ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.622/2015.

(Shri R.K. Munde Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J). (This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 06.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri Vivek Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the

Applicant and Smt S.K. Ghate Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 13.2.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 6-2-2017-ATP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.233/2016.

(Shri P.W. Pangul Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J). (This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE :06.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

None present for the Applicant. Shri V.R. Bhumkar,

learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 14.2.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 6-2-2017-ATP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 482/2016.

(Shri H. V. Patil Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J). (This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE :06.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri A. S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt S. K. Ghate Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, the Departmental Examination itself is faulted. The learned P.O. points out that, no such challenge Departmental Examination is pleaded and a mistake of the department in declaring that the present applicant was exempted is being made capital of. Upon hearing both the sides the O.A. is admitted and be placed for expeditious final hearing.

3. S.O. to 16.2.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 6-2-2017-ATP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.540/2016.

(Shri R.D. Sapkale Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J). (This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE :06.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

None present for the Applicant. Heard Smt S. K. Ghate Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It appears from the contents of the petition that, the applicant is aggrieved by the grant of more marks to other candidates in oral interview though they secured less marks in written examination and therefore, the applicant alleges that he is deprived from being selected. Since none present for the applicant, S.O. to 15.2.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 6-2-2017-A

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.644/2016.

(Shri R. K. Zade Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J). (This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE :06.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri Subhash Chillarge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N. U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no.1 to 3. None present for the Respondents no.4 to 6.

2. The issue appears to be the promotion subject to the scrutiny of the caste certificate.

3. S.O. to 16.2.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 6-2-2017-ATP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.753/2016.

(Dr. M. M. Ghodke & Ors. Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J). (This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE :06.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri Sham Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri V. R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. S.O. to 15.2.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 6-2-2017-ATP

MEMBER (J).

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.880/2016

(Dr. S.B.Kendre V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 06-02-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondent no.1 and Shri Parag Shahane learned Advocate for respondent nos.2 and 3.

2. Shri Shahane learned Advocate has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.2 and 3.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he will file a short reply/rejoinder countering submissions made by respondent nos.2 and 3.

4. Time is granted to the applicant to file reply as regards contentions raised by respondent nos.2 and 3 in their reply as regards disbursement of salary of the disputed period.

5. S.O.14 March, 2017.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.908/2016

(Shri T.B.Jagtap V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 06-02-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P.Bhumkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned CPO prays for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O.16th March, 2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 06-02-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.936/2016

(Shri T.S.Mane V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 06-02-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri A.D.Gadekar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof is served on the other side.

3. Learned P.O. prays for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 2. Time granted.

4. S.O.15th March, 2017.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.06/2017

(Shri Zargar Abdul V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 06-02-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri A.D.Gadekar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Prima facie, it seems that the O.A. is not maintainable being premature.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time till next date for satisfying the Tribunal on the point of maintainability of the O.A. Time granted.

4. S.O.15th March, 2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 06-02-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.19/2017

(Shri Y.B.Patil V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 06-02-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate holding for Ku. Priti Wankhade learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. prays for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O.16th March, 2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 06-02-2017

M.A.No.300/2016 IN O.A.St.No.1259/2016

(Shri K.D.Patil V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 06-02-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply in the M.A. on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O.17th March, 2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 06-02-2017

M.A.No.467/2016 IN O.A.No.18/2016

(Dr. B.U.Bodhankar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 06-02-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri S.K.Mathpati learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R.Urgunde learned Advocate for the Intervenor, Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed on record communications dated 26th December, 2016 regarding transfer of the Intervenor as well as the Applicant. Intervenor has been posted at Latur at the place of Original Applicant. Learned P.O. submits that in view of the above communications, M.A. for intervention does not survive. Learned Advocate for the Intervenor also has no objection for disposal of the M.A.

3. In view thereof, very purpose of filing Intervention Application is satisfied. Accordingly, M.A.467/2016 stands disposed of.

Original Application No.18/2016

(Dr. Laxman Pawar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 06-02-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed on record communications dated 26th December, 2016 regarding transfer of the Intervenor as well as the Applicant. Intervenor has been posted at Latur at the place of Original Applicant. Learned P.O. submits that in view of the above communications, O.A. does not survive. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also has no objection for disposal of the O.A.

3. In view thereof, very purpose of filing O.A. is satisfied. Accordingly, it stands disposed of.

M.A.No.468/2016 IN O.A.St.No.1989/2016

(Shri A.R.Halase V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 06-02-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri M.K.Bhosle learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. prays for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. 17th March, 2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 06-02-2017

M.A.No.473/2016 IN O.A.St.No.995/2015

(Shri M.A.Gaikwad V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 06-02-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate holding for Ku. Priti Wankhade learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. prays for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O.16th March, 2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 06-02-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.294/2015

(Dr. B.M.Bhawar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 06-02-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

At the request of both parties, S.O.15th March,
2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 06-02-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.699/2016

(Shri J.D.Patil V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 06-02-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for adjournment till next date. Adjournment granted.

3. S.O.14th February, 2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 06-02-2017

M.A. NO. 52/2017 WITH M.A. NO. 370/2015 IN CP ST. NO. 1129/2015 IN O.A. NO. 199/2014

[Shri Uttam N. Vendait Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM :- Hon'ble Justice Shri M.T. Joshi, Member (J) (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE :- 06.02.2017 Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri P.M. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no. 1 and Shri Sharad S. Shinde, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 2 & 3.

2. Today, the learned Advocate for the applicant has filed on record a true copy of the order dated 1.10.2016 passed by Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad in Review Application St. No. 15739/2016. It is taken on record of the M.A. no. 52/2017 and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification. He has also supplied copy of the said order to the other side.

3. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to verify the correctness of the true copy of the order now filed on record by the learned Advocate for the applicant. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 9.2.2017.

M.A. NO. 328/2016 WITH M.A. ST. NO. 1353/2016 IN O.A. ST. NO. 1354/2016

[Mah. Rajya Rojandari Va Kayam Van Kamgar Kruti Samiti through General Secretary Shri Harischandra S. Sonwane & Ors. Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM :- Hon'ble Justice Shri M.T. Joshi, Member (J) (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE :- 06.02.2017

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri G. R. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply in the misc. application. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 8.3.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.2.2017

M.A. NO. 430/2015 IN O.A. ST. NO. 419/2015

[Shri Rajesh B. Yawalkar Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM :- Hon'ble Justice Shri M.T. Joshi, Member (J)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE :- 06.02.2017

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri Rajesh Balkrishna Yawalkar, applicant in person and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The misc. application no. 430/2015 has been filed by the applicant for condonation of delay caused in filing the original application, before this Tribunal.

3. Perused the application. Considered the contentions.

4. It appears that the delay in filing the original application has been occurred due to mistake or negligence of the Advocate in filing the original application before this Tribunal and, therefore, the applicant cannot be made to suffer for the same.

5. In the circumstances, the misc. application is allowed and the delay caused in filing the original application is condoned. There shall be no order as to costs.

6. The office is directed to register the original application and place the same on board for admission hearing on 15.3.2017.

MEMBER (J) ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.2.2017 M.A. NO. 400/2015 IN O.A. ST. NO. 1324/2014

[Smt. Ratna S. Wankhede Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM :- Hon'ble Justice Shri M.T. Joshi, Member (J) (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE :- 06.02.2017

Oral Order :-

1. Shri Vinod Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant **(absent)**. Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 4 and Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for respondent no. 5, are present.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of res. no. 1 in the present misc. application. It is taken on record. She undertakes to supply copy thereof to the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. As the applicant and his learned Advocate are absent, S.O. to 14.2.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.2.2017

M.A. NO. 371/2015 IN O.A. NO. 326/2012 WITH O.A. NO. 555/2015

[Shri Madhav C. Padvi Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM :- Hon'ble Justice Shri M.T. Joshi, Member (J) (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.) DATE :- 06.02.2017

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri F.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to prefer a misc. application for carrying out some amendment in the original application, in view of the subsequent developments. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 27.2.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.2.2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 28 OF 2017

[Shri Nandalal D. Sapkale Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM :- Hon'ble Justice Shri M.T. Joshi, Member (J)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE :- 06.02.2017

Oral Order :-

1. Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant **(leave note)**. Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. It is reported that Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note.

3. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents in the matter. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 6.3.2017.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 6.2.2017

M.A. 44/2017 WITH M.A. 45/2017 IN O.A. 934/2016 (Shri Amol S/o. Vitthal Mane Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM	:	HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE	:	06.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.J. Suryawanshi – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 14th February, 2017 to enable him to make submissions regarding maintainability of the Original Application No. 934/2016.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO. 47/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO. 116/2017

(Shri Badrinath S/o. Yamaji Ghongade & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM	:	HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE	:	06.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi – learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 8th February, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 572 OF 2015

(Shri Subhash Gopinath Chavan Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM	:	HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE	:	06.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.B. Mene – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 9th March, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 165 OF 2017

(Shri Rajendra Suryabhan Sudruk Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM	:	HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE	:	06.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

 The learned Advocate for the applicant orally prays for leave to correct the dates in prayer clause (b) at page-4, '25.11.2014 to 02.07.2015' as '08.11.2008 to 31.03.2013'.

3. Leave to correct the dates as prayed for is granted.

4. The applicant shall carry out the necessary correction forthwith.

5. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 9th March, 2017.

6. Tribunal may take the case/s for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on intimation/notice respondents of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988,

:: - 2 - :: O.A. ST. NO. 165 OF 2017

and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. to 9th March, 2017.

8. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 207 OF 2016

(Shri Ganpat Jalbaji Waghamare Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM	:	HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE	:	06.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri H.P. Jadhav – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri S.K. Shirase – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 to 5 and the same is taken on record. He undertakes to serve the copy of the reply on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 15th March, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 254 OF 2016

(Shri Shaikh Hamid Dagdumiya & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM	:	HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE	:	06.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle – learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It transpires from the proceedings that from 22nd August, 2016 the respondents are seeking adjournments for filing affidavit in reply. However, today also the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents seeks time to file affidavit in reply

3. In view thereof, S.O. to 31st March, 2017 for filing affidavit in reply, as a last chance.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 279 OF 2016

(Shri Kalyan S/o. Sopanrao Pawar Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM	:	HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE	:	06.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It transpires from the proceedings that from 22nd August, 2016 the respondents are seeking adjournments for filing affidavit in reply. However, today also the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents seeks time to file affidavit in reply

3. In view thereof, S.O. to 31^{st} March, 2017 for filing affidavit in reply, as a last chance.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 426 OF 2016

(Dr. Damodar Jemala Rathod Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM	:	HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE	:	06.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Shamsundar Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri Subhash Chillarge – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant S.O. to 13th February, 2017 for enabling him to make statement.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 434 OF 2016

(Dr. Abdul Salim Abdul Karim Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM	:	HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE	:	06.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Prafull Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary – learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It transpires from the proceedings that from 6th October, 2016 the respondents are seeking adjournments for filing affidavit in reply. However, today also the learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply.

3. S.O. to 27th February, 2017 for filing affidavit in reply, as a last chance.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 436 OF 2016

(Shri Praddpkumar S/o. Bhimrao Ghule Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM	:	HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE	:	06.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.G. Thitte, learned Advocate holding for Shri Subhash Sawangikar – learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri P.K. Ippar – learned Advocate for respondent No. 5.

At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 31st
March, 2017 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 559 OF 2016

(Shri Dagdu S/o. Waman Bansode Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM	:	HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE	:	06.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar – learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 15th March, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 723 OF 2016

(Shri Sandeep S/o Sudhakarrao Kulkarni Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM	:	HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE	:	06.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 27th February, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 724 OF 2016

(Shri Sirajoddin S/o. Karimodin Ansari Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM	:	HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE	:	06.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Shamsundar Patil – learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 27th February, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 782 OF 2016

(Shri Sakharam S/o. Shankar Kude Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM	:	HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE	:	06.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Shamsundar Patil – learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. It transpires from the proceedings that from 22nd November, 2016 the respondents are seeking adjournments for filing affidavit in reply. However, today also the learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 seeks time to file affidavit in reply

3. In view thereof, S.O. to 27^{th} February, 2017 for filing affidavit in reply, as a last chance.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 783 OF 2016

(Shri Subhash S/o. Pandharinath Thorat Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM	:	HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE	:	06.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It transpires from the proceedings that from 22nd November, 2016 the respondents are seeking adjournments for filing affidavit in reply. However, today also the learned Presenting Officer for respondents seeks time to file affidavit in reply

3. In view thereof, S.O. to 27th February, 2017 for filing affidavit in reply, as a last chance.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 794 OF 2016

(Shri Vishnu S/o. Dadu Bidwe & 8 Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM	:	HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE	:	06.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the applicants and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 27th February, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 795 OF 2016

(Shri Bhimrao S/o Shamrao Gawande & 5 Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM	:	HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE	:	06.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the applicants and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 27th February, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 764 OF 2016

(Shri Baswaraj M. Swami & Anr. Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM	:	HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE	:	06.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri R.S. Shejule, learned Advocate holding for Shri Kiran M. Nagarkar – learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer for the respondents seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 10th March, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 870 OF 2016

(Shri Maharudra S/o. Namdeo Dorle Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM	:	HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE	:	06.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri D.R. Patil – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Shamsundar Patil – learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2, S.O. to 27^{th} February, 2017 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 871 OF 2016

(Shri Satish P. Dhaktode Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM	:	HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE	:	06.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Shamsundar Patil – learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2, S.O. to 27th February, 2017 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 861 OF 2016

(Shri Himmatrao M. Deshmukh Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) DATE : 06.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle – learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer for the respondents seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 31st March, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 45 OF 2016

(Dr. Dnyanesh S/o. Damodar Gund Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM	:	HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE	:	06.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh – learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This Original Application has been filed by the applicant challenging the impugned transfer order dated 15.1.2016; thereby transferring the applicant from the post of Live Stock Development Officer, Veterinary Dispensary, Grade-I, Wadzire, Tq. Parner, District Ahmednagar to the post of Live Stock Development Officer (Extension), Panchayat Samiti, Bhadgon, Dist. Jalgaon.

3. Today, the learned Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of order dated 28th November, 2016 issued by Deputy Secretary, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development & Fisheries Department, Government of Maharashtra, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32 and the same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the identification purpose.

4. Perusal of the aforesaid order dated 28th November, 2016 shows that the transfer of the applicant from the post of Live Stock Development Officer, Veterinary Dispensary, Grade-I, Wadzire, Tq. Parner, Dist. Ahmednagar to the post of Live Stock Development Officer (Extension), Panchayat Samiti, Bhadgaon, Dist. Jalgaon has been cancelled and the applicant is now posted at his previous posting i.e. Live Stock Development Officer,

:: - 2 - :: O.A. NO. 45 OF 2016

Veterinary Dispensary, Grade-I, Wadzire, Tq. Parner Dist. Ahmednagar.

5. The learned Presenting Officer submits that in view of the aforesaid order passed by Deputy Secretary, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development & Fisheries Department, Government of Maharashtra, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32, the purpose of filing the present Original Application is served and the same may be disposed of.

6. The learned Advocate for the applicant has in agreement with the aforesaid submissions made by the learned Presenting Officer.

7. In view of the aforesaid order dated 28th November, 2016, and in view of aforesaid submissions made by the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents and learned Advocate for the applicant, the present Original Application stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)