MA 254/2016 IN OA ST. 558/2016

{Shri Ramesh B. Kandke Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J) (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE :- 28.02.2017

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri C.S. Gawai, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.S. Sadaphule, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3.

2. The learned P.O. files on record affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 & 2. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to go through the said affidavit in reply and make his submissions. At his request, S.O. to 6.4.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017

MA 23/2017 IN OA 43/2015

{Shri Ramchandra G. Pardeshi Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J) (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.) DATE :- 28.02.2017

Oral Order :-

1. None appears for the applicant. Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. The learned Presenting Officer files on record communication dated 27.2.2017 received by her from the respondents. It would show that the proposal for grant of further provisional pension to the applicant w.e.f. 1.4.2016 is already sent to the Accountant General – II, Nagpur and 4 weeks time is sought as the special messenger is deputed to pursue the said matter with the Accountant General. The said communication is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 12.4.2017.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017

MA 24/2017 IN OA 257/2015

{Shri Dnyanoba G. Puri Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J) (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.) DATE :- 28.02.2017

Oral Order :-

1. None appears for the applicant. Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. The learned Presenting Officer files on record communication dated 27.2.2017 received by him from the respondents. It would show that the proposal for grant of further provisional pension to the applicant w.e.f. 1.4.2016 is already sent to the Accountant General – II, Nagpur and 4 weeks time is sought as the special messenger is deputed to pursue the said matter with the Accountant General. The said communication is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 12.4.2017.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017

MA 327/2016 IN CP ST. 1470/2016 IN OA 581/2015

{Dr. Gokul N. Ghogare Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J) (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.) DATE :- 28.02.2017

<u>Oral Order :-</u>

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present misc. application has been filed by the applicant for permission to file the contempt petition against the respondents for non compliance of the interim order dated 25.7.2016 passed by this Tribunal in the original application no. 581/2016. He further submits that in the meantime the original application itself has been disposed of by this Tribunal vide its order dated 22.12.2016 as the grievance of the applicant has been redressed.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant, therefore, submits that in view of the disposal of the original application itself on 22.12.2016 the present misc. application, which is filed for permission to file contempt petition for non compliance of the interim order passed by this Tribunal on 25.7.2016, does not survive.

::-2-:: MA 327/2016 IN CP ST. 1470/2016 IN OA 581/2015

4. In view of above situation on record, the misc. application for filing contempt petition against the respondents is disposed of as has become infructous. In view of disposal of the misc. application, the registration of contempt petition is refused. There shall be no order as to costs.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017

MA 386/2016 IN CP ST. 1771/2016 IN OA 614/2015

{Shri Bhanudas K. Waghmare Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J) (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE :- 28.02.2017

<u>Oral Order :-</u>

Heard Shri Asif Ali, learned Advocate holding for Smt.
 A.N. Ansari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.
 Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer files on record affidavit in reply on behalf of res. no. 1. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. The learned Presenting Officer, on instructions, submits that the proposal sent to the concerned authority may be accepted within a period of 2 weeks.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 23.3.2017.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017

MA 35/2017 IN CP ST. 113/2017 IN OA 472/2016

{Shri Syed Fahimoddin Moiuddin Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J) (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE :- 28.02.2017

<u> Oral Order :-</u>

1. Heard Shri A.C. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present misc. application has been filed by the applicant seeking permission to file contempt petition against the respondents for non compliance of the order dated 16.12.2016 passed by this Tribunal in the O.A. no. 472/2016.

3. Today, the learned Advocate for the applicant, on instructions, files on record the copy of the communication dated 13.2.2017 issued by the respondent no. 2, which would show that the grievance of the present applicant is redressed. He, therefore, seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the present misc. application and contempt petition. The leave as sought for is granted.

4. Accordingly, the misc. application and contempt petition both are disposed of as withdrawn. There shall be no order as to costs.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017

MA ST. 1448/2016 WITH MA 317/2016 IN OA ST. 427/2014

{Shri Santosh A. Bansode Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J) (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.) DATE :- 28.02.2017

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer submits that the affidavits in replies would filed during the course of the day in the misc. application as well as in the original application. He undertakes to serve copies thereof upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 27.3.2017 with liberty to the learned Advocate for the applicant to file rejoinder, if any.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 206 OF 2016

{Shri Dilip K. Salve & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J) (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE :- 28.02.2017

Oral Order :-

1. None appears for the applicants. Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. As none appears for the applicants, S.O. to 23.3.2017.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 84 OF 2017

{Shri Sagar B. Maske Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J) (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE :- 28.02.2017

<u>Oral Order :-</u>

1. Heard Shri P. S. Waghmare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 15.3.2017 at the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer. The office is directed to keep O.A. no. 47/2017 along with the present original application on the next date.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 696 OF 2016

{Palinidas D. Ahirrao Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J) (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE :- 28.02.2017

<u> Oral Order :-</u>

1. Heard Shri M.R. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Today, Shri P.R. Lohakare, Officer Superintendent from the office res. no. 3 is present in the Court hall. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that the respondents have not specifically answered the issue that has arisen in the present original application that as to why the principles laid down in the matter of seniority has not been followed.

3. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file additional reply of the concerned respondent to show as to why the clause no. 20 contained in the Annex. 3 to the G.R. dated 7.9.2001 (paper book page 32 of the original application) is not followed while fixing the seniority of the applicant at the time of his absorption. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 8.3.2017.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 20 OF 2015

{Shri Shriniwas N. Tandale Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.} CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J) DATE :- 28.02.2017 Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Both the sides submit that the S.L.P. filed in the Hon'ble Supreme Court is pending. In that view of the matter, present original application be removed from the board with a liberty to either side to circulate the matter as and when the exigency arise.

3. The learned Presenting Officer submits that in the mean time certain developments have taken place, which he wants to bring on record by filing a short reply. Permission as sought for by the learned Presenting Officer is granted. The short reply be filed within a period of six weeks and copy thereof be served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 708 OF 2013

{Dr. Rekha A. Salunkhe Vs. The State of Ma0. & Ors.}
CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J)
DATE :- 28.02.2017
Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder of the applicant. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

3. S.O. to 30.3.2017.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 707 OF 2013

{Dr. Rekha A. Salunkhe Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J) DATE :- 28.02.2017

<u>Oral Order :-</u>

1. Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to
 8.3.2017, as a last chance.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 421 OF 2012

{Shri Anant M. Thakur Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J) DATE :- 28.02.2017 <u>Oral Order :-</u>

Heard Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri
 Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri

I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant,
 S.O. to 22.3.2017.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017

M.A. NO. 379/2015 O.A. ST. NO. 1254/2015

{Smt. Vijaya R. Jetty Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J) DATE :- 28.02.2017

<u>Oral Order :-</u>

1. Heard Shri A.C. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Considering the facts that the earlier original application was withdrawn by the applicant with liberty to make representation before the concerned authority, further his representation filed later on was not considered within the period of one year, the present misc. application is sought to be filed for condonation of delay caused in filing the accompanying original application.

3. Perused the application. Considered the contentions.

4. For the reasons stated in the misc. application the same is allowed and the delay caused in all these exercise is hereby condoned. The misc. application is disposed of without any order as to costs.

5. The office to register the original application after proper scrutiny.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017

M.A.NO.73/2016 IN O.A.ST.NO.215/2016 (Shri A.B.Wagh V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 28-02-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri A.S.Shelke learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos.1 & 2, and Shri Vivek Bhavthankar learned Advocate for respondent nos.3 to 5.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for leave of the Tribunal to amend the prayer clause/s in the O.A.

3. Leave as prayed for is granted. Applicant shall make suitable amendment till next date.

4. S.O.27-03-2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-02-2017

M.A.NO.210/2016 IN O.A.ST.NO.816/2016 (Shri S.G.Wagatkar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 28-02-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. 27-03-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-02-2017

M.A.NO.285/2016 WITH M.A.NO.144/2016 IN O.A.ST.NO.1950/2015

(Shri N.G.Jadhav V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 28-02-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. prays for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. 27-03-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-02-2017

M.A.NO.373/2016 IN O.A.ST.NO.1721/2016 (Shri H.M.Kumbhar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 28-02-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri H.R.Randhir learned Advocate holding for Shri Vinod Patil learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no.1 and Shri Vivek Bhavthankar learned Special Counsel for respondent nos.2 and 3.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant requested for adjournment.

3. Learned Special Counsel has prayed for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.2 and 3.

4. S.O.27-03-2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-02-2017

M.A.No.382/2016 IN O.A.St.No.1750/2016

(Shri B.T.Choudhary V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 28-02-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no.1 and Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for respondent nos.2 and 3.

2. Learned Advocate Shri Dhongde has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.2 and 3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned P.O. prays for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.1. Time granted.

4. S.O.29-03-2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-02-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.36/2016

(Shri L.S.Shinde V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 28-02-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Shri S.S.Gangakhedkar / S.P.Salgar learned Advocate/s for the applicant (**absent**).

Heard Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no.1 and Shri Vivek Bhavthankar learned Special Counsel for respondent nos.2 to 4.

Since none present for the applicant,
 S.O.29-03-2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-02-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.154/2016

(Shri A.T.Shinde V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 28-02-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri Sham Patil learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. prays for adjournment for arguing the matter finally. Adjournment granted.

3. S.O.10-03-2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-02-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.571/2016

(Shri V.K.Hagwane V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 28-02-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. prays for adjournment for arguing the matter finally and also to secure some instruction from the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O.09-03-2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 28-02-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 435/2016 [Shri Pawan Yuvraj Patil Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J) DATE : 28.02.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER:</u>

Shri A.D. Sonar, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 6. Shri V.M. Vibhute, learned Advocate for respondent no. 6, **absent**.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O to 07.04.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 131/2017 [Smt. Anita T. Shinde Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 28.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 7.4.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

8. S.O.7.4.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 2060/2016 [Smt. Bebabai P. Koli (Sapkale) Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J) DATE : 28.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Harshal P. Randhir, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vinod Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O to 21.03.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

M.A. St. No. 236/2016 in O.A. St. No. 237/2016 [Shri Ramdas V. Firke Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J) DATE : 28.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. S.A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant sought leave of this Tribunal to amend the prayer clause (C). Leave granted.

3. S.O to 22.03.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 382/2016 [Shri Raju R. Dagadghate Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J) DATE : 28.02.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER:</u>

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for respondent no.3.

2. The learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no. 2. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O to 14.03.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 425/2016 [Dr. Sandeep A. Kokare Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J) DATE : 28.02.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER:</u>

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer submits that the draft reply is sent to the Director of Health Services, Maharashtra State by the concerned authority for approval and the approval of the Director is awaited. Therefore, he seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted as a last chance. On failure to file affidavit in reply by the respondents on next date, the heavy cost will be imposed on the respondents.

3. S.O to 21.03.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

O.A. NO. 704/2016 with CAVEAT NO. 299/2016 [Shri Pandurang P. Hiwale Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J) DATE : 28.02.2017. ORAL ORDER:

Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 & 2. Shri P.S. Dighe, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3, **absent**.

2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O to 31.03.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 887/2016 [Shri Ashok Ramrao Pawar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 28.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Ms. Amruta Paranjape, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Paranjape, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O to 01.03.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 890/2016 [Shri Subhash P. Jaikar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J) DATE : 28.02.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER:</u>

Heard Shri A.M. Hajare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos. 1 to 3. It is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, S.O to 08.03.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 905/2016 [Shri Mahavir C. Gosavi Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J) DATE : 28.02.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER:</u>

Heard Ms. Bhavna Panpatil, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for Respondent no. 4.

2. The learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 submits that he will file affidavit in reply during the course of the day.

3, S.O to 22.03.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 909/2016 [Shri Laxman A. Lomte Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J) DATE : 28.02.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER:</u>

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos. 1 to 3. It is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O to 22.03.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 26/2017 [Shri P.C. Ujagare Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J) DATE : 28.02.2017. ORAL ORDER:

Heard Smt. Supriya Bhilegaonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4.

2. The learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 has filed affidavit in reply. It is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served upon the other side.

3. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos. 1 to 3. Time granted as a last chance.

4. S.O to 16.03.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 52/2017 [Smt. Dr. Bharti S. Sonwane Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J) DATE : 28.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri B.B. Lakhkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O to 07.04.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 87/2017 [Shri Diwakar R. Suravase Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J) DATE : 28.02.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER:</u>

Shri K.N. Faruqui, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.L. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O to 27.03.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28.2.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 132/2017 [Shri Dattu Rama Sonawane Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.] CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J) DATE : 28.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri J.B. Chaudhary, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The applicant has challenged his posting at I.T.I., Mandvi, Dist. Nandurbar vide order dated 18.02.2017 on the ground that though he is senior-most Craft Instructor (Turner) and he has given option for posting him at Ahmednagar. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that in spite of the guidelines for giving posting on the vacant post, the concerned authority has not given posting of the choice of the applicant and appointed respondent no. 5, who is junior to the applicant at Ahmednagar.

3. I have gone through the papers and the option form submitted by the applicant. Guidelines issued by the Government show that if more than one person have applied for the same post, then the senior most person shall be considered to the said post. The applicant is senior most person in seniority list. He has opted for the post at Ahmednagar but the respondents posted the respondent no. 5 at Ahmednagar. The applicant is not yet relieved from his present posting.

4. In view of these facts, the respondents are directed to maintain status-quo as regards his present posting at ITI, Bodwad, Dist. Jalgaon, till next date.

5. In the meantime, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 07.04.2017.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

//3// O.A. No. 132/2017

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

11. S.O.07.04.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 27.2.2017-KPB(SB)BPP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 134 OF 2015

(Shri Malu S/o. Durgadas Pawar Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

DATE : 28.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.M. Shinde – learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant,
 S.O. to 8th March, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 28.02.2017- HDD(SB)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 186 OF 2015

(Dr. Avinash S/o. Ramdas Magre Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)

CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

DATE : 28.02. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed additional short affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 3 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant,
 S.O. to 23rd March, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 28.02.2017- HDD(SB)

MA NO.81/17 WITH MA ST.153/17 IN OA ST.154/17. (Shri U. T. Dabhade & Ors. Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

<u>CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).</u> (This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE :28.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri S. D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt S. K. Ghate Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in MA No.81/2017, returnable, on 20.4.2015.

3. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on all respondents notice of O.A. authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. stating that this Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal not be issued.

4. Authorization for service of notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

5. The service of notice may be done by the applicants by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the due date.

6. Affidavit of service be filed one week before due date.

-2-MA NO.81/17 WITH MA ST.153/17 IN OA ST.154/17.

- 7. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order.
- 8. Affidavit in reply be filed before due date.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.
- 10. S.O. to 20.4.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28-2-2017-ATP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.363/2016. (Shri S. B. Jadhav & Ors. Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J). (This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE :28.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri P. K. Palve, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V. R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., S.O. to 27.4.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28-2-2017-ATP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.560/2016. (Shri A. B. Kamble Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

<u>CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).</u> (This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE :28.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri H. V. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I. S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Liberty to add necessary party is hereby granted. Necessary amendment be carried out within a week.

3. Upon carrying the amendment, notices be issued to the added Respondents, returnable on 6.4.2017.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on all respondents notice of O.A. authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. stating that this Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal not be issued.

4. Authorization for service of notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

-2- ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.560/2016.

5. The service of notice may be done by the applicant by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the due date.

- 6. Affidavit of service be filed one week before due date.
- 7. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order.
- 8. Affidavit in reply be filed before due date.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

10. S.O. to 6.4.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28-2-2017-ATP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 607/2016. (Shri A. S. Solanke Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J). (This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 28.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri S. D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant on instructions stated that, the grievance of the applicant is now settled by the Respondents and therefore, they do not want to proceed with the Original Application. Accordingly, the Original Application is therefore, disposed of as withdrawn, without any order as to costs.

ORAL ORDERS 28-2-2017-ATP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 608/2016. (Shri S. D. Dhakne Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J). (This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 28.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri S. D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt S.K. Ghate Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant on instructions stated that, the grievance of the applicant is now settled by the Respondents and therefore, they do not want to proceed with the Original Application. Accordingly, the Original Application is therefore, disposed of as withdrawn, without any order as to costs.

ORAL ORDERS 28-2-2017-ATP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.644/2016. (Shri R. K. Zade Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

<u>CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).</u> (This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 28.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri Subhash Chillarge, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N. U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no.1 to 3 and Shri B. N. Patil, learned Advocate for the Respondent no.4. None present for the Respondents no.5 & 6.

2. The pleadings are complete. The arguable case is made out.

3. Admit.

4. Learned P.O. waives the notice for the respondents upon admission hearing.

5. Hearing expedited.

ORAL ORDERS 28-2-2017-ATP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.738/2016. (Shri A. D. Bedse Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

<u>CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).</u> (This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 28.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt R. S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no.1 to 3. None present for the Respondent no.4.

2. Upon hearing both sides it appears that, the minutes of the selection committee concerning the dispute as well as the photocopy of the answer sheets of all the relevant candidates are necessary for scrutiny by this Tribunal. In the circumstances, the Respondent no.3 is directed to submit the copies of the minutes as well as copies of the answer sheets as referred above on or before 6.4.2017.

3. Learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy.

4. S.O. to 6.4.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28-2-2017-ATP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.803/2016. (Shri Sayyed Kalim Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

<u>CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).</u> (This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 28.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri V. B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt D. S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. as a last chance, S.O.

to 15.3.2017.

- 3. Learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy.
- 4. S.O. to 15.3.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28-2-2017-ATP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.831/2016. (Shri Pathan Hares Khan Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

<u>CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).</u> (This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 28.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Miss. Madhavi Mayappan learned Advocate holding for Shri S. B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., S.O. to 10.3.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28-2-2017-ATP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.832/2016. (Shri V. P. Gangawane Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

<u>CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).</u> (This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

<u>DATE</u> : 28.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri S. B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I. S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent no.3 is granted.

3. S.O. to 30.3.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28-2-2017-ATP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.842/2016. (Shri A. F. Kasbekar & Ors. Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J). (This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 28.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri C. R. Thorat, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S. K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant,
 S.O. to 23.3.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28-2-2017-ATP

<u>MA NO.28/16 IN CP ST.77/16 IN OA NO.71/12.</u> (Shri R.S. Kulkarni & Ors. Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

<u>CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).</u> (This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 28.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri C. R. Thorat, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri I. S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submit that, the order of this Tribunal in the O.A. is complied with. He files on record the photocopy of the communication to that effect. The same is accepted and taken on record.

3. S.O. to 23.3.2017 along with O.A.No.842/2016.

ORAL ORDERS 28-2-2017-ATP

MA NO.171/16 IN CP ST.626/16 IN OA 417/14. (Shri P. M. Barde Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

<u>CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).</u> (This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 28.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri V. B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The writ petition is filed against the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No.417/2014 and the stay is granted by the Hon'ble High Court. In view of the matter, the learned Advocate for the applicant wants to withdraw the application with liberty to file fresh, if required. Allowed to withdraw the same. Accordingly, application stands disposed of, as withdrawn, without any order of costs.

ORAL ORDERS 28-2-2017-ATP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.64/2017. (Dr. Snehal Nagre Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

<u>CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).</u> (This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

<u>DATE</u> : 28.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri J. G. Toshniwal, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents no.1 to 4 and Shri D. M. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Respondent no.5.

2. Learned Advocate for the Respondent no.5 seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 10.3.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28-2-2017-ATP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.50/2017.

(Shri B. D. Bhendekar Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).

(This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench) DATE: 28.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Miss Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 6.4.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28-2-2017-ATP

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.914/2016. (Shri R. A. Jadhav Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

<u>CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).</u> (This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

<u>DATE</u> : 28.02.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri I. D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondents no.2 to 4. The same is taken on record. Its copy is served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. Respondent no.5 though duly served remained absent.

4. Liberty to file rejoinder by the applicant is hereby granted.

5. S.O. to 6.4.2017.

ORAL ORDERS 28-2-2017-ATP