

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 228/2017 in O.A. St. No. 842/2017  
(Dr. Preeti Singh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : J.D. KULKARNI, VICE CHAIRMAN (J)**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:-**

1. Ms. Ashlesha Raut, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 31.10.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 22.09.2017 (SB) JDK

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 27/2016 in O.A. No. 575/2017  
(Shri Mahadu S. Bangale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : J.D. KULKARNI, VICE CHAIRMAN (J)**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:-**

1. Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks to file affidavit in reply in O.A. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 31.10.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 22.09.2017 (SB) JDK

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. No. 5/2017  
(For speaking to minutes)  
(Shri Bhanudas R. Pund V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : J.D. KULKARNI, VICE CHAIRMAN (J)**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.\_**

**ORAL ORDER:-**

1. Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The matter was mentioned by the learned Advocate Shri A.D. Gadekar. He submits that in the title clause of O.A. No. 5/2017, the address of Respondent No. 3 has wrongly been typed and it shall be the "Education Officer (Continuing Education), Zilla Parishad, Nanded, Dist. Nanded" instead of "Education Officer (Continuing Education), Zilla Parishad, Latur, Dist. Latur". Therefore, he requested that the same may be corrected. He further submits that the corrected copy of order be supplied to him.

3. Learned P.O. has no objection for the said correction.

4. In view thereof, the word “Latur” be replaced in both places in place of word “Nanded” in the address of respondent No. 3 in O.A. No. 5/2017.

5. The Registrar of this Tribunal, Bench at Aurangabad is directed to correct the order accordingly and supply the corrected copies to both the parties. Both the parties shall approach the Registrar accordingly for correction.

**VICE CHAIRMAN (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 22.09.2017 (SB) JDK

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. No. 781/2013  
(Shri Sudarshan D. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : J.D. KULKARNI, VICE CHAIRMAN (J)**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.\_**

**ORAL ORDER:-**

1. Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. After pronouncement of the order, the learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that in the present O.A., this Tribunal was pleased to grant interim stay, whereby the respondent No. 2 was directed not to take any action on the impugned notice dated 25.02.2016. This interim order was passed on 23.03.2016. Since the applicant wants to challenge this order before the Hon'ble High Court, the interim relief granted earlier by this Tribunal shall be continued for another 8 weeks. In fact, the applicant has been granted liberty to reply the notice and it is expected that no order shall be passed in that regard immediately. Still by way of ample practice, it is hereby directed that the respondent No. 2 shall not take any coercive action in view of the notice dated 25.02.2016, for further 8 weeks.

**VICE CHAIRMAN (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1004 OF 2015  
(Smt. Jaibunbee Rasul Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Hemant U. Dhage, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the present case has been wrongly kept for final hearing though the applicant has not complied with the office objection/s dated 17.07.2015 and the case has been admitted and taken on board for hearing.

3. In view of the above, the applicant is directed to comply with the office objection/s dated 17.07.2015.

4. S.O. to 4<sup>th</sup> October, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 657 OF 2017  
(Shri Rajesh D. Mane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> October, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22-09-2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 532 OF 2017  
(Shri Tukaram S. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 2<sup>nd</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22-09-2017-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 97/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO. 162/2017  
(Shri Bhanudas D. Vaishnav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Bhavna Panpatil, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> October, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22-09-2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 416 OF 2016  
(Shri Ramhari G. Gosavi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 2<sup>nd</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22-09-2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 534 OF 2016  
(Shri Lalu Bhau Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 2<sup>nd</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22-09-2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 685 OF 2017  
(Dr. Dattatray S. Raut Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)  
WITH  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 686 OF 2017  
(Dr. Baban L. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)  
WITH  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 687 OF 2017  
(Dr. Suresh G. Dhakne Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and S/Shri D.R. Patil & V.R. Bhumkar and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective cases.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants has submitted that the applicants have filed representation dated 4<sup>th</sup> February, 2017 before the District Health Officer, Zilla Parishad, Beed, for extending the benefit of Assured Career Progression Scheme on completion of their continuous service of 12 years on the basis of judgment delivered by the Single Bench of this Tribunal at Principal Seat at Mumbai in O.A. No. 732/2011 & Group matters on 08.06.2016. The

**O.A. NO. 685/2017 & Group**

applicants have approached this Tribunal as the respondents are not decided their representation more than six months.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that this Tribunal has decided the O.A. Nos. 38/2015 and Group matters on 22<sup>nd</sup> June, 2017 of the similarly situated employees, who claimed the benefit of recommendation of 6<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission. He has submitted that the Medical Officers appointed on ad hoc basis had been absorbed in the Government service on the basis of rule viz. "Medical Officer in the Maharashtra Medical and Health Services Group-A (One time Absorption of Medical Officers appointed on ad-hoc basis in Maharashtra (Special) Rules, 2009". He has submitted that the rules have been notified on 02.02.2009. As per Rule 4 (vii) of the said rules, the services rendered by the ad hoc Medical Officers prior to the date of absorption shall not be considered for pay, pension, leave and grant of promotion as a specialist or any other post under the Assured Career Progression Scheme. He has submitted that the rule specifically provides that services rendered by such ad hoc employees, prior to the date of absorption shall not be considered for pay, pension, leave and grant of promotion as a specialist or any other post under the

**O.A. NO. 685/2017 & Group**

Assured Career Progression Scheme and, therefore, the applicants cannot claim the benefit of Assured Progression Scheme on the basis of their earlier service. He has submitted that the said issue has been considered and decided by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 38/2015 and group matters, and therefore, in view of the said rule the applicants are not entitled to claim the benefit of Assured Career Progression Scheme on the basis of their earlier service rendered on ad hoc basis and, therefore, he prayed to reject the present Original Application.

4. I have gone through the said decision in O.A. No. 38/2015 and group matters decided on 22<sup>nd</sup> June, 2017. In those cases Medical Officers, who were absorbed in the regular service have prayed to extend the recommendation of 6<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission since 1.1.2006. While deciding the said OAs the rule viz. "Medical Officer in the Maharashtra Medical and Health Services Group-A (One time Absorption of Medical Officers appointed on ad-hoc basis in Maharashtra (Special) Rules, 2009" has been considered by this Tribunal. The Medical Officers appointed on ad hoc basis have been absorbed by the Government on the basis of the said rules since the year 2009. Rule 4 (vii) of the said rules is

**O.A. NO. 685/2017 & Group**

material and important while considering the present Original Application. Therefore, it is reproduced as follows: -

***“4. Notwithstanding anything contained in the Maharashtra Medical and Health Services Group A (Recruitment) Rules, 2000,-***

***vii) The service rendered by the ad-hoc Medical Officers prior to the date of absorption shall not be considered for pay, pension, leave and grant of promotion as a specialist or any other post under the Assured Career Progression Scheme.”***

5. On considering the above said rule, it is crystal clear that the said rule specifically provides that the service rendered by the ad hoc employees prior to the date of absorption shall not be considered for pay and other benefits. The applicants have accepted the said rule and, therefore, they have been absorbed in the regular service.

6. In view of the said rules, the applicants are not entitled to get any benefit of their earlier service for the purpose of pension, pay, leave and grant of promotion. Therefore, the applicants cannot claim the benefit of Assured Career Progression Scheme on the ground that their earlier services may be counted. Since their

**O.A. NO. 685/2017 & Group**

earlier services rendered by them on ad hoc basis cannot be considered for promotion in view of the said rules, applicants are not entitled to claim relief as prayed for by them in the present Original Applications. The relief sought by the applicants cannot be considered. Therefore, the present O.As. deserve to be dismissed. Consequently all these Original Applications stand dismissed with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22-9-2017-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.719/2016  
(Shri Pandurang Chandanshiv V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri L.H.Kawale learned Advocate holding for Shri K.J.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri P.D.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

2. Shri Suryavanshi learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5 has filed communication dated 21-09-2017 issued by the Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Beed and sent to Treasury Officer, Beed informing that disputed order dated 08-08-2017 issued by him has been cancelled and he has requested Treasury Officer, Beed to process further and release pension of the applicant in accordance with the letter of A.G. Nagpur dated 13-06-2017. Communication dated 21-09-2017 is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that respondent nos. 4 and 5 have not released amount of gratuity to the applicant, and therefore, he prayed to direct the respondents in that regard.

4. Learned Advocate for the respondent nos.4 and 5 has submitted they are going to issue necessary order/s for releasing gratuity amount to the applicant today itself. He, therefore, sought time to produce said order till 25-09-2017. Today, Shri Dhanraj s/o. Vaijinath Nila, Chief Executive Officer, ZillaParishad, Beed and Shri Swami ShivkumarBapu, Executive Engineer (Works Department), Zilla Parishad, Beed are present. They have submitted that necessary order/s in that regard will be issued on today itself and copy of the same will be produced on the next date. Both of them have submitted that there was some confusion because of the order of the A.G. Nagpur, and therefore, the impugned order has been issued by them.

5. Respondent nos.4 and 5 have tendered unconditional apology and they have submitted that the mistake will be rectified and order in that regard will be passed on today itself. Unconditional apology of the respondent nos.4 and 5 is accepted. They have submitted that they will issue necessary order on today and produce the same on 22-09-2017.

6. S.O. to 22-09-2017 for compliance as above.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 22-09-2017 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.770/2016  
(Shri Manchakrao Paratwagh & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Juee Palekar-Parlikar learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 02-11-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 22-09-2017 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.850/2016  
(Shri Anand Gavali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Juee Palekar-Parlikar learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 02-11-2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 22-09-2017 F

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.57/2017  
(Shri Kiran Mashale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S.Dambe learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that he is going to file affidavit of present Superintendent of Police, Latur during the course of the day.

3. Learned P.O. has submitted that S.P. Latur has informed him by communication dated 20-09-2017 that the deputation (तैनाती) of the applicant at Police Station Jalkot, District Latur has been withdrawn by order dated 18-09-2017 and he was reposted at his earlier posting. He has produced copy of the representation of the applicant dated 11-08-2017 along with wireless message withdrawing order of deputation (तैनाती) and reposting the applicant on his earlier post. Communication dated 20-09-2017 is taken on record.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that since the impugned order has been withdrawn by the respondents and applicant has been reposted at the original posting, the applicant does not wish to proceed with the O.A. as purpose of filing O.A. is served. Therefore, he prayed to pass necessary order.

5. Since the impugned order has been withdrawn by the respondents and the applicant has been reposted at his original post, purpose of filing O.A. is served. Therefore, O.A. stands disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.354/2017  
(Shri Devendra Katte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ku. Bhavana Panpatil learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B.Talekar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 27-09-2017.

3. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 22-09-2017 F



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.840/2016  
(Shri Hiralal Bhatewale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted the Deputy Director, Medical Education and Research, Mumbai is directed the respondent no.3 Dean, Government Medical College, Aurangabad to verify the case of Smt. Alka Khare, Shri R.R.Atre, Shri Syed Abubakr, Shri K.V.Pawar, Shri M.Y.Pawar and Shri N.A.Lokhande to whom benefits of 2<sup>nd</sup> Assured Career Progression Scheme were given though they were not eligible for the same and to submit report by letter dated 22-08-2017.

3. No document showing any further action taken by the respondent no.4 in that regard is produced on record. Hence, respondent no.4 is

directed to file documents in that regard on 25-09-2017.

4. S.O. to 25-09-2017.

5. Matter be treated as part heard.

6. Steno copy may be provided to the learned P.O. on his request

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 22-09-2017 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.370/2015 IN C.P.St.No.1129/2015 IN O.A.No.199/2014  
(Shri Uttam Vendait V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

(This case is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of  
Division Bench.)

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.M.Shinde learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Today the learned P.O. has taken circulation of the case though it was adjourned till 04-10-2017. It is submitted that he has informed learned Advocate for the applicant that he is taking circulation before the Tribunal on today.

3. Learned P.O. has submitted on instruction of Shri Rajendra s/o. Shankarrao Kadam, Chief Conservator of Forests, Dhule that he is going to file affidavit during the course of the day stating that respondents have complied with the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.199/2014 in all respects.

4. Hence, this case be kept on 04-10-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**

**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.528/2017.**

**(Shri P. T. Vaichal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate holding for Shri A. S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt D. S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. files affidavit in reply of Respondent no.2. The same is accepted. Copy served on the other side.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent no.1. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 11.10.2017. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J).**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.595/2017.**  
**(Shri R. M. Kannewar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Miss P. R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 02.11.2017.

**MEMBER (J).**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 21-09-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**MA NO.258/17 IN OA St. No.910/17.**  
**(Smt Seema Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None present for the applicant. Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.10.2017.

**MEMBER (J).**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 21-09-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**MA NO.283/2017 IN OA ST.942/2017.**  
**(Shri S. V. Bhalerao V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S. K. Mathpati learned Advocate holding for Shri B. R. Kedar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt D. S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 01.11.2017.

**MEMBER (J).**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 21-09-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.623/2016.**  
**(Shri A. P. Katkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None present for the applicant. Shri N. U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents no.1 and Shri G. N. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent no.2 are present.

2. The learned P.O. files additional affidavit to the rejoinder. The same is accepted. Copy be served to other side.

3. In view of the absence of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.10.2017.

**MEMBER (J).**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 21-09-2017



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.262/2016.**  
(Shri D. W. Bansode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None present for the applicant. Smt R. S. Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. No rejoinder has been filed on behalf of the applicant.

3. In view of the absence of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 03.11.2017.

**MEMBER (J).**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 21-09-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.175/2017.**  
**(Shri M. M. Khamkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R. E. Pathade learned Advocate holding for Shri D. A. Bide, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 02.11.2017.

**MEMBER (J).**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 22-09-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.229/2017.**  
**(Shri S. S. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S. P. Salgar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt R. S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents no.1,3 & 4 and Shri G. N. Patil, learned Advocate for the Respondent no.2.

2. The learned P.O. submits that, during the course of the day affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent nos.1,3 & 4 would be filed. Copy be served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 12.10.2017.

**MEMBER (J).**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 22-09-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.263/2017.**  
**(Shri B. D. Mesram V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S. D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 01.11.2017.

**MEMBER (J).**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 22-09-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.434/2017.**  
**(Shri A. S. Sable V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M. R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt D. S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents no.1 to 3 and Shri S. D. Dhongade learned Advocate for the Respondent no.4.

2. Learned P. O. as well as learned Advocate for the Respondent no.4 seek time to file affidavit in replies on behalf of respective respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 01.11.2017.

**MEMBER (J).**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 22-09-2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 461/2017.**  
**(Shri Shaikh Ahmed V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 22.09.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R. R. Bangar learned Advocate holding for Shri I. D. Maniyar , learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

Time granted

3. S.O. to 02.11.2017.

**MEMBER (J).**

ATP ORAL ORDERS 22-09-2017

---