

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.09/2017

(Shri Prashant A. Pawar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 07-03-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri H.P.Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O.22-03-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 07-03-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.112/2017
(Shri Vasant R. Medhe V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 07-03-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O.21-03-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 07-03-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.892/2016
(Smt. Babita U. Rathod V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 07-03-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that all the respondents are served with notice and he will file service proof along with affidavit on the next date.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

4. S.O.05-04-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 07-03-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

M.A.No.354/2016 IN O.A.St.No.1621/2016
(Shri Mohan R. Chaudhari V/s. The State of Mah. &
Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 07-03-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O.07-04-2017 for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any.

YUK ORAL ORDER 07-03-2017

MEMBER (J)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.505/2016
(Smt. Manjula Suralkar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 07-03-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Shri N.K.Tungar learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**.

Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos.1 to 3 is present.

Shri N.L.Jadhav/ K.N.Farooqui learned Advocate for respondent no.4 is **absent**.

2. Since none present for the applicant,
S.O.10-04-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 07-03-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.598/2016

(Smt. Noorunnisa Begum V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 07-03-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Dr. Kalpalata Patil-Bharaswadkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has sought time to file rejoinder and to produce Circular dated 22-01-1991 on record to substantiate claim of the applicant. Time granted.

3. S.O.31-03-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 07-03-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.699/2016
(Shri Jagdish D. Patil V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 07-03-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has sought time to take instruction as regards direction given by the Tribunal on 14-02-2017 from the concerned authority.

3. In spite of sufficient time given to the respondents they have not made submission in that regard. However, last chance is granted to the learned P.O. to take instruction and make submission on the next date.

4. S.O.10-03-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 07-03-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.700/2016
(Shri Appasaheb G. Shinde V/s. The State of Mah. &
Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 07-03-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.1. It is taken on record. He undertakes to serve copy of the reply on the other side.

3. S.O.12-04-2017.

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDER 07-03-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.597/2015

(Smt. Sugarabee w/o Sayyad Mainoddin V/s. The State
of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 07-03-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Dr. Kalpalata Patil-Bharaswadkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for the respondents at length.

2. During the course of arguments it has been brought to the notice of the Tribunal that applicant Sugarabee w/o Sayyad Mainoddin had died on 30-12-2015. Her death certificate is placed on record at paper book page 48. In spite of death of the applicant, her Legal Representatives are not brought on record within stipulated time. Therefore, O.A. stands abated automatically under the provisions of law.

3. Neither the learned Advocate for the applicant nor the learned P.O. informed this Tribunal the fact of death of the applicant before commencing the arguments in the

matter. The authority given by the deceased applicant to the Advocate comes to an end on her death. In spite of that, learned Advocate for the applicant started arguing the matter and argued the matter to considerable time wasting the time of the Tribunal. This practice on the part of learned Advocate for the deceased applicant and learned P.O., is highly deprecated.

4. Since case abates on the death of the applicant, O.A. does not survive. Hence, O.A. stands disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.320/2016

(Smt. Meena d/o Narayanrao Bengal @ Meena w/o.
Rajaram Lokhande V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 07-03-2017

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri V.P.Golewar learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos.1 to 4 and Shri R.P.Bhumkar learned Advocate holding for Shri M.S.Dhapate learned Advocate for respondent no.5.

2. Learned Advocate Shri Bhumkar has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.5. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the respondent no.5 does not own immovable property in the Village Vitthalwadi either in her name or in the name of her family members. It is submitted that the applicant had raised objection in that regard before

the Sub Divisional Officer objecting appointment of respondent no.5 as Police Patil of Village Vitthalwadi, Tq. Gangakhed, Dist. Parbhani. It has been submitted that the respondent no.5 has produced extract of Namuna-8 in respect of property held by her father in law in Mardasgaon. Without deciding the objection of the applicant and without considering said documents, Sub Divisional Officer appointed the respondent no.5 as Police Patil of Village Vitthalwadi.

4. Learned P.O. and learned Advocate for respondent no.5 submitted that Village Mardasgaon comes under Group Gram Panchayat Vitthalwadi and the advertisement has been issued inviting application from the eligible candidates for appointing as Police Patil of Village Vitthalwadi as well as Mardasgaon. Therefore, immovable property standing in the name of family members of respondent no.5 has been considered while deciding the residence of the respondent no.5.

5. Neither learned P.O. nor learned Advocate for respondent no.5 is able to satisfy the Tribunal as to whether the applications for appointment to the post of Police Patil of Village Vitthalwadi have been invited for both villages i.e. Vitthalwadi and Mardasgaon. Moreover, reply of the respondents on this point is silent. Sub Divisional Officer has also not decided the representation/objection raised by the applicant. Therefore, it is just to direct the respondents to file affidavit of Sub Divisional Officer personally clarifying whether the advertisement for the post of Police Patil of Village Vitthalwadi was for Village Vitthalwadi only or it was for Vitthalwadi as well as Mardasgaon.

6. S.O.12-04-2017.

7. Steno copy may be provided to the learned P.O. on his request.

MEMBER (J)

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. NO. 350/2016 WITH MA 424/2015 IN OA 628/2015

[Shri Vijay W. Chahakar Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J)

**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

DATE :- 07.03.2017

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no. 1 and Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned special Counsel for res. nos. 2 to 4.

2. The learned special Counsel for res. nos. 2 to 4 seeks time to take instructions regarding the Circular dated 30.9.1983 (Annex. A.2 page 35 of the paper book of O.A.). The learned P.O. also seeks time to file reply of res. no. 1. Time as sought for by the learned P.O. and learned Special Counsel for res. nos. 2 to 4 is granted.

3. S.O. to 24.3.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 7.3.2017

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

MA 08/2017 IN OA 550/2012

[Shri Shamrao V. Dhage Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J)

DATE :- 07.03.2017

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2. None appears for respondent no. 3.

2. This misc. application has been filed by the applicant for restoration of original application to its original position, which was dismissed in default by this Tribunal vide its order dated 16.12.2016.

3. The learned Presenting Officer, however, points out that earlier also the original application was dismissed in default and the applicant was required to file restoration application. Again by the order dated 16.12.2016 the O.A. was dismissed in default by this Tribunal and thus for the second time this restoration application is filed by the applicant for restoration of original application.

4. Perused the application. Considered the contentions.

5. For the reasons stated in the misc. application the same is allowed and the original application is restored to its original position. However, we caveat the learned Advocate for the applicant to be vigilant in conducting the matter. Accordingly the misc. application is disposed of without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 550/2012

[Shri Shamrao V. Dhage Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J)

DATE :- 07.03.2017

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2. None appears for respondent no. 3.

2. Today, by passing a separate order in M.A. no. 8/2017, which was filed by the applicant for restoration of O.A., this Tribunal has restored the original application to its original position.

3. It appears that the original application is already admitted. In the circumstances, this original application is removed from the board for time being, with liberty to mention as and when exigency arises or the D.B. is available.

MEMBER (J)

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. NO. 371/2015 IN OA 326/2012 WITH OA 555/2015

[Shri Madhav C. Padvi Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J)

**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

DATE :- 07.03.2017

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri F.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that inadvertently the M.A. no. 371/2015 was filed by the applicant for amendment in O.A., which was allowed by this Tribunal vide its order dated 27.2.2017. He further points out that in fact the applicant wants to withdraw the said M.A. no. 371/2015. Permission as sought for is granted.

Accordingly the order dated 27.2.2017 passed by this Tribunal is called back and the M.A. no. 371/2015 is disposed of as withdrawn without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. ST. 260/2017 IN OA 326/2012 WITH OA 555/2015

[Shri Madhav C. Padvi Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J)

**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

DATE :- 07.03.2017

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri F.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. This misc. application has been filed by the applicant for permission to amend the original application. He has annexed the draft amendment along with the misc. application.
3. Perused the application. Considered the contentions.
4. For the reasons recorded in the misc. application the same is allowed and the applicant is permitted to amend the original application suitably. He undertakes to amend the O.A. suitably during the course of the day and serve the copy of amended O.A. upon the learned P.O. for the respondents.
5. Accordingly, the misc. application is disposed of without any order as to costs.
6. The O.A. be placed on board on 31.3.2017 for filing reply to the amended original application.

MEMBER (J)

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 706 OF 2016

[Shri Sachitanand A. Shejwal Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J)

**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

DATE :- 07.03.2017

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri P.A. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. In view of circulation note filed by the learned Advocate, this matter is taken on board.
3. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the grievance of the applicant is redressed. He, therefore, seeks permission to withdraw the original application. Permission as sought for is granted.
4. Accordingly, original application is disposed of as withdrawn without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

**MA NO. 122/2016 WITH MA ST. 424/2016 IN OA
690/2013**

[Shri Vijay G. Salunke Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]
CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J)

**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

DATE :- 07.03.2017

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no. 1 and Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned special Counsel for res. nos. 2 & 3.
2. This M.A. No. 122/2016 has been filed by the applicant for condonation of one year and six months' delay caused in filing the M.A. for restoration of O.A.. It appears that the O.A. was dismissed in default by this Tribunal vide its order dated 15.9.2014.
3. Perused the M.A. Considered the contentions.
4. For the reasons stated in the M.A. the delay caused in filing M.A. for restoration of O.A. is condoned
5. Accordingly M.A. no. 122/2016 is disposed of, without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

MA ST. NO. 424/2016 IN OA 690/2013

[Shri Vijay G. Salunke Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J)

**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

DATE :- 07.03.2017

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no. 1 and Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned special Counsel for res. nos. 2 & 3.

2. This M.A. St. No. 424/2016 has been filed by the applicant for restoration of O.A. to its original position, which was dismissed in default by this Tribunal vide its order dated.15.9.2014.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, in fact, the order dated 15.9.2014 passed by this Tribunal though would show that earlier order of the Tribunal dated 12.11.2013 was not complied with, but in fact, the said order was already complied with, but in view of the absence of the learned Advocate for the applicant it could not be

pointed out and, therefore, the O.A. was dismissed in default on 15.9.2014.

4. Perused the application. Considered the contentions.

5. The O.A. pertains to the reversion of the applicant from the post of Forest Guard to the Class-IV. It is further submitted by the learned Advocate for the applicant that, due to negligence of the learned Advocate, it could not be pointed out that the order dated 12.11.2013 of the Tribunal was complied with and, therefore, the O.A. was dismissed in default.

6. Shri Bhavthankar, learned special Counsel for res. nos. 2 & 3 opposed the plea of the learned Advocate for the applicant.

7. For the reasons stated in the M.A. st. No. 424/2016 and in view of the above submissions of the learned Advocate for the applicant, the same is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs.

8. Accordingly, the O.A. is restored to its original position and it be placed for admission hearing on 6.4.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 7.3.2017

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 152 OF 2016

[Shri Vijay V. Deshmukh Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J)

**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

DATE :- 07.03.2017

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri V.V. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 18.3.2017.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. 18.3.2017.
8. Steno copy & hamdust allowed to both the parties.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 7.3.2017

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

MA 400/2015 IN OA 1324/2014

[Smt. Ratna S. Wankhede Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]
CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J)

**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

DATE :- 07.03.2017

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri Vinod Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 4 and Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for respondent no. 5.

2. The O.A. is filed for quashing and setting aside the appointment order dated 21.3.2012 issued in favour of res. no. 5 for the post of Peon in the office of res. no. 3. From the arguments of both the sides, it prima-facie appears that the O.A. is not maintainable. The learned Advocate for the applicant to satisfy this Tribunal on the point of maintainability of the O.A.

3. S.O. to 31.3.2017.

MEMBER (J)

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 781 OF 2013

[Shri Sudarshan D. Shinde Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J)

DATE :- 07.03.2017

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submits that the record as directed earlier is received from the respondents. He seeks time to go through the said record and make his submissions on the next date. At his request, S.O. to 21.3.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 7.3.2017

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 322 OF 2015

[Shri Balasaheb M. Kulkarni Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J)

DATE :- 07.03.2017

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant is not aware about various pay scales available in the cadres of Typists and Clerks, which were applicable in the year 1985.

3. In the circumstances, the learned P.O. is directed to acquire the copies of relevant documents showing various posts available in the cadres of Typists and Clerks along with their pay scales as were applicable in the year 1985.

4. S.O. to 6.4.2017.

5. The learned P.O. to act upon the steno copy of this order.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 7.3.2017

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 454 OF 2015

[Ms. Shobha R. Choudhary Vs. the State of Mah. & Ors.]

CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J)

DATE :- 07.03.2017

Oral Order :-

1. Heard Shri R.K. Mokale, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.M. Avhad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.3.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 7.3.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 927 OF 2016

**(Shri Rajendra K. Dahiphale Vs. The State of Maharashtra
and Others.)**

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.03. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard Shri A.H.Shaikh, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.G. Ambetkar – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 13th April, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 07.03.2017- HDD(SB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 921 OF 2016

**(Shri Sanjay T. Mali Vs. The State of Maharashtra and
Others.)**

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.03. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 13th April, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 07.03.2017- HDD(SB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 784 OF 2016

**(Shri Shaikh Mehboob Yakubsab Vs. The State of
Maharashtra and Others.)**

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.03. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Shri Girish N. Kulkarni – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted as a last chance.
3. S.O. to 13th April, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 07.03.2017- HDD(SB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 772 OF 2016

**(Shri Rajan B. Darange Vs. The State of Maharashtra and
Others.)**

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.03. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Perused the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 5, which is sworn in by one Shri Pandurang Bajrangrao Gaisamudre, Sub-Divisional Officer, Small Scale Irrigation (Water Conservation) Sub-Division, Shrirampur, District Ahmednagar, stating that he has been authorized by respondent Nos. 1 and 3 to file affidavit in reply on their behalf. There was no authorization of respondent No. 2 to file the said reply. Therefore, it is necessary to direct the respondent No. 2 to file affidavit in reply on his behalf through concerned authority within a period of four weeks. Respondent No.2 is also directed to take decision on the representation made by the applicant.

3. S.O. to 10th April, 2017.

4. Steno copy be provided to the learned Presenting Officer at his request.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 07.03.2017- HDD(SB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 734 OF 2016

**(Shri Sainath B. Turakane Vs. The State of Maharashtra
and Others.)**

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.03. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi – learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri P.P. Dhorde – learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. The learned Presenting Officer submits that he will file affidavit in reply during the course of the day and will serve the copy thereof on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. In view thereof, S.O. to 5th April, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 07.03.2017- HDD(SB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 544 OF 2016

**(Dr. Seema Aniruddha Kulkarni & 3 Ors. Vs. The State of
Maharashtra and Others.)**

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.03. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Shri J.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the applicants has filed leave note. Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 4, present. None appears for respondent Nos. 5 & 6.

2. Since learned advocate for the applicant has filed leave note, S.O. to 13th April, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 07.03.2017- HDD(SB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 545 OF 2016

**(Dr. Satish R. Runwal & 6 Ors. Vs. The State of
Maharashtra and Others.)**

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.03. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Shri J.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the applicants has filed leave note. Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 4, present. None appears for respondent Nos. 5 & 6.

2. Since learned advocate for the applicant has filed leave note, S.O. to 13th April, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 07.03.2017- HDD(SB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 366 OF 2016

**(Dr. Sweta H. Wange Vs. The State of Maharashtra and
Others.)**

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.03. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Shri Arvind N. Gaddime – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3, present. None appears for respondent No. 4.
2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted as a last chance.
3. S.O. to 13th April, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 07.03.2017- HDD(SB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 265 OF 2016

**(Shri Shivaji H. Rathod Vs. The State of Maharashtra and
Others.)**

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.03. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare – learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande – learned Presenting Officer for respondents.
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 7th April, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 07.03.2017- HDD(SB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 115 OF 2016

**(Shri Tulshiram M. Lande Vs. The State of Maharashtra
and Others.)**

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.03. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dixit – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 7th April, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 07.03.2017- HDD(SB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 381 OF 2016

**(Smt. Nitu D/o Mahadev Chingalwar Vs. The State of
Maharashtra and Others.)**

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.03. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard Shri S.B. Ghute – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer sought further two weeks' time to take instructions from the respondents and make submissions regarding vacant posts of Medical Officer at Civil Hospital at Parbhani & Washim. Time granted as prayed for.
3. S.O. to 22nd March, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 07.03.2017- HDD(SB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 86 OF 2016

**(Shri Bankat N. Ghule Vs. The State of Maharashtra and
Others.)**

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.03. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar – learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Shamsundar B. Patil – learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that some other matters involving similar issue are pending before the Division Bench and they are kept for hearing on 5th April, 2017. He, therefore, prays that the present case be placed before the Division Bench on the said date.

3. In view of the aforesaid submission made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, place the present case before the Division Bench on 5th April, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 07.03.2017- HDD(SB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

M.A.ST. 179/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO.180/2017

**(Shri Govind M. Kulkarni & Ors. Vs. The State of
Maharashtra and Others.)**

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.03. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar – learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the misc. application and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamp, if not paid, and accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, and present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 07.03.2017- HDD(SB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO.180 OF 2017

**(Shri Govind M. Kulkarni & Ors. Vs. The State of
Maharashtra and Others.)**

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.03. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar – learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 6th April, 2017.
3. Tribunal may take the case/s for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

O.A. ST.NO.180 OF 2017

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 6th April, 2017.

8. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 07.03.2017- HDD(SB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 88 OF 2016

**(Shri Natha Y. Patekar Vs. The State of Maharashtra and
Others.)**

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.03. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar – learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Shamsundar B. Patil – learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that some other matters involving similar issue are pending before the Division Bench and they are kept for hearing on 5th April, 2017. He, therefore, prays that the present case be placed before the Division Bench on the said date.

3. In view of the aforesaid submission made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, place the present case before the Division Bench on 5th April, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 07.03.2017- HDD(SB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 89 OF 2016

**(Shri Sk. Asraf Sk. Sardar Vs. The State of Maharashtra
and Others.)**

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.03. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar – learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Shamsundar B. Patil – learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that some other matters involving similar issue are pending before the Division Bench and they are kept for hearing on 5th April, 2017. He, therefore, prays that the present case be placed before the Division Bench on the said date.

3. In view of the aforesaid submission made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, place the present case before the Division Bench on 5th April, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 07.03.2017- HDD(SB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 90 OF 2016

**(Shri Shankar Janba Mane Vs. The State of Maharashtra
and Others.)**

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.03. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar – learned Advocate for the applicant, Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Shamsundar B. Patil – learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that some other matters involving similar issue are pending before the Division Bench and they are kept for hearing on 5th April, 2017. He, therefore, prays that the present case be placed before the Division Bench on the said date.

3. In view of the aforesaid submission made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, place the present case before the Division Bench on 5th April, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 07.03.2017- HDD(SB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 92 OF 2016

**(Sk. Afifoddin Tamijoddin Vs. The State of Maharashtra
and Others.)**

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.03. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar – learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Shamsundar B. Patil – learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that some other matters involving similar issue are pending before the Division Bench and they are kept for hearing on 5th April, 2017. He, therefore, prays that the present case be placed before the Division Bench on the said date.

3. In view of the aforesaid submission made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, place the present case before the Division Bench on 5th April, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 07.03.2017- HDD(SB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 93 OF 2016

**(Shri Dhondiba Aba Shinde Vs. The State of Maharashtra
and Others.)**

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 07.03. 2017.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar – learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Shamsundar B. Patil – learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that some other matters involving similar issue are pending before the Division Bench and they are kept for hearing on 5th April, 2017. He, therefore, prays that the present case be placed before the Division Bench on the said date.

3. In view of the aforesaid submission made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, place the present case before the Division Bench on 5th April, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 07.03.2017- HDD(SB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.154/2017.

(Smt. Naseemabano N. Patel Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).

(This matter is placed before Single Bench due
to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 07.03.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri A. N. Nagargoje, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Considering the fact that the present applicant is discharging quasi-judicial function and that the material against her as is shown at page no.145 is the order in such a capacity and prima facie, it appears that, even the Wakf Tribunal has passed the similar order that can be seen from page no.62, presently interim stay to the further proceeding in D.E. is hereby granted until further orders.

3. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 05.04.2017.

4. Tribunal may take the cases for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 14.03.2017.

9. Steno copy & hamdust allowed to both the parties.

MEMBER (J).

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.547/2016.

(Shri D. U. Rathod Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).

(This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 07.03.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri Amit Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Shri S. D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. R. S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply, if any, for Respondent no.2 The Dy. Inspector General, though affidavit in reply of Respondent no.4 The Director General of Police is already filed on record. At her request, S.O. to 5.4.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 07-03-2017-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.929/2016.

(Shri V. A. Wagh Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).

(This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 07.03.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Miss Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files on record the copy of the communication received by her from the Respondents in pursuance of the order passed on 14.2.2017. It would show that, competent authority has taken a decision to continue with the Departmental Enquiry by observing that, the proceeding in the criminal case are totally different. Copy of the same is also supplied to the other side. In the circumstances, learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 30.3.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 07-03-2017-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

MA NO.340/16 IN CP ST.1574/16 IN OA 516/03.

(Dr. V. B. Sarwade Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).

(This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 07.03.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri V. B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt D. S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no.1 and Shri S. B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri P. R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the Respondent no.2.

2. At the request of Shri S. B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri P. R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the Respondent no.2 time granted for filing affidavit in reply, as a last chance, S.O. to 29.3.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 07-03-2017-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.881/2016.

(M.S. Civil Eng. Asstt.Emp.Asson. Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).

(This matter is placed before Single Bench due
to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 07.03.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri S. D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt P. R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. At her request, S.O. to 5.4.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 07-03-2017-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.622/2016.

(Shri M. T. Takalkar Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).

(This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 07.03.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri R. P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt P. R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondents No. 4 to 6 and she seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondents no.1 to 3. At her request, S.O. to 6.4.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 07-03-2017-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.643/2016.

(Shri M. T. Takalkar Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).

(This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 07.03.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri R. P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt P. R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondents No. 4 to 6 and she seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondents no.1 to 3. At her request, S.O. to 6.4.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 07-03-2017-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.148/2016.

(Shri. L. B. Kadam Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).

(This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 07.03.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri A. D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M. P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent no.1. None present for the Respondents no.2 to 4.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. At his request, S.O. to 5.4.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 07-03-2017-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.226/2015.

(Shri. R. B. Yedke Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).

(This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 07.03.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri A. D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent no.1. Shri G. N. Patil, learned Advocate for the Respondents no.2 to 4 has filed leave note.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. At his request, S.O. to 5.4.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 07-03-2017-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

MA NO.348/2016 IN OA ST.NO.1609/2016.

(Shri. A. M. Kale Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).

(This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 07.03.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri V. G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S. K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no.1, 3 & 6. None present for the Respondents no. 2,4 and 5.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. At his request, S.O. to 5.4.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 07-03-2017-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.149/2016.

(Shri. M. U. Sonwane & Ors. Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).

(This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 07.03.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri A. D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V. R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent no.1. None present for Respondents no.2 to 4.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. At his request, S.O. to 5.4.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 07-03-2017-ATP

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.150/2016.

(Shri. T. L. Bhondgal Vs. State of Mah.& Ors.)

CORAM:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, MEMBER (J).

(This matter is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 07.03.2017.

ORAL ORDER:-

Heard Shri A. D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent no.1. None present for Respondents no.2 to 4.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. At his request, S.O. to 5.4.2017.

MEMBER (J).

ORAL ORDERS 07-03-2017-ATP