

O.A. NO. 756/2016

(M.P. Darade Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE :- 22.12.2016

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 31.1.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ 22.12.2016 (s.B.)

O.A. NO. 764/2016

(B.M. Swami Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE :- 22.12.2016

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri D.P. Sathe, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 6.2.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ 22.12.2016 (s.B.)

O.A. NO. 819/2016

(V.B. Goje Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE :- 22.12.2016

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. The learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 7.2.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ 22.12.2016 (s.B.)

O.A. NO. 827/2016

(Sd. Asifuddin Md. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE :- 22.12.2016

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of res. Nos. 2 & 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. the learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of res. No. 1. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 2.2.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ 22.12.2016 (s.B.)

O.A. NO. 870/2016

(M.N. Dorle Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE :- 22.12.2016

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2.

2. Today, Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate has filed his V.P. for res. No. 3. It is taken on record. He seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of res. No. 3.

3. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of res. Nos. 1 & 2. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 6.2.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ 22.12.2016 (s.B.)

O.A. NO. 871/2016

(S.P. Dhaktode Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE :- 22.12.2016

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2.

2. Today, Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate has filed his V.P. for res. No. 3. It is taken on record. He seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of res. No. 3.

3. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of res. Nos. 1 & 2. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 6.2.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ 22.12.2016 (s.B.)

MA 347/2016 IN OA ST. 1605/2016

(B.L. Deshmukh Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE :- 22.12.2016

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. The learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply in the misc. application. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 2.2.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ 22.12.2016 (s.B.)

O.A. NO. 707 OF 2013

(Dr. Rekha A. Salunke Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE :- 22.12.2016

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. With consent of both the sides, S.O. to 1.2.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ 22.12.2016 (s.B.)

O.A. NO. 708 OF 2013

(Dr. Rekha A. Salunke Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE :- 22.12.2016

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. With consent of both the sides, S.O. to 1.2.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ 22.12.2016 (s.B.)

O.A. NO. 574 OF 2016

(Smt. Jyoti D. Siddhewar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE :- 22.12.2016

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. The learned P.O. seeks time to produce the relevant record as directed by this Tribunal vide its order dated 25.11.2016. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 2.2.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ 22.12.2016 (s.B.)

MA NO. 290/2016 IN OA ST. 1424/2016

(Rajendra B. Salvi Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE :- 22.12.2016

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Misc. Application no. 290/2016 has been filed by the applicant for condonation of delay of 8 months caused in filing O.A. before this Tribunal.
3. In the original application the applicant is claiming deemed date of promotion. Whether the applicant is entitled for such deemed date will be considered on merits.
4. Perused the misc. application. Considered the contentions.
5. For the reasons stated in the misc. application, the delay of 8 months caused in filing original application is condoned. The misc. application stands disposed. There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ 22.12.2016 (s.B.)

OA ST. 1424/2016

(Rajendra B. Salvi Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE :- 22.12.2016

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 6.2.2017.
3. Tribunal may take the cases for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced

along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date.
Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. 6.2.2017.

8. Steno copy & hamdust allowed to both the parties.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ 22.12.2016 (s.B.)

O.A. NO. 311/2015

(Kalpana M. Patil Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)

DATE :- 22.12.2016

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 4 and Shri D.P. Sathe, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for respondent no. 6.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to produce the relevant record for the perusal of the Tribunal. It is seen that, on last two occasions similar prayer was made by the learned P.O.

3. The learned P.O. submits that the res. no. 4 the Tahsildar, Ambajogai, Tq. Ambajogai, Dist. Beed has been informed to produce the concerned record. She seeks time for the same as a last chance. Time granted as prayed for.

4. The res. no. 4 the Tahsildar, Ambajogai, Dist. Beed is hereby directed to remain present on 1.2.2017 along with the relevant record.

5. S.O. to 1.2.2017.

6. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O. for the respondents.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ 22.12.2016 (s.B.)

MA 198/2016 IN CP ST. 746/2016 IN OA 318/2014

(Iqbal Abdul Aziz Patel Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE :- 22.12.2016

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Smt. Ujjwal Agrawal, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4.

2. Today, the learned P.O. has placed on record the copy of the communication dated 21.12.2016 received from the Collector, Jalgaon, which is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification. The learned P.O. submits that the proposal for grant of pension has been sent to the res. no. 4 the Accountant General – I, Mumbai and the same is under consideration.

3. The learned Advocate for res. no. 4 submits that the said proposal has been received on yesterday only by the res. no. 4 and necessary orders will be passed in that record within a period of 2 months.

MA 198/2016 IN CP ST. 746/2016
IN OA 318/2014

::-2-::

4. The respondents are hereby directed to settle the pension case of the applicant in all respect within a period of 2 months from the date of this order.

5. The matter to come up on board on 21.2.2016.

6. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O. for res. nos. 1 to 3 and the learned Advocate for the res. no. 4.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ 22.12.2016 (s.B.)

O.A. NO. 852/2016

(Ankush K. Tekale Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri J.D. Kulkarni, Member (J)
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE :- 22.12.2016

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. The learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of res. nos. 1 & 2. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.
3. S.O. to 31.1.2016.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ 22.12.2016 (s.B.)

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.572/2016.

(S.G. Chavan Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM :HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE :22.12.2016.

ORAL ORDER :-

Leave note filed by Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant. Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files reply affidavit on behalf of Respondents no.1 & 2. The same is taken on record.

3. Learned P.O. submits that, the cost has been deposited.

4. S.O. to 6.2.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 22.12.2016-ATP

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.207/2016.

(G.J. Waghmare Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM :HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE :22.12.2016.

ORAL ORDER :-

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned Special Counsel for respondents no.2 to 5 submits that, he is withdrawing the Vakalatnama since he has no instructions in this regard.

3. Learned P.O. submits that, he will file reply on behalf of all the respondents and last chance⁴ be granted to him. However, it seems from the record that, so many chances are given from 20.4.2016. However, in the interest of justice most last chance is granted subject to payment of cost of Rs.2000/-. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, the applicant is aged about 59 years. The cost should be deposited to M.A.T. Bar Association.

4. S.O. to 6.2.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 22.12.2016-ATP

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.380/2016.

(Sk. Mohammad Ashraf Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM :HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE :22.12.2016.

ORAL ORDER :-

Leave note filed by Advocate Dhongde for the applicant.Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Since the pleadings re complete the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

3. S.O. to 16.1.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 22.12.2016-ATP

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.500/2016.

(Saleem Khan Sukede Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM :HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE :22.12.2016.

ORAL ORDER :-

Heard Shri Kakasaheb Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no.1 & 2 and Shri Vivek Pingle learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the Respondent no.3.

2. Learned Advocate Shri Mene for the Respondent no.3 seeks time to file reply.

3. Since the pleadings are complete the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file reply on behalf of Respondent no.3.

4. S.O. to 30.1.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 22.12.2016-ATP

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.581/2016.

(Dr. G.N. Ghogare Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM :HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE :22.12.2016.

ORAL ORDER :-

Heard ShriV.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Vide impugned order dated 18.7.2016 the applicant was transferred from the post of Medical Superintendent Rural Hospital, Kopargaon Dist. Ahmednagar to Pathardi. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, he requested transfer at Rahata and during pendency of the O.A. he has been transferred to Rahata and the impugned order of transfer has been modified. In view thereof, nothing survives in the O.A. Hence, the O.A. stands disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 22.12.2016-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.658/2016

(M. E. Mali Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM :HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE :22.12.2016.

ORAL ORDER :-

Heard ShriV.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt P.R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder affidavit. The same is taken on record. Its copy is served on the learned P.O.

3. S.O. to 4.1.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 22.12.2016-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.659/2016

(D.S. Patil Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM :HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE :22.12.2016.

ORAL ORDER :-

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt P.R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder affidavit. The same is taken on record. Its copy is served on the learned P.O.

3. S.O. to 4.1.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 22.12.2016-ATP

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.660/2016

(W. R. Patil Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM :HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE :22.12.2016.

ORAL ORDER :-

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder affidavit. The same is taken on record. Its copy is served on the learned C.P.O.

3. S.O. to 4.1.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 22.12.2016-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.693/2016.

(D. M. Borude Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM :HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE :22.12.2016.

ORAL ORDER :-

Heard Shri V. B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that the date is postponed and it be kept on 4.1.2017. Hence, S.O. to 4.1.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 22.12.2016-ATP

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.645/2016.

(S.R. Barse Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM :HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE :22.12.2016.

ORAL ORDER :-

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt D.S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It is very sad to mention that vide order dated 24.11.2016 the opportunity was given to Respondents to reconsider their alleged arbitrary action and to file reply to rejoinder affidavit. However, it seems that the said order has not been communicated to the respondents in writing. Learned P.O. submits that, she has talk with some Clerk from Amravati office of the respondents. The said explanation is really pitiable and can not be accepted. Review was to be taken by Govt. authority and there is absolutely no point in talking to the Clerk in this regard. Learned P.O. submits that, she has also talked to Additional Commissioner Shri Sarvade, who is also a party respondent in this O.A. i.e. Respondent no.3. Absolutely he is also not competent authority to file affidavit.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, even after the impugned order of suspension the applicant has not received any subsistence allowance till today. It is the duty of the competent authority to pay subsistence allowance if the employee is kept under suspension and therefore, the respondents are directed to pay subsistence allowance to the applicant immediately and in any case within 2 weeks along with arrears

-2- **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.645/2016.**

thereof. So far as the merits of the case is concerned, it is made clear that in case the reply affidavit to the rejoinder is not filed on the next date, the application will be considered on merits.

4. S.O. to 4.1.2017.

5. Steno copy be supplied to the learned P.O.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 22.12.2016-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.669/2016.

(C.S. Shinde Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM :HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE :22.12.2016.

ORAL ORDER :-

Heard Shri A. S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I. S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Since the pleadings are complete the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

3. S.O. to 6.1.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 22.12.2016-ATP

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.670/2016.

(D. L. Patil Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM :HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE :22.12.2016.

ORAL ORDER :-

Heard Shri A. S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I. S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Since the pleadings are complete the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

3. S.O. to 6.1.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 22.12.2016-ATP

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.734/2016.

(S.B. Turakane Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM :HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE :22.12.2016.

ORAL ORDER :-

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no.1 to 3 and Shri P. P. Dhorde, learned Advocate for the Respondent no.4..

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply affidavit on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3. Granted as a last chance.

3. Learned Advocate for the Respondent no.4 submits that, in the reply affidavit inadvertently the name of father of Adinath has been mentioned as Karbhari Tukaram and it shall be Karbhari Vishwanath and he may be permitted to correct the same. Permission granted to correct the same.

4. S.O. to 30.1.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 22.12.2016-ATP

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 719/2016.

(P. M. Chandanshiv Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM :HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI, MEMBER (J).

DATE :22.12.2016.

ORAL ORDER :-

Heard Shri L. H. Kawale, learned Advocate holding for Shri K. J. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S. K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no.1 to 3 and Shri A.A. Shelke learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Respondent no.4.

2. The learned P. O. receives the copy of the substituted O.A. on behalf of Respondent no.1 and requested that he may be granted time to file reply affidavit. The name of the respondent no.3 is also substituted and therefore, the learned Advocate for the applicant is directed to serve notice to respondent no.3 as mentioned in the substituted O.A. Learned Advocate for the applicant agrees to serve the copy of the substituted O.A. to the respondents no.4 & 5.

3. In view thereof, S.O. to 31.1.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 22.12.2016-ATP