

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.117/2016**

(Shri Radhabai Zade V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 17-04-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Shri P.A.Bharat learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**.

Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no.1 is present.

Shri Vivek Bhavthankar learned Special Counsel for respondent nos.2 to 4 is **absent**.

2. None is present for the applicant. Matter be kept for dismissal on the next date.

3. S.O.23-06-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 17-04-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.577/2016**

(Dr. Baban Jadhav V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 17-04-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos.1 to 3. Shri H.P.Jadhav learned Advocate for respondent no.4 is **absent.**

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed affidavit in rejoinder. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of learned P.O., S.O.23-06-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 17-04-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION STAMP NO.520/2017**  
(Shri Idris Khan Pathan V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 17-04-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant sought time to take instruction from the applicant. Time granted.

3. S.O.24-04-2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 17-04-2017

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.712/2016**

**DISTRICT: BEED**

-----  
Ashok s/o. Vasantao Dahiwal,  
Age : Major, Occ : Nil,  
R/o. Ambejogai, Tq. Ambejogai,  
Dist. Beed.

...Applicant

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra  
(Copy to be served on C.P.O.,  
M.A.T., Aurangabad).

2. The Dean,  
Swami Ramanand Teerth Rural  
Medical College and Hospital,  
Ambejogai, Dist. Beed.

...Respondents

-----  
APPEARANCE: Shri S.M.Mandlik/Shri Manoj Shelke  
learned Advocate for the applicant is  
**absent.**

Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting  
Officer for respondents is present.

-----  
CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

-----  
DATE : 17-04-2017  
-----

**ORAL ORDER**

Shri S.M.Mundlik learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**.

Heard Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The applicant has filed this O.A. and prayed to direct the respondent no.2 to decide the application made by the applicant on 30-07-2014 for appointing him on compassionate ground in place of his deceased mother, namely, Sharada Radhakishan Tak, who died on 17-08-2012. It is his contention that deceased Sharada was serving as daily rated employee and working with the respondent no.2. Thereafter, he filed application dated 30-07-2014 with the respondent no.2 and prayed to appoint him on compassionate ground but the respondent no.2 had not taken any decision till today.

3. Respondents have filed reply affidavit and submitted that the applicant is not eligible to be

appointed on compassionate ground as deceased Sharada Tak was not a permanent employee. Applicant was working on temporary leave vacancy as Class-IV employee by rotation for 29 days only as per the directions of the Tribunal dated 14-12-1999 passed in O.A.No.609/1999. It is contended that in view of the different G.Rs. issued by the Government, the applicant is not eligible to be appointed on compassionate ground.

4. On perusing reply of the respondents, it reveals that nowhere it has been mentioned that they have decided the application dated 30-07-2014 filed by the applicant. Said application is still pending with the respondent no.2, therefore, it would be just to direct the respondent no.2 to take appropriate decision on the representation dated 30-07-2014 by giving him proper opportunity within stipulated time period.

4. In view of the above facts and circumstances, it will be just to dispose of the O.A. with such directions.

=4=

O.A.No.712/2016

Accordingly, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the respondent no.2 to decide the application of the applicant dated 30-07-2014 within 2 months from the date of this order, as per rules on its own merit, by giving proper opportunity to the applicant. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 17-04-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 555 OF 2015**

**(Shri Madhav Chintaman Padvi Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman.  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 17.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri F.R. Tandale – learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time on the ground that the applicant would like to make representation to the Government, if advised, to permit him to join duty upon revocation of suspension.
3. Hence, adjourned to 8<sup>th</sup> June, 2017.
4. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

**CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 17.04.2017- HDD(DB)



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 326 OF 2012**

**(Shri Madhav Chintaman Padvi Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman.  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 17.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri F.R. Tandale – learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2. Shri Umesh B. Shriram – learned Advocate for respondent No. 5 (**absent**). None appears for respondent Nos. 3 & 4.
2. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that since the applicant is intending to move the Government in O.A. No. 555/2015, he intends to seek adjournment of hearing.
3. Hence, adjourned to 8<sup>th</sup> June, 2017.
4. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

**CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 17.04.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 355 OF 2016**

**(Shri Shaikh Jamil Fakir Saheb Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman.  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 17.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Arun J. Deshpande, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.D. Gunale – learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat – learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri N.S. Kadam – learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 & 4.
2. Learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 & 4 has filed affidavit in reply on their behalf and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time to study and address.
4. Hence, adjourned to 9<sup>th</sup> June, 2017.

**CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 17.04.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 140/17 IN C.P.ST.472/17 IN O.A.63/15**

**(Shri Saylu S/o. Prabhajirao Nawod Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman.  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 17.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi – learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21<sup>st</sup> April, 2017.

**CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 17.04.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 331 OF 2016**

**(Shri Deepak Vasantryo Galande & Others Vs. The State  
of Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman.  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 17.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Shri M.C. Ghode – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It transpires from the proceedings that on 08.03.2017, the learned Advocate for the applicant had sought time to take instructions from his clients i.e. the applicants that the present O.A. can be withdrawn or not and hence, the present O.A. was fixed on board on 30.03.2017. However, on that date also nobody appeared on behalf of the applicants.

3. Therefore, this Original Application was adjourned to today's date i.e. on 17.04.2017 for withdrawal, if applicants desired to do so. However, today also none appeared on behalf of the applicants.

4. Hence, list the present O.A. for orders as and when Division Bench would assemble.

**CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 17.04.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 26/2017 IN O.A.NO. 472/2015**

**(The State of Maharashtra and Others Vs. Syed  
Fahimoddin Moiuddin & Anr.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman.  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 17.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the miscellaneous applicants/original respondent Nos. 1, 2, 3 & 5 and Shri Syed Fahimoddin Moiuddin – party in person (original applicant).
2. Learned Presenting Officer states that this M.A. has been outlived its purpose.
3. Original Applicant is present in person. He states that the applicant's some claims are undecided and for those applicant shall make suitable representation.
4. Leaving liberty to the applicant for actions which he proposes to initiate, this M.A. is disposed of. No order as to costs.

**CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 17.04.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 19/2016 IN M.A.289/12 IN O.A.ST.628/12**

**(Shri Raju S/o. Motilal Girbone & Ors. Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman.  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 17.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Shri A.G. Mhaske – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
2. Since nobody appears for the applicants, S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> June, 2017.

**CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 17.04.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 45 OF 2016**

**W I T H**

**MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION NO. 16 OF 2016**

**(Shri Anil Madan Tambe Vs. The State of Maharashtra and  
Others.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman.  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 17.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for leave to amend for substituting entire O.A. along with annexures, index and synopsis in order to bring the pleadings and prayers in its due order and to place on record entire annexures.
3. Leave for substitution as prayed for by the learned Advocate for the applicant is granted.
4. S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> June, 2017.

**CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 17.04.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 98/17 IN C.P.ST.317/17 IN T.A.01/14**

**(Shri Raghunath S/o. Yashwantrao Khotkar Vs. The State  
of Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman.  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 17.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Shri R.L Telgote, Administrative Officer, in the office of Chief Conservator of Forests, Aurangabad, is present today before this Tribunal.
3. Learned Presenting Officer states as follows: -
  - (a) Order for granting to the applicant revised pay and arrears thereof is issued and payment of arrears is made.
  - (b) Insofar as revision of pension is concerned, applicant's case is sent to Pay Verification Unit. Report of verification by Pay Verification Unit shall be submitted tomorrow.
4. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> April, 2017.

**CHAIRMAN**



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.53/2017.**

**[Dr. Govind Ramrao Pawar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri H. K. Munde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I. S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant filed rejoinder. The same is taken on record. Its copy is served on the other side.
3. The respondents have produced the copy of G.R. dated 27.9.2017 and the copy of judgment delivered by this Tribunal in OA No.111/2017 dated 6.4.2017. The same are taken on record and marked as document "X" & X-1" for the purpose of identification. The G.R. dated 27.9.2017 issued by the Govt. of Maharashtra bearing No.ADR 2015/PK-85/TASHI-6 is for applying the two/three tier pay scales to the teaching staff in the Government Art Institutions falling under the Directorate of Art. Respondents also produced the copy of the order passed by this Tribunal in OA No.111 of 2017 in a similar case. The respondents have

submitted that, the case of the applicant is covered under the said Govt. Resolution.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant submitted the fact that the case of the applicant is squarely covered under the G.R. dated 27.2.2017. He has submitted that, in the said G.R. the arrears of the benefits are not given to the beneficiaries and therefore, he has no objection to dispose of the O.A. in view of the said G.R. by keeping the right of the applicant to challenge the G.R. to the extent of arrears of wages from back date. Learned Advocate for the applicant also submitted that, necessary directions may be issued to the Respondents to extend the benefit of applying the two/three tier pay scales to the applicant in view of aforesaid G.R. dated 27.2.2017.

5. In view of the above said submissions given by the parties and in view of the G.R. dated 27.2.2017 issued by the Government and the order passed in OA No.111 of 2017 on 6.4.2017 by this Tribunal the case of the applicant is squarely covered under the said G.R. dated 27.2.2017. Therefore, it would be just to dispose of the O.A. with the directions to the respondents to consider the case of the applicant accordingly

and to extend the benefit of applying the two/three tier pay scales to the applicant in view of the G.R. dated 27.2.2017, if he complies the conditions mentioned therein within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

6. The O.A. is disposed of accordingly with the above said directions, with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 24/2017.**

**[Shri R. N. Shrimangale Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A. D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N. U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The matter is heard for considerable time and by consent of both parties it is adjourned till tomorrow i.e. on 18.4.2017.
3. S.O to 18.4.2017. Part Heard.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.20/2017.**

**[Shri Kishor J. Padvi Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A. S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that, he has not received instructions from the concerned authorities regarding grant of provisional pension to the applicant and therefore, he sought time.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that, since many dates the respondents is taking time to take instructions as regards grant of provisional pension to the applicant. He has submitted that, in the affidavit in reply of Respondent no.2 in para no.25 (page 82) the respondent no.2 has submitted that he has directed to respondent no.3 S.P. Jalgaon to take immediate action of paying provisional pension w.e.f. 1.10.2016 to the applicant till the regular pension is paid to the applicant. He has submitted that, in spite of the said direction S. P. Jalgaon has not taken decision

regarding provisional pension payable to the applicant and therefore, he sought direction from this Tribunal for the same.

4. It seems that, from the reply of Respondent no.2 that, the respondent no.2 has already directed to Respondent no.3 S.P. Jalgaon to pay provisional pension to the applicant till sanction of regular pension to him, but S.P. Jalgaon has not taken decision till today.

5. Learned C.P.O. has sought time to take instructions from the Respondent no.3 i.e. S. P. Jalgaon in that regard. Short time granted. Learned C.P.O. is directed to make positive statement in that regard on next date.

5. S.O to 4.5.2017.

6. Learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy of this order.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.812/2016.**

**[Dr. Vijay M. Katte Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A. D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M. P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant has produced the document. The same is taken on record and marked as "X" for the purpose of identification.

3. The applicant has submitted his representation dated 29.9.2016 addressed to the Chief Secretary, Health Services Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai has been forwarded to the Deputy Director, Health Services, Latur for kind consideration and same is still pending with Chief Secretary, Health Services, Mantralaya, Mumbai. Learned Advocate for the applicant has prayed to adjourn the matter so as to give chance to the Respondents to decide the representation.

4. Learned P.O. submitted that, the said representation is still pending with the Chief Secretary, Mantralaya Mumbai and he has to take decision on it.

5. S.O to 23.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.140/2017.**

**[Shri D. U. Jadhav Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri D. B. Chavan, learned Advocate holding for Shri R. B. Ade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt P. R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Shri D. B. Chavan, learned Advocate holding for Shri R. B. Ade, learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time. Time granted.

3. S.O to 21.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.223/2017.**

**[Shri V. U. Banchod Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri P. G. Tambade, learned Advocate holding for Shri S. S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M. P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. S.O to 21.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. NO.136/2017 IN OA St. No.451/2017.**

**[Shri C. B. Ghule & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A. S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt P. R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicant seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicant have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid, and accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, and present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**OA St. No.451/2017.**

**[Shri C. B. Ghule & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A. S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt P. R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the Respondents, returnable on 21.6.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along

with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date.  
Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O.to21.06.2017.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. NO.148/2017 IN OA St. No.490/2017.**

**[Shri K. P. Katole & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A. S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicant seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicant have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid, and accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, and present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**OA St. No.490/2017.**

**[Shri K. P. Katole & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A. S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the Respondents, returnable on 21.6.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along

with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date.  
Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O.to 21.06.2017.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 666/2015.**

**[Shri M. B. Salunke Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V. G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. R. S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, the SLP Nos.6186-6191 of 2015 is still pending before Hon'ble the Supreme Court and seeks time. Time granted.

3. S.O to 22.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 667/2015.**

**[Shri S. K. Natthu Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V. G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S. K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, the SLP Nos.6186-6191 of 2015 is still pending before Hon'ble the Supreme Court and seeks time. Time granted.

3. S.O to 22.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 668/2015.**

**[Shri D. J. Marathe Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**ORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V. G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S. K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, the SLP Nos.6186-6191 of 2015 is still pending before Hon'ble the Supreme Court and seeks time. Time granted.

3. S.O to 22.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 328/2016.**

**[Shri K. N. Jadhav Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri G. J. Kore, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt S. K. Ghate Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O to 22.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.518/2016.**

**[Shri B. P. Patil Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P. G. Tambade, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Bora, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt D. S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents no.1 to 3. None appears for the Respondent no.4.

2. Shri P. G. Tambade, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Bora, learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time. Time granted.

3. S.O to 21.4.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 887/2016.**

**[Shri Ashok R. Pawar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Miss Amruta Paranjape, learned Advocate holding for Shri P. S. Paranjape, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt R. S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Miss Amruta Paranjape, learned Advocate holding for Shri P. S. Paranjape, learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time. Time granted.

3. S.O to 26.4.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.922/2016.**

**[Shri V. P. Sable Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri L. H. Kawwale, learned Advocate holding for Shri Manoj Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt S. K. Ghate Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents no.1 to 3 and Shri Vivek Pingle, learned Advocate for the Respondent no.4.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O to 5.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.436/16 in OA St.No.187/16.**

**[Smt. Syeda A. Nadima\_ Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

None appears for the Applicant. Smt P. R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O to 23.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.437/16 in OA St.No.1869/16.**

**[Shri T. P. Wagh\_ Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

None appears for the Applicant. Shri N. U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O to 23.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.438/16 in OA St.No.1867/16.**

**[Shri G. S. Khandare Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

None appears for the Applicant. Shri S. K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply. Time granted.
3. S.O to 23.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.440/16 in OA St.No.1873/16.**

**[Shri B. D. Gadekar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

None appears for the Applicant. Smt P. R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O to 23.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**MA NO.38/2017 IN OA ST.NO.3051/2016.**

**[Shri Faroqui Sahed Latif Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Shaikh Mohd. Taqui, learned Advocate holding for Shri Mohsin Khan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt D. S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Shri Shaikh Mohd. Taqui, learned Advocate holding for Shri Mohsin Khan, learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time. Time granted.

3. S.O to 23.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**MA NO.27/2016 IN OA ST.NO.80/2016.**

**[Shri M. S. Bangale Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S. K. Mathpathi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. Time granted.

3. S.O to 20/6/2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.500/2016.**

**[Sri Saleem Khan Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S. K. Mathpathi, learned Advocate holding for Shri K. B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Shri S. K. Mathpathi, learned Advocate holding for Shri K. B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time.

Time granted.

3. S.O to 20.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.515/15 IN OA NO.243/15.**

**[Shri P. N. Solunke Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Vivek Pingale, learned Advocate holding for Shri A. M. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt S. K. Ghate Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Shri Vivek Pingale, learned Advocate holding for Shri A. M. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time. Time granted.

3. S.O to 20.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 775/2016.**

**[Shri D. D. Jagtap Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V. G. Pingale, learned Advocate holding for Shri V. B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I. S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Shri V. G. Pingale, learned Advocate holding for Shri V. B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time for filing rejoinder. Time granted.

3. S.O to 21.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.862/2016.**

**[Shri S. G. Gaikwad Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri M. R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondents no.1 to 4. The same is taken on record. Its copy is served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O to 24.4.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 17.4.2017-ATP-BPP**





















