MA 441/2017 IN O.A. ST. 1591/2017 (Dilip & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 31.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Sudhir Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. By the present M.A. the applicants are seeking leave of the Tribunal to sue jointly the O.A.
- 3. For the reasons stated in the M.A., it is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs and the applicants are allowed to sue jointly the O.A., subject to payment of requisite court fees by each of the applicant, if not already paid.
- 4. The Office is directed to register the O.A. after due scrutiny.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. ST. 1591/2017 (Dilip & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 31.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Sudhir Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents in the present O.A., returnable on 6.12.2017.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 6.12.2017.
- 8. Steno copy & hamdast allowed to both the sides.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. ST. NO. 1323/2017 (Sangeetabai Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 31.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. At his request, S.O. to 23.11.2017 for hearing on limitation.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A. NO. 764/2017

(Vijaykumar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 31.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Suchita Upadhyay - Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Upon hearing the learned Advocate for the applicant, without going into the merit of the O.A., interim relief in terms of para 10 prayer clause (A) is hereby granted until further orders. The para 10 prayer clause (A) reads as under:-

"Para 10 prayer clause (A) – Pending hearing of final disposal of this original application, direct the respondent authority not to recover any amount as per order dated 6.10.2017."

- 3. Issue notices to the respondents in the present O.A., returnable on 12.12.2017.
- 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

### ::-2-:: O.A. NO. 764/2017

- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. S.O. to 12.12.2017.
- 9. Steno copy & hamdast allowed to both the sides.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 765/2017 (Ravindra Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 31.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Suchita Upadhyay - Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Upon hearing the learned Advocate for the applicant, without going into the merit of the O.A., interim relief in terms of para 10 prayer clause (A) is hereby granted until further orders. The para 10 prayer clause (A) reads as under:-

"Para 10 prayer clause (A) – Pending hearing of final disposal of this original application, direct the respondent authority not to recover any amount as per order dated 6.10.2017."

- 3. Issue notices to the respondents in the present O.A., returnable on 12.12.2017.
- 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. S.O. to 12.12.2017.
- 9. Steno copy & hamdast allowed to both the sides.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 23/2017

(Bandu Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 31.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

None appears for the applicant. Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

- 2. Para nos. 5 & 6 of the affidavit in reply of res. no. 3, prima-facie, show that the concerned respondents were very negligent in processing the file regarding grant of pension to the applicant. The learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions in this regard from the concerned respondent. At his request S.O. to 22.11.2017 for taking instructions.
- 3. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### O.A. NO. 32/2017

(Smt. Subhadrabai Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The learned P.O. files on record affidavit in reply of res. no. 2. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. The learned Advocate for the applicant files on record true copies of the judgments delivered by the Tribunal at Aurangabad Bench in O.A. no. 250/2016, by the Hon'ble High Court, Aurangabad Bench in C.R.A. 72/2013 and by Hon'ble Supreme Court in Special Leave to Appeal (C) no. 5703/2014. The same are taken on record and copies thereof are supplied to the learned P.O. for the respondents.
- 4. The learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions from the concerned respondent regarding above judgments and also to take corrective steps, if any, in the present matter. At his request S.O. to 5.12.2017 for taking any corrective steps by the respondents.

### o.A. No. 32/2017

5. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

O.A. NO. 304/2017

(Arun Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The learned Advocate, on instructions, submits that in view of certain steps taken by the respondents regarding transfer of other employees, the impugned order in the present matter cannot be implemented.
- 3. The learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions in this regard. At his request S.O. to 23.11.2017. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A. NO. 354/2017 (Devendra Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Ms. Pradnya S. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant has filed written notes of arguments and the same is taken on record. S.O. to 2.11.2017 for passing order. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A. NO. 385/2017 (Chandulal Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. seeks time for making his submissions. At his request S.O. to 16.11.2017 for making submissions.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

O.A. NO. 414/2017

(Dr. Vitthal Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. DATE : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant S.O. to 21.11.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

O.A. NO. 596/2017

(Meena Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. files affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 to 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. S.O. to 6.12.2017 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

O.A. NO. 599/2017

(Ramsing Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE**: 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri N.K. Pardeshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 7.12.2017 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A. NO. 413/2017

(Suresh Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to produce on record the service affidavit. At his request S.O. to 22.11.2017 for filing service affidavit.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

O.A. NO. 733/2017

(Nandlal Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 31.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

None appears for the applicant. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

- 2. Upon reading the application, it appears that the respondents have not sent the proper proposal to the Accountant General and, therefore, the present applicant is suffering for want of retiral benefits. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. In fact, this is a fit case where immediate compliance is required.
- 3. In the circumstances, in case no proper compliance is made by the concerned respondent by the next date, the Tribunal would be constrained to take coercive action against the concerned respondent including directing to pay heavy costs. S.O. to 4.12.2017, for compliance and also for filing affidavit in reply by the respondents.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### O.A. NO. 697/2017

(Smt. Syeda Ashraf Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri P.B. Salunke, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that in advertently the notices are not collected and are served upon the respondents. He prays for issuance of fresh notices.
- 3. In the circumstances, issue fresh notices to the respondents in the present O.A., returnable on 20.12.2017.
- 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. S.O. to 20.12.2017.
- 9. Steno copy & hamdast allowed to both the sides.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN

MA 38/2017 IN OA ST. 3051/2016 (Faroqui Sahed Latif Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Mohsin Khan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The present M.A. has been filed by the applicant for condonation of 881 days delay caused in filing accompanying O.A.
- 3. Perused the application. Considered the contentions.
- 4. For the reasons stated in the M.A. the same is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs and the delay of 881 days in filing accompanying O.A. is hereby condoned.
- 5. The office is directed to register the O.A. after due scrutiny.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### OA ST. 3051/2016

(Faroqui Sahed Latif Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 31.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri Mohsin Khan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents in the present O.A., returnable on 13.12.2017.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be

### ::-2-:: OA ST. 3051/2016

obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. Before filing affidavit in reply the respondents are hereby directed to take into consideration the exact wording of the G.R. and the information supplied by the present applicant to the respondents (page 39), which would show that after the year 2001 no child is born to the present applicant.
- 8. In the circumstances, on considering the above facts, if the concerned respondent comes to the opinion that the medical reimbursement can be made to the applicant then corrective steps be taken in the matter. In case if they are of the opinion that the same cannot be paid then short reply explaining the reasons therefor be filed by the next date.
- 9. S.O. to 13.12.2017.
- 10. Steno copy & hamdast allowed to both the sides.

### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

MA 55/2017 IN OA ST. 167/2016 (Abhimanyu Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.R. Barlinge, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The present M.A. has been filed by the applicant for condonation of delay caused in filing accompanying O.A.
- 3. Perused the application. Considered the contentions.
- 4. For the reasons stated in the M.A. the same is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs and the delay caused in filing accompanying O.A. is hereby condoned.
- 5. The office is directed to register the O.A. after due scrutiny.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### OA ST. 167/2016

(Abhimanyu Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

<u>CORAM</u>: 30511CE M.1. 3 DATE : 31.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.R. Barlinge, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents in the present O.A., returnable on 11.12.2017.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be

### ::-2-:: OA ST. 167/2016

obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. S.O. to 11.12.2017.
- 8. Steno copy & hamdast allowed to both the sides.

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

### MA 228/2017 IN OA 842/2017 (Dr. Preeti Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 31.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Ms. Bhavna Panpatil, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant S.O. to 21.11.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

MA 327/2017 IN OA ST. 448/2017 (Prakash Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 31.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of applicant and his Advocate S.O. to 5.12.2017.

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

#### OA NO. 81/2016

(Sakhubai Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 31.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. The order dtd. 21.9.2017 would show that the respondents had complied with the earlier orders and the grievance of the applicant is also redressed. On the last date none was present for the applicant. In the circumstances, without making any comment on merit of the present O.A. the same stands dismissed in default without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

### OA 226/2016

(Shivram Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 31.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.M. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant S.O. to 4.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

MA 202/2017 IN OA ST. 322/2017 (Venkat Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 31.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant S.O. to 14.11.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.St.No.1427/2017 IN C.P.St.No.1428/2017 IN O.A.No.538/2015 (Smt. Vandana Shantaram Mahajan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN DATE: 31.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri C.T.Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**.

Heard Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 1. Order was passed by this Tribunal on 29-11-2016. Order is not obeyed though 11 months duration has passed.
- 2. It is seen that the contemnor has taken a stance while answering notice issued by applicant notifying proposed action for contempt, that the department is taking steps to challenge the order passed by the Tribunal.
- 3. There cannot be a dispute that the Government has right to challenge the order passed in O.A. However, Government cannot and ought not recklessly neglect and continuously disobey the orders, and when faced with a notice of contempt; to take a stance that the Government desires to challenge the order.
- 4. Hence, M.A. for leave to file C.P. is allowed and disposed of accordingly.
- 5. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to learned P.O.

**CHAIRMAN** 

C.P.St.No.1428/2017 IN O.A.No.538/2015 (Smt. Vandana Shantaram Mahajan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

**DATE** : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri C.T.Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**.

Heard Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 1. It shall be reasonable to wait and to ascertain if contemnor wants to obey the order, subject to outcome of Writ Petition, which may eventually be filed to challenge the order passed in O.A.
- 2. Let C.P. be heard on 10-11-2017.
- 3. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to learned P.O.

**CHAIRMAN** 

YUK ORAL ORDER 31-10-2017 AHJ F

C.P.No.03/2016 IN O.A.No.239/2015 (Dr. Sonali Sayamber V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

<u>DATE</u> : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Secretary, Public Health Department is directed to file his own affidavit stating:
  - (a) Whether he knows that present C.P. is pending.
  - (b) Reasons due to which order passed by this Tribunal is not complied with.
- 3. Secretary's own affidavit on the points stated in foregoing paragraph be filed on or before 17-11-2017.
- 4. C.P.O. is directed to communicate this order. C.P.O. should also speak to the Secretary personally and appraise him of seriousness of the matter.
- 5. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both parties.
- 6. S.O. to 17-11-2017.

**CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.No.393/2016 IN C.P.St.No.1798/2017 IN O.A.No.122/2015 (Dr. Ashok Havelikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM: JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN** DATE : 31.10.2017. **ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 1. Order was passed by this Tribunal on 11-08-2015. Order is not obeyed though 2 years 2 months duration has passed.
- 2. It is seen that the contemnor has taken a stance while answering notice issued by applicant notifying proposed action for contempt, that the department is taking steps to challenge the order passed by the Tribunal.
- 3. There cannot be a dispute that the Government has right to challenge the order passed in O.A. However, Government cannot and ought recklessly neglect and continuously disobey the orders, and when faced with a notice of contempt; to take a stance that the Government desires to challenge the order.
- 4. Hence, M.A. for leave to file C.P. is allowed and disposed of accordingly.
- 5. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to learned P.O.

**CHAIRMAN** 

C.P.St.No.1798/2017 IN O.A.No.122/2015 (Dr. Ashok Havelikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

**DATE** : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

1. It shall be reasonable to wait and to ascertain if contemnor wants to obey the order, subject to outcome of Writ Petition, which may eventually be filed to challenge the order passed in O.A.

2. Let C.P. be heard on 10-11-2017.

3. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to learned P.O.

**CHAIRMAN** 

YUK ORAL ORDER 31-10-2017 AHJ F

M.A.No.421/2017 IN C.P.St.No.1454/2017 IN O.A.No.830/2015 (Dr. Balaji Manoorkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri K.G.Salunke learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**.

Heard Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 1. Order was passed by this Tribunal on 24-11-2016. Order is not obeyed though 11 months duration has passed, yet the order is not complied so far, nor any, much less just excuse explaining the failure has come forward.
- 2. Hence, M.A. for leave to file C.P. is allowed and disposed of accordingly.
- 3. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to learned P.O.

**CHAIRMAN** 

YUK ORAL ORDER 31-10-2017 AHJ F

C.P.St.No.1454/2017 IN O.A.No.830/2015 (Dr. Balaji Manoorkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 31.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER**:

- 1. Shri K.G.Salunke learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**.
- 2. Heard Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.
- At the request of learned P.O.,
   S.O. to 17-11-2017.

**CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.No.292/2017 IN C.P.St.No.999/2017 IN O.A.No.856/2016 (Govind Gurav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

**DATE**: 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Vinod D. Godbharle learned

Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali

Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 1. Order was passed by this Tribunal on 27-01-2017. Order is not obeyed though 10 months duration has passed, yet the order is not complied so far, nor any, much less just excuse explaining the failure has come forward.
- 2. Hence, M.A. for leave to file C.P. is allowed and disposed of accordingly.
- 3. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to learned P.O.

**CHAIRMAN** 

C.P.St.No.999/2017 IN O.A.No.856/2016 (Govind Gurav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER**:

1. Heard Shri Vinod D. Godbharle learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 17-11-2017.

**CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.No.395/2016 IN C.P.St.No.1801/2016 IN O.A.No.536/2011 (Shri Digambar Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.R.Tapse learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 1. Order was passed by this Tribunal on 14-09-2015. Order is not obeyed though 2 years and 1 month duration has passed, yet the order is not complied so far, nor any, much less just excuse explaining the failure has come forward.
- 2. Hence, M.A. for leave to file C.P. is allowed and disposed of accordingly.
- 3. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to learned P.O.

**CHAIRMAN** 

C.P.St.No.1801/2016 IN O.A.No.536/2011 (Shri Digambar Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

**DATE**: 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

1. Heard Shri A.R.Tapse learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

At the request of learned P.O.,
 S.O. to 10-11-2017.

**CHAIRMAN** 

OA No.583/2017.

(Shri S. S. More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

None present for the applicant. Smt D. S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. On the last date learned Advocate for the applicant sought time. On 5.10.2017 the learned Advocate for the applicant sought time to take of instructions the applicant regarding withdrawal of the O.A. In view of the absence of the learned Advocate for the applicant S.O. to 4.12.2017 either for making submissions regarding withdrawal or for passing necessary orders in default.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

OA No.587/2017.

(Smt Gangutai Sonkamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri D. T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents no.1 to 5 and Shri Amol Phatale learned Advocate for the Respondent no.6.

- 2. Shri Amol Phatale learned Advocate files affidavit in reply for the Respondent no.6. The same is accepted. Copy served on the other side.
- 3. S. O. to 15.11.2017 for hearing on admission.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

OA No.602/2017.

(Dr. Roopali V. Utikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Sham Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. The learned P.O. seeks time. It appears that, a simple decision on the notice of voluntary retirement is being not taken by the concerned respondent and therefore, the applicant was required to file the O.A. In the present O.A. earlier sufficient time was granted, still the concerned respondent is seeking time.
- 3. In the circumstances, as a last chance, S.O. to 14.11.2017. In case no decision is taken and no communication is made to the learned P.O. of the decision, the respondent shall be liable to

deposit a cost of Rs.25,000/- by Govt. cheque and decision regarding the negligence of the concerned respondent would be taken on that date. With this warning S.O. to 14.11.2017 for compliance.

4. The learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy of this order.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

### OA No.632/2017.

(Smt Jayshri Annasaheb Markali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 31.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V. B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, the offence punishable under Section 307 of the I.P.C. is pending. He further makes submissions that, the procedure as is prescribed is not followed. In the circumstances, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 12.12.2017.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 12.12.2017.
- 8. Steno copy & hamdast allowed to both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

OA No.689/2017.

(Shri B. N. Andhale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 31.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R. D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. seeks time to take instructions on the line of the order dated 25.9.2017. At his request, S.O. to 6.11.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

### OA No.874/2016.

(Shri S. T. Mahajan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

## TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

### CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 31.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt Trupti Mahajan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that fresh notice be issued. The learned P.O. files affidavit in reply for respondent no.1. The same is accepted. Copy served on the other side. Fresh notices be issued to the respondents, returnable on 5.12.2017.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 05.12.2017.
- 8. Steno copy & hamdast allowed to both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

### MA NO.287/15 IN OA 44/14.

(Shri Shaikh Tajoddin & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

None present for the applicants. Smt S. K. Ghate Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present..

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 4.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

M.A.No.360/16 in OA St.No. 1544/2016. (Shri R. B. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Amol Kurangal learned Advocate holding for Shri C. R. Thorat learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt D. S. Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondent no.1.

- 2. It is reported that Shri S. D. Joshi learned Advocate for the respondent no.2 has filed leave note.
- 3. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the respondent no.2, S.O. to 22.11.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

OA No.304/2014.

(Shri P. K. Bachkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri B. R. Borkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt R. S. Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to make clarification on the line of the order dated 29.9.2017. At her request, S.O. to 29.11.2017 for making submissions on the line of order dated 29.9.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

### OA No.49/2015.

(Shri D. G. Zade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A. D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt R. S. Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. The learned P.O. submits that she had not received any communication from respondents despite sending the steno copy of 21.09.2017. the order dated In the circumstances, her S.O. at request, to 21.11.2017 with a warning that, in case no instructions given to the learned P.O. on the line of the order dated 21.9.2017 the Tribunal would be constrained to take coercive action including saddling of heavy costs on the respondents. With this, S.O. to 21.11.2017.
- 3. The learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy of this order.

OA No. 374/2015.

(Shri A. B. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

None present for the applicant. Smt D. S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. It appears that, decision on merit of the Division Bench would be required. Hence remove from the board. Be placed before the Division Bench as and when it is available.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

OA No. 386/2015.

(Shri R. V. Marale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

None present for the applicant. Smt S. K. Ghate Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

- 2. The present O.A. is taken on board for ascertaining issue. In the circumstances, office to issue notice to the learned counsel for the applicant to remain present on 12.12.2017.
- 3. Further, the Respondent no.2 in para 10 of the reply at page no.60 has averred as under:-
  - "10. The contention of applicant is that he has been eligible for the promotion post of jailor Gr.I since 17.04.2013. This office has not ordered any promotions to the rank of Jailor Gr. I after 20.12.2012. In the provisional Seniority List published on

25.9.2015 the applicant is at serial number 14. His name shall be duly considered for the promotion in the next meeting of the Departmental Promotional Committee which is being held within one month."

- 4. In the circumstances, the learned P.O. is directed to file additional short affidavit on record as to whether D.P.C. has met after filing of the present reply on 1.12.2015 and the result if any, of the said meeting concerning the present applicant.
- 5. S. O. to 12.12.2017.
- 6. The learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy of this order.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

OA No.108/2017.

(Shri V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri B. R. Kedar learned Advocate holding for Shri R. B. Bhosale learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. The learned P.O. files additional affidavit in reply of Respondents no.1 & 2. The same is accepted. Copy served on the other side.
- 3. The learned C.P.O. submits that, in view of the fact that has come in the present additional affidavit in reply he will have to go through it and to find out whether any legal steps can be taken. In the circumstances, S.O. to 22.11.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

MA NO.199/2015 IN OA ST.780/15.

(Shri Shaikh Mohammad Hussain & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Smt Pratibha Bharad learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S. K. Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions from the applicant as to whether an affidavit in rejoinder is necessary. At her request, S.O. to 30.11.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

OA No. 759/2017. (Smt Rohini Deokar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V. B. Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Upon hearing the learned Advocate for the applicant it appears that, no cause of action arisen and therefore there is no case for issuing any notices. In the circumstances, remove from the Board. Be placed before the Division Bench as and when it is available for further steps that may be deemed fit by the Division Bench.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

MA NO.27/2016 IN OA St. No.575/2017. (Shri M. S. Bangale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

DATE : 31.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S. K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply as directed earlier. At his request, S.O. to 5.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

MA NO. 460/16 IN OA ST.NO.1999/16. (Shri H. S. Sarode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

DATE: 31.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri B. V. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file on record true copies of the authorities on which he seeks to rely. At his request, S.O. to 22.11.2017 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

OA No.454/2015.

(Ms Shobha Choudhary V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

DATE: 31.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S. M. Avhad learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N. U. Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, some further developments are required to be brought on record including the fresh resolution passed by the Govt.
- 3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 28.11.2017 for filing rejoinder.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

MA NO.423/17 WITH MA ST.1247/17 IN OA St. 1248/17. (Shri Shaikh Md. Hussain & ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

DATE: 31.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri K. B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S. K. Ghate Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. At his request, S.O. to 5.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

OA Nos.350, 351 of 2017 & OA St.Nos.615, 616, 617 & 766 of 2017.

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M. T. JOSHI, V. C.

**DATE** : 31.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri D. B. Bhange learned Advocate for the applicants in all matters and Shri M. S. Mahajan, C.P.O., Smt. S. K. Ghate Deshmukh, P.O. Smt. D. S. Deshpande and Shri V. R. Bhumkar, P.O. for respective respondents in respective matters.

2. The learned P.O. submits that, the orders of the present Tribunal on the basis of which the present application is filed is challenged by the Respondents before the Hon'ble High Court vide Writ Petition No.12139 of 2017 and status quo is granted by the Hon'ble High Court. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions in this regard. At the request of both parties, S.O. to 22.11.2017

VICE CHAIRMAN.

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 489 OF 2016 (Shri Abaji S. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 31.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for compliance of the order dated 4<sup>th</sup> August, 2017 passed by this Tribunal.
- 3. Unfortunately it is to be noted that this Tribunal has pointed out defects in affidavit in reply and sue moto directed the respondents to clearly specify in the affidavit in reply as to mode of selection of the present applicants. Despite this, time and again, time is being sought for correction of the own mistakes by the respondents.
- 4. In the circumstances, as a last chance time to comply with the order dated 4<sup>th</sup> August, 2017 is granted till 29<sup>th</sup> November, 2017, subject to deposit of costs of Rs. 5000/- to be deposited in the registry of this Tribunal. It is to be noted

### :: - 2 - :: O.A. NO. 489 OF 2016

that if on the next date the reply is not filed or amount of cost is not deposited, the present Original Application to proceed at the cost of consequences and responsibility of the individual officer.

5. Learned Presenting Officer to act upon Steno Copy.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORAL ORDERS 31.10.2017-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 488 OF 2016 (Shri Sukhdev S. Chite & 2 Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE** : 31.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for compliance of the order dated 4<sup>th</sup> August, 2017 passed by this Tribunal.
- 3. Unfortunately it is to be noted that this Tribunal has pointed out defects in affidavit in reply and sue moto directed the respondents to clearly specify in the affidavit in reply as to mode of selection of the present applicants. Despite this, time and again, time is being sought for correction of the own mistakes by the respondents.
- 4. In the circumstances, as a last chance time to comply with the order dated 4<sup>th</sup> August, 2017 is granted till 29<sup>th</sup> November, 2017, subject to deposit of costs of Rs. 5000/- to be deposited in the registry of this Tribunal. It is to be noted

### :: - 2 - :: O.A. NO. 488 OF 2016

that if on the next date the reply is not filed or amount of cost is not deposited, the present Original Application to proceed at the cost of consequences and responsibility of the individual officer.

5. Learned Presenting Officer to act upon Steno Copy.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORAL ORDERS 31.10.2017-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 760 OF 2017 (Shri Jeetesh A. Jangale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

\_\_\_\_\_\_

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 31.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Harshal P. Randhir, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.P. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on  $22^{nd}$  November, 2017.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative

### :: - 2 - :: O.A. NO. 760 OF 2017

Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 22<sup>nd</sup> November, 2017.
- 8. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORAL ORDERS 31.10.2017-HDD

M.A.NO. 439/2017 IN M.A.NO. 150/2017 IN O.A.NO. 157/2015 (State of Maharashtra & Ors. Vs. Shri Ashok Balu Shinde & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

## CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE** : 31.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the applicants in M.A. No. 439/2017 and Shri A.S. Bayas, learned Advocate for the applicants in O.A.

- 2. Heard both the sides. Learned Advocate for the applicants in O.A. submits that earlier also extension was granted to the present applicants for compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal in the O.A. The present applicants are seeking extension of six months' time to comply with the order. Considering all the facts, as a last chance extension of three months' time is hereby granted for compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal in the O.A. No. 157/2015.
- 3. Accordingly, the present M.A. No. 439/2017 stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 07 OF 2017 (Shri Shishirbaba K. Ghonmode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE** : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Smt. Surekha Mahajan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that arguable case is made out. In the circumstances admit. Notice is waived by the respondents.
- 3. The present case be placed before the Division Bench for hearing, as and when it is available.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 34 OF 2017 (Shri Chandrakant B. Tayade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

------

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN** 

> (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability

of Division Bench.)

DATE: 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri B.R. Kedar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that he will file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3 during the course of the day and seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4. At his request, S.O. to 22<sup>nd</sup> November, 2017 for filing affidavit in reply.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 77 OF 2017 (Shri Rajesh B. Yawalkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 31.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Rajesh B. Yawalkar, applicant in person and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Applicant in person is seeking time. It is to be noted that for last more than four months' the applicant is seeking time. In the circumstances, as a last chance, S.O. to 10<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 83 OF 2017

(Smt. Sayyad Jarinabi Raisoddin & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 31.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri P.A. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

Since nobody appears for the applicant,
 S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> December, 2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 105 OF 2017 (Shri Nagesh S. Mapari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

------

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI,** 

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Gajanan K. Kshirsagar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Shrikant G. Kawade, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4, 5 & 10. None appears for respondent Nos. 3, 6 to 9 & 11 to 15.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that file with the office is misplaced and, therefore, he is not aware about the review application, a reference of which is made in the order dated 20th September, 2017. In the circumstances, at the request of learned Presenting Officer to take instructions on the line of the order dated 20th September, 2017 time is hereby extended till 5th December, 2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 152 OF 2017 (Shri Hitendra J. Chatre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE** : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Vijay V. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that arguable case is made out. In the circumstances admit. Notice is waived by the respondents.
- 3. The present case be placed before the Division Bench for hearing, as and when it is available.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 224 OF 2017 (Shri Devidas K. Taru V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.G. Rudrawar – learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder. Time is granted as a last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> November, 2017. Interim relief granted by the Division Bench to continue till then.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

O.A.NOS. 268, 269, 270 271 ALL OF 2017 (Shri Vishal W. Machave & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 31.10.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Bhavna Panpatil, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents seeks time to file affidavit in reply in all these cases. In the circumstances, S.O. to 4<sup>th</sup> December, 2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 511 OF 2017 (Shri Suryakant S. Bhalerao V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 31.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 6 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that whether the departmental appeal is decided or not, the issue in the present O.A. is limited to the grant of all consequential benefits like Annual Grade Increments etc. In the circumstances, the respondents are directed to file affidavit in reply, pending the decision on the departmental appeal.
- 4. Time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 5.

### :: - 2 - :: O.A. NO. 511 OF 2017

5. S.O. to 29<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 566 OF 2017 (Shri Rajendra G. Mane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 31.10.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Manoj R. Khutwad, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. It is to be noted that on 19<sup>th</sup> September, 2017, as well as, on 27<sup>th</sup> September, 2017, time was sought for satisfying the query onwards raised vide order dated 19<sup>th</sup> September, 2017. In the absence of the applicant and his learned Advocate, S.O. to 5<sup>th</sup> December, 2017 for satisfying the query or for passing necessary orders.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

O.A.NOS. 519, 520, 521, 523, 524, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551, 552 & 553 ALL OF 2017

(Shri Jitsing I. Pardeshi & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 31.10.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.B. Sontakke, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.C. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicants in O.A. Nos. 519 & 520 both of 2017, Shri A.G. Ambetkar for himself and holding for Shri S.S. Deve, learned Advocate for the applicants in all the O.As. and S/Shri M.S. Mahajan, S.K. Shirse, N.U. Yadav, V.R. Bhumkar & Smt. Resha S. Deshmkh, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate & Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Chief Presenting Officer and learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective O.As. and Shri P.K. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D. Aghav, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 5 & 6. Smt. Vaishali S. Choudhary, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 5 & 6 in O.A. No. 523 (absent). Shri P.V. Tapse Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5 in O.A. No. 545/2017 has filed leave note.

### :: - 2 - :: O.A. Nos. 519/2017 & Group

- 2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer and learned Presenting Officers submit that since the process has been completed, the respondents may be allowed to declare the result, subject to decision in the present Original Applications.
- 3. In the circumstances, the respondents are at liberty to declare the result, subject to the decision in the present Original Applications.
- 4. Time to file affidavit in reply is hereby granted to the respondents.
- 5. S.O. to 5<sup>th</sup> December, 2017. Learned Chief Presenting Officer & Presenting Officers shall act upon the Steno Copy.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**