T.A.NO. 7/2018 [W.P.NO. 9927/2018]

[Shri Prashant A. Dongre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 04.09.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Prasad Jarare, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 26<sup>th</sup> September, 2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

# :: - 2 - :: T.A.NO. 7/2018 [W.P.NO. 9927/2018]

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to the record.

8. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that interim relief in terms of prayer clauses 'B' & 'C' may be granted.

9. By the present Original Application the applicant is challenging the act of the respondent No. 2, Maharashtra Public Service Commission, in conducting the main examination for recruitment in Maharashtra Agricultural Services, Group 'A' & 'B' only in English medium without giving alternative in Marathi medium. The said exercise however was carried for preliminary examination.

10. It is to be noted that the recruitment notice along with the impugned decision was notified by the respondent No. 2, M.P.S.C. on  $7^{\text{th}}$  March, 2018 (Exhibit 'F', pages-33 to 36)

T.A.NO. 7/2018 [W.P.NO. 9927/2018]

:: - 3 - ::

and now the main examinations are to be held on  $8^{\text{th}}$  September, 2018 i.e. just within 3 days from today.

11. The applicant has not approached the proper forum despite the notification dated 24<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 [Exhibit 'I' page-71] till this date. Now granting stay to the main examination would put a spoke in the entire arrangement made by the respondent No. 2 in conducting the examination by making all the arrangement and which would cause huge loss in terms of finances and also to the other numerous candidates who had not challenged the said provision and are ready to undergo the said examination.

12. In that view of the matter, prayer for interim relief cannot be granted to that effect. Therefore, the prayer for grant of interim relief is rejected.

13. S.O. to 26<sup>th</sup> September, 2018.

14. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

C.P.NO. 49/2018 IN O.A.NO. 539/2018

[Shri Amol K. Kakde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 04.09.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Ramesh I. Wakade, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri B.S. Devkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply of compliance on behalf of respondent and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions from the applicant. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> September, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)** 

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

\_\_\_\_\_

### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 430 OF 2017**

[Shri Chous Galeb Ahmed Amodi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

#### DATE : 04.09.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

\_\_\_\_\_

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that he would take instructions from the concerned respondents regarding the compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal dated 4.7.2017, steno copy of which is already supplied to the learned Presenting Officer on that date.

3. It is to be noted that without complying with the said order dated 4.7.2017, on the submissions of the learned Presenting Officer the present case was adjourned from time to time for filing affidavit in reply. The respondents also failed to deposit the amount towards costs imposed on them by this Tribunal within time. It is evident that till today the order dated 4.7.2017 is not complied with by the respondents. Taking into consideration all these gross negligence on the part of the respondents, time is granted as a last chance to comply with the order of this Tribunal dated 4.7.2017 with a forewarning that

# :: - 2 - :: O.A. NO. 430 OF 2017

in case the concerned respondents / officers cannot indulge in such practice the heavy cost would be imposed on the concerned respondents.

4. S.O. to 25<sup>th</sup> September, 2018 for compliance of the order of this Tribunal dated 4.7.2017, as a last chance.

5. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 942 OF 2016** 

[Shri Shivaji S. Bhagade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 04.09.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

1. Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file application for permission to amend the present Original Application in view of the subsequent developments along with the documents. At his request, S.O. to 10<sup>th</sup> October, 2018 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 932 OF 2016** 

[Shri Surendra A. Shisode Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**<u>DATE</u>** : 04.09.2018.

# **ORAL ORDER** :

 Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant, Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 5 and Shri Shrikant Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 6.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to argue the matter on the line of the order passed by this Tribunal dated 14.06.2017. It is to be noted that more than sufficient chances are already given to the learned Advocate for the applicant and on some dates learned Advocate for the applicant remained absent.

In that view of the matter, as a last chance,
S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> September, 2018 for arguing the present case or for passing necessary orders.

#### **MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 35 OF 2015**

[Shri Ganesh J. Anmod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 04.09.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

1. Heard Shri Y.K. Kanade, learned Advocate holding for Shri Hemant Surve, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 445 OF 2015**

[Shri Ganesh J. Anmod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 04.09.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

1. Heard Shri Y.K. Kanade, learned Advocate holding for Shri Hemant Surve, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO. 120/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO. 468/2018

[Shri Prashant A. Falke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 04.09.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

1. Heard Smt. Firdose Shaikh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Naseem Shaikh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

At the request of learned Presenting Officer,
S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> October, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. 235/18 IN M.A.ST.1148/18 IN O.A. 44/13

[Dr. Rashmi P. Dhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 04.09.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

1. Heard Shri N.D. Sonavane, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

 It appears from the service report of the Registry of this Tribunal that respondent Nos. 2
& 3 are duly served. However, respondent No. 1 is yet to be served.

 Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 &
Time granted.

4. S.O. to 10<sup>th</sup> October, 2018.

# **MEMBER (A)**

VICE CHAIRMAN

\_\_\_\_\_

M.A.NO. 325/2018 IN C.P.NO. 52/2018

[Shri Devidas K. Kardule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 04.09.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

 Heard Smt. Bharati Sathe Sohoni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application filed by the applicants for condonation of delay of about 59 days caused in filing the application for brining LRs on record of the Contempt Petition No. 52/2018.

3. For the reasons stated in the present Miscellaneous Application, the same is allowed and delay of about 59 days caused in filing application for brining LRs on record of the contempt petition is hereby condoned.

4. The Registry of this Tribunal shall register the application for bringing LRs on record as per the due scrutiny / procedure.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 592 OF 2017**

[Association of Pharmacy Teachers of India, Through its Members Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

<u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018.

### **ORAL ORDER** :

\_\_\_\_\_

1. Heard Shri A.N. Kakade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed written notes of arguments and the same is taken on record.

3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submits that within two days the written notes of arguments would be filed on behalf of the respondents.

4. Reserved for orders.

**MEMBER (A)** 

VICE CHAIRMAN

\_\_\_\_\_

### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 590 OF 2013**

[Smt. Kaveri V. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

: 04.09.2018. DATE

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

1. Heard Shri P.G. Gunale, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 5, Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for respondent No. 6 and Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for respondent No. 7. Shri M.P. Kale, learned Advocate for respondent No. 8 (absent).

2. Learned Advocate for Shri K.G. Salunke has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 7 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks one week's time. Time granted.

S.O. to 19<sup>th</sup> September, 2018 for hearing. 4.

**MEMBER (A)** 

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 660, 661, 662, 663, 664/2018 (Kalpana B. Padwal & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

------

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 4.9.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sudhir K. Chavan, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters and S/shri M.S. Mahajan, M.P. Gude, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar & Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Chief Presenting Officer & Presenting Officers for the respondents in respective matters.

2. Upon hearing the learned Advocate for the applicants, it appears that, before arising any cause of action and without waiting for decision on the objections raised by the respective applicants to the seniority list (Annex. A. 8 page 29 in O.A. no. 660/2018), the present matters are filed.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 7.9.2018 for taking instructions by the learned Advocate from the respective applicants regarding withdrawal of present O.As., if any.

#### MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 675/2018

(Vilas R. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

# **DATE** : 4.9.2018

# **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri M.M. Bhokarikar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission to the respondents, returnable on 26.9.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of 0.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rifles, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application

# <u>::-2-::</u> O.A. NO. 675/2018

shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. Without filing any detailed affidavit in reply, the concerned respondents are directed to file on record the copy of letter dtd. 11.4.2018, which is mentioned at reference no. 4 in the order dtd. 11.4.2018, copy of which at page 24 of the O.A.

9. S.O. to 26.09.2018.

10. Steno copy / humdast allowed for both the sides.

# MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

C.P. NO. 62/2018 IN O.A. 941/2017 (Dr. Pushpa W. Salunke (Died) through LR Dr. Dattatraya N. Kale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

# <u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 4.9.2018

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in the present Contempt Petition, returnable on 25.9.2018.

3. In case the order of the Tribunal dtd. 11.4.2018 passed in O.A. no. 941/2017 is not complied with by the concerned respondents in the meantime, necessary action under Contempt of Court Act shall forthwith follow.

### MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. NO. 167/2018 IN O.A. 64/2018 (Bhagwan S. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

# **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

#### **<u>DATE</u>** : 4.9.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Magare, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D. Godhamgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Though Shri Godhamgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note, Shri Magare, learned Advocate appears on his behalf and seeks accommodation. It is to be noted that the present matter is unnecessarily prolonged since long. In the circumstances, S.O. to 18.9.2018 for taking instructions from the applicant as directed earlier.

### MEMBER (A)

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

C.P. NO. 02/2016 IN O.A. 344/2014 (The Association of Technical Assistant, through its President Tukaram D. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

# <u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 4.9.2018

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10.10.2018 to comply with the earlier order of the Tribunal dtd. 16.7.2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

C.P. NO. 17/2017 IN O.A. 63/2015 (saylu P. Nawod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

#### DATE : 4.9.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

-----

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant **(leave note)**. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.10.2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

------

C.P. NO. 58/2018 IN O.A. 100/2017 (Milind V. Sonkamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

------

# **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

### **<u>DATE</u>** : 4.9.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has placed on record the withdrawal pursis signed by the applicant, which is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification. He submits that as the order dtd. 25.4.2018 passed by the Tribunal in O.A. no. 100/2017 has been complied with by the concerned respondents, the applicant does not wish to proceed with the present C.P. and wants to withdraw the same.

3. In the circumstances, the present C.P. is disposed of as withdrawn without any order as to costs.

#### MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 37/2017 (Balasaheb N. Raut &: Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

# **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

#### DATE : 4.9.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicants. Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Today none appears for the applicants. On last 2 dates also, the learned Advocate for the applicants has sought adjournments. Learned P.O. submits that interim relief is running against the respondents.

3. In the circumstances, as a last chance, S.O. to 18.9.2018 either for hearing or for taking a decision on the continuation of the interim relief.

### MEMBER (A)

### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 493/2017 (Dr. Sanjay K. Khachane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

------

# **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

#### DATE : 4.9.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2. None appears for respondent nos. 3 to 6.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 11.9.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of res. no. 2.

MEMBER (A)

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 946/2017 (Namdeo L. More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

------

# **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

#### **<u>DATE</u>** : 4.9.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. It appears that, last chance was already granted therefor. In the circumstances, in the interest of justice S.O. to 12.9.2018 for filing affidavit in reply, with a forewarning that in case no reply is filed on that date, the Tribunal would be constrained to impose heavy the concerned costs on respondents.

Steno copy allowed for the use of learned
P.O.

#### MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 961/2017 (Manoj J. Agale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

# **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

#### **<u>DATE</u>** : 4.9.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Yogesh Jaybhaye, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Shri Jaybhaye, learned Advocate holding for Shri Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant seeks accommodation to file affidavit in terms of order dtd. 1.8.2018. At his request, S.O. to 12.9.2018 for complying with the order of the Tribunal dtd. 1.8.2018.

#### MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 02/2018 (Anil H. Sarode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

#### DATE : 4.9.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri G.P. Darandale, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Khedkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 6. Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for respondent no. 7 **(leave note)**.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder of the applicant. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the other side.

3. Learned P.O. has also filed on record affidavit in reply of res. no. 5. It is taken on record with copy thereof to other side.

4. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for res. no. 7, S.O. to 27.9.2018 for admission hearing.

# **MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 25/2018 (Sachin R. Salve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

-----

# **OFFICE ORDER**

# **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

#### DATE : 4.9.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant **(leave note)**. Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 is present. None appears for respondent nos. 4 & 5.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 28.9.2018.

#### MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 48/2018 (Sanjay N. Nade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

#### DATE : 4.9.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 28.9.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 375/2018 (Kirankumar Baliram Kasule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

#### **<u>DATE</u>** : 4.9.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.R. Wakale, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3.

2. Learned P.O. has filed on record affidavit in reply of res. no. 1. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the other side.

3. Admit. With the consent of both the sides, the matter is taken up for final disposal.

4. The present applicant is challenging the selection of res. no. 3 – Shri Amol s/o Dasrath Amle – to the post of Assistant Director, Maharashtra State Finance & Accounts Services, Group –A made by the res. no. 2 – the M.P.S.C.

5. Affidavit in reply of res. no. 2 would show that since the applicant holds degree in Bachelor of Business Administration (for short B.B.A.), his qualification is not as per the rules or advertisement and, therefore, he cannot be selected, though he has secured more marks in the selection process than the res. no. 3.

6. Admittedly, the present applicant holds bachelor degree in Business Administration. The recruitment rules (Annex. R. 3 page 116) and more particularly rule 6 thereof along with advertisement (page 29) would show that the candidate should possess following qualification :-

*"6. Appointment to the post of Assistant Director in the Maharashtra Finance and Accounts Service, shall be made either :-*

(a) -- -- --

(b) by nomination from amongst the candidates who –

(*i*) -- -- --

*(ii)* possess any of the following qualifications :-

(a) a degree with at least fifty percent marks in Commerce, or

(b) passed final examination of chartered accountant conducted by Institute of Chartered Accountants of India,

(c) have passed the final examination of cost accountancy conducted by the institute of cost and Works Accountants of India, or

(d) Masters degree in Commerce of, or

(e) Master of Business Administration (M.B.A.) in Finance approved by All India Council For Technical Education (A.I.C.T.E.)." 7. The reason given by the res. no. 2 on the basis of communication received to it from the Finance Department, which is eventually based on the communication of the Director of Higher & Technical Education is that, though the degree of B.B.A. falls under the branch of Commerce and Administration, the nature of work of the post is of Accounting and, therefore, degree of B.B.A. is not included in the qualifications as detailed supra.

8. Learned Advocate for the applicant vehemently submits that, admittedly the degree B.B.A. falls under Commerce branch and, therefore, the present applicant was very well qualified for the post in view of qualification 6 (b) (ii) (a) mentioned supra.

9. Learned P.O. as well as learned Advocate for res. no. 3, however, submit that Commerce faculty falls under U.G.C., while Business Administration is under control and supervision of A.I.C.T.E.

10. Shri Deshpande, learned Advocate for res. no. 3 further submits that qualification 6 (b) (ii) (e) as mentioned supra would show that even degree in Business Administration is accepted for the post in question, provided it is Masters degree in Finance. 11. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that, though qualification 6 (b) (ii) (a) is of general character i.e. degree in Commerce, the list of next of the qualifications as well as nature of the post would show that Finance & Accounting are the only job requirement of the said post. Therefore, the present O.A. cannot be allowed.

12. In the circumstances, the present O.A. is dismissed without any order as to costs.

### MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 125/2018 (Dnyaneshwar T. Sakhare & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

#### DATE : 4.9.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicants. Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Learned P.O. submits that, though affidavit in reply is received by her, it is not in terms of order of the Tribunal dtd. 13.6.2018. At her request, S.O. to 24.9.2018 for complying with the directions contained in the order of the Tribunal dtd. 13.6.2018 of taking instructions.

# MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 205/2018 (Santosh K. Trimnwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

------

### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

### **<u>DATE</u>** : 4.9.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files on record affidavit in reply of all the respondents. It is taken on record with copy to other side.

3. With consent of both the sides, S.O. to 1.10.2018 for hearing on admission.

#### MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 236/2018 (Krushnalal R. Gule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

#### **DATE** : 4.9.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 3.10.2018 for filing rejoinder of the applicant.

#### MEMBER (A)

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

O.A. NOS. 278, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 449, 450, 277 & 279/2018 (Uday V. Hande & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUN

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 4.9.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Swapnil A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters and Shri M.S. Mahajan & Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Chief Presenting Officer & Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these matters.

 Learned Advocate for the applicants submits that acknowledgement of service of notice upon res.
no. 1 is yet to be received in some cases.

3. Learned C.P.O. submits that affidavit in reply would be filed for res. no. 3 during the course of the day in all these matters and copies thereof would be served upon the learned Advocate for the applicants. The same be accepted on record. He further submits that at present affidavit in reply of res. no. 2 is not necessary in all these matters.

4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 27.9.2018 awaiting service on res. no. 1.

MEMBER (A) ARJ ORAL ORDERS 4-9-2018 **VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 401/2018 (Ramesh B. Bansod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

------

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

#### DATE : 4.9.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 3.10.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

#### MEMBER (A)

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 461/2018 (Pravin M. Honule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

#### **<u>DATE</u>** : 4.9.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant **(leave note)**. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 4.10.2018.

## MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 440/2018 (Shivaji D. Sirsat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

------

## **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

#### **<u>DATE</u>** : 4.9.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 19.9.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

#### MEMBER (A)

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 500/2018 (Gokul S. Ingle & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

------

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

#### **<u>DATE</u>** : 4.9.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicants. Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 5.10.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

#### MEMBER (A)

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

M.A. 105/2018 IN O.A. 386/2018 (Dr. Uddhav S. Khaire V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

#### **<u>DATE</u>** : 4.9.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 8.10.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

#### MEMBER (A)

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.375/2017 (Gopal Kulkarni & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for

-----

the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned

Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondent no.1. Time is granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 17-10-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.501/2017 (Dhadku Mahale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

## **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri J.B.Chaudhary learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni

\_\_\_\_\_

learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

Shri V.S.Chaudhary learned Advocate for

respondent no.4 is **absent**.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file

sur-rejoinder. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 16-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.704/2017 (Asman Garje & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

## **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

## **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

<u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri J.B.Chaudhary learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, <u>S.O. to 16-10-2018</u> for filing sur-rejoinder.

YUK ORAL ORDER 04-09-2018 F

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.23/2018 (Dr. Archana Pergulwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

## **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# $\frac{\text{CORAM}}{\text{DATE}}$ : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) $\frac{\text{DATE}}{\text{ORAL ORDER}}$ :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 04-10-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.101/2018 (Subhash Reddy V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

## **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 17-10-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.323/2018 (Yoseph Garud V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

## **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# $\frac{\text{CORAM}}{\text{DATE}}$ : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) $\frac{\text{DATE}}{\text{ORAL ORDER}}$ : 04.09.2018

Heard Shri J.B.Chaudhary learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

 Reply of respondent nos.3 to 5 is on record.
Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of other respondent nos.1 and 2. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 25-09-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.405/2018 (Nilama Adsul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

## **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate holding for Shri A.G.Kanade learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 25-09-2018.

4. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

YUK ORAL ORDER 04-09-2018 F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.331/2018 (Ranjitsing Rajput V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

## **OFFICE ORDER**

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri J.B.Choudhary learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned CPO seeks time to produce

original record. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 25-09-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 04-09-2018 F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.637/2018 (Alkesh Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

## **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

------

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri M.S.Sonawane learned Advocate

for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned

Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the

applicant, S.O. to 06-09-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.658/2018 (Raosaheb Bangar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

## -----

## **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri R.R.Bangar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 17-10-2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O.to 17-10-2018.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

YUK ORAL ORDER 04-09-2018 F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.665/2018 (Zubair Ahmed Abdul Gafoor V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

## **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

## TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri S.K.Chavan learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to produce pension payment order of the applicant. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 04-10-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.671/2018 (Ganesh Ghongate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri Quadri Taher Ali learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The applicant has sought limited relief in the matter to direct the respondents to decide his application dated 01-02-2018.

3. On perusal of the record it reveals that the applicant has filed representation dated 01-02-2018 claiming appointment as Kotwal after retirement of his father and also claiming arrears of salary. Said representation/ application filed by the applicant with the Tahsildar, Vaijapur is not yet decided.

4. In these circumstances, in my opinion, it is just to direct respondent no.3 Tahsildar, Vaijapur

to take appropriate decision on the application of the applicant dated 01-02-2018 as per rules within one month.

=2=

5. O.A. stands disposed of with direction to the respondent no.3 to take decision on the representation as per rules within one month from the date of this order. There shall be no order as to costs.

#### YUK ORAL ORDER 04-09-2018 F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.672/2018 (Ramhari Sontakke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

## TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri K.G.Salunke learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for leave to amend the O.A. Leave as prayed for is granted. M.A. for amendment be filed on or before next date.

3. S.O. to 18-09-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.673/2018 (Gangadhar Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri K.G.Salunke learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 04-10-2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

=2=

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O.to 04-10-2018.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

YUK ORAL ORDER 04-09-2018 F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.677/2018 (Saba F. Md. Siraj V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

## TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri H.P.Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Applicant is directed to produce document/s showing current position as on today regarding the waiting list of the eligible candidates to be appointed on compassionate ground till next date.

3. S.O. to 08-10-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 04-09-2018 F

M.A.No.320/2018 IN O.A.St.No.1478/2018 (Sushilabai Kalaskar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

# TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri P.V.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. M.A.No.320/2018 has been filed for leave to sue jointly. Applicants are similarly situated employees agitating the same cause and as identical issue is involved in the matter, M.A. for sue jointly is allowed. M.A. stands disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

3. As M.A. for sue jointly is allowed, O.A. be registered and numbered after removal of office objections, if any.

YUK ORAL ORDER 04-09-2018 F

**MEMBER (J)** 

------

Original Application No.679/2018 (Sushilabai Kalaskar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

## **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

<u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018

# ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.V.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 09-10-2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of

O.A.No.679/2018

compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O.to 09-10-2018.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

YUK ORAL ORDER 04-09-2018 F

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.474/2017** (Chandrakant Kadam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# **CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)** DATE : 04.09.2018 **ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.D.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant is absent. Shri V. R. Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. None appears for the applicant. Applicant was absent on previous dates also. Applicant not complied with the order has dated 12-06-2018. It seems that the applicant is not diligent in conducting the matter. Hence, the case be fixed for passing appropriate order on 04-10-2018.

3. S.O. to 04-10-2018.

## **MEMBER (J)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.601/2017 (Sarla Kshirsagar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

## **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

## **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri S.N.Boiwar learned Advocate holding for Shri V.P.Golewar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 16-10-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 04-09-2018 F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.797/2017 (Chandrakala Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri V.D.Gunale/D.T.Devane learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**).

-----

Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer

for respondents is present.

2. None appears for the applicant. Applicant has not complied with the order dated 13-07-2018. It seems that the applicant is not diligent in conducting the matter. Hence, the case be fixed for passing appropriate order on 08-10-2018.

3. S.O. to 08-10-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.131/2018 (Digambar Chothe & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

## **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record. Copy of reply is served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 24-09-2018.

4. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

YUK ORAL ORDER 04-09-2018 F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.132/2018 (Sudhakar Kulkarni & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

## **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 24-09-2018.

4. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

YUK ORAL ORDER 04-09-2018 F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.159/2018 (Shivaji M.Panzade & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

## **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 24-09-2018.

4. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

YUK ORAL ORDER 04-09-2018 F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.139/2018 (Popat Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

## **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri K.C.Sonone learned Advocate holding for Shri Prashant Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Though ample opportunities are granted to the respondents, they have failed to file reply. Hence case shall proceed without reply of the respondents.

3. Matter be kept for final hearing on 17-10-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 04-09-2018 F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.155/2018 (Arun Kharat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### -----

## **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

## **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri S.G.Kulkarni learned Advocate holding for Shri K.B.Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

 Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.3. It is taken on record.
Copy served on the other side.

3. Learned P.O. states that reply of respondent nos.1, 2 and 4, at present, is not necessary.

4. S.O. to 09-10-2018.

#### **MEMBER (J)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.303/2018 (Sitaram Bhokare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri S.G.Kulkarni learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to produce service report of respondent nos.2 and 4.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply of respondent nos.1 and 2. Time is granted.

4. S.O. to 24-09-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 04-09-2018 F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.347/2018 (Anil Thombre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue fresh notice to the respondent no.3, returnable on 25-09-2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of

O.A.No.347/2018

compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

=2=

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O.to 25-09-2018.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

YUK ORAL ORDER 04-09-2018 F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.395/2018 (Manohar Bade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

# **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

 Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.2. It is taken on record.
Copy served on the other side.

3. Learned P.O. states that reply of respondent nos.1 and 3 is not necessary.

4. S.O. to 24-09-2018.

5. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

YUK ORAL ORDER 04-09-2018 F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.396/2018 (Shamsundar Madre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

 Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.2. It is taken on record.
Copy served on the other side.

3. Learned P.O. states that reply of respondent nos.1 and 3 is not necessary.

4. S.O. to 24-09-2018.

5. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

YUK ORAL ORDER 04-09-2018 F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.419/2018 (Rajendra Bagade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

# TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

# $\frac{\text{CORAM}}{\text{DATE}}$ : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) $\frac{\text{DATE}}{\text{ORAL ORDER}}$ :

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri N.L.Jadhav learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

2. Learned CPO seeks time to file written notes of arguments.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has strongly objected for the same. However, in the interest of justice, time is granted to file written notes of arguments till next date.

4. S.O. to 12-09-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 04-09-2018 F

**MEMBER (J)** 

M.A.No.37/2018 IN O.A.St.No.107/2018 (Arun K. Gosawi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri D.K.Dagadkhair learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 6 in the M.A. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time for filing affidavit in rejoinder. Time is granted.

4. S.O.19-10-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

M.A.No.38/2018 IN O.A.St.No.109/2018 (Uttam Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### -----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri D.K.Dagadkhair learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 6 in the M.A. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time for filing affidavit in rejoinder. Time is granted.

4. S.O.19-10-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

M.A.No.39/2018 IN O.A.St.No.111/2018 (Raghu Mehetre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri D.K.Dagadkhair learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 6 in the M.A. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time for filing affidavit in rejoinder. Time is granted.

4. S.O.19-10-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

M.A.No.40/2018 IN O.A.St.No.116/2018 (Uttam Kshirsagar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

-----

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri D.K.Dagadkhair learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 6 in the M.A. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time for filing affidavit in rejoinder. Time is granted.

4. S.O.19-10-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.340/2014 (Gajanan Jawale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.R.Sarosiya learned Advocate holding for Shri Ganesh Gadhe learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21-09-2018.

YUK ORAL ORDER 04-09-2018 F

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.909/2016 (Laxman Lomte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

# <u>CORAM</u> : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 04.09.2018 <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record. Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate

for the applicant, S.O. to 05-10-2018.

MEMBER (J)