

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 134 OF 2017  
(Shri Babbishan B. Surwase Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Shri Rajeev B. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted as a last chance.
3. S.O. to 11<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 122 OF 2017  
(Shri Chandrashekhar R. Chopdar Vs. The State of Maha. and  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 29<sup>th</sup> August, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 19 OF 2017  
(Shri Yogesh B. Patil Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Ms. P.R. Wankhade – learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 28<sup>th</sup> August, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 395 OF 2017  
(Smt. Shobha R. Pathak Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents prays for time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 29<sup>th</sup> August, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 348 OF 2017  
(Dr. Prashant R. Ghodke Patil Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar – learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat – learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri S.R. Dheple – learned Advocate for respondent No. 5.
2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 285 OF 2016  
(Shri Devidas V. Salgarkar Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2. Shri S.D. Dhongde – learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 has filed leave note.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the respondent No. 3, S.O. to 29<sup>th</sup> August, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 470 OF 2017  
(Shri Shailesh U. Gomsale Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents prays for time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 426 OF 2017  
(Shri Sudhakar Eknath Patil Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri C.T. Jadhav – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the affidavit in reply of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 is ready and he will file the same during the course of the day and sought time. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 4<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 425 OF 2017  
(Shri Prasad F. Pawar & Anr. Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri P.D. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Dr. Swapnil Tawshikar – learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer prays for time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> September, 2017. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 409 OF 2017  
(Shri Bhujang R. Rithe Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Ms. P.R. Wankhade – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has submitted that the representation of the applicant is under consideration and, therefore, she sought time. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 4<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 400 OF 2017  
(Smt. Vrushali S. Doifode Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande – learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri Yogesh V. Dhoble appeared before this Tribunal today and has submitted that he is appearing on behalf of respondent No. 4 and he has filed **VAKALATNAMA** on his behalf and the same is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate Shri N.P. Dube also appeared before this Tribunal today and has submitted that he is appearing on behalf of respondent No. 5 and he has filed **VAKALATNAMA** on his behalf and the same is taken on record.

4. It transpires that the notice served on respondent No. 3 is not proper. However, learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the fresh notice be issued to respondent No. 3. Hence, issue fresh notice to respondent No. 3, returnable on 4<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

**O.A. NO. 400 OF 2017**

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent No. 3 intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondent No. 3 put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 4<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.

10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 349 OF 2017  
(Dr. Ashok P. Waghmare Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It transpires that the notices served on respondents are not proper. However, learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the fresh notices be issued to the respondents. Hence, issue fresh notices to the respondents, returnable on 14<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post,

**...2**

**O.A. NO. 349 OF 2017**

courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.
10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 403 OF 2017  
(Shri Bhagwan N. Kaldate Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Shamsundar B. Patil – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 11<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD





**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 328 OF 2017  
(Sou Megha D/o. Sardarsing Shevgan Vs. The State of Maha.  
and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri R.S. Shejule, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.M. Nagarkar – learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.R. Dheple – learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.
2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer prays for time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 311 OF 2017  
(Shri Raju Hemaji Adhe Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh – learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G. Pingle – learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 also filed affidavit in reply on his behalf and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 542 OF 2016  
(Smt. Vaishali Ashok Kathar Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar – learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri D.T. Devane – learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.
2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that he wants to take instructions from the concerned Sub-Divisional Officer as regards procedure followed by him in the selection process and sought time. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 21<sup>st</sup> August, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 308 OF 2017  
(Dr. Vitthal L. Paratwagh Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate holding for Shri Shamsundar B. Patil – learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 11<sup>th</sup> September, 2017. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 305 OF 2017  
(Smt. Rajmudra M. Khillare Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Ms. Ashlesha Raut, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has submitted that he will file affidavit in reply during the course of the day and prays for time. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 21<sup>st</sup> August, 2017. Status quo granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 280 OF 2017**  
(Shri Subhash H. Reddy & Anr. Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G. Pingle – learned Advocate for the applicants and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 237 OF 2017  
(Shri Mukund S. Kachare Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Prafulla Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary – learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 238 OF 2017  
(Shri Pramod D. Sangave Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Prafulla Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary – learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 197 OF 2017  
(Shakuntala N. Revankar Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.A. Wakure – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 944 OF 2016  
(Shri Sunil V. Jagtap Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Shri P.B. Patil – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and the same is taken on record. The copy of the same could not be served on the applicant, as nobody appeared on his behalf today.
3. Since nobody appeared on behalf of the applicant, S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 892 OF 2016  
(Smt. Babita W/o Ulhas Rathod Vs. The State of Maha. and  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 28<sup>th</sup> August, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 824 OF 2016  
(Dr. Asha D/o. Apparao Kadam Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri R.D. Khadap – learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.P. More – learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5.

2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 372 OF 2017  
(Smt. Chandrakala W/o Waman Gaikwad Vs. The State of Maha.  
and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri D.T. Devane – learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, **S.O. to 28<sup>th</sup> August, 2017**, to enable him to file an application for amendment.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 560 OF 2017  
(Dr. Prafull V. Gaikwad Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.
8. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 326/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO. 914/2017  
(Shri Ramchandra L. Kulkarni Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. This Miscellaneous Application has been filed by the applicant for condonation of delay of about 398 days caused in filing accompanying Original Application.
3. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A. No. 326/2017, returnable on 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

**:: - 2 - ::**

**M.A.NO. 326/2017 IN  
O.A.ST.NO. 914/2017**

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.
9. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 467 OF 2017  
(Sharmila Ravidra Nikale Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi – learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has filed personal affidavit of respondent No.1 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> August, 2017

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 468 OF 2017  
(Meena Marutirao Survey Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi – learned Advocate for the applicant, Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande – learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed personal affidavit of respondent No.1 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> August, 2017

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 469 OF 2017  
(Shri Kisan B. Pathade Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi – learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed personal affidavit of respondent No.1 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> August, 2017

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 479 OF 2017  
(Sujata Hiranman Lasure Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar – learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat – learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.D. Joshi – learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed personal affidavit of respondent No.1 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> August, 2017

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 734 OF 2016  
(Shri Sainath B. Turakane Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi – learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Pramod Dhorde – learned Advocate for respondent No. 4. None appears for respondent No. 5.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has not raised the objection regarding the grounds raised in the present Original Application, but his brother has filed an application. He has submitted that the applicant intends to approach Sub-Divisional Officer for raising objection, so far grounds raised in the present Original Application. Therefore, he prayed to dispose of the Original Application with liberty to the applicant to approach the Sub Divisional Officer to raise the same grounds.

3. Since the applicant does not want to proceed with the present Original Application and he intends to file fresh application raising objection regarding appointment of respondent No. 4 as a Police Patil of village Lakhganga, Tq. Vaijapur, Dist. Aurangabad, the present Original Application is disposed of accordingly with a liberty as prayed for by the applicant.

There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NO. 564/2017 WITH CAVEAT 48/2017  
(Dr. Vashwant S. Chavan Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer appeared on behalf of the caveator and waived notice. Hence, notice to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 is waived.
3. Learned Presenting Officer sought time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
4. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> August, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NO. 559/2017 WITH CAVEAT 38/2017  
(Dr. Rajkumar B. Jarhad Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer appeared on behalf of the caveator and waived notice. Hence, notice to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 is waived.
3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer sought time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
4. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> August, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A. 539/17 WITH CAVEAT 27/17 WITH CAVEAT 39/17  
(Dr. Sarita D. Patil Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri Nikhil Pradip Dube appeared before this Tribunal and has submitted that he is appearing on behalf of respondent No. 4 and he has filed **VAKALATNAMA** on his behalf and the same is taken on record.

3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer appeared on behalf of the caveator and waived notice. Hence, notice to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 is waived.

3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer sought time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> August, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 540 OF 2017  
(Dr. Sakahari M. Sonwane Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer *suo moto* appeared on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Hence, it is not necessary to issue notices to respondent Nos. 1 to 3.
3. Issue notice to the respondent No. 4 viz. Dr. Pandurang Babasaheb Borute, returnable on 18<sup>th</sup> August, 2017.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced

**....2**

**O.A. O. 540/2017**

along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. Learned Chief Presenting Officer sought time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

9. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> August, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A. O. 541/2017 WITH CAVEAT 29/2017  
(Dr. Manik P. sangale Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer appeared on behalf of the caveator and waived notice. Hence, notice to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 is waived.

3. Issue notice to the respondent No. 4 viz. Dr. Mohan Yashwant Patil, returnable on 18<sup>th</sup> August, 2017.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced

**....2**

**:: - 2 - ::**

**O.A. O. 541/2017 WITH  
CAVEAT 29/2017**

along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. Learned Chief Presenting Officer sought time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

9. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> August, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A. 542/17 WITH CAVEAT 26/17 WITH CAVEAT 28/17  
WITH CAVEAT 43/17**

**(Dr. Sunil P. Bhamre Vs. The State of Maha. and Ors.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 11.08. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh – learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate holding for Shri Shamsundar B. Patil – learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. Learned Presenting Officer appeared on behalf of the caveator and waived notice. Hence, notice to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 is waived. So also Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate appeared on behalf of respondent No. 4 (caveator). Therefore, it is not necessary to serve notice on behalf of respondent No. 4.

3. Learned Presenting Officer sought time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> August, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-HDD

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD..**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.562/2017.**  
**(Shri S. V. Nagamwad Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)**

**CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE** : 11-08-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Smt A. N. Ansari learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Presently without going into merit considering the fact that, while the charge against the present applicant was that he got selected his two real brothers, the Enquiry Officer though negatived this specific charge, had observed that those are from his village and appeared to be his bretherens, interim relief to the present applicant is hereby granted until further orders in terms of prayer clause "10-A".

3. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 26.09.2017.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the

Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S. O. to 26.09.2017.

9. Steno copy & hamdust allowed to both the parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-ATP**



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD..**

**O. A. Nos.489 and 492 of 2017.**

**CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 11-08-2017**

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Miss Ashlesha Raut learned Advocate holding for Shri S. B. Talekar learned Advocate for the applicant in OA No.489/2017 & Shri S. K. Mathpati learned Advocate for the applicant in OA No.492/2017 and Shri M. S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer and Smt. P. R. Bharaswadkar learned P.O. for the respective respondents in respective matters.

2. The learned C.P.O. submits that, the Respondent no.4 has allowed the applicant as well as the similarly situated candidate to participate in the examination.

3. The learned advocate for the applicant submits that it is necessary to withdraw the circular.

4. The learned C.P.O. further submits that, time to file report regarding the same may be granted. At his request, S. O. to 22.08.2017 for filing report.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-ATP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD..**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.108/2016.**  
**(Shri V. N. Pawar Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)**

**CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE** : 11-08-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri A. B. Rajkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S. K. Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Upon hearing both sides it appears that, a vague affidavit in reply is filed wherein it is nowhere clarified as to whether actually the scheme of outsourcing has started and the said work has already given on outsource basis.

3. The concerned respondent is therefore, directed to file short affidavit in this regard. The concerned respondent shall also clarify as to whether Smt. Nandabai Pandurang Shegaonkar and Shri Ambadas Shahadeo Yedwar, who are in seniority list at Sr. Nos.1 & 2 (Annexure A-1, page 11) are still working in the Establishment.

4. The short affidavit be filed on or before 11.09.2017.
5. S. O. to 11.09.2017.
6. The learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy of this order.

**ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-ATP**

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD..**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.305/2014.**  
**(Shri R. V. Aundhekar Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)**

**CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE** : 11-08-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri P. A. Bharad learned Advocate holding for Shri U. L. Momale learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I. S. Thorat learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submits that, in W.P.No.7883/12 dated 2.12.2012 the Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court had occasion to decide the similar issue, which would show the settled principle of law as quoted in para no.9 that, once the selection process is over and the candidates are selected the issue may not survive.

3. Shri P. A. Bharad learned Advocate holding for Shri U. L. Momale learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time.

4. S. O. to 04.09.2017 for satisfying all the queries from both sides.

5. The learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy of this order.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-ATP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD..**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.57/2016.**

(Shri Ravindra Deoram Thakur  
Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

**CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE** : 11-08-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard with consent of Shri Kalyan V. Patil learned Advocate holding for Shri S. R. Barlinge learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I. S. Thorat learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. files on record the communication received from the Respondent no.1. It is accepted and marked as document "X" for the purpose of identification. It would show that, the issue involved in the present application is referred to the Law & Judiciary Department as well as to the Tribal Welfare Department. It is surprising to note that, this action is taken despite the orders of the Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.2136 of 2011 and 14 other Writ Petitions had decided the issue on 25.8.2011 whereby the similar condition in another notification was quashed and set aside being illogical.

3. Taking into consideration all these facts that as the concerned Respondent has already held that present applicant as suitable for promotion and only hitch is regarding the validation of his caste certificate, which could not be decided by the concerned authority for the pendency of many cases, the present application is disposed of with a direction to the concerned Respondent to promote the present applicant to the post of Senior Assistant Director as per rules and regulations within a period of four weeks from the date of this order subject to the decision to be taken by the Respondent upon receipt of the opinion of the Law & Judiciary Department. The application is accordingly dispose of without any order as to costs.

4. The learned P.O. is directed to act on the Steno copy of this order.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD..**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.171/2017.**  
**(Smt Dilpali M. Tengare Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)**

**CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE** : 11-08-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri P. R. Kadam learned Advocate holding  
for Shri M. P. Tripathi learned Advocate for the applicant  
and Shri N. U. Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to make search  
regarding Hon'ble The Supreme Court's judgment of  
which he made reference as has been referred in order  
dated 27.7.2017. At his request, S. O. to 6.9.2017 for  
making submission on the above line.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-ATP**



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD..**

**MA NO.110/17 IN OA ST.233/2017.**  
**(Dr. Archana K. Teltumbade Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)**

**CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE** : 11-08-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri Sayyed Zahed Ali learned Advocate holding for Shri Gajanan Kadam learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M. P. Gude learned Presenting Officer for the respondents no.1 to 4 and Miss Ashlesha Raut learned Advocate holding for Shri S. B. Talekar learned Advocate for the Respondent no.5.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, the application is wrongly kept on board today. Be posted on due date i.e. on 11.9.2017.

3. S. O. to 11.09.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-ATP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD..**

**OA Nos.336, 337 and 338 of 2014.**

**CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE** : 11-08-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

None present for the applicants. Heard Smt. R. S. Deshmukh and Shri S. K. Shirse learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective matters.

2. The learned P.O. submits that, the applicants in the present applications are aggrieved by reduction in the number of posts that were notified by corrigendum which grievance can not be redressed in law.

3. In view of the absence of the learned Advocate for the applicant the office is directed to issue notice to the learned Advocate for the applicants to seek clarification on 14.09.2017.

4. S. O. to 14.09.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-ATP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD..**

**O. A. Nos. 368 and 369 of 2017.**

**CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE** : 11-08-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Shri S. A. Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicants (Absent). Heard Shri D. R. Patil and Smt. D. S. Deshpande learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective matters.

2. The learned P.O. seek time for filing affidavit in replies. At their request, S. O. to 12.09.2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-ATP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD..**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.873/2016.**  
**(Shri Mayur P. Ladde & Ors. Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)**

**CORAM: Hon Shri Justice M. T. Joshi, Vice Chairman.**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE** : 11-08-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri A. S. Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. P. Gude learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submits that, during the course of the day affidavit in reply would be filed. Copy be served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 4.9.2017 for hearing on admission.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

**ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2017-ATP**

