. to 18-09-2018.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.791/2017 (Bhikaji Gadekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.09.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.B.Salunke learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G.Salgare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. has submitted that the order directing recovery from the applicant is passed before the judgment of the Hon'ble the Apex Court in the case of Rafiq Masih (White Washer) etc. He has submitted that the Hon'ble Apex Court has delivered judgment in the case of Rafiq Masih (White Washer) etc. on 18-12-2014. Guidelines in the said case are applicable with prospective effect and those cannot be made applicable retrospectively, and therefore, on the basis of the said judgment the applicant is not entitled to get benefit.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that he wants to study the decision of Hon'ble the Apex Court and whether it is retrospectively applicable. He sought time. Time is granted.
- 4. S.O. to 18-09-2018.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.792/2017 (Sd. Abdul Wahid Hakim V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.09.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.B.Salunke learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G.Salgare learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. has submitted that the order directing recovery from the applicant is passed before the judgment of the Hon'ble the Apex Court in the case of Rafiq Masih (White Washer) etc. He has submitted that the Hon'ble Apex Court has delivered judgment in the case of Rafiq Masih (White Washer) etc. on 18-12-2014. Guidelines in the said case are applicable with prospective effect and those cannot be made applicable retrospectively, and therefore, on the basis of the said judgment the applicant is not entitled to get benefit.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that he wants to study the decision of Hon'ble the Apex Court and whether it is retrospectively applicable. He sought time. Time is granted.
- 4. S.O. to 18-09-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 918/2017 (Shri Sk. Sadik Sk. Kasim V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.D. Patnoorkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted as a last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 17.10.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 210/2018 (Shri Mir Firasat Mir Md. Ali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM . D. D. DAWII MEMDED (I)

<u>CORAM</u>: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1 and Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

- 2. Learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4 has filed affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on other side.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent No. 1. Time granted as a most last chance.
- 4. S.O. to 17.10.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 279/2017 (Smt. Rekha A. Bonalwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.D. Patnoorkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri P.K. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D. Aghav, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.10.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 203/2017 (Shri Shamrao G. Wagatkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Amit Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.10.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 777/2017 (Shri Bindusar B. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAIR D D DAMII MEDICODO (I)

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

- 2. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 has filed affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 & 2. Time granted as a most last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 17.10.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 696/2017 (Shri Anand F. Kasbekar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.S. Barandwal, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.R. Thorat, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.09.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 620/2018 (Shri Baban D. Gadekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.M. Maney, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 16.10.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. S.O. 16-10-2018.
- 9. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 629 & 630 BOTH OF 2018 (Dr. Rahul C. Dadas & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM . D. D. DAWII MEMBER (I)

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the O.As. and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents in both the O.As..

- 2. The applicants have challenged the notification dated 28.04.2015 issued by the G.A.D. in the present O.As. and therefore, the present matters be dealt with by the Division Bench.
- 3. Hence, the O.As. are removed from the board and the Registry of this Tribunal is directed to place the matters before the appropriate Bench.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 686/2018 (Shri Bhagwat M. Betkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 22.10.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. S.O. 22-10-2018.
- 9. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 687/2018 (Shri Prashant R. Jogdand V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Sanjaykumar Chavan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. On instructions, learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant does not want to proceed with the present O.A. and wants to withdraw the same. Therefore, he seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the present O.A.
- 3. In view of the submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, leave as prayed for by the applicant is granted. The O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 328/2018 in O.A. St. No. 1063/2016 (Shri Kantilal B. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri V.M. Lomte, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 04.10.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 907/2017 (Shri Balaji G. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.C. Sonone, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1 & 2 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 to 5.

- 2. Learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5 has filed affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 16.10.2018 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 947/2017 (Smt. Sudhamati M. Gikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri D.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, issue fresh notice to the respondent No. 4, returnable on 22.10.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. S.O. 22-10-2018.
- 9. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 134/2018 (Smt. Latabai B. Nanher V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that he will file the affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 & 4 during the course of the day.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that there is no need to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2.
- 4. S.O. to 17.10.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 182/2018 (Shri Vitthal B. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

000414 D D D4811 14014DDD 411

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.B. Salunke, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that he will file the affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 during the course of the day.
- 3. S.O. to 22.10.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 187/2018 (Shri Mukesh K. Gunjal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that there is no need to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.
- 3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.09.2018 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 321/2018 (Shri Babasaheb R. Gatkal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.____

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 24.09.2018.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 07-09-2018

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 341/2018 (Shri Suyash A. Nikam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the notices to the respondents are not yet served and the applicant has not filed acknowledgment regarding the service of notices in view of the order passed by this Tribunal on 11.07.2018, but today the matter has been wrongly listed for filing affidavit in reply of respondents. Hence, the proceeding requires to be corrected accordingly.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file acknowledgement regarding the service of notices in view of the order passed by this Tribunal on 11.07.2018. Time granted.
- 4. S.O. to 27.09.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 426/2018 (Shri Bharat D. Gadhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the status-quo granted in the present O.A. was extended up to 20.08.2018, but thereafter it was not extended.
- 4. Learned Advocate for the applicant has prayed to extend the status-quo granted earlier till next date.

- 5. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the applicant is still working at Aurangabad and therefor, she has no objection to extend the status-quo. Provided that the present O.A. be fixed for final hearing on the next date.
- 6. In view of the submissions made by the learned Presenting Officer, status-quo granted earlier to continue till next date. The present O.A. be placed for final hearing on the next date. In case, the applicant fails to argue the matter on the next date, no further extension to status-quo order will be granted.
- 7. Learned Advocate for the applicant wants to file rejoinder affidavit. Copy of the same be served on the respondents on or before the next date.
- 8. S.O. to 05.10.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 845 OF 2017

[Shri Rambhau L. Kuskar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Devkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the day he will file affidavit in rejoinder and seeks time. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 15th October, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 730 OF 2016

[Shri Dipak K. Bahir Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Shri N.K. Tungar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri D.A. Bide, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.E. Pathade, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 to 5. None appears for respondent Nos. 6 & 7.
- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed a copy of communication dated 27.4.2018 and submitted that the appointment order is already issued in favour of the applicant. The copy of the said communication is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.
- 3. In view of the above, learned Advocate for the applicant, on instructions, submits that the applicant does not want to proceed with the present Original Application and wants to withdraw the same.
- 4. Permission granted. Withdrawal is allowed. Accordingly, the present Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn without any order as to costs.

O.A.NOS. 660, 661, 662, 663 & 664 ALL OF 2018

[Smt. Kalpana B. Padwal & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE: 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Shri Sudhir K. Chavan, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan & Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer and learned Presenting Officer for the respective respondents in respective cases, present.
- 2. On the last date i.e. on 4.9.2018 the learned Advocate for the applicants was directed by this Tribunal to take instructions from the respective applicants regarding withdrawal of the present OAs, if any.
- 3. In view of the absence of the learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 25th September, 2018 either for making statement by the learned Advocate for the applicants or for passing necessary orders.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 396 OF 2016

[Shri Sunil A. Sasane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard Shri D.A. Bide, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24th September, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 57 OF 2018

[Shri Uttam T. Dabhade & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents submits that the proposal is submitted to the State Government and the result is awaited. At his request, S.O. to 10th October, 2018 for taking steps.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 72 OF 2018

[Shri Anant J. Hange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Shri P.K. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D. Aghav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Devkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 8th October, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 252 OF 2018

[Shri Sunil V. Surse Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- Heard Shri P.K. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sandip R. Andhale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Smt. Savita N. Solunke, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 to 6 (absent).
- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 9th October, 2018 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 824 OF 2017

[Shri Jeevan B. Sutar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10th October, 2018 for filing affidavit in rejoinder.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 622 OF 2017

[Sagar C. Nemane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11th October, 2018. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

C.P.ST.1276/2018 IN O.A.NO. 793/1996

[Shri Chokhoba S. Kharat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Pratap G. Rodge, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 4th October, 2018, to enable him to remove office objection/s.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 295 OF 2018

[Dr. Arvind N. Bagate & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Milind Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24th September, 2018 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 13 OF 2015

[Shri Haribhau K. Waghe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Shri S.B. Gorde, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
- 2. It appears from the proceedings that on the last date nobody appeared for the applicant. Today also nobody appeared for the applicant.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. 26th September, 2018, as a last chance.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 393 OF 2007

[Shri Himat B. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Shri C.V. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
- 2. The record would show that earlier the present Original Application was dismissed in default. Thereafter, it was restored.
- 3. In the circumstances, to have a fair opportunity to the applicant, S.O. to 3^{rd} October, 2018, as a last chance.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

T.A.NO. 7/2016 [W.P.NO. 1533/2015]

[Smt. Chandrakala K. Navgire Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Smt. Firdose Shaikh, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Naseem Shaikh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Upon hearing both the sides and more particularly after going through the decision of the respondents (page-98), it appears that the main issue in the present O.A. is in terms of paragraph No. 4 of the said decision. It shows that a daily wages Van Majoor required to be regularized in terms of Government Resolution dated 31st October, 2013 is required to work either continuously or with a break for a period of 240 days in a year between 01.01.1993 and 30.06.2014 minimum for a period of 5 years either in plan or non-plan scheme. The period of working on Employment Guarantee Scheme however, is required to be deducted from the said period.
- 3. Exhibit 'B', page-20 the chart filed by the applicant would show that applicant's name appears at Sr. No. 9 and it shows that except the

:: - 2 - ::

T.A.NO. 7/2016
[W.P.NO. 1533/2015]

year 1993-94 for a next of the 4 years she worked for a period of more than 240 days. The next of the information onwards up to the year 2004, however, is not available on the record either from the side of the applicant or respondents.

- 4. From Exhibit 'B' as referred above, it prima facie appears that till the year 1997-98 the applicant has worked for a period of more than 240 days for 4 years. The respondents are, therefore, directed to file a detailed chart of the period of working of the present applicant rightly from 1993-94 till 2004 in terms of Government Resolution dated 31st October, 2013, a reference of which is made in point No. 4 at page-99. The respondents are also directed to state as to whether the applicant has worked under Employment Guarantee Scheme out of the period of her working and give the details of the same also.
- 5. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 8th October, 2018 for filing affidavit.
- 6. Learned Chief Presenting Officer shall act on steno copy.

T.A. NO. 5/2018 [W.P.NO.9261/2018]

[Shri Pravin C. Janjal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Shri Nitin S. Kadarale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that according to the present applicant, one of the questions was wrongly assessed by the concerned respondents and the applicant would have secured 45 marks out of 100 marks, which is the cut off marks provided by the respondents, the learned Chief Presenting Officer submits that though the cut off marks was 45 marks ultimately at the time of short-listing the candidates, who secured 45 or less marks, could not be called. He submits that a short affidavit in this regard would be filed.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 10th September, 2018 for filing short affidavit concerning the above issue only.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

T.A. NO. 6/2018 [W.P.NO.9314/2018]

[Shri Prasanna R. Raut & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AMD : JUSTICE M.1. JUSHI,

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 07.09.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Shri Abhay R. Rathod, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Upon hearing both the sides, the following directions are issued to the concerned respondents:-
 - (i) That the respondents shall file the resultsheet of the present applicants;
 - (ii) They shall file the printed question and answer-sheet of the present applicants;
 - (iii) The standard key along with the rectification of the same on record; and
 - (iv) An affidavit regarding the standard prescribe for short-listing.
- 4. S.O. to 10th September, 2018 for the above compliance.
- 5. Learned Chief Presenting Officer shall act on steno copy.

MEMBER (A) VICE CHAIRMAN

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 943/2017

(Amol J. Udawant V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 7.9.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.J. Rahate, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 4 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 5 to 9.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant, on instructions from the applicant, submits that the applicant has secured less marks in the selection process and, therefore, nothing survives in the present O.A.
- 3. In view of above statement made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, the present original application is disposed of without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 404/2018

(Uddhav G. Gangawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE: 7.9.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents. The learned Advocate for the applicant also seeks time to take instructions as to whether the selection process is completed and make a statement in this regard on the next date. In the circumstances, learned P.O. is also directed to take instructions from the concerned respondents in regard to completion of selection process and make a statement in this regard on the next date.
- 3. Accordingly, S.O. to 15.10.2018 for making statements by both the sides on above line and also filing affidavit in reply by the respondents.
- 4. Steno copy allowed for the use of both the sides.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 414/2018

(Vranda P. Sadgure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 7.9.2018

ORAL ORDER:

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4, are present.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for res. no. 4, S.O. to 19.11.2018 for filing affidavit in reply in the present O.A.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 74/2018

(Bhagwan B. Chemate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 7.9.2018

ORAL ORDER:

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

2. None appears for the applicant. No rejoinder is filed by the applicant. In the circumstances, S.O. to 15.10.2018 for hearing on admission.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 584/2018 (Pandit P. Arane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 7.9.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply of res. no. 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. She seeks time to file affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 and 2. At her request, S.O. to 18.10.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 & 2.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 762/2017

(Manoranjan R. Dhas V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 7.9.2018

ORAL ORDER:

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

- 2. Learned P.O. that though the applicant had filed forged nomination from the freedom fighter, he was appointed from the general category but promotion was granted to him on the basis of the said certificate. In that view of the matter, it primafacie, appears that the appointment of the present applicant cannot be cancelled and the only issue remains for adjudication is of regarding his promotion.
- 3. In view of absence of applicant and his learned Advocate, S.O. to 27.10.2018 for making submissions on the above line.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 569/2018 (Prashant A. Bonge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 7.9.2018

ORAL ORDER:

None appears for the applicant. Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O., S.O. to 19.10.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents in the present O.A.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 939/2018 (Dattatraya S. Bargaje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 7.9.2018

ORAL ORDER:

None appears for the applicant. Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O., S.O. to 27.10.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents in the present O.A.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. 12/2018 IN O.A. 604/2016 (Annarao L. Bhosale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 7.9.2018

ORAL ORDER:

None appears for the applicant. Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

- 2. Learned P.O. submits that during the course of the day he would file affidavit in reply of the respondents in the present M.A. and copy thereof would be supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant. The said reply be taken on record.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 4.10.2018 for hearing on present M.A.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

T.A. 3/2018 (W.P. 9260/2018) (Mangesh R. Datar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 7.9.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicants is praying for grant of interim relief against the main examination to be held on 15.9.2018 for the concerned post. The grievance of the applicants as per the submissions of the learned Advocate appeared that, when online preliminary examinations were held in 12 sets on 4 days i.e. 3 sets per day, different standards were applied by the res. no. 2 the Commissioner-cum-Director, Directorate of Municipal Administration, Mumbai. She further submits that rule of normalization applied by the concerned respondent is also faulty.
- 3. Admitted facts are that the respondents have applied the rule of normalization of grant of full marks to the candidates to the questions which they have attempted and later on the said questions found to be faulty by the experts. Today the learned Advocate for the applicants has submitted a chart on record, which is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification and copy thereof has been served upon the learned C.P.O.

::-2-:: T.A. 3/2018 (W.P. 9260/2018)

for the respondents. The said chart would show that it is regarding 6 sets and from these different sets certain number of questions were discarded as faulty running from 1 to 6 and the candidates attempting these questions were granted marks between 0 to 6. According to her, this granting of marks to the different candidates is discriminating. She further submits that rule of normalization applied by the concerned respondents is faulty.

- 4. Learned Advocate for the applicants has relied on the following judgments, which are taken on record and marked as document X-1, X-2 & X-3 respectively for the purpose of identification:-
- (i) Disha Panchal and Others Vs. Union of India and Others [2018 (4) Mh. L.J. 481] (document X-3)
- (ii) Francisco D. Luis Vs. the Director, Board of Secondary and Higher Secondary Education and Ors. [P.I.L. NO. 94/2008 dtd, 25.8.2008 decided by Hon'ble Bombay High Court] (Document X-2)
- (iii) Score of normalization of Criminal Investigation
 Department Examination. (**Document X-1**)
- 5. The objection of the learned Advocate for the applicants is that even some questions were repeated in next of the sets as shown in document 'X'. Under these circumstances, she submits that this discrimination is prejudicial to the applicants. She further submits that the concerned respondents be

::-3-:: T.A. 3/2018 (W.P. 9260/2018)

restrained from conducting the main examination till the decision of the Tribunal in the present T.A. / W.P.

- 6. Upon hearing both the sides, in our view, no interim relief can be granted for the following reasons:-
 - (i) The decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court and Hon'ble High Court on which reliance is placed by the learned Advocate for the applicants would show that the principles for normalization are applied finding that the examinations were held by the different boards like Secondary Board viz. Central Board for Secondary Examination.
 - (ii) More particularly the reliance placed on document X-1 i.e. rule of Normalization applied in Criminal Investigation Examination would show that only rule of correction was applied, which is done in the present case by the concerned respondents.

Thus, as to which formula should be applied for normalization is within the discretion of the concerned respondents. So far as discrimination in getting higher benefit due to faulty question, it should be noted that benefit is granted uniformly to all the candidates because of certain defect in the question papers.

(iii) When the syllabus is one and the same and the question papers are required to be set in 12 sets, merely because some questions

::-4-:: T.A. 3/2018 (W.P. 9260/2018)

have repeated, it cannot be said that the said examination is unjust and unreasonable.

- 7. In the circumstances, no interim relief for permitting the applicants to appear for main examination can be granted and prayer to that effect is hereby refused.
- 8. S.O. for hearing of T.A./W.P. on 26.9.2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. 191/2018 IN O.A. 703/2017 (Vasant M. Gosavi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 7.9.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the concerned documents on the line of order dtd. 20.6.2018 would be filed in the office. It be accepted. In the circumstances, S.O. to 4.10.2018 for hearing of M.A.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. 119/2018 IN O.A. 312/2015 (Pallavi A. Meshram V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 7.9.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3, Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 and Ms. Pradnya Talekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for respondent no. 6. None appears for respondent no. 5.

2. With the consent of both the sides, the present M.A. is disposed of without any order as to costs and the office is directed to place O.A. no. 312/2018 itself for final hearing on 24.9.2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 417/2015 (Kalyan B. Ghuge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 7.9.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate submits that the present O.A. can be disposed of with a direction to res. no. 1 the State Govt. to take a decision on the basis of proposal sent by the concerned respondents. He, however, does not have any concrete instructions in this regard.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 11.9.2018 for taking instructions from the applicant on the above line.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 180/2017 (Pralhad C. Shelke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

._____

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 7.9.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri U.S. Sawaji, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. The present matter is not board. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant it is taken on board.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the present applicant does not wish to proceed with the present O.A. and he wants to withdraw the same with liberty to file fresh O.A.
- 4. In the circumstances, the present O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn without any order as to costs, with liberty to the applicant to file such a proceeding as may be necessary according to law.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 507/2017 (Pralhad C. Shelke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 7.9.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri U.S. Sawaji, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. The present matter is not board. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant it is taken on board.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the present applicant does not wish to proceed with the present O.A. and he wants to withdraw the same with liberty to file fresh O.A.
- 4. In the circumstances, the present O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn without any order as to costs, with liberty to the applicant to file such a proceeding as may be necessary according to law.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN