

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 510 OF 2015**

{Shri Abdul Rashid Sandalji Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 21.02.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. None appears for the applicant. Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.
2. The learned Presenting Officer points out the fact that the earlier contempt petition was withdrawn in view of the compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal in the original application. However, for implementation of the said order this original application is filed by the applicant.
3. In view of absence of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.3.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.2.2017

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 132 OF 2012**

{Shri Chandrakant M. Suryawanshi Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 21.02.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri D.S. Bagul, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 16.3.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.2.2017

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 664 OF 2016**

{Shri Sambhaji W. Asole Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J)**  
**(This matter is placed before the Single Bench**  
**due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**DATE :- 21.02.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. None appears for the applicant. Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.
2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 22.3.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.2.2017

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 757 OF 2016**

{Shri Digambar R. Sirame Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J)  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**DATE :- 21.02.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 22.3.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.2.2017

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 454 OF 2015**

{Ms. Shobha R. Choudhary Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 21.02.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri S.M. Avhad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Upon hearing both the sides, the learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to find out as to whether the G.R. dated 26.2.2013 also would have retrospective operation as compassionate appointment is being sought by the married daughter of the deceased, who has died on 9.5.2007.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 7.3.2017. The matter be treated as part heard.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.2.2017

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 255 OF 2015**

{Shri Rajaram A. Sande Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 21.02.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. Heard Shri A.R. Devkate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of res. nos. 2 to 4. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. In view thereof, S.O. 9.3.2017 for admission hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.2.2017

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 529 OF 2015**

{Shri Gangaram N. Shirsath Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.}

**CORAM :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.T. Joshi, Member (J)**

**DATE :- 21.02.2017**

**Oral Order :-**

1. None appears for the applicant. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.
2. As none appears for the applicant, S.O. to 15.3.2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.2.2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.237/2016**

(Shri Md. Salim Naim V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri S.P.Landge learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R.Pande learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos.1 and 2 and Shri U.D.Dalvi learned Advocate for respondent no.3.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time for arguing the matter finally. Time granted.

3. S.O.03-04-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.633/2016**  
(Shri R.S.Goski V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time for arguing the matter finally. Time granted.

3. S.O.27-02-2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.65/2017 WITH M.A.St.No.216/2017 IN  
O.A.St.No.217/2017**  
(Shri R.R.Hadoltikar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for leave to amend the M.A. for condonation of delay caused in filing the O.A.

3. Leave as prayed for, is granted.

4. Learned Advocate shall amend the M.A. till next date.

5. S.O.03-03-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.66/2017 IN O.A.St.No.141/2017**  
(Shri Shafi Pasha V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri Quadri Taher Ali learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Applicant has prayed for condonation of 18 days' delay caused in filing the O.A. on the ground that he approached the concerned authorities to supply the copy of G.R. dated 22-05-2005 on the basis of which the concerned authorities rejected his application on 07-01-2017. In spite of several requests made by him concerned authorities have not supplied G.R. and lastly they informed him that the date of G.R. is 22-08-2005, which is wrongly mentioned as 22-05-2005 in the order of the authorities. Said information has been supplied to the applicant vide letter dated 08-12-2016. Due to this reason, delay of 18 days is caused in filing the O.A. and applicant has prayed for condonation of the said delay.

3. To counter these arguments, learned P.O. submits that delay is not properly explained by the applicant, and therefore, delay caused may not be condoned.

4. Perused the documents alongwith O.A. On perusal of the same, it seems that after receiving the communication dated 08-12-2016 rejecting the application of the applicant, he approached the concerned authorities for having copy of G.R. dated 22-05-2005 on the basis of which the concerned authority rejected his claim. Concerned authorities have not supplied the information and lastly informed him that the date of G.R. has been wrongly mentioned as 22-05-2005 and in fact, it is 22-08-2005 by its communication date 08-12-2016, which is received by the applicant on 03-01-2017.

5. In view of above, reasons mentioned in the M.A.No.66/2017 seem to be just and sufficient to condone the delay caused in filing the O.A. Valuable

rights of the applicant are involved in the dispute, hence, M.A. is allowed in the interest of justice. Delay caused in filing the O.A. is condoned. M.A.No.66/2017 stands disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

6. Registry is directed to register and number the O.A. after removal of office objection, if any, as per procedure.

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.141/2017**  
(Shri Shafi Pasha V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri Quadri Taher Ali learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. 27-03-2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.72/2017 IN O.A.St.No.187/2017**  
(Shri S.D.Choudhary V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It appears that delay of more than 7 years is caused in filing the O.A. Hence, issue notice to the respondents in the M.A., returnable on 29-03-2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

=2=

M.A.No.72/2017 IN O.A.St.No.187/2017

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

8. S.O.29-03-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.75/2017 IN O.A.St.No.124/2017**  
(Shri B.V.Khade V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)  
DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Applicant has filed application for condonation of delay of 6 years and 5 months caused in filing the O.A. on the ground that competent authority has not decided his appeal against the decision of the disciplinary authority by which he has been held guilty of the misconduct and imposed punishment. Applicant further submits that he has retired on 31<sup>st</sup> May, 2016 but appeal is not decided as yet. Therefore, he prayed for condonation of delay caused in filing the O.A.

3. Considering these facts, issue notice to the respondents in the M.A., returnable on 24-03-2017.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

9. S.O. 24-03-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.76/2017 IN O.A.St.No.252/2017**  
(Shri S.D.Thale V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Shri V.G.Salgare learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. Since none present for the applicant,  
S.O.21-03-2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.788/2015**  
(Shri S.M.Padvi V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri D.K.Borkar learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time filing rejoinder. Time granted.

3. S.O.10<sup>th</sup> March, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.789/2015**  
(Shri J.M.Wasave V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri D.K.Borkar learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time filing rejoinder. Time granted.

3. S.O.10<sup>th</sup> March, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.790/2015**  
(Shri H.K.Wasave V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri D.K.Borkar learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time filing rejoinder. Time granted.

3. S.O.10<sup>th</sup> March, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.791/2015**  
(Shri B.A.Raut V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri D.K.Borkar learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time filing rejoinder. Time granted.

3. S.O.10<sup>th</sup> March, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.03/2016**  
(Shri S.R.Tadavi V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri D.K.Borkar learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time filing rejoinder. Time granted.

3. S.O.10<sup>th</sup> March, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.04/2016**  
(Shri B.B.Wsave V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri D.K.Borkar learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time filing rejoinder. Time granted.

3. S.O.10<sup>th</sup> March, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.320/2016**  
(Shri M.N.Bengal V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate holding for Shri V.P.Golewar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents and Shri R.P.Bhumkar learned Advocate for respondent no.5.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Shri R.P.Bhumkar learned Advocate states that he does not wish to file reply of respondent no.5.

4. This case be placed for final hearing on 1<sup>st</sup> March, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.338/2016**  
(Shri K.S.Wadhe V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri P.B.Rakhunde learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B.Bhapkar learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos.1 and 2 and Shri A.D.Sonar learned Advocate holding for Shri N.N.Desale learned Advocate for respondent no.3.

2. Learned Advocate prays for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.3. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O.23-02-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.767/2016**  
(Shri L.N.Pawar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Shri M.M.Kadtu learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. Since none present for the applicant,  
S.O.27-03-2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.806/2016**  
(Shri R.S.Patil V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Shri V.P.Patil learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. None is present for the applicant.
3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply. Time granted.
4. S.O.29-03-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.848/2016**

(Dr. Md. Gulam Subhani V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri Quadri Taher Ali learned Advocate holding for Shri Zia Ull Mustafa learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos.1 to 3, Shri N.S.Kadam learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5, and Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for respondent no.6.

2. Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned P.O. for respondent nos.1 to 3, and Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for respondent no.6, sought time to file reply on behalf of respective respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O.10-03-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.24/2017**  
(Shri R.N.Shrimangale V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O.16-03-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.53/2017**  
(Dr. G.R.Pawar V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri H.K.Munde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned CPO prays for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O.29-03-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.435/2016**  
(Shri P.Y.Patil V/s. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri A.D.Sonar learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B.Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents. Shri V.M.Vibhute learned Advocate for respondent no.7 is **absent**.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for adjournment for arguing the matter finally. Adjournment granted.

3. S.O. 28-02-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.77/2017 IN O.A.St.No.822/2015**

(The State of Mah. & Ors. V/s. Shri S.G.Phusnake)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for the misc. applicant (original respondents). Shri K.G.Salunke learned Advocate for the respondent (original applicant) is **absent**.

2. Issue notice to the respondent (original applicant) in the M.A., returnable on 27-02-2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

=2=

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

8. S.O. 27-02-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.78/2017 IN O.A.St.No.823/2015**  
(The State of Mah. & Ors. V/s. Shri G.R.Gaikwad)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)  
DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for the misc. applicant (original respondents). Shri K.G.Salunke learned Advocate for the respondent (original applicant) is **absent**.

2. Issue notice to the respondent (original applicant) in the M.A., returnable on 27-02-2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

=2=

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

8. S.O. 27-02-2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.79/2017 IN O.A.St.No.824/2015**  
(The State of Mah. & Ors. V/s. Shri B.S.More)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil, Member (J)

DATE : 21-02-2017

**ORAL ORDER:-**

Heard Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the misc. applicant (original respondents). Shri K.G.Salunke learned Advocate for the respondent (original applicant) is **absent**.

2. Issue notice to the respondent (original applicant) in the M.A., returnable on 27-02-2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

=2=

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

8. S.O. 27-02-2017.

YUK ORAL ORDER 21-02-2017

**MEMBER (J)**

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 73/17 IN C.P.ST.227/17 IN O.A.74/15**

**(Dr. Girish Hanmant Thite Vs. The State of Maharashtra  
and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI M.T. JOSHI,  
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 21.02. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the notice may be issued to respondent No. 3 only [the Dean (Dr. Sunil S/o Vinayak Ghate), S.R.T.R. Government Medical College, Ambajogai, Dist. Beed, who is allegedly to be contemnor in this case.
3. Hence, issue notice to respondent No. 3 only, returnable on 30<sup>th</sup> March, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.02.2017- HDD(DB)



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 74/17 IN C.P.ST.229/17 IN O.A.78/15**

**(Dr. Vijay S/o. Vasantrao Deshpande Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI M.T. JOSHI,  
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 21.02. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the notice may be issued to respondent No. 3 only [the Dean (Dr. Sunil S/o Vinayak Ghate), S.R.T.R. Government Medical College, Ambajogai, Dist. Beed, who is allegedly to be contemnor in this case.
3. Hence, issue notice to respondent No. 3 only, returnable on 30<sup>th</sup> March, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.02.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**R.C.S.32/1989 (T.A.NO. 194/1993)**

**(Shri Vishwanath V. Bejgamwar Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI M.T. JOSHI,  
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 21.02. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. The present proceedings are registered upon transfer of Regular Civil Suit No. 32/1989 to this Tribunal.
3. Pleadings are completed, as plaint and written statements are already on record, even oral evidence is also recorded by the Civil Court.
4. In the circumstances, admit. Both sides waive notice upon admission.
5. To be heard expeditiously.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.02.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 510 OF 2016**

**(Shri Vijaykumar S/o. Haribhau Gade Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI M.T. JOSHI,  
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 21.02. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Shri M.U. Shelke – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
2. The learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 and the same is taken on record. He undertakes to serve copy of the reply upon the learned Advocate for the Applicant.
3. S.O. to 22<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.02.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 663 OF 2016**

**(Dr. Shri Sadanand S/o. Nathrao Latpate Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI M.T. JOSHI,  
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 21.02. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Arguable case is made out.
3. Admit. Both sides waive notice upon admission.
4. To be heard expeditiously. Interim relief to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.02.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 587 OF 2016**

**(Shri Vijaysing Hiralal Bagul Vs. The State of Maharashtra  
and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI M.T. JOSHI,  
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 21.02. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar – learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Vivek Bhavthankar – learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed affidavit in rejoinder and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned other side.
3. It appears that ground No. 2 (page-6) is not answered properly in affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3, as is found from page-27.
4. In the circumstances, the respondents are directed to file specific affidavit in reply on ground No. 2, as is found in the Original Application.
5. S.O. to 16<sup>th</sup> March, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.02.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 713 OF 2016**

**(Shri Mohd. Anwar S/o. Mohd. Ismail Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI M.T. JOSHI,  
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 21.02. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Kolekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D. Godhamgaonkar – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 5<sup>th</sup> April, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.02.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLCIATION NO. 740 OF 2016**

**(Shri Shankar S/o. Haridash Jadhav Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI M.T. JOSHI,  
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 21.02. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Shri R.K. Ashtekar – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Swapnil Toshniwal – learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. At the request of learned Advocate Shri Swapnil Toshniwal for respondent No. 4, S.O. to 5<sup>th</sup> April, 2017 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.02.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 67 OF 2017**

**(Smt. Surekha d/o. Murlidhar Tak Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI M.T. JOSHI,  
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 21.02. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi – learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. It appears that necessary parties are not joined in the present Original Application.
3. In the circumstances, to take corrective steps, if any, S.O. to 30<sup>th</sup> March, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.02.2017- HDD(DB)



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 331 OF 2016**

**(Shri Deepak Vasanttrao Galande & Ors. Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI M.T. JOSHI,  
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 21.02. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Shri Madhav C. Ghode – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. It appears that the notices are not collected since long though on some occasions the learned Advocate for the Applicant remained present.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 8<sup>th</sup> March, 2017 either for taking steps or for passing necessary orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.02.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 118/2016 IN C.P.ST.94/2016 IN O.A. 465/2012**

**(Mohammed Zakiyoddin S/o. Mohammed Anisoddin Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI M.T. JOSHI,  
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 21.02. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Asif Ali, learned Advocate holding for Ms. A.N. Ansari – learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirase – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that an order passed by this Tribunal is challenged in the Hon'ble Bombay High Court Bench at Aurangabad and today itself it is fixed for hearing.
3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 22<sup>nd</sup> March, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.02.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 122/2016 IN O.A.NO. 690/2013**

**(Shri Vijay S/o. Govind Salunke Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI M.T. JOSHI,  
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 21.02. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni – learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri Vivek Bhavthankar – learned Special Counsel for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.
2. Learned Special Counsel Shri Vivek Bhavthankar for respondent Nos. 2 & 3 has filed affidavit in reply on their behalf and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served upon the other side.
3. S.O. to 7<sup>th</sup> March, 2017 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.02.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 198/16 IN C.P.ST.746/16 IN O.A.318/14**

**(Shri Iqbal S/o. Abdul Aziz Patel Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI M.T. JOSHI,  
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 21.02. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh – learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar – learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Smt. Ujjwal Agrawal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vinod Joshi – learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 submits that the order in question is complied with. Shri Avinash S. DEshmkh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, however, seeks time to take instructions from his client i.e. the Applicant in this regard. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 16<sup>th</sup> March, 2017.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.02.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**MA. 340/16 IN C.P.ST.1574/16 IN O.A. 516/03**

**(Dr. Vijaykumar S/o. Baliram Sarwade Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI M.T. JOSHI,  
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 21.02. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh – learned Advocate for the applicant, Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande – learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1 and Shri P.R. Tandale – learned Advocate for respondent No. 2.

2. At the request of Learned Advocate Shri P.R. Tandale for respondent No. 2, S.O. to 7<sup>th</sup> March, 2017 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.02.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 360/2016 IN O.A.ST.NO.1544/2016**

**(Shri Ram S/o. Bapurao Pawar Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI M.T. JOSHI,  
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 21.02. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Shri C.R. Thorat – learned Advocate for the applicant  
(**absent**). Shri M.P. Gude – learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondents, present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 21<sup>st</sup>  
March, 2016 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.02.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 369/2016 IN O.A.NO. 225/2012**

**(Shri Eranna S/o. Masnaji Kongalwar Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra a and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI M.T. JOSHI,  
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 21.02. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Shri U.P. Giri – learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
2. Read order dated 24<sup>th</sup> October, 2016. Leaned Advocate for the Applicant sought time for filing M.A. for condonation of delay caused in filing M.A. for restoration.
3. In view of the absence of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 10<sup>th</sup> March, 2017 either for taking appropriate steps or for passing necessary orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.02.2017- HDD(DB)

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 413/16 IN C.P.ST.1846/16 IN O.A.357/15**

**(Smt. Aruna Ganpatrao Suryawanshi @ Aruna Prakash  
JadhavVs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI M.T. JOSHI,  
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)**

**DATE : 21.02. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade – learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. This Miscellaneous Application No. 413/2016 has been filed by the applicant seeking permission to file contempt petition against the respondents for non-compliance of order in question passed by this Tribunal dated 09/08/2016.
3. Both sides submitted that the order in question is complied with and no grievance has remained.
4. Learned Presenting Officer also has also filed on record a copy of necessary communication in this regard and the same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for identification purpose.
5. In view of the aforesaid submissions made on behalf of both the sides and in view of aforesaid communication filed by the learned Presenting Officer today, this Miscellaneous Application is disposed of without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.02.2017- HDD(DB)



**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 62/2017 IN O.A.259/2001  
(Shri Pandurang M. Markad (Died) through LRs Vs. The State of  
Maharashtra and Others.)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI M.T. JOSHI,  
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)**

**DATE : 21.02. 2017.**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. Heard Shri Y.K. Bobde, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.B. Shinde – learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This Miscellaneous Application has been filed by the legal heirs of the original applicant No. 1 in O.A. No. 259/2001 viz. Pandurang Manikrao Markad, seeking prayer that by extending time in view of order dated 23.09.2016 passed in M.A. No. 23/2015, the applicants in the present M.A. may be permitted to take their names on records as the LRs of the original applicant No. 1 in O.A. No. 259/2001.

3. Perused the Miscellaneous Application. Considered the contentions. For the reasons stated in the present Miscellaneous Application, the same is allowed and the amendment be carried out forthwith. Judgment dated 21.10.2016 be corrected accordingly.

4. Accordingly, the present Miscellaneous Application sands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 21.02.2017- HDD(DB)