

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 187 OF 2016**

{V.R. Kulkarni Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri P.M. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 1.12.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 244 OF 2016**

{V.P. Narwade Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. has filed reply of res. no. 2. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. Admit.

4. The learned Advocate for the applicant is at liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

5. The matter be placed for final hearing before the Division Bench in due course of time, with liberty to parties to mention.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 644 OF 2016**

{R.K. Zade Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri Subhash Chillarge, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. None appears for respondent nos. 4 & 5.
2. The learned P.O. has filed reply for res. nos. 2 & 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.
3. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 1.12.2016 for filing reply on behalf of rest of the respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 707 OF 2016**

{S.R. Bagul Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. The learned P.O. has filed reply of res. no. 1. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.
3. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 30.11.2016 for filing reply on behalf of rest of the respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**MA 539/2015 IN OA ST. 1019/2015**

{S.S. Sonwane Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. None appears for the applicant. Smt. Resha S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.
2. The learned P.O. filed reply of the respondents in M.A. It is taken on record. She undertakes to serve copy of the reply upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.
3. As the learned Advocate for the applicant is absent today, S.O. to 1.12.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 820 OF 2016**

{Dr. S.G. Todewale Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Put up the matter before the Registrar for usual compliance.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 821 OF 2016**

{Smt. B.P. Munde Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Put up the matter before the Registrar for usual compliance.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 822 OF 2016**

{Dr. S.B. Jadhav Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Put up the matter before the Registrar for usual compliance.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 382 OF 2015**

{Dr. V.B. Khatgaonkar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2. None appears for respondent no. 3.

2. The learned P.O. filed reply for res. no. 1. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 7.12.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 660 OF 2015**

{Dr. S.D. Londhe Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. None appears for respondent no.4.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 7.12.2016 for filing reply of the respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 666 OF 2015**

{M.B. Salunke Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.
2. The learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note.
3. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 8.12.2016 for filing reply of the respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 667 OF 2015**

{Sk. Natthu Sk. Kalu Khatik Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.
2. The learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note.
3. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 8.12.2016 for filing reply of the respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 668 OF 2015**

{D.J. Marathe Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.
2. The learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note.
3. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 8.12.2016 for filing reply of the respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 677 OF 2015**

{Dr. A.S. Dhus Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. None appears for respondent no. 4.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 13.12.2016 for filing reply of the respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 679 OF 2015**

{Dr. A.B. Masal Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. None appears for respondent no. 4.

2. The learned P.O. filed reply on behalf of res. nos. 1 to 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.12.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 680 OF 2015**

{Dr. S.L. Tayade Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. None appears for respondent no. 4.

2. The learned P.O. filed reply on behalf of res. nos. 1 to 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.12.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 681 OF 2015**

{Dr. G.B. Aadbhai Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. None appears for respondent no. 4.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 13.12.2016 for filing reply of the respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 792 OF 2015**

{Dr. P.V. Kulkarni & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri Vishnu Dhobale, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned C.P.O., S.O. to 8.12.2016 for filing reply of the respondents. The interim relief to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 207 OF 2016**

{G.J. Waghmare Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no. 1 and Shri S.S. Shinde, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned Special Counsel for respondent nos. 2 to 5.

2. At the request of learned P.O. for res. no. 1 and learned Special Counsel for res. nos. 2 to 5, S.O. to 10.11.2016 for filing replies of the respective respondents as a last chance.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 319 OF 2013**

{A.P. Bawa Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri Y.P. Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri Anant Devkate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.11.2016 with connected matters.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 322 OF 2013**

{K.R. Patil Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri Y.P. Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri Anant Devkate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.11.2016 with connected matters.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 330 OF 2013**

{D.D. Mahale Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri Y.P. Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri Anant Devkate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.11.2016 with connected matters.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 332 OF 2013**

{D.R. Kale Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri Y.P. Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri Anant Devkate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.11.2016 with connected matters.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 552 OF 2016**

{S.B. Bagal Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri N.K. Choudhari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. The learned C.P.O. has filed reply on behalf of res. nos. 2 to 4. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.
  
3. At the request of learned C.P.O., S.O. to 1.12.2016 for filing reply on behalf of other respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 597 OF 2015**

{Sugarabee Sayyad Mainoddin Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Dr. Smt. Kalpalata Patil Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. filed reply of res. no. 2 i. e. the Superintendent of Police, Nanded. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 6.12.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 136 OF 2016**

{S.M. Choudhari Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. has filed reply for res. no. 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 2.12.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 598 OF 2013**

{Noorunnisa Begum Abdul Rehman Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Dr. Smt. Kalpalata Patil Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 6.12.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 210 OF 2013**

{M.R. Mapari Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned P.O. for the respondents, S.O. to 2.12.2016 for filing reply to the amended O.A.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 311 OF 2015**

{Kalpana M. Patil Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 4 and Shri S.S. Shinde, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned Special Counsel for respondent no. 5. None appears for respondent no. 6.
2. At the request of learned P.O. for the respondents, S.O. to 6.12.2016 for producing the concerned record for perusal of the Tribunal.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 364 OF 2016**

{Rudrappa L. Lungare & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 4 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Special Counsel for respondent no. 5.

2. S.O. to 28.11.2016 with connected matters.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 649 OF 2015**

{S.K. Shaikh Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Smt. Supriya Bhilegaonkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no. 1 and Shri S.S. Shinde, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned Special Counsel for respondent nos. 2 & 3.

2. The learned Special Counsel for res. nos. 2 & 3 has filed reply on behalf of res. nos. 2 & 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. Admit.

4. The learned Advocate for the applicant is at liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

5. S.O. to 30.11.2016

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ 26.10.2016

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.699/2015**

(V.N.Veer V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri S.S.Hingne, Member (J)

DATE : 26-10-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Dr. Kalpalata Patil-Bharaswadkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. On consent of both sides, S.O.08-12-2016.

YUK ORAL ORDER 26-10-2016

**MEMBER (J)**



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.718/2015**

(A.S.Mali & Anr. V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri S.S.Hingne, Member (J)

DATE : 26-10-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Dr. Kalpalata Patil-Bharaswadkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. On consent of both sides, S.O.08-12-2016.

YUK ORAL ORDER 26-10-2016

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.186/2016**

(N.R.Dagadghate V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri S.S.Hingne, Member (J)

DATE : 26-10-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri K.B.Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents. Shri Ashish Rajkar learned Advocate for respondent no.3 is **absent**.

2. Learned CPO files reply affidavit on behalf of respondent no.2. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Respondent no.3 has already filed affidavit in reply on record.

4. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. 08-12-2016, for filing rejoinder, if necessary.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26-10-2016

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.191/2016**

(B.H.Sopane V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri S.S.Hingne, Member (J)

DATE : 26-10-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri S.K.Chavan learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O.09-12-2016, for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

YUK ORAL ORDER 26-10-2016

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.366/2016**

(Dr. S.H.Wange V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri S.S.Hingne, Member (J)

DATE : 26-10-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Shri A.N.Gaddime learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos.1 to 3 and Shri D.K.Borkar learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O.09-12-2016, for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26-10-2016

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.429/2016**

(Dr. S.K.Shinde V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri S.S.Hingne, Member (J)

DATE : 26-10-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos.1 to 3 and Shri D.T.Devane learned Advocate for respondent no.6. Shri S.S.Manale learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5 is **absent**.

2. Shri D.T.Devane learned Advocate has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.6. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

4. At the request of learned P.O., S.O.30-11-2016, for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

YUK ORAL ORDER 26-10-2016

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.440/2016**

(C.S.Thokal V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri S.S.Hingne, Member (J)

DATE : 26-10-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri D.A.Bide learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O.09-12-2016, for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

YUK ORAL ORDER 26-10-2016

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.625/2016**

(B.D.Rathod V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri S.S.Hingne, Member (J)

DATE : 26-10-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri S.B.Solanke learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O.13-12-2016, for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

YUK ORAL ORDER 26-10-2016

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.704/2016  
WITH  
CAVEAT NO.229/2016**

(P.P.Hiwale V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri S.S.Hingne, Member (J)

DATE : 26-10-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri S.B.Solanke learned Advocate holding for Shri R.D.Khadap learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents. Shri P.S.Dighe learned Advocate for respondent no.3 is **absent**.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O.13-12-2016, for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26-10-2016



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.742/2016**

(A.S.Ranjankar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri S.S.Hingne, Member (J)

DATE : 26-10-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O., S.O.17-11-2016, for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

YUK ORAL ORDER 26-10-2016

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.792/2016**

(S.B.Wandhekar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri S.S.Hingne, Member (J)

DATE : 26-10-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O.08-12-2016, for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

YUK ORAL ORDER 26-10-2016

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.187/2016 IN O.A.No.306/2016**

(Smt. G.B.Gajbhare V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri S.S.Hingne, Member (J)

DATE : 26-10-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. 08-12-2016 for filing rejoinder, if necessary.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26-10-2016

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.296/2016 IN O.A.StNo.1298/2016**

(Dr. D.W.Kendre V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri S.S.Hingne, Member (J)

DATE : 26-10-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri S.K.Sawangikar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O.30-11-2016, for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

YUK ORAL ORDER 26-10-2016

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.361/2016 IN O.A.St.No.1668/2016**

(H.L.Bhatewale V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri S.S.Hingne, Member (J)

DATE : 26-10-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. M.A.No.361/2016 has been filed seeking condonation of 280 days delay caused in filing the O.A. Applicant has challenged the communication dated 18<sup>th</sup> February, 2015 (page 36). Applicant has retired on superannuation on 29<sup>th</sup> February, 2016. At the fag end of the service, it is natural that the applicant would wait for decision of the department before taking any further steps in the case.

3. For the reasons stated in the M.A., delay caused in filing the O.A. is condoned in the interest of justice. M.A. is allowed and disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

4. O.A. be placed before the Registrar. Applicant shall removal office objection, if any, and thereafter, O.A. be registered, numbered and placed before the Tribunal for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26-10-2016

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.419/2012**

(A.Y.Baste V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri S.S.Hingne, Member (J)

DATE : 26-10-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri S.B.Mene learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of Shri S.B.Mene learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O.30-11-2016, for final hearing.

YUK ORAL ORDER 26-10-2016

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.123/2014**

(R.R.Potpallewar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri S.S.Hingne, Member (J)

DATE : 26-10-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note on record. Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O.08-12-2016.

YUK ORAL ORDER 26-10-2016

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH  
AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.109/2016 IN O.A.St.No.325/2016**  
(D.P.Padle V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri S.S.Hingne, Member (J)

DATE : 26-10-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri G.J.Karne learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Employee had died on 16-08-1984. Applicant's date of birth is 21-03-1981 (page 16). However, before attaining majority, the applicant had submitted application for compassionate appointment on 22-04-2000 (page 13). According to the applicant, since then there is no progress in the matter. Applicant was waiting for response from the respondents. Ultimately, as there was no response, he filed O.A. seeking relief at the hands of the Tribunal.

3. It is submitted that in fact, there is no delay on the part of the applicant. However, M.A. has been filed with abundant precaution for condonation of delay, if any, caused in filing the O.A.

4. For the reasons stated in the application, delay caused in filing the O.A. is condoned in the interest of justice. M.A. is allowed and disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

5. O.A. be registered and numbered.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26-10-2016



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH  
AT AURANGABAD**

**Original Application St.No.325/2016**  
(D.P.Padle V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri S.S.Hingne, Member (J)  
DATE : 26-10-2016

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri G.J.Karne learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. waives notice for respondent no.1. Issue notice to other respondents, returnable on 08-12-2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

8. S.O. 08-12-2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 829 OF 2016  
[S.B. Swami Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**Coram : Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member(J).**

**Dated : 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The applicant a Executive Engineer, transferred vide order dated 25.10.2016 from Nilanga to Beed (page no.18). He was working at Nilanga from 4.8.2014 (page no. 20). The order of transfer is mid-term and mid-tenure.

3. The learned Presenting Officer submits that compliance has been made of the provisions of the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (for short Transfer Act 2005) and this fact is mentioned in the impugned transfer order itself.

4. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that no due compliance of the provisions of the Transfer Act is made while issuing the transfer order. The learned counsel for the

//2//

applicant submits that order of status-quo be passed and till next date adjournment may not be granted in this matter. The learned C.P.O. submits that time may be granted and on the next date he will produce concerned record before this Tribunal for its perusal.

5. In the above state of affairs parties to maintain status-quo as on today till 8.11.2016. The aspect of interim relief can be well considered on the next date i.e. on 8.11.2016.

6. It is made clear that no adjournment on any ground will be granted on that date and after hearing the parties and going through the record the order of interim relief will be passed on that date. Thus, the aspect of interim relief is kept open

7. The learned C.P.O. waives notice for respondent no. 1.

8. Issue notices to other respondents, returnable on 08.11.2016.

9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

//3//

10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

12. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

13. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

14. S.O. to 8.11.2016.

**Member (J)**

Kpb/26.10.2016 – KPB(SB)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 830 OF 2016**

**[Smt. Suvarna Shriram Bedre Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**Coram : Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member(J).**

**Dated : 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order:**

Heard Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The applicant seeks interim relief that the charge which is to be withdrawn from the applicant and to be handed over to Smt. R.G. Giri, vide order dated 21.10.2016 (page no. 14) be stayed. Dr. R.G. Gire is not a party respondent in the present proceeding. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, he is allowed to add Dr. R.G. Giri as a necessary party respondent in the O.A. The necessary amendment be carried out forthwith.

3. The applicant is holding additional charge for the post of Principal of Nursing School, District Hospital, Beed from 3.2.2012 (Annexure A-1, page no.9).

4. The grievance of the applicant is that there were serious allegations against Dr. R.G. Giri and hence, charge was withdrawn from her and was given to the applicant vide order

dated 3.2.2012. This fact is mentioned in the said order but not reasons. However, factual aspect is clear that earlier she was holding additional charge and that is withdrawn from her and was given to the applicant.

5. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the I/C Civil Surgeon has passed order dated 21.10.2016, handing over the charge from applicant to Dr. R.G. Giri. The authority in-charge of the post of Civil Surgeon and took additional charge on the very day i.e. on 21.10.2016, on which date the impugned order is passed.

6. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that there was no urgency to pass such administrative orders when the applicant was holding the charge of the said post from 2012 that too, on the basis of the order which passed by the regular Civil Surgeon. The said submission holds water. It is well settled that while holding the post as a in-charge, the decision making orders are not to be passed in the policy matters. However, in the present case the in-charge Civil Surgeon appears to have made haste to pass such order.

7. In the light of the allegations that charge from Dr. R.G. Giri was withdrawn on serious allegations, in the mean time the impugned order is stayed till the fresh order is passed by the Civil Surgeon. Considering all the aspects of the matter it is expected that the I/C Civil Surgeon to consider as to why the charge was withdrawn from Dr. Giri and whether it is necessary to withdraw the same only on the point of seniority, separate order needs to be passed, if the authority is empowered to pass such order while holding the additional charge. The Civil Surgeon is at liberty to pass fresh order at any time, considering the above aspects and preparing office notes sheet and giving reasons.

8. With this direction, the O.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**Member (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 528 OF 2014  
[Smt. Sarojini S. Pedapalli Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**Coram : Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member(J).**

**Dated : 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order:**

Shri A.P. Sonpethkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 30.11.2016.

**Member (J)**

Kpb/26.10.2016 – KPB(SB)



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 697 OF 2015  
[T. B. Thakare Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**Coram : Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member(J).**

**Dated : 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order:**

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant  
(**Leave Note**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondents, present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for  
the applicant, S.O. to 08.12.2016.

**Member (J)**

Kpb/26.10.2016 – KPB(SB)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 420 OF 2016  
[Shivaji P Nikale Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**Coram : Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member(J).**

**Dated : 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order:**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant  
(**Absent**). Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri A.S. Shelke, learned counsel for  
respondent no. 4, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for respondent no. 4,  
S.O. to 08.11.2016.

**Member (J)**

Kpb/26.10.2016 – KPB(SB)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 350 OF 2016  
[S.D. Patil Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**Coram : Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member(J).**

[This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]

**Dated : 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order:**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Admit. With liberty to take further steps in the matter.
3. Place the matter before the Division Bench in due course of time for final hearing wherever it is available.

**Member (J)**

Kpb/26.10.2016 – KPB(DB)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 472 OF 2016  
[Sayed Fahimoddin Moinuddin Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**Coram : Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member(J).**

[This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]

**Dated : 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order:**

Heard Shri S.R. Barlinge, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and 5. None present for respondent no. 4.

2. The learned Presenting Office files communication dated 29.09.2016, in which it is mentioned that the draft reply is already sent to the authorities. The learned P.O. seeks some time to file affidavit in reply. Vide order dated 12.09.2016, the directions was given for filing reply on 30.9.2016 without fail. Since the matter is now in progress, one opportunity is given to the learned Presenting Officer for filing affidavit in reply.

3 By way of peremptory chance, S.O. to. 10.11.2016, for reply.

**Member (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 164/2016 in C.P. St. 605/2016 in O.A. 92/2016  
[A.P. Farkade Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**Coram : Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member(J).**

**[This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]**

**Dated : 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order:**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.G. Nagode, learned counsel for respondent no. 4.

2. The learned Presenting Officer files copy of the receipt dated 1.10.2016. It is taken on record and marked as Exhibit 'X' for the purposes of identification. On instructions of the applicant, the learned counsel for the applicant submits that the grievances of the applicant are redressed. This receipt is dated 1.10.2016 shows that the payment is made to the applicant of Rs. 95088/-.

3. In the result, M.A. filed for permission to proceed against the respondents in the Contempt petition and the Contempt petition itself stand disposed of, as grievances of the applicant are redressed. There shall be no order as to costs.

**Member (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

- 1) M.A.543/15 IN CP.ST.1721/15 IN O.A. 717/98
- 2) M.A.544/15 IN CP.ST.1723/15 IN O.A. 522/2000
- 3) M.A.545/15 IN CP.ST.1727/15 IN O.A. 718/98
- 4) M.A.546/15 IN CP.ST.1731/15 IN O.A. 1203/99
- 5) M.A.547/15 IN CP.ST.1725/15 IN O.A. 492/02
- 6) M.A.548/15 IN CP.ST.1719/15 IN O.A. 493/02
- 7) M.A.549/15 IN CP.ST.1729/15 IN O.A. 525/02
- 8) M.A.ST.1328/15 IN CP.ST.1329/15 IN O.A. 705/96
- 9) M.A.ST.1330/15 IN CP.ST.1331/15 IN O.A. 682/96
- 10) M.A.ST.1332/15 IN CP.ST.1333/15 IN O.A. 718/96

**Coram : Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member(J).**

[This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]

**Dated : 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order:**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, for himself and holding for Shri M.H. Patil, learned counsel for the Applicants in respective matters and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents in all these matters.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 29.11.2016.

**MEMBER (J)**

Kpb/26.10.2016 – KPB(DB)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 111 OF 2013  
[G.M. Shikare Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**Coram : Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member(J).**

[This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-  
availability of Division Bench]

**Dated : 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order:**

Shri S.S. Halkude, learned Counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. S.K. Dehmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2, Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned counsel for the respondent no. 3 and Shri S.K. Sawangikar, learned counsel for the respondent no. 4 are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 13.12.2016, for filing reply to the amended pleadings on behalf of respondent nos. 1 to 3.

**Member (J)**

Kpb/26.10.2016 – KPB(DB)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 641 OF 2015  
[B.P. Patil (Pawar) Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**Coram : Hon'ble Shri S.S. Hingne, Member(J).**

[This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench]

**Dated : 26.10.2016**

**Oral Order:**

Heard Shri Milind Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As per circulation note filed by the applicant, this matter is taken up on today's board. The O.A. is already fixed on 7.11.2016. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that due to his personal difficulty he will not be able to attend the proceeding on 7.11.2016 and therefore, the matter be kept on 17.11.2016.

3. Hence, S.O. to 17.11.2016.

**Member (J)**