

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 517/2017  
(Anup Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that, answer sheet submitted by the applicant along with assessment made thereof by the respondents would be a proper answer as to how the answer paper is assessed by the respondents during the first round and thereupon the revision of the answer key.

3. In the circumstances, the respondents to file copy of above documents on record with copy to the learned Advocate for the applicant.

4. S.O. to 9.11.2017 for compliance by the respondents. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

5. Steno copy be provided to the learned P.O. for the respondents.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 05.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 535/2017**

**(Dr. Shrinivas Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time for taking instructions from the concerned respondents on the line of the order dtd. 7.9.2017. At his request S.O. to 15.11.2017 for taking instructions.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 05.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 543/2017**

**(Dr. Prithviraj Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time for taking instructions from the concerned respondents on the line of the order dtd. 7.9.2017. At his request S.O. to 15.11.2017 for taking instructions.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 05.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 569/2017  
(Anuradha Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.V. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Copy of affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 & 2 is served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. S.O. to 11.11.2017 for admission hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 05.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 583/2017  
(Satish Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions from the applicant regarding withdrawal of the present O.A., if any. At his request S.O. to 31.10.2017 for taking instructions from the applicant.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 05.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 483/2017  
(Dr. Shivaji Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. files on record the copy of the communication received to him along with copy of the report, which would show that the corrective steps as are directed by the Tribunal vide order dtd. 13.9.2017 are taken by the respondents.

3. In the circumstances, the learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the present O.A. be disposed of as the relief sought by the applicant is redressed by the respondents.

4. Accordingly, the present O.A. stands disposed of without any order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 05.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MA 474/2016 IN OA ST. 2044/2016  
(Suresh Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Both the sides submit that the issue that is involved in the O.A. is pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that, the policy decision was challenged by some of the employees and the same was set aside by this Tribunal as well as by the Hon'ble High Court. Since the said decision is not made applicable to the applicant in the O.A., who was not party to that litigation, he has filed the O.A. and as there was some delay in filing the O.A. he has filed the present M.A. for condonation of said delay.

3. Perused the misc. application. Considered the contentions. For the reasons stated in the M.A. the same is allowed and disposed of and the delay caused in filing O.A. is condoned.

4. The Office to register the O.A. after due scrutiny.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 05.10.2017



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**OA ST. 2044/2016  
(Suresh Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in the present O.A., returnable on 22.11.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 22.11.2017.

8. Steno copy & hamdast allowed to both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 05.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MA 368/2017 IN OA 563/2014  
(Tushar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.V. Tungar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that, instead of joining the Committee constituted by the Joint Director (Sports), it would be necessary to go through 6 G.Rs. / Circulars, which are referred in the decision of the said Committee (Annex. A.7 page 31 of the O.A.). In the circumstances, the learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he would file those 6 G.Rs. / Circulars on record. S.O. to 8.11.2017 for filing copies of G.Rs. / Circulars by the learned Advocate for the applicant.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 05.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MA 418/17 IN MA 227/17 IN CP ST. 570/17 IN OA 374/16  
(David & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that vide order dtd. 28.7.2017 passed in M.A. no. 227/2017 the copy of the communication showing the fact that the representation of the applicant is decided by the res. no. 2 was taken on record and marked as document 'X'. On perusing the documents, it reveals that the said document 'X' is not kept in the record.

3. In the circumstances, the learned P.O. is directed to file on record Office copy of the said communication (document 'X') and also the copy of the decision annexed therewith. The Office is

**::-2-::**  
**MA 418/17 IN MA 227/17 IN CP**  
**ST. 570/17 IN OA 374/16**

also directed to search the said document and place it on record. S.O. to 15.11.2017 for compliance from the learned P.O. as well as from the Office.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 05.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MA 380/17 IN CP ST. 1300/17 IN OA 207/15  
(Balika Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.D. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Shaikh Mazhar A. Jahagirdar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents. He submits that in fact there is difficulty in implementation of the order of the Tribunal dtd. 25.10.2016 in O.A. no. 207/2015 in view of provisions of G.R. which was not on record at the time of hearing of the O.A. S.O. to 14.11.2017 for filing affidavit in reply.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 05.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 363/16**

**(Shriram & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Shinde, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.S. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Shri Shinde, learned Advocate holding for Shri Kore, learned Advocate for the applicants submits that, he has perused the order of the Tribunal dtd. 14.9.2017. He seeks time to take instructions from the applicant. At his request S.O. to 13.11.2017 for taking instructions from the applicant.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 05.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 484/13  
(Nagnath Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 16.11.2017 for filing rejoinder.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 05.10.2017



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 146/13  
(Dulbha Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Upon going through the record, it appears that the decision on merit is required in the matter. Hence, the O.A. is removed from the board and it be placed before the Division Bench as and when it is available.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 05.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 300/15  
(Santosh Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)**

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Upon going through the record, it appears that the decision on merit is required in the matter. Hence, the O.A. is removed from the board and it be placed before the Division Bench as and when it is available.

**VICE CHAIRMAN.**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 05.10.2017

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.716/2017  
(Shri Md. Sajid Irfan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.M.Murkute learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 08-11-2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

**7. Before filing any affidavit in reply respondent no.2 is directed to peruse Annexure A-7 (paper book page 37) and to take**

**steps for posting of the present applicant at the earliest, if not otherwise prevented by any rules or procedure, and to file compliance report on or before 08-11-2017 in this Tribunal.**

**8. In case respondent no.2 is unable to take steps due to any rules or procedure a short affidavit explaining reasons thereof shall be filed on the next date.**

9. Learned P.O. to act on steno copy of the order.

10. S.O.to 08-11-2017.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.51/2016 IN O.A.St.No.183/2016  
(Shri Vijay Salve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.S.Borkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. For the reasons stated in the M.A., delay of 1198 days in filing present O.A. is hereby condoned, more specifically as the applicant is seeking compassionate appointment. Accordingly, M.A. stands disposed of without any order as to costs. O.A. be registered and numbered on payment of necessary court fees if not paid and after removal of office objections, if any.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**Original Application St.No.183/2016  
(Shri Vijay Salve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.S.Borkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 15-11-2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O.to 15-11-2017.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.64/2016 IN O.A.St.No.1991/2015  
(Shri Appa Chikte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.B.Gaikwad learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. points out that steps for filing M.A. for amendment are not taken by the applicant.

3. In view of absence of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21-11-2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.395/2015**  
**(Shri Rajaram Gaikwad & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.R.Bangar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents. Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for the respondent no.7 is **absent**.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions as to whether to withdraw the O.A. or not. Learned P.O. submits that as per order dated 25-09-2017, grievance of the applicant is redressed.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant however submits that communication could not be established with the applicant.

4. On his request, S.O. to 14-11-2017 for taking instructions for withdrawal of the O.A. or otherwise. Matter be treated as part heard.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05-10-2017 VC F



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.416/2017 IN O.A.No.176/2017  
(State of Maharashtra & Ors. V/s. Shri Jalindar Ubale)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the applicants (original respondents).

Shri K.G.Salunke learned Advocate for the respondent (original applicant) is **absent**.

2. Learned P.O. makes a statement that he will serve copy of the M.A. on the learned Advocate for the applicant in the O.A. He states that he has not received any instruction from the respondents whether to comply or challenge the order passed by the Tribunal.

3. Issue notice to the respondents in the M.A., returnable on 16-11-2017.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O.to 16-11-2017.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.846/2016  
(Shri Kishor Bagul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.K.Bhosle learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri M.K.Bhosle states that he has filed Vakalatnama on behalf of the applicant in the office

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 08-11-2017 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.392/2017  
(Ku. Sheela Mohite V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.R.Kawade learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 15-11-2017 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.400/2017  
(Smt. Vrushali Doiphode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents, Shri Yogesh Dhoble learned Advocate for respondent no.4 and Shri N.P.Dube learned Advocate for respondent no.5.

2. Shri Dube, learned Advocate states that he will file on behalf of respondent no.5 during the course of the day. He also undertakes to serve copy of the reply on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he wants to pray for interim relief.

4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 12-10-2017 for filing reply of other respondents. Matter be placed for hearing on the point of interim relief on 12-10-2017 before the same Bench consisting of Hon'ble Shri B.P.Patil, Member (J).

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.419/2017  
WITH  
CAVEAT NO.18/2017  
(Shri Bhaskar Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondent and Shri S.B.Mene learned Advocate for respondent nos.2 to 5.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11-10-2017 for filing rejoinder as well as for hearing on continuation of interim relief.

3. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05-10-2017 VC F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.417/2017 IN O.A.NO.618/2017  
(Shobha Khade & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time take instructions from the applicant regarding withdrawal of the present O.A. in view of the fact that prayer clause "C" appears to have been dealt with by the concerned respondents by making deposit of the disputed amount in the name of the original deceased applicant.

3. As regards other prayers, it appears that those are depending on the decision already rendered by this Tribunal giving direction to the respondents to decide departmental appeal with a certain period.

4. In case of non-compliance of the order, applicant has remedy to file M.A. for contempt and not separate O.A. In that view of the matter learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions from the applicant to withdraw the O.A.

5. S.O. to 07-11-2017 for taking instructions on the above line.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 677 OF 2017  
(Shri Vikas P. Saygunde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
(This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability  
of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Ambore, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant was to make a statement regarding withdrawal, if any of the present Original Application vide order dated 19<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.

3. In view of the absence of the applicant and his Advocate, S.O. to 8<sup>th</sup> November, 2017 for taking instructions or for passing necessary orders.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05-10-2017-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 718 OF 2017  
(Shri Mohamad Arifoddin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
(This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability  
of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Poonam V. Bodke Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 13<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the

**O.A. NO. 718 OF 2017**

questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Learned Advocate for the applicant is seeking stay to the execution of the impugned order dated 16.09.2017. However, it is to be noted here that, the said order has already been implemented. In the circumstances, the prayer for grant of interim relief is rejected.

8. S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

9. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05-10-2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 420/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1509/2017  
(Smt. Ashvini P. Sonavane & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
(This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability  
of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicants and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the misc. application and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamp, if not paid, and accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, and present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05-10-2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 1509 OF 2017  
(Smt. Ashvini P. Sonavane & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**  
**(This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability  
of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicants and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Upon registration of the present O.A., issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 22<sup>nd</sup> November, 2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the

**O.A. ST.NO. 1509 OF 2017**

questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Learned Advocate for the applicant makes a statement that the respondents have issued an advertisement inviting general candidates for same post on which presently the applicants are working on ad hoc basis.

8. Without going into the merit of the present case, considering the plea made in the O.A., presently interim relief in terms of prayer clause 15 'A' is hereby granted till the next date. The said interim prayer clause 'A' reads as under: -

***“15 (A) Pending hearing and final disposal of this Original Application, the respondent Nos. 4 & 5 may kindly be directed not to discontinue or terminate the service of the applicants as per the period mentioned in the bond/agreement executed between the applicants and the respondents.”***

9. S.O. to 22<sup>nd</sup> November, 2017.

10. The respondents shall file their affidavit in reply on or before the next date.

**:: - 3 - ::**

**O.A. ST.NO. 1509 OF 2017**

11. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05-10-2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**REV. NO. 3/2017 IN O.A.NO. 341/2014  
(Shri Shivaji K. Suryavanshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
(This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability  
of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.B. Narwade Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Perused the order dated 13<sup>th</sup> July, 2017 passed by this Tribunal. It prima facie appears that while advertisement, (page-80), does not provide that the candidate should possess the license for transport vehicle, the applicant, however is insisting that the respondent No. 3 could not have been selected, as he does not possess any license of transport category.

3. In the circumstances, the present original application cannot be admitted. However, since the present case pertains to the Division Bench, it be removed from the board presently and be

placed before the Division Bench firstly for hearing on the issue of admission, as and when it is available.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05-10-2017-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 82 OF 2017  
(Shaikh Rabbani V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
(This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability  
of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Ashlesha Raut, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. Learned Presenting Officer submits that presently the affidavit in reply of respondent No. 1 is not required.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time to file rejoinder affidavit. At her request, S.O.to 16<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05-10-2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 113 OF 2017  
(Marathwada Sarva Shramik V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
(This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability  
of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 20<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05-10-2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 153 OF 2017  
(Dr. Ramnath B. Hemke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
(This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability  
of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 & 6, present. Shri Avinash D. Aghav, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5 has fled leave note.

2. Learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 & 6 has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 6 and the same is taken on record.

3. In view of leave note filed by learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5, S.O. to 8<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05-10-2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 220 OF 2017  
(Archana N. Shendge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
(This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability  
of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> November, 2017 for filing affidavit in reply, as a last chance. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05-10-2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 272 OF 2017  
(Dr. Vaishali P. Deshpande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
(This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability  
of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Juee Palekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents prays for time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> November, 2017 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05-10-2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 330 OF 2017  
(Shri Dinesh S. Thakur V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
(This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability  
of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Madhur A. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. It transpires from the proceedings that on the last occasion i.e. on 14.09.2017 also none was present on behalf of the applicant. It further transpires from the proceedings that the applicant has not taken any steps to serve notices since long though interim relief has already been granted in favour of the applicant.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 2<sup>nd</sup> November, 2017 as a last chance.

4. It is made clear that in case no steps are taken by the applicant on the next date, the present O.A. shall stand automatically dismissed in default and also vacation of the interim relief, without reference to the Tribunal.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 384 OF 2017  
(Shri Ramesh J. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
(This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability  
of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Chandrakant P. Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21<sup>st</sup> November, 2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05-10-2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 441 OF 2017  
(Shri D.B. Bhutekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
(This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability  
of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ramesh I. Wakade, learned Advocate  
for the applicant (**absent**). Mrs. Priya R.  
Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondents, present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant,  
S.O. to 8<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05-10-2017-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 440 OF 2017  
(Shri Vijay R. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
(This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability  
of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that during the course of the day affidavit in reply would be filed.

3. From the application, it appears that the arguable case is made out. Hence, the present case is admitted and it be removed from the board and be placed before the Division Bench for hearing as and when it is available. Notices are dispensed with.

4. The applicant is at liberty to file affidavit in rejoinder by circulating the present case.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05-10-2017-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 183 OF 2017  
(Shri Ajit Bhimrao Navale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
(This matter is placed before the  
Single Bench due to non-availability  
of Division Bench)**

**DATE : 05.10.2017.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Heard both sides. Read the order dated 19.09.2017.

3. The previous experience as Home-guard for three years' is the qualification for selection to the present post from the reserved category (page-31 of the paper book of O.A.). The impugned letter from the concerned respondent would show that since the present applicant has worked as a Home-guard for a period between 23.01.2014 and 22.01.2017, he had not completed three years tenure at the time of filing of the application for the post.

4. Annexure 'A-3' (page-29), however, would show that the present applicant was selected for Home-guard vide the said list dated 28.02.2013. Learned Advocate for the applicant, therefore, prays for time to take instructions from the

**O.A. NO. 183 OF 2017**

applicant as to what is the date of joining to the post of Home-guard in view of two different dates as given above.

5. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 8<sup>th</sup> November, 2017 for taking instructions.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 05-10-2017-HDD