M.A. No. 261/2017 in O.A. St. No. 528/2017 (Shri Deorao N. Dawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.P. Golewar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed additional affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. S.O. to 24.01.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 560/2017 (Dr. Prafull V. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Smt. Vaishali Shinde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, absent.

- 2. It transpires from the proceedings that the affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondent No. 4.
- 3. In view of the absence of learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, S.O. to 05.01.2018 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 584/2017 (Shri Mohammad A. Sami V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to S.O. to 02.02.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 589/2017 (Shri Kailas P. Waval V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submits that there is no need to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3.
- 4. S.O. to 18.01.2018. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 610/2017 (Smt. Kamalbai R. Hatte & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri M.K. Bhosle, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5.

- 2. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 5 has filed affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side. She seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 3. Time granted.
- 4. S.O. to 10.01.2018.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 740/2017 (Shri Vishal B. Goje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 29.01.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 809/2017 (Shri Ganesh T. Sable V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Joslyn Menezes, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Amruta Paranjape, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
  Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 24.01.2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 488/2017 in O.A. No. 840/2017 (Shri Shivaji R. Wayal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Smt. A.R. Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.B. Adhe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 29.01.2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 500/2017 in O.A. No. 753/2017 (Shri Vyankat N. Koralkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 18.01.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 18.01.2018.
- 8. Steno copy allowed for the use of both the sides.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 322/2017 (Shri Rajesh P. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has placed on record copies of documents. Same are taken on record.
- 3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.01.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 803/2017 (Dr. Somnath V. Tekade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the respondent No. 5 has refused to accept the notice. The envelope containing notice of respondent No. 5 is placed on record.
- 3. In view of the above, notice on respondent No. 5 be treated as served.
- 4. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 5. S.O. to 24.01.2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 504/2017 in O.A. No. 172/2017 (Shri Taher Ali Shah Mohd. Ali Shah V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. The present Misc. Application is filed by the applicant for restoration of the O.A. No. 172/2017, which is dismissed in default on 12.12.2017 and prayed to restore the same. The applicant shall firstly satisfy this Tribunal on the point of maintainability of the O.A.
- 3. S.O. to 18.01.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 49/2017 (Shri Uttamrao S. Joshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. The applicant has claimed following reliefs in the O.A.:-
  - "XI(B)The respondents may kindly be directed to grant next higher pay scale of Rs. 1200-2040 with effect from 1.8.1995 under the ACP scheme.
  - (C) The respondents may kindly be directed to grant pay scale of Rs. 5200-20200 with Grade Pay 2400 for the post of Civil Engineering Assistant by order dated 26 February, 2014.
  - (D) The respondents may kindly be directed to grant to the applicant next higher pay scale of Rs. 9300-34800 with Grade Pay Rs. 4300/- as a second benefit under ACP as per order No. 98 dated 24 June 2015.

- (E) The respondents may kindly be directed to make proper and correct pay fixation as per the pay scale granted under 6<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission report with all back wages."
- 3. The above said reliefs claimed by the applicant have been granted to him by the respondents on 24.06.2015 i.e. much before filing of the present Original Application. In fact, there was no cause of action on the date of filing of the present Original Application. Since all the benefits have been granted to the applicant prior to filing of the present, the O.A. is not maintainable.
- 4. In view thereof, the Original Application stands dismissed without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

Original Application No.652/2016 (Shri Bhimraj Thorat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri K.B.Jadhav learned Advocate holding for Shri H.U.Dhage learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05-01-2018.

MEMBER (J)

Original Application No.71/2017 (Shri Mahadeo Kandalgaonkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder. Time is granted as a last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 05-02-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.673/2017 (W.R.Dane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Amol B. Chalak Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 02-02-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.737/2017 (Shri Sureshsing Taji V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

# ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 08-01-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.744/2017 (Shri Dashrath Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.S.Jondhale learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 08-01-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.795/2017 (Dr. Anil Pandhare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.
- 3. S.O. to 08-02-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.1004/2015 (Jaibunbee Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.B.Jadhav learned Advocate holding for Shri H.U.Dhage learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05-01-2018 for removing office objections.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.896/2016 (Shri Sheshrao Anchule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

.....

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Ku. Bhavana Panpatil learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B.Talekar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19-01-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.938/2017 (Shri Vitthal Kausadikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri M.R.Kulkarni learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D.Narwadkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 06-02-2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O.to 06-02-2018.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

# M.A.No.453/2017 IN M.A.St.No.1555/2017 IN O.A.St.No.1556/2017

(Shri Shriram Badhe & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri S.R.Kedar learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B.Dhage learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22-01-2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.No.506/2017 IN O.A.St.No.1889/2017 (Smt. Ram S. Channa Reddy @ Smt. Ramsubblu C. Reddy & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. M.A.No.506/2017 has been filed for sue jointly.
- 3. Perused the application. The cause of action and the relief claimed by the applicants are similar.
- 4. For the reasons stated in the miscellaneous application, it is allowed and the applicants are permitted to sue jointly.
- 5. The M.A. is, therefore, disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.
- 6. O.A. be registered and numbered after removal of office objections, if any.

MEMBER (J)

### O.A.St.No.1889/2017

(Smt. Ram S. Channa Reddy @ Smt. Ramsubblu C. Reddy & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 02-02-2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O.to 02-02-2018.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

M.A.No.508/2017 IN O.A.St.No.1873/2017 (Shri Vasant Joshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.D.Gadekar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents in the M.A., returnable on 06-02-2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O.to 06-02-2018.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

O.A.Nos.544/2016, 545/2016, 817/2016, 820/2016, 821/2016, 822/2016 & 155/2016

(Dr. Seema Kulkarni & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

.----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

<u>CORAM</u>: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicants in all the cases, S/Shri I.S.Thorat, V.R.Bhumkar, S.K.Shirse, D.R.Patil, M.P.Gude and Smt. Resha Deshmukh and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officers for the respondent nos.1 to 3 and Shri P.K.Wagh learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D.Aghav learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos.4 & 5 in O.A.Nos.817/16, 821/16 & 822/16, Shri S.R.Dheple learned Advocate for respondent no.4 and 5 in O.A.No.820/16 and Shri S.R.Dheple learned Advocate for respondent nos.5 and 6 in O.A.No.155/2016. Shri K.U.More learned Advocate for respondent nos.5 and 6 (O.A.No.544/16) and Shri Vivek Bhavthankar learned Advocate for respondent no5 (O.A.No.545/16) are absent.

- 2. On perusal of record, it reveals that no show cause notice to concerned authorities of Zilla Parishad has not been issued in view of the order passed by the Tribunal on 13-11-2017 till today. Registry is directed to issue show cause notice as per order dated 13-11-2017.
- 3. S.O. to 20-02-2018.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.228/2017 (Shri Maroti Nilewad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.U.Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. states that he is going to take instructions from the respondent no.2, and therefore, he sought time for the same. Time is granted.
- 3. S.O. to 18-01-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.325/2017 (Dr. Vivek Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Gajanan Kadam learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri A.B.Gaikwad learned Advocate for respondent no.6 is **absent**.

Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

- 2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted as a most last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 18-01-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.466/2017 (Shri Shamsundar Pande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate holding for Shri A.N.Walujkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. has filed separate affidavits in reply on behalf of respondent nos.2 and 4. Those are taken on record. Copies thereof have been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 17-01-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.463/2017 (Shri Raosaheb Awhad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20-12-2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.464/2017 (Shri Rajendra Kirtikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 17-01-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.465/2017 (Shri Ashok Barde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Arguments of both sides are heard at length. Case is reserved for order.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 571/2017 (Dr. Shrinivas R. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

.....

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. The present is taken on board.
- 3. The learned Advocate, on instructions from the applicant, submits that the applicant has submitted a representation to the concerned respondent and, therefore, present O.A. can be disposed of without any order on merits with a direction to the concerned respondent to decide the said representation of the applicant at the earliest and in any case within a period of 4 weeks from the date of this order.
- Advocate for the applicant appear to be reasonable the present O.A. stands disposed of without any order as to costs with a direction to the res. no. 1 the Principal Secretary, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai that, in case any representation is received from the

### ::-2-:: O.A. NO. 571/17

Applicant, the same be decided within a period of 4 weeks from the date of this order.

5. Steno copy allowed for the use of both the sides.

### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 19-12-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 928/2017 (Santosh T. Vaidya V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.L. Kute, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 29.1.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

### ::-2-:: O.A. NO. 928/17

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 29.1.2018.
- 8. Steno copy allowed to the both the sides.

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 943/2017 (Amol J. Udawant V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.J. Rahate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 17.1.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. Upon hearing the learned Advocate for the applicant, it appears that, as no transparency is shown in publishing the marks obtained by the candidates in the examination. the applicant is merely apprehending. In the circumstances, without filing any detailed affidavit in reply, the concerned respondents are directed to publish the marks obtained by the candidates for the Group - D posts and report the compliance thereof on the next date. The issue of interim relief would be considered on the next date.
- 8. S.O. to 17.1.2018.
- 9. Steno copy allowed to the both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 939/2017 (Dattatraya S. Bargaje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 30.1.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

### ::-2-:: O.A. NO. 939/17

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 30.1.2018.
- 8. Steno copy allowed to the both the sides.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 941/2017

(Dr. Pushpa W. Salunke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 15.1.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

### ::-2-:: O.A. NO. 941/17

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 15.1.2018.
- 8. Steno copy allowed to the both the sides.

#### VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 933/2017 (Neha K. Parve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

2. Yesterday also none was present for the applicant. In the circumstances, S.O. to 10.1.2018 for appearance of the learned Advocate for the applicant.

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

### LATER ON :-

Shri Sanket S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant appeared and seeks time till tomorrow. At his request S.O. to 20.12.2017.

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 540/2016 (Raghunandan D. Sapkale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

.....

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri M.B. Sandanshiv, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, as a last chance S.O. to 20.12.2017 to satisfy the Tribunal on the query raised vide order dtd. 13.6.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 45/2017 (Sunita R. Thite V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. has filed on record surrejoinder of the respondents. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. In the circumstances, S.O. to 22.1.2018 for hearing on admission.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 113 & 114/2017

(Marathwada Sarva Shramik Sangathana, A'bad through its General Secretary V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

**DATE** : 19.12.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters and S/shri S.K. Shirse & I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officers for respondents in both the matters.

- 2. Shri Thorat, learned P.O. files on record affidavit in reply of respondents in O.A. no. 114/2017. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. Shri Shirse, learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply of respondents in O.A. no. 113/2017. In the circumstances, S.O. to 23.1.2018 for filing affidavit in reply in O.A. no. 113/2017 and for admission hearing of both the matters.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 757/2016 (Digambar R. Sirame V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri R.D. Sanap, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 4 & 5.

2. At the request of Shri Sanap, learned Advocate S.O. to 22.1.2018 for taking instructions from res. nos. 4 & 5.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 278/2017

(Maharashtra State Vaidyakiya Mahavidyalaya & Rugnalaya Karmachari Sanghatana, A'bad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Jahed Ali, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.A. / A.S. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. With consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.1.2018 for hearing on admission.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 329/2017 (Karwar D. Balbhim V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

None appears for the applicant. Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

2. The learned P.O. submits that, he would file affidavit in reply of res. no. 1 during the course of the day. He also undertakes to serve copy of said reply upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. In the circumstances S.O. to 17.1.2018 for hearing on admission.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 361/2017 (Ratnamal C. Gangul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. files on record short affidavit in reply of res. nos. 2 & 3 in view of order dated 7.11.2017. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. In the circumstances, S.O. to 4.1.2018 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 493/2017 (Dr. Sanjay K. Khachane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

.....

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 & 2. Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3 (absent).

2. The learned P.O. serves copy of reply of res. no. 2 upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 29.1.2018 for admission hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 857/2016 (Bhikan P. Sonar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Suchita Upadhyay – Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. files on record short affidavit on behalf of res. no. 2. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicants. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.1.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 79/2017 (Md. Kamran Md. Abrar Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Suchita Upadhyay – Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.1.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 716/2017 (Dr. Mohd. Sajid Irfan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

None appears for the applicant. Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

- 2. The learned P.O. submits that, instructions are awaited from the concerned respondents on the line of order dated 5.12.2017. It is to be noted that the present applicant is without any posting and, therefore, the concerned respondent was directed to take immediate steps in the matter.
- 3. In view of the fact that no instructions are received from the concerned respondent to the learned P.O., the present matter is adjourned as a last chance with a warning that, in case no action is taken by the respondent no. 1 by the next date, the Tribunal would be constrained to impose heavy costs and / or call a responsible Officer before the Tribunal. In the circumstances. as а last chance S.O. 11.1.2018 for compliance from the side of res. no. 1.

### ::-2-:: O.A. NO. 716/17

4. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O. for the respondents.

### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

O.A. 670, 671, 672, 675, 679, 680, 681, 682, 659, 674, 695, 683 700, 736 AND 779 ALL OF 2017

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for S/shri S.S. Thombre, A.R. Tapse and M.L. Muthal, learned Advocates for the applicants in respective matters and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these matters.

2. Shri Khadap, learned Advocate seeks accommodation for 2 days. It is to be noted that, ex parte interim relief is granted in favour of the applicants since long. In the circumstances, as a last chance adjournment is granted till 21.12.2017 with a fore warning that in case the applicants fail to argue the matters on the next date, the ex parte interim relief granted earlier may be vacated. circumstances, at the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, as a last chance S.O. to 21.12.2017 at The interim relief granted earlier to 3.00 p.m. continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 739 OF 2017

[Shri Nandkumar S. Ghule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.S. Karad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, **by consent**.

- 3. By the present application, the applicant is seeking quashment of the order of appointment of respondent No. 6 from NT-D Physically Disable Persons Category. Admittedly, reading of the application would show that the present applicant has no locus to challenge the said appointment from the said category and the application seems to be in the nature of Public Interest Litigation.
- 4. In the circumstances, for want of jurisdiction the present application is rejected without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

#### M.A.NO. 351/2017 IN O.A.NO. 816/2016

[Dr. Deshmukh Mohammad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Arun V. Rakh, learned Advocate for the applicant (absent). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that in fact the grievance of the present applicant is redressed as is cleared from the affidavit in reply of the respondent No. 4.
- 3. In view of the absence of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17<sup>th</sup> January, 2018 either for hearing on the above issue or for passing necessary order in default.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### M.A.NO. 475/2017 IN O.A.NO. 224/2017

[Shri Devidas K. Taru Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ganesh Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri A.J. Deshpande, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.G. Rudrawar, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3.

- 3. Learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2, as well as, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 seeks time to file affidavit in reply to the application for amendment. At their request, S.O. to 24<sup>th</sup> January, 2018.
- 4. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks continuation of the interim relief granted by the Division Bench vide order dated 2.8.2017. As the one of the Members of the Division Bench, Hon'ble Member (Judicial), Shri B.P. Patil, has dealt with the matter, the interim relief is continued with a direction that the application be placed before the Hon'ble Member (Judicial), Shri B.P. Patil, for further hearing.

#### M.A.ST.1201/17 IN C.P.ST.1202/17 IN O.A. 140/15

[Shri Raosaheb S. Kshirsagar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

**DATE** : 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 3. Learned Presenting Officer has filed separate affidavits in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 & 5 and the same are taken on record and copies thereof have been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 4. It is an admitted fact that the order of the Division Bench of which the compliance is sought is complied with. The only grievance of the applicant is that while the Division Bench has directed that the decision regarding back-wages be taken within a period of three months, the decision is taken belatedly. However, considering all the aspects I find that it would not be expedient in the interest of justice to grant permission to deal the respondents for contempt.

:: - 2 - ::

M.A.ST.1201/17 IN C.P.ST.1202/17 IN O.A. 140/15

5. In the circumstances, the present M.A.St. No. 1201/2017 is disposed of without any order as to costs. Consequently, the C.P.St. No. 1202/2017 also stands disposed of.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 726 OF 2016

[Shri Dattatray B. Kharat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed written pursis signed by the applicant and submitted that the applicant does not want to proceed with the present original application and prayed for withdrawal of the same. The pursis is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the identification purposes.
- 3. Permission granted. Withdrawal is allowed. Accordingly, the present original application is disposed of as withdrawn without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 218 OF 2015

[Shri Kalpeshkumar M. Sharma Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Gajanan Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant (absent). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

- 2. The record would show that since last so many months the adjournment is sought on one count or other.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> January, 2018 either for hearing or for passing necessary orders.

VICE CHAIRMAN

### REV. 04/2017 IN O.A.NO. 223/2014

[Shri Kakasaheb B. Zalte & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The applicant is seeking review of the decision rendered by the Division Bench. In the circumstances, as no Division Bench is available, the present case be removed from the board with a direction that the same be placed before the Division Bench, as and when it is available.

VICE CHAIRMAN

### M.A.NO. 336/2016 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1567/2016

[Shri Bhanudasbua P. Gosavi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 19.12.2017.

ORAL <u>ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. This is an application filed by the applicant for condonation of delay of about 4 years and 9 months caused in filing the accompanying Original Application.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant, on instructions, has submitted that since the applicant has received all the benefits, the applicant does not want to proceed with the present M.A. and prayed for withdrawal of the same.
- 3. Permission granted. Withdrawal is allowed. Accordingly, the present M.A. No. 336/2016, as well as, O.A. St. No. 1567/2016 both stand disposed of as withdrawn without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

### M.A.NO. 148/2016 IN O.A.NO. 167/2016

[Shri Kamel K. Inamdar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4, were present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 29<sup>th</sup> January, 2018.

VICE CHAIRMAN

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 709 OF 2015

[Shri B. C. Hangargekar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4. None appears for respondent Nos. 5 to 7.

- 2. The record would show that the separate affidavits in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 4 have already been filed on record.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3. It is to be noted that the present case is pending for a period of more than one year and affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 has not yet been filed.
- 4. It is made clear that if respondent Nos. 2 & 3 fail to file affidavit in reply on or before the next date, they would be liable to pay heavy costs and/or for remaining present personally before the Tribunal.
- 5. S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> January, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3.
- 6. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 710 OF 2015

[Shri D.V. Kulkarni & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The record would show that the separate affidavits in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 5 have already been filed on record.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 & 4. It is to be noted that the present case is pending for a period of more than one year and affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 & 4 has not yet been filed.
- 4. It is made clear that if respondent Nos. 3 & 4 fail to file affidavit in reply on or before the next date, they would be liable to pay heavy costs and/or for remaining present personally before the Tribunal.
- 5. S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> January, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 & 4.
- 6. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 711 OF 2015

[Shri U.B. Rajmane & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The record would show that the affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 has already been filed on record.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer has filed separate affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3 and common affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 5 and the same are taken on record and the copies thereof have been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 4. On instructions, Learned Presenting Officer submits that there is no need to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4.
- 5. In view thereof, S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> January, 2018 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 364 OF 2016

[Shri R.L. Lungare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 6.

- 2. The record would show that the separate affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 and common affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 to 6 have already been filed on record.
- 3. In view thereof S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> January, 2018 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 768 OF 2016

[Shri Seetaram D. Kambale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicants, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1, 3 & 4 and Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 5.

- 2. The record would show that the affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 5 has already been filed on record.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 3 &
- 4. It is to be noted that the present case is pending for a period of more than one year and affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 3 & 4 has not yet been filed.
- 4. It is made clear that if respondent Nos. 1, 3 & 4 fail to file affidavit in reply on or before the next date, they would be liable to pay heavy costs and/or for remaining present personally before the Tribunal.
- 5. S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> January, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 3 & 4.
- 6. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 895 OF 2016

[Shri Manik U. Galphade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 4. In view thereof, S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> January, 2018 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 904 OF 2016

[Shri Digambar N. Mule & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1 and Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4. None appears for respondent No. 5.

- 2. The record would show that the separate affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 and common affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 to 4 have already been filed on record.
- 3. In view thereof, S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> January, 2018 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 908 OF 2016

[Shri Tulisharam B. Jagtap & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. It is to be noted that the present case is pending for a period of more than one year and affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 has not yet been filed.
- 4. It is made clear that if respondent No. 1 fails to file affidavit in reply on or before the next date, he would be liable to pay heavy costs and/or for remaining present personally before the Tribunal.
- 5. S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> January, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.
- 6. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 155 OF 2017

[Shri Govind M. Kulkarni & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 19.12.2017.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

- 2. The record would show that the separate affidavits in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 & 4 and common affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 to 3 have already been filed on record.
- 3. In view thereof, S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> January, 2018 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**