

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**T.A. 3/2017 (W.P. 11093/2017)  
(Ashraf Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Mundhe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Upon reading the record and hearing the submissions of the learned Advocate for the applicant, it appears that an advertisement was issued by the respondent for filling in certain posts from the various categories including the S.B.C.A. category (Exh. B page 22), in the year 2014.

3. The reply of the concerned respondents to the representation of the applicant (Exh. H page 109) would show that in the meantime the ordinance that was promulgated in this regard has lapsed and eventually the G.R. on the basis of which the post was advertised was cancelled.

The learned Advocate for the applicant, therefore, seeks time to advance his submissions on the above issues. At his request S.O. to 15.11.2017 for making submissions by the learned Advocate for the applicant on the above lines.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NOS. 489 & 492 BOTH OF 2017  
(Mahadu & Badri Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Ashlesha Raut, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the matters.

2. The learned P.O. submits that the results are yet to be declared and both the applicants were allowed to participate in the selection process. In the circumstances, only after declaration of the result, it can be further decided as to whether the present O.As. needs to be heard on merit. In the circumstances S.O. to 20.12.2017, awaiting the result.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 743/2017  
(Subhash Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in the present O.A., returnable on 16.11.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is

put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

**7. Instead of filing detailed affidavit in reply, the res. no. 3 is hereby directed to consider as to under what provisions an employee can be dismissed with retrospective effect and in case if he comes to the conclusion that there is no such provision then corrective steps may be taken in respect of retrospective effect for dismissal of the**

**applicant and report thereof be filed before the Tribunal on the next date. In case the res. no. 3 comes to the conclusion that due to some provision no corrective steps can be taken in the matter, then a short affidavit explaining those provisions be filed on the next date.**

**8. In the circumstances, the issue of grant of interim relief to the recovery shall be considered on the next date.**

9. S.O. to 16.11.2017.

10. Steno copy & hamdast allowed to both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 540/2016  
(Raghunandan Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.Y. Shinde, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.B. Sandanshiv, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. Read the order dtd. 13.6.2017. It is to be noted that thereafter on 4 occasions time was sought by the learned Advocate for the applicant. In the circumstances, in the interest of justice as a last chance S.O. to 13.11.2017 either for hearing on the query of the Tribunal dtd. 13.6.2017 or for passing necessary order.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 74/2017  
(Vijaysing Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.J. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submits that the decision of the Enquiry Officer regarding continuation of Defence Assistant of the applicant is awaited. She seeks 2 weeks time for having consultation with the Enquiry Officer on the line of order dtd. 20.9.2017. It can be prima-facie seen that the Circular from the superior of the Enquiry Officer is subsequent to the appointment of the Defence Assistant in the present case. In the circumstances, the learned P.O. wants time to see that corrective steps can be taken by the Enquiry Officer regarding withdrawal of objection for continuation of the present Defence Assistant. At her request, S.O. to 15.11.2017. The interim relief to continue till then.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 301/2017**

**(Dr. Seema Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Smt. J.S. Aute, learned Advocate holding for Shri B.S. Mundhe, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions on the line of order dtd. 25.9.2017. It is to be noted that the issue of interim relief was kept in abeyance with a hope that corrective steps would be taken by the respondents in the meantime.

**3. In the circumstances, considering the fact on record, the interim relief in terms of prayer clause 19 (a) is hereby granted, which reads thus :-**

**“19 (a) Pending hearing and final disposal of this Original Application, the order dated 20.5.2017 issued by the respondent no. 1 may kindly be stayed and the applicant may be continued on**

**the post of Medical Officer (Group A),  
Primary Health Centre, Asegaon, Tq.  
Jintur, Dist. Parbhani.”**

3. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to  
15.11.2017 for taking corrective step/s or for  
filing affidavit in reply.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 339/2017**

**(Ravasaheb & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2, Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3 and Shri M.R. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 4 to 38.

2. The learned P.O. submits that there are no instructions for making submissions before this Tribunal reference of which is made in the order dtd. 11.10.2017. In the circumstances, this matter be placed for hearing on interim relief on 13.10.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 404/2017  
(Ravindra Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Prafulla Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 21.11.2017 for filing reply on the line of order dtd. 10.7.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 513/2017  
(Dinkar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 20.11.2017 for filing reply of the respondents.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 619/2017  
(Siddheshwar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O., S.O. to 16.11.2017 for filing reply of the respondents.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 623/2016  
(Anil P. Katkar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no. 1 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent no. 2.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that while issuing impugned order of promotion in all 9 posts were vacant region and the said posts were kept vacant in view of the letter dtd. 16.7.2016 issued by the Under Secretary of the Govt. of Maharashtra. He has submitted that the respondents have not followed the provisions of rule 6 (b) of the Maharashtra Government Group 'A' and Group 'B' (Gazetted & Non-Gazetted) Allocation of Revenue Divisions while making appointment by Direct Recruitment to the applicant by applying the circular posting.

3. The learned P.O. submits that he will take instructions from the concerned respondents as to how many posts were available on the date of issuance of impugned order in the Region. He,

therefore, seeks time for filing short affidavit of res. no. 1 in that regard.

4. In the circumstances the res. no. 1 the Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai is directed to file short affidavit mentioning as to how many posts were available on the date of issuance of impugned order in the Region and whether he has followed the provisions of rule 6 (b) of the Maharashtra Government Group 'A' and Group 'B' (Gazetted & Non-Gazetted) Allocation of Revenue Divisions while making appointment by Direct Recruitment and Promotion Rules, 2015 while issuing the impugned order. It is also made clear that, if he comes to conclusion that no such rule is followed while issuing the impugned order, corrective steps, if any, be taken in the meantime.

5. S.O. to 16.11.2017.

6. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

**MEMBER (J)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 371/2017  
(Vasant G. Patil Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. On perusal of the record, it appears that the Superintendent of Police, Dhule constituted Police Establishment Board at District Level consisting of 4 Members. He has included name of Shri Prakash Suryawanshi, Office Superintendent as one of the additional Member of the said Committee. Prima-facie, inclusion of additional Member is against the provisions of sec. 22J1 of the Maharashtra Police Act, 2015 and therefore the very constitution of the said Committee is against the said provision.

3. In the circumstances, the res. no. 2 The Superintendent of Police, Dhule is directed to file short affidavit mentioning whether he is going to take any corrective measures so far as the transfer of the present applicant or to state the reasons under which provisions he has included the name of Shri Prakash Suryawanshi, Office

Superintendent as a Additional Member in the  
Committee.

4. S.O. to 10.11.2017.

5. Steno copy is allowed for the use of learned  
P.O.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 400/2017**

**(Vrushali S. Doifode Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri N.P. Dube, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 and holding for Shri Yogesh V. Dhoble, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 5.

2. The learned P.O. has produced on record the communication dtd. 15.7.2017 sent by the Deputy Director of Health Services, Aurangabad to Commissioner, Health Services, Mumbai, wherein the proposal for considering the request of the applicant for transfer to Fulambri has been recommended.

3. The learned P.O. has submitted that the res. no. 3 has assured that the res. no. 2 Shri (Dr.) Ravikiran Tukaram Chavan, Director, Health Services (Nursing), Mumbai will take decision on the said proposal within 3 weeks from today. Therefore, he seeks time to produce copy of the decision of res. no. 2 on the said

proposal so far as request transfer of the applicant.

4. In the circumstances, the matter be posted on 7.11.2017.

5. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.St.No.1560/2017  
(Shri Keshav Kute V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.R.Tapse learned Advocate holding  
for Shri Appasahe Shelke learned Advocate for the  
applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief  
Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Heard with consent of both the parties.

3. It appears that the applicant is seeking stay to  
the process of departmental enquiry on the ground  
that no adjournment is granted for filing reply before  
the Enquiry Officer. The applicant has sought the  
same on the ground of non-availability of certain  
documents.

4. This issue can very well be sorted out by the  
Enquiry Officer and cannot be a ground for stay to  
the further proceedings of the departmental enquiry.  
It can, however, be observed that principles of natural  
justice are required to be followed by the Enquiry  
Officer.

5. With these observations, O.A. stands disposed  
of without any order as to costs.

6. Parties to act on the steno copy and  
authenticated copy of the order.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO.1561/2017  
(Shri Manoranjan Dhas V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

-----  
**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.R.Tapse learned Advocate holding  
for holding for Shri A.N.Nagargoje learned Advocate  
for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar  
learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on  
7<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at  
this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall  
not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on  
Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing  
duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete  
paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice  
that the case would be taken up for final disposal at  
the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule  
11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal  
(Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as  
limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery,  
speed post, courier and acknowledgement be  
obtained and produced along with affidavit of  
compliance in the Registry within one week.

Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Learned Advocate for the applicant insisted for hearing the present case for grant of interim relief.

8. Heard both sides on the point of interim relief.

9. Considering the fact that the present applicant was appointed to the present post in the year 1998 on the basis of purported nomination by his deceased maternal uncle viz. Kisan Sole, and the dispute as to whether the said nomination is genuine or not has arisen now only one year back, presently, without going into the merits of the case, interim relief in terms of prayer clause (11) 'A' is hereby granted until further orders. The said prayer clause (11) 'A' reads as under: -

***“(11) (A) Pending the hearing and final disposal of this Original Application, the effect, execution and implementation of the impugned order / letter dated 29.8.2017 and 16.9.2017 issued by the respondent no.3 may kindly be stayed.”***

10. S.O. to 7<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

11. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.663/2017  
(Shri Shubham Pakhale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate  
holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for  
the applicant and Smt. Priya Bhraswadkar learned  
Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply  
on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.
3. At her request, S.O. to 21-11-2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 12-10-2017 VC F



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.197/2017 IN O.A.St.No.526/2017  
(Shri Chetan Thakur V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.P.Dhoble holding for  
Shri N.L.Choudhary learned Advocate for the  
applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned  
Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply  
on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.
3. At her request, S.O. to 22-11-2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 12-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.303/2017 IN O.A.St.No.1040/2017  
(Shri Ravi Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.R.Irale Patil learned Advocate for  
the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting  
Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply  
on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. At his request, S.O. to 15-11-2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 12-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.436/2017 IN O.A.St.429/2017  
(Shri Krushna Basar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri F.R.Tandale learned Advocate for  
the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned  
Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits  
that further developments are being brought on  
record by the proposed amendment.

3. In the circumstances, M.A. for amendment is  
allowed and disposed of accordingly with no order as  
to costs. Amendment be carried out on or before  
30-10-2017.

4. S.O. to 30-10-2017.

5. Copy of the amended O.A. be supplied to the  
learned P.O.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 12-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.295/2003  
(Shri Pradeep More & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate  
holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for  
the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting  
Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits  
that Writ Petition No.1467/2017 is pending before the  
Hon'ble High Court, and there are chances of decision  
very shortly.

3. At her request, S.O. to 23-11-2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 12-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.41/2014  
(Shri Sunil Bargaje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard B.U.Gunjal learned Advocate for the  
applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned  
Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on the line  
of earlier order of the Tribunal dated 01-08-2017.

3. At her request, S.O. to 17-11-2017 for filing  
affidavit on the above line.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 12-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.363/2015  
(Shri Prabhu Ambad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vishwas Solanke learned Advocate  
holding for Shri R.D.Khadap learned Advocate for the  
applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned  
Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant requests for  
adjournment.

3. Learned P.O. also seeks time to file reply on  
behalf of the respondents.

4. Learned P.O. submitted that she has heard  
that there is certain decision on the issue involved in  
the present O.A. passed by the Hon'ble High Court.

5. Both sides, are therefore, directed to  
make statement on the above issue also on  
S.O. to 09-11-2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 12-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.369/2015  
(Shri K.D.Patle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.M.Murkute learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. None is present for the applicant. Record would show that on the date of admission of the original application also nobody appeared on behalf of the applicant.

3. In the circumstances, office is directed to issue notice to the learned Advocate Shri Murkute to remain present before the Tribunal on 17-11-2017 in the proceedings of present O.A.

4. S.O. to 17-11-2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 12-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.378/2016 WITH M.A.St.No.1633/2016 IN O.A.St.No.1634/2016  
(Shri Vyankat More & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri T.B.Bhosale learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file sur-rejoinder.

3. At his request, S.O. to 15-11-2017 either for filing sur-rejoinder or for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 12-10-2017 VC F



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.308/2016 IN O.A.St.No.975/2016  
(Shri Waman Bondar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.A.Kulkarni learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 16-11-2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 12-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.422/2016 IN O.A.St.No.1713/2016  
(Shri Baburao Randive V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.A.Bharat learned Advocate holding for Shri G.J.Kore learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of other respondents.

3. It is to be noted that Shri G.J.Kore was required to make submissions on query made by the Tribunal as per order dated 02-08-2017. Thereafter, time and again, adjournments are sought and on last many dates nobody had appeared for applicant.

4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 20-11-2017 either for satisfying the query made by the Tribunal or for passing necessary orders.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 12-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 237 OF 2017  
(Shri Mukund S. Kachare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 238 OF 2017  
(Shri Pramod D. Sangave V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 629 OF 2017  
(Shri Vijay N. Lokhande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 635 OF 2017  
(Shri Sakharam B. Rakh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate  
for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned  
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file  
affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 909 OF 2016  
(Shri Laxman A. Lomte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Juee Parlikar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 20<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 194 OF 2016  
(Shri Ramesh M. Jakatdar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 565 OF 2016  
(Shri Ashok R. Kotwal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 923 OF 2016  
(Shri Ashok R. Kotwal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 5 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1506 OF 2017  
(Smt. Vanita U. Shejul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Amit S. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 30<sup>th</sup> October, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 596 OF 2016  
(Shri Subhash G. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 6<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 879 OF 2016  
(Shri Shaikh Mujib V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 125 OF 2017  
(Shri Syed Amjad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 65 OF 2017  
(Shri Kundan V. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Juee Parlikar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 20<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 741 OF 2017  
(Smt. Anita G. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.B. Narwade Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.



**O.A. NO. 741 OF 2017**

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. The respondents shall file affidavit in reply on or before the next date.
8. S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.
9. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 742 OF 2017  
(Shri Kazi Syed V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.L. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the present Original Application with liberty to file fresh O.A. before the appropriate forum.

3. Leave is granted. Withdrawal allowed. Accordingly, the present Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for by the applicant. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 432/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1532/2017  
(Shri Satilal Zaga Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application filed by the applicant for condonation of delay of about 7 years caused in filing the accompanying Original Application.

3. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A. No. 432/2017, returnable on 28<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative

**M.A.NO. 432/2017 IN  
O.A.ST.NO. 1532/2017**

Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. The respondents shall file affidavit in reply on or before the next date.

9. S.O. to 28<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 744 OF 2017  
(Shri Dashrath A. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Amol P. Ghule Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 8<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

**O.A. NO. 744 OF 2017**

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. The respondents shall file affidavit in reply on or before the next date.
8. S.O. to 8<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.
9. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 665 OF 2017  
(Shri Rambhau L. Kuskar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 22<sup>nd</sup> November, 2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

**O.A. NO. 665 OF 2017**

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. The respondents shall file affidavit in reply on or before the next date.
8. S.O. to 22<sup>nd</sup> November, 2017.
9. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 657 OF 2017  
(Shri Rajesh D. Mane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Lata Zombade, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22<sup>nd</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 849 OF 2016  
(Shri Ratnakar T. Kahat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.G. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 28<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 318 OF 2017  
(Shri Shravan Y. Khairnar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.A. Ambilwade, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.J. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 29<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 373 OF 2017  
(Shri Kashinath G. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 265 OF 2017  
(Dr. Suresh M. Karamunge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate  
for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande,  
learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for time  
for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted as a  
most last chance.

3. S.O. to 29<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 430 OF 2017  
(Shri Chous Galeb Ahmed Amodi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It is reported that the present Original Application pertains to Division Bench and it has been wrongly placed before the Single Bench today.

3. In view thereof, the present case be removed from the board of Single Bench and it be placed before the Division Bench on the next date.

3. S.O. to 16<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 229 OF 2017  
(Shri Sunil S. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sunil P. Salgar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1, 3 & 4 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2, were present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 29<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 134 OF 2017  
(Shri Babbishan B. Surwase V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Rajeev B. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.o. to 28<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 344 OF 2017  
(Farida Usman Aattar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard S.P. Dhoble, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.L. Choudhari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the representation dated 1<sup>st</sup> June, 2017 filed by the applicant is under consideration of the respondents. He has submitted that he will take necessary instructions from the concerned respondent and will make submissions about the status of the said representation on the next date. Therefore, he prays for time.

3. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 7<sup>th</sup> November, 2017. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

5. Learned Presenting Officer to act upon Steno Copy.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 581 OF 2017  
(Smt. Mangal S. Kathar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate  
for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned  
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for time  
for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 23<sup>rd</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 573 OF 2015  
(Shri Ajinath K. Kharat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Ashlesha Ratu, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents has filed documents and the same are taken on record and marked as Exhibit 'X' for identification purpose.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 2<sup>nd</sup> November, 2017, as a last chance.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 258/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO. 910/2017  
(Sau. Seema A. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.M. Parghane, learned Advocate holding for Shri Gajanan Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 22<sup>nd</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 50/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO. 107/2017  
(Shri Niwrutee K. Suradkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 21<sup>st</sup> November, 2017 for passing necessary orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 626 OF 2017  
(Shri Ashok S. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.A. Wakure, learned Advocate  
for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned  
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for time  
for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 505 OF 2017  
(Shri Vijaykumar R. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit to the reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 2<sup>nd</sup> November, 2017. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 725 OF 2017  
(Shri Rahul G. Kotade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed service affidavit of respondent No. 2 and the same is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant does not want to proceed against the respondent No. 3 and he wants to delete the name of respondent No. 3 from the array of the Original Application. Therefore, he seeks leave of this Tribunal to amend the O.A. accordingly.

4. Leave to amend the O.A. is granted. The applicant shall carry out the necessary amendment in the O.A. forthwith.

5. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has submitted that he will file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 during the course of the day.

6. S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 475 OF 2017  
(Shri Chandrakant S. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.P. More, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit to the reply filed by respondent No. 2 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 2<sup>nd</sup> November, 2017, to enable him to produce the entire record of transfer of the applicant.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 280 OF 2017  
(Shri Subhash H. Reddy & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicants and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants has submitted that the applicants are not pressing prayer clause 9 (b) and they are pressing prayer clause 9 (c) only. He has submitted that the representations made by the applicants on 25.05.2016, 23.04.2016 and 05.04.2017 are not yet decided by the respondents, in view of the G.R. dated 16.07.2015. He, therefore, prayed to direct the respondents to take the decision on the representation on merit within a stipulated time.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the appropriate order may be passed.

4. The applicants have claimed that they may be given benefit of posting in their native region in view of the provisions of G.R. dated 16.07.2015. The applicants have made representations dated 25.05.2016, 23.04.2016

:: - 2 - ::  
**O.A. NO. 280 OF 2017**

and 05.04.2017 with the respondents, but the respondents have not decided the same till today.

5. In these circumstances, it is just and proper to direct respondent No. 1 i.e. the Secretary, Water Resources Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, to take decision on the representations made by the applicants within a period of 3 months from the date of this order. Therefore, respondent No. 1 is directed to decide the representations dated 25.05.2016, 23.04.2016 and 05.04.2017 filed by the applicants within a period of 3 months from the date of this order.

6. With the above observations and directions, the present Original Application stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 407/2017 IN O.A.NO. 921/2016  
(State of Maharashtra & Ors. Vs. Shri Sanjay Tukaram Mali)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the miscellaneous applicants/respondents in O.A. and Shri A.D. Sugdare – learned Advocate for the respondent in M.A./ applicant in O.A.

2. This is an application filed by the respondents in O.A. for modification of orders passed by this Tribunal on 18.8.2017 and 8.9.2017 in O.A. No. 921/2016.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the respondents could not be able to file affidavit in reply in time and, therefore, this Tribunal imposed costs of Rs. 15,000/- on the respondents by the orders dated 18.8.2017 and 8.9.2017. He has submitted that the work of fixing the seniority of the Awal Karkun and Circle Officers was in progress in view of the directions given by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 354/2015. He has submitted that unless the seniority of the said employees is fixed, seniority of the applicant cannot be fixed and, therefore, the respondents were not able to file affidavit in reply within time. He has further submitted that in view of these

circumstances, it is just to modify the orders dated 18.8.2017 and 8.9.2017 passed by this Tribunal and reduce the cost amount.

4. He has further submitted that the respondents are filing affidavit in reply today and, therefore, he prayed to take it on record and reduce the amount of cost.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned Presenting Officer, it is just to reduce the amount of the cost and, therefore, I reduce the amount of the costs imposed on the respondents by the orders dated 18.8.2017 and 8.9.2017 to Rs. 7,500/- from Rs. 15,000/-. The respondents undertake to deposit the amount of cost on the next date.

6. The respondents shall deposit the amount of costs of Rs. 7,500/- on the next due date i.e. on 7<sup>th</sup> November, 2017. It is made clear that on depositing the amount of costs, the reply will be taken on record.

7. Accordingly, the present M.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 921 OF 2016  
(Shri Sanjay Tukaram Mali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. On depositing the amount of costs of Rs. 7,500/- by the respondents, the reply will be accepted and taken on record.

3. S.O. to 7<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NOS. 205, 396, 410, 416, 442, 453, 479, 484, 542, 590, 613,  
621, 686, 463, 571, 611, 731, 594, 643 ALL OF 2015 AND O.A.NOS.  
02, 05, 171, 258, 441 AND 519 ALL OF 2016**

**[Shri Sainath L. Todkar & Ors. Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 12.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for himself and holding for Mrs. M.A. Kullkarni, S/Shri S.B. Sant, H.A. Joshi & S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocates for the respective applicants in respective cases and S/Shri M.S. Mahajan, V.R. Bhumkar, S.K. Shirse, N.U. Yadav, M.P. Gude, I.S. Thorat, D.R. Patil and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, Deepali Deshpande, Resha Deshmukh & Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer and Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective cases.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 10<sup>th</sup> January, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 12.10.2017-HDD