

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 766 OF 2016**

**(Shri Rambhau Thakaji Jadhav Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> June, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017- HDD(SB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 268 OF 2016**

**(Shri Kailas Dashrat Patil Vs. The State of Maharashtra  
and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare – learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> June, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017- HDD(SB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 701 OF 2016**

**(Shri Mohan Ramdas Chaudhari Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that during the pendency of the present Original Application, the respondents have issued order to recover the excess amount of salary paid to the applicant by issuing order dated 1.8.2016. He has submitted that he wants to amend the prayer clause in the present Original Application suitably as also pleadings in this regard. He sought leave of this Tribunal to that effect

3. Leave is granted to the applicant to amend the Original Application and prayer clause accordingly. The applicant shall amend the Original Application on or before the next date and serve the copy of amended O.A. on the learned Presenting Officer.

4. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> April, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 470 OF 2016**

**(Shri Tulshidas S/o. Keshav Patil Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Shri Milind K. Deshpande – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Since nobody appeared for the applicant, S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> June, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017- HDD(SB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 649 OF 2015**

**(Shri Shakir S/o. Kadir Shaikh Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Smt. Supriya Bhillegaonkar – learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1. Shri Vivek Bhavthankar – learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3 (**absent**).

2. The present case is heard at quite some length and closed for orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

**LATER ON**

3. Learned Special Counsel Shri Vivek Bhavthankar for respondent Nos. 2 & 3 appeared at 3.15 p.m. and submitted that he wants to argue the present Original Application and for that purpose he seeks short adjournment.

4. He undertakes to inform the other side about the next date.

5. Adjournment is granted.

6. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> April, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 510 OF 2015**

**(Shri Abdul Rashid Sandalji Vs. The State of Maharashtra  
and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri S.B. Ghatol Patil – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirase – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the respondents have not implemented the earlier order passed by this Tribunal on 07.07.2009 in O.A. No. 765 of 2008 and, therefore, he approached this Tribunal. He has further submitted that earlier the applicant had approached this Tribunal by filing M.A. No. 62/2010 In C.P. St. 177/2010 In O.A. No. 765/2008 and it was disposed of on 01.04.2010 with liberty to the applicant to approach again to this Tribunal in case balance amounts are not paid to the applicant within reasonable time. He has submitted that in view of the said order passed on 01.04.2010 in M.A. No. 62/2010 In C.P. St. No. 177/2010 In O.A. No. 765/2008, the applicant has filed the present Original Application and sought direction to the respondents.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that already this Tribunal has decided the O.A. No. 765/2008 and granted relief to the applicant. Not only this, but thereafter the M.A. No. 62/2010 In C.P. St. No. 177/2010 In O.A. No. 765/2008 has been disposed of by this Tribunal, as the respondents had

**:: - 2 - ::**  
**O.A. NO. 510 OF 2015**

paid amount towards DCRG to the applicant. It has been observed by this Tribunal in that M.A. that the respondents have substantially complied with the order and, therefore, the M.A. No. 62/2010 has been disposed of with a liberty to the applicant to approach again this Tribunal in case balance amounts are not paid within reasonable time. He has submitted that the respondents have complied with the order of this Tribunal and accordingly pensionary benefits have been given to the applicant as per the letter/order dated 4.3.2010. He has submitted that no any order withholding 50% salary and 50% pensionary benefits of the applicant has been passed/issued by the respondents and, therefore, the present Original Application is not maintainable.

4. Considering the aforesaid submission advanced by both the parties and on going through the documents placed on record by both the parties, it reveals that the O.A. No. 765/2008 has been allowed on 07.07.2009 and the respondent Nos. 1 to 5 were directed to fix the pension of the applicant on the basis of Revised Pay Rules of 1998 and shall pay arrears, if any, to the applicant within a period of six months from the date of that order. Thereafter, the applicant approached this Tribunal by filing M.A. No. 62/2010 In C.P. St. No. 177/2010 In O.A. No. 765/2008. It was disposed of on 1.4.2010 on the ground that the applicant had already received amount towards D.C.R.G. It has been observed by the Tribunal while disposing of the M.A. No. 62/2010 that earlier order of this Tribunal has been substantially complied with and, therefore, permission to prosecute the Contempt Petition was not granted. But liberty was given to the

**:: - 3 - ::**  
**O.A. NO. 510 OF 2015**

applicant to approach this tribunal again, in case balance amounts are not paid to him within reasonable time. The said order itself shows that the applicant was given liberty to approach again this Tribunal by seeking permission, if the order was not complied with. Instead of seeking proper remedy the applicant has filed the present Original Application, which is not maintainable. Therefore, it deserves to be dismissed. The applicant can approach this Tribunal in view of the liberty given to him in M.A. No. 62/2010, in case the order passed in O.A. No. 765/2008 has not been complied with. The Original Application is not maintainable, and hence, it is dismissed with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017- HDD(SB)



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**MA No.134/2017 IN OA ST. No.276/2017.**  
**[Shri B. N. Dhongde Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Y. P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.
2. Issue notices to the Respondents in M.A., returnable on 05-06.2017.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Rev. Application. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

-2- **MA No.134/2017 IN OA ST. No.276/2017**

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. 05.06.2017.

8. Steno copy & hamdust allowed to both the parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL**

**MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.222/2017.**

**(O.A. St.No.454/2017)**

**Smt Pankaja Madhav Waghmare**

**Vs.**

**The State of Maharashtra & Others.**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Y. P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Perused the documents. The applicant has apprehension that she might be transferred from the post of Assistant Professor, Govt. Arts and Science College, Aurangabad because of the order dated 31.3.2017 issued by Deputy Secretary, Govt. of Maharashtra relieving Respondent no.5 from the post of Directorate of Language and repatriating to her original Department. He has submitted that, in pursuance of the said order there is every possibility that, the applicant may be transferred from his present posting. The applicant apprehends that, she will be transferred and therefore, she has filed present application.

3. Office raised objection that, no order transferring the applicant from the present posting has been issued and therefore, the present petition is not maintainable.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, as there is reasonable apprehension regarding the proposed transfer of the applicant, she has approached this Tribunal.

5. In view of the provisions of Section 19 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal's Act, 1985. It provides that, the person aggrieved by any order pertaining to any matter may make an application in Tribunal for redressal of his grievance. In the instant case, the applicant is not aggrieved by any order. Therefore, the present petition is not maintainable. Mere apprehension is not sufficient to approach the Tribunal. Therefore, the application is not maintainable in view of the provisions of Section 19 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal's Act, 1985. Accordingly, the original application is disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH**  
**AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 572/2015.**

**[Shri S. G. Chavan Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder. The same is taken on record. Its copy is served on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, the applicant wants to challenge the communication dated 20.10.2016 by making suitable amendment in the O,A. He sought leave to amend the same. Leave is granted.

4. S.O to 06.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.46/2017.**

**[Dr. P. A. Mhaske & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V. G. Pingle, learned Advocate holding for Shri V. B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S. K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Shri V. G. Pingle, learned Advocate holding for Shri V. B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time. Learned P.O. also seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O to 09.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION St. No. 814/2015.**

**[Shri P. K. Joshi & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V. G. Pingle, learned Advocate holding for Shri M. C. Ghode, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M. P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Shri V. G. Pingle, learned Advocate holding for Shri M. C. Ghode, learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time. Learned P.O. also seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O to 09.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 194/2016.**

**[Shri R. M. Jakatdar & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V. G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M. P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that, he will file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents during the course of the day and will supply copy thereof to the other side.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O to 14.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017-ATP-BPP**



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 565/2016.**

**[Shri A. R. Kotwal Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Vivek Pingle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt S. K. Ghate Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O to 14.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 923/2016.**

**[Shri A. R. Kotwal Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Vivek Pingle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt P. R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O to 14.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.671/2016.**

**[Smt. V. M. Kharmale Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Vivek Pingle learned Advocate holding for Shri V. B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I. S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for filing rejoinder. Time granted.

3. S.O to 09.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.772/2016.**

**[Shri R. B. Darange Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Vivek Pingle learned Advocate holding for Shri V. B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time for filing reply. Time granted.
3. S.O to 09.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.807/2016.**

**[Shri M. N. Jadhav Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Vivek Pingle learned Advocate holding for Shri V. B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt P. R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time for filing reply. Time granted.
3. S.O to 09.06.2017. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.808/2016.**

**[Shri M. N. Jadhav Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Vivek Pingle learned Advocate holding for Shri V. B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt P. R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time for filing reply. Time granted.

3. S.O to 09.06.2017. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.810/2016.**

**[Shri B. D. Ghute Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Vivek Pingle learned Advocate holding for Shri V. B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time for filing reply. Time granted.
3. S.O to 09.06.2017. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.123/2014.**

**[Shri R. R. Pallewar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V. G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I. S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O to 13.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017-ATP-BPP**



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.527/2016.**

**[Smt Pratibha M. Kathar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri D. T. Dewane, learned Advocate holding for Shri M. B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Shri D. T. Dewane, learned Advocate holding for Shri M. B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time. Time granted.

3. S.O to 13.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.528/2016.**

**[Smt. Asma Z. Shaikh Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri D. T. Dewane, learned Advocate holding for Shri M. B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M. P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Shri D. T. Dewane, learned Advocate holding for Shri M. B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time. Time granted.

3. S.O to 13.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.529/2016.**

**[Smt Sandhya S. Mugute Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri D. T. Dewane, learned Advocate holding for Shri M. B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N. U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Shri D. T. Dewane, learned Advocate holding for Shri M. B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time. Time granted.

3. S.O to 13.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O. A. Nos.161 & 31 of 2016.**

**[Shri M. N. Jadhav & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S. D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed leave note. Shri N. U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents in both matters.

2. In view of the leave note filed by the learned Advocate S.O to 5.5.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017-ATP-BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH**  
**AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NOS. 205, 396, 410, 416, 442, 453, 479, 484, 542, 590, 613, 621, 686, 463, 571, 611, 731, 594 all of 2015 & OA Nos.2,5,15,41, 55,171,282, 311, 643, 519, 441 & 258 all of 2016.**

**[Shri S. L. Todkar & others Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard S/Shri J. B. Choudhary learned Advocate, and Y. P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri H. A. Joshi, learned Advocates for the Applicants. Shri S. D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant in OA No.441/16 has filed leave note. Smt. P. R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned C.P.O., Shri N. U.Yadav, learned P.O. and Smt. R. S. Deshmukh, learned P.O. S/Shri D. R. Patil, S. K. Shirse, I.S. Thorat, Smt. S. K. Ghate Deshmukh, Smt. D. S. Deshpande, S/Shri M. P. Gude & V. R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officers for respondents in respective matters.

2. Learned P.O. filed on record the document marked at Exh.X for the purpose of identification and submits that, the Writ Petition bearing No.11443/2016 is pending before Hon'ble Bombay High Court and fixed on 12.9.2017 and requested time. Time granted.

3. S.O to 27.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 669 OF 2016**

[Shri Chandrakant S. Shinde Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**Date :- 10.4.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the Government has issued notification in the Government Gazette constituting the Police Establishment Board at the district level in view of provisions of section 22J-1 of the Maharashtra Police Act (Act No. XXII of 1951). He sought time to produce on record the copy of the said Circular. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 13.4.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 670 OF 2016**

[Shri Dineshsing L. Patil Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**Date :- 10.4.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the Government has issued notification in the Government gazette constituting the Police Establishment Board at the district level in view of provisions of section 22J-1 of the Maharashtra Police Act (Act No. XXII of 1951). He sought time to produce on record the copy of the said Circular. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 13.4.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**MA NO. 456/2016 IN OA ST. 2031/2016**

[Shri Syed Abdul Wahid s/o Syed Abdul Hakim Vs. the State  
of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**Date :- 10.4.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. None appears for the applicant. Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.6.2017.

3. The learned Presenting Officer to file affidavit in reply of the respondents in the misc. application, by the next date.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**MA 300/2016 IN OA ST. 1459/2016**

[Shri Kailas D. Patil Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**Date :- 10.4.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant has filed a copy of order dated 8.3.2017 passed by this Tribunal in M.A. 147/2016 in O.A. St. no. 532/2016. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Presenting Officer.

3. With consent of both the sides, S.O. to 12.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 56 OF 2017**

[Shri Chandrakant S. Shinde Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**Date :- 10.4.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri D.G. Kamble, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.
2. The learned Advocate for the applicant prays for issuance of fresh notices to the respondents and undertook to serve the said notices upon the respondents by the next date.
3. Hence, re-issue notices to the respondents in the original application, returnable on 5.5.2017.
4. Tribunal may take the cases for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. 5.5.2017.
9. Steno copy & hamdust allowed to both the parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 181/2017 WITH CAVEAT NO. 5/2017**

[Shri Harischandra R. Sonawane Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**Date :- 10.4.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Miss. Bhavna Panpatil, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent nos.1 to 3 and Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that the applicant has sent letter on 3.4.2017 to his Advocate for withdrawal of the original application. She has submitted that the applicant has sent the said letter under pressure and, therefore, she seeks time to take instructions from the applicant in that regard. Time as prayed for is granted.

3. S.O. to 21.4.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 140 OF 2017**

[Shri Dattatraya U. Jadhav Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**Date :- 10.4.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri R.B. Ade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions from the applicant regarding withdrawal of the original applicant. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 17.4.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 86 OF 2017**

[Badrinath Y. Ghongade & Ors. Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**Date :- 10.4.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant **(leave note)**. Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.

2. In view of leave note of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 5.5.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 20 OF 2017**

[Shri Kishor J. Padvi Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**Date :- 10.4.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Chief Presenting Officer has submitted that he will take instructions from the concerned authorities as regards the decision on the representation made by the applicant as well as regarding granting of provisional pension to the applicant. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 17.4.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 946 OF 2016**

[Shri Krushna S. Edke Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**Date :- 10.4.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri S.A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that today he has received the copy of the affidavit in reply filed by res. no. 5. He seeks time to go through the said reply and make his submissions. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 21.4.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 917 OF 2016**

[Shri Suryakant G. Revalar Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**Date :- 10.4.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. None appears for the applicant. Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents, is present.
  
2. The learned Chief Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of res. nos. 1 to 5 and the same is taken on record. He undertook to supply copy of the said affidavit in reply upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.
  
3. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 6.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 911 OF 2016**

[Shri Nagnath P. Kokane Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**Date :- 10.4.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri R.V. Naiknavare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.
  
2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
  
3. S.O. to 6.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 912 OF 2016**

[Shri Ramakant G. Kulkarni Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**Date :- 10.4.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri R.V. Naiknavare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.
  
2. The learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of res. no. 7. It is taken on record and copy of the same is supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant.
  
3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 6.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 893 OF 2016**

[Shri Vijay N. Chavan Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**Date :- 10.4.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri B.G. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.
  
2. The learned Presenting Officer submits that during the course of the day he will file affidavit in reply.
  
3. Hence, S.O. to 12.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 514 OF 2016**

[Shri Pramod R. Kachare Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**Date :- 10.4.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the res. nos. 2 to 4 have already filed affidavit in reply. He seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of res. no. 1. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 12.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 505 OF 2016**

[Smt. Manjula A. Suralkar Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri B.P. Patil, Member (J)**

**Date :- 10.4.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri N.R. Tungar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3. None appears for respondent no. 4.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to go through the relevant rules and make his submissions. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 7.6.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 10.4.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 65/2017 in M.A. St. 216/2017 in O.A. St. 217/2017  
[Shri Ravikant R. Hadoltikar & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah.  
& Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)  
(This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Smt. S.A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.04.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 648/2015  
[Dr. S.P. Madrewar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)  
(This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.04.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 836/2015  
[Shri Dhammarakshit K. Sardar Vs. The State of Mah. &  
Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)  
(This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.S. Panpatte, learned Advocate for the  
Applicant (**Absent**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting  
Officer for respondents, present.

2. S.O. to 05.05.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 837/2015  
[Shri Dayanand A. Bhagat Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)  
(This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.S. Panpatte, learned Advocate for the  
Applicant (**Absent**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting  
Officer for respondents, present.

2. S.O. to 05.05.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 738/2016  
[Shri Amol D. Bedse Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)  
(This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri N.K. Bhadane, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 02.05.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 575/2016  
[Shri Aniket N. Mundhe Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)  
(This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.R. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Amit S. Savale, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 02.05.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 843/2016  
[Shri Shamrao G. Wagatkar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)  
(This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.04.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 857/2016  
[Shri Bhikan P. Sonar & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)  
(This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 26.04.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 859/2016  
[Shri Pravin R. Wagh Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)  
(This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 26.04.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 74/2017  
[Shri Vijaysing K. Wagh Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)  
(This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri D.J. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent no. 1 and Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned Advocate for respondent no. 2.

2. Learned Presenting Officer as well as learned Advocate for respondent no. 2 seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 28.04.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 123/2017  
[Shri Krishna G. Jadhav Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)  
(This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 02.05.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 30/2017  
[Shri Siddhodhan B. Bharade Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)  
(This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.05.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 103/2015 in C.P. St. 333/2015 in O.A. 529/2011  
[Shri Subhash L. Kulkarni & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. &  
Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)  
(This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate  
for the Applicant (**Absent**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned  
Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to  
24.04.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 104/2015 in C.P. St. 335/2015 in O.A. 197/2012  
[Shri Narayan V. Mundhe & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. &  
Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)  
(This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate  
for the Applicant (**Absent**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned  
Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to  
24.04.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 105/2015 in C.P. St. 337/2015 in O.A. 830/2011  
[Shri Sarjerao S. Deshmukh & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. &  
Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)  
(This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate  
for the Applicant (**Absent**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned  
Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to  
24.04.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 199/2015 in O.A. St. No. 780/2015  
[Shri Devendra S. Jade Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)  
(This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Smt. Pratibha Bharad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.04.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 426/2015 in O.A. St. No. 1577/2015  
[Shri Tanaji D. Kubade Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)  
(This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Leave Note**). Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.04.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 110/2016 with M.A. 287/2016 in O.A. 444/2014  
[Sk Tajoddin Sk. Kasar & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. &  
Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)  
(This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.P. Salgar, learned Advocate holding for  
Shri S.S. Gangakhedkar, learned Advocate for the  
Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate,  
learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the  
applicant, S.O. to 12.06.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 125/2017 with M.A. 369/2016 in O.A. 225/2012  
[Shri Eranna M. Kongalwar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)  
(This matter is placed before Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Shri U.P. Giri, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.04.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORAL ORDERS 10.04.2017-KPB(SB)BPP**



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 195/2016  
[Smt. Gita Bhaskar Shejwal Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.]**

**CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 10.04.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has filed representation/appeal challenging the impugned order of suspension before the Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department (Transport), Mumbai on 29.02.2016. He has further submitted that the representation/appeal filed by the applicant is pending and it is not yet decided by the concerned authority. He has therefore, prayed to issue direction to the concerned authority i.e. respondent no. 2 to dispose of the representation/appeal expeditiously and requested to dispose of the present O.A. with the said direction.

//2//

O.A. No. 195/2016

3. The learned Presenting Officer has no objection to dispose of the present O.A. accordingly by giving sufficient time to the concerned authority to decide the representation.

4. In view of the submission made by the learned Advocate for the applicant and learned Presenting Officer it would be just and proper to dispose of the present O.A. as the representation/appeal filed by the applicant on 29.02.2016 is pending before the Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department (Transport), Mumbai.

5. Hence, the O.A. stands disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 2 to dispose of the representation/appeal filed by the applicant on 29.02.2016 expeditiously and preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of this order on its own merit. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**



