O.A. NO. 82/2017

(Shri Shaikh Rabbani Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench.)

DATE : 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Ms. Bhavna Panpatil, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Upon haring both the sides, it appears that, arguable case is made out. Hence, Admit.
- 3. The matter be placed before the D.B. for final hearing as and when it is available.

VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A. NO. 254/2017 (Shri Raju V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

None appears for the applicant. Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. The learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. At his request S.O. to 3.1.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A. NO. 619/2017 (Shri Sidheshwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri S.P. Salgaar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Gangakhedkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. At his request, S.O. to 10.1.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A. NO. 719/2017 (Shri Sainath V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

Division bench

DATE : 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant (leave note). Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file short affidavit of the res. no. 3 as per the direction of the Tribunal vide order dtd. 6.10.2017. At her request, S.O. to 16.1.2018 for filing short affidavit of res. no. 3 as per order dtd. 6.10.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

T.A. 3/2017 (W.P. NO. 11093/2017) (Shri Ashraf V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench.)

DATE : 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Mahesh K. Bhosle, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Shri Bhosle, learned Advocate holding for Shri Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to satisfy the query raised by the Tribunal vide order dtd. 12.10.2017 and filing of affidavit in reply by the respondents is kept in abeyance till then. At his request, S.O. to 3.1.2018 for satisfying the query raised vide order dated 12.10.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

MA 111/2017 IN OA 641/2015

(Shri Bhagatsing V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench.)

DATE : 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Mrs. Juee Palekar - Parlikar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Mrs. Palekar Parlikar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to make submissions in the matter.
- 3. The learned C.P.O. also seeks time to take instructions as to whether the representation is decided by the res. no. 2 as per the order dated 11.10.2017 within a period of one month from the date of that order. At the request of both the sides, S.O. to 3.1.2018 for making submission by the learned C.P.O. on the line of order dated 11.10.2017 and for admission hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. 214/2017 IN OA 150/2017 (Shri Balasaheb V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench.)

DATE : 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3, is present. None is present for the respondent no. 4.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions on the line of order dtd. 29.6.2017. It is to be noted that the said instructions are awaited since long back. In the circumstances, S.O. to 4.1.2018 as a last chance for making statement by the learned P.O. on the line of order dated 29.6.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A. NO. 119/2015 WITH MA 287/2015 IN OA ST. 444/2014 (Shri Mohanchandra and Shri Sk. Tajoddin Sk. Kasar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

._____

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench.)

DATE : 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.P. Salgar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Gangakhedkar, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the matters.

2. Upon haring both the sides, it appears that, decision at the hands of Division Bench is required in both these matters. In the circumstances, both the matters be placed before the D.B. for final hearing as and when it is available.

VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A. NOS. 485, 768, 769 AND 648 ALL OF 2015

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of

Division Bench.)

DATE : 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for himself and holding for Shri B.K. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these matters. None appears for res. no. 4 in O.A. nos. 768, 769 & 648 all of 2015.

2. Upon haring both the sides, it appears that, decision at the hands of Division Bench is required in all these matters. In the circumstances, these matters be placed before the D.B. for final hearing as and when it is available.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. 308/2016 IN OA ST. 975/2016 (Shri Waman V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

None appears for the applicant. Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. The learned P.O. opposes the prayer of the applicant for condonation of 4 years delay caused in filing the accompanying O.A. Upon going through the record, it is clear that while disposing of the O.A. filed by the applicant earlier i.e. O.A. no. 710/2010 the Division Bench of this Tribunal vide judgment dated 7.4.2011 (page 12 of the accompanying O.A.) has given following directions:-

"ORDER

- (1) Petition is partly allowed with no order as to costs.
- (2) The Enquiry Officer shall complete enquiry and submit the report to the Disciplinary Authority on or before 30.06.2011.
- (3) The Applicant shall co-operate the enquiry officer for completing the enquiry before 30.06.2011 and shall not seek adjournments.
- (4) Thereupon the Disciplinary Authority shall take decision on the enquiry report on or before 30.09.2011.

<u>::-2-::</u> M.A. 308/2016 IN OA ST. 975/2016

- (5) In the eventuality of Enquiry Officer not submitting enquiry report on or before 30.06.2011, or Disciplinary Authority not taking decision after submitting the enquiry report on or before 30.09.2011, amount of GIS, GPF and amount of encashment of unexhausted earned leave shall be paid to the applicant on or before 30.11.2011."
- 3. The present M.A. filed by the applicant for condonation of delay in filing the accompanying O.A. would show that since no decision is communicated to him in this regard, he is in dark and, therefore, he is deprived of the pensionary benefits since long.
- 4. In the circumstances, instead of opposing the prayer of the applicant for condonation of delay in filing the accompanying O.A., the respondents are directed to make a statement about the compliance of the order dated 7.4.2011 passed by the Tribunal in O.A. no. 710/2010, on the next date.
- 5. S.O. to 4.1.2018 for reporting compliance of above order, by the side of respondents.
- 6. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O. for the respondents.

O.A. 670, 671, 672, 675, 679, 680, 681, 682, 659, 674, 695, 683 700, 736 762 AND 779 ALL OF 2017

._____

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

_

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for S/shri S.S. Thombre, A.R. Tapse and M.L. Muthal, learned Advocates for the applicants in respective matters and S/shri M.S. Mahajan, S.K. Shirse, N.U. Yadav, V.R. Bhumkar, D.R. Patil, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective matters.

2. The learned C.P.O. and respective P.O. have filed affidavit in replies of respondents in O.A. no. 779 & 762/2017. The same are taken on record and copies thereof are supplied to other side. All these matters are concerning to the same issue and, therefore, they shall be heard together. With the consent of both the sides S.O. to 13.12.2017 for hearing on continuation of interim relief. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 883/2017

(Shri DattaVs.The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Joslyn A. Menezes, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 04.01.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 04.01.2018.
- 8. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

M.A. No. 486/2017 in O.A. St. 1663/2017 (Shri BhaskarVs.The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 05.01.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 05.01.2018.
- 8. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

M.A. No. 489/2017 in O.A. St. No. 1715/2017 (Dr. Suresh Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri R.R. Bangar, learned Advocate holding for Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that in fact, the cause of action has arisen purportedly from 09.10.2011, but the Misc. Application for condonation of delay is filed only for 1 year and 10 months.
- 3. In the circumstances, the learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the application for condonation of delay required to be amended and seeks time to file application. Time granted.
- 4. S.O. to 4.1.2018.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1818/2017 (Smt. DeepikaVs.The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the communication, which is at page no. 32 of the paper book, would show that it is dated 12.09.2017 wherein a proposal sent to the higher authorities for appointment on compassionate ground, was rejected.
- 3. In the circumstances, the Original Application is within limitation. The office objection is overruled. The O.A. be registered and numbered and be placed for admission hearing.
- 4. S.O. to 20.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 912/2017

(Shri BalajiVs.The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 02.01.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 02.01.2018.
- 8. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1645/2017 (Shri Ashok Vs.The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.P. Salgare, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learnedChief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 03.01.2018.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. No. 488/2017 in O.A. No. 840/2017 (Shri ShivajiVs.The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri R.B. Ade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 19.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 529/2017 (Shri ShashikantVs.The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that she could not be collectednotices. She further submits that fresh notices be issued to the respondents.
- 3. Hence, issue fresh notices to the respondents, returnable on 11.01.2018.
- 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. S.O. to 11.01.2018.
- 9. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 46/2017

(Dr. PramodVs. The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. S.O. to 4.1.2018, for hearing on admission.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 444/2017 (Shri RajnikantVs.The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri A.N. Patil,learned Advocate for the applicant(**Absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3. Same is taken on record.
- 3. S.O. to 15.1.2018.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 242/2017

(Shri Mohan Vs.The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the

respondents.

applicant.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the

3. S.O. to 9.1.2018.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 425/2017 (Shri Prasad Vs.The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.D. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri (Dr.) SwapnilTaushikar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bhraswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 5.1.2018. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 628/2017 (Shri MohitVs.The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri C.V. Bhadane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. S.O. to 23.1.2018.

VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A. NOS. 544, 545, 817, 820, 821, 822 AND 155/2016 (Dr. S.A. Kulkarni&Ors. Vs. The State of Mah.&Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters and S/shriM.P. Gude, V.R. Bhumkar, S.K. Shirse, N.U. Yadav, D.R. Patil& Smt. SanjivaniDeshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officers for the respondent authorities in respective O.As., Shri K.U. More, learned Advocate for res. nos. 5 & 6 in O.A. no. 544/2016, Shri VivekBhavthankar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5 in O.A. No. 545/2016, Shri A.D. Aghav, learned Advocate for res. nos. 4 & 5 in O.A. nos. 817, 821, 822/2016, Shri S.R. Dheple, learned Advocate for res. nos. 4 & 5 in O.A. no. 820/2016& Shri S.N. Rodge, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 5 & 6 in O.A. no. 155/2016.

Learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 &
in O.A. Nos.817, 821, 822/2016 has filed communication dated 03.11.2017. Same is

//2// O.A. Nos. 544/2016 &Ors.

taken on record and marked as Exhibit-'X' for the purposes of identification. Shri Gitte, Primary Health Officer, JalnaZillaParishad, is present in the court on behalf of respondent Nos. 4 & 5 in O.A. Nos. 817, 821, 822/2016.

3. S.O. to 19.12.2017, for further orders in view of the order dated 13.11.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 623/2016 (Shri Anil Vs.The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has placed on record a copy of communication dated 21.11.2017 sent by the applicant to the Secretary, Irrigation Department, Mumabi. Same it taken on record and marked as Exhibit-X for the purposes of identification.
- 3. On instructions, the learned Advocate for the applicant seeks permission of this Tribunal to withdraw the present Original Application.
- 4. Permission granted. Withdrawal is allowed. Accordingly, the present Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.838/2017 (Shri BaliramVs.The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability

of Division Bench.)

DATE: 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri AmolChalakPatil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learnedChief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 12.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 904 & 905 BOTH OF 2017 (Smt. SindhuVs.The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability

of Division Bench.)

DATE: 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the O.As.andSmt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the O.As.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 11.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. No. 419/2017 in O.A. No. 663/2016 (Shri SatishVs.The State of Maharashtra and Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability

of Division Bench.)

DATE: 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.G. Rodge, learned Advocate for the applicant in M.A. (respondent No. 4 in O.A.), Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 (original applicant).

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant in M.A., S.O. to 11.12.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO. 469/2017 IN M.A.NO. 269/2017 IN O.A.ST. 950/2017 (Dr. Prasad S/o. Vithal Kulkarni & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability

of Division Bench.)

DATE : 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Vishnu Dhoble, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 6 & 7. None appears for respondent Nos. 4 & 5.

- 2. This is an application filed by the applicants for restoration of O.A. St. No. 950/2017 along with M.A. No. 269/2017.
- 3. Accepting the reasons assigned by the learned Advocate for the applicant towards cause of absence to be good and sufficient, the present M.A. is allowed and M.A. No. 269/2017 and O.A. St. No. 950/2017 both are restored to their original file.
- 4. Accordingly, the present M.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO. 269/2017 IN O.A.ST. 950/2017 (Dr. Prasad S/o. Vithal Kulkarni & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) ______

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability

of Division Bench.)

DATE : 08.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Vishnu Dhoble, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 6 & 7. appears for respondent Nos. 4 & 5.

2. S.O. to 4th January, 2018.

CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 08.12.2017-HDD