ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 929/2017

(Smt. Akshada R. Aghav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 8.1.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in

::-2-:: O.A. NO. 929/2017

the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. Considering the facts on record, without making any comments on the merit of the present O.A. at this stage, interim relief in terms of prayer clause (C) of the present O.A. is hereby granted, which reads as under:-
 - "(C) Pending hearing and final disposal of this Original Application, the respondent No. 3 M.P.S.C., may kindly be directed to conduct the oral examination / interview of the present applicant on the basis of qualification."
- 8. In the circumstances, the res. no. 3 is hereby directed to conduct the oral examination / interview of the present applicant on the date suitable to the res. no. 3 by sending a communication to the applicant by usual mode as prescribed by the res. no.3.
- 9. S.O. to 8.1.2018.
- 10. Steno copy allowed to both the sides.

M.A. NO. 491/2017 IN O.A. NO. 708/2017 (Shri Vishalkumar A. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.D. Bachate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents in the present M.A., returnable on 16.1.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in

<u>::-2-::</u> M.A. 491/2017 IN O.A. NO. 708/2017

the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. S.O. to 16.1.2018.
- 8. Steno copy allowed for the use of both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. NO. 498/2017 IN O.A. ST. NO. 1832/2017 (Shri Santosh B. Jadhav & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The present M.A. has been filed by the applicants for permission to sue jointly.
- 3. Perused the application. Considered the contentions.
- 4. For the reasons stated in the M.A. it is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs and the applicants are permitted to sue jointly the O.A., subject to payment of requisite court fees by each of the applicants, if not already paid.
- 5. The Office to register the accompanying O.A. on due scrutiny.

VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A. ST. NO. 1832/2017

(Shri Santosh B. Jadhav & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents in the present O.A., returnable on 11.1.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in

<u>::-2-::</u> O.A. ST. 1832/2017

the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. S.O. to 11.1.2018.
- 8. Steno copy allowed for the use of both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN

C.P. NO. 02/2016 IN O.A. NO. 344/2014

(The Association of Technical Assistant though its President Shri Tukaram V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri Vivek Dhage, learned Advocate for respondent no. 1 and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no. 2.

- 2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of the Tribunal to replace the name of res. no.
- 1. He is permitted to do so. The said replacement be carried out during the course of the day.
- 3. Issue notice to newly replaced res. no. 1, returnable on 23.1.2018.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 739/2016 (Shri Datta V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.V. Patil (Indrale), learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that, the applicant has not preserved the copy of the application made by him in response to the advertisement.
- 3. The learned P.O. submits that, though steno copy of the earlier order dtd. 4.10.2017 is sent to all the respondents, till this date she has not received any instruction therefrom in this regard. She, therefore, seeks time. At her request, S.O. to 4.1.2018 as a last chance with a caution that, in case the order dtd. 4.10.2017 is not complied with by the concerned respondents by the next date, the Tribunal would be constrained to take a strict action against the concerned respondents including calling a

<u>::-2-::</u> O.A. 739/2016

responsible Officer personally before the Tribunal and / or imposing of heavy costs on them.

4. Steno copy allowed for the use of both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 920/2016

(Smt. Sunita V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.V. Patil (Indrale), learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. After hearing both the sides, it reveals that, arguable case is made out. Hence, present O.A. is hereby admitted. The learned P.O. waives service of notice of admission for the respondents.
- 3. The matter is removed from the board for the time being with a direction to the office to place this matter before the Division Bench for hearing as and when it is available.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 226/2017

(Shri Baliram V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

None appears for the applicant. Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 17.1.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of res. nos. 4 & 5.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 255 WITH 50 BOTH OF 2017 (Shri Pushparaj & Ors. & Shri Balesh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the matters.

- 2. Upon hearing, it appears that, arguable case is made out. Hence the present O.A. is admitted. The learned P.O. waives service of notice of admission for the respondents.
- 3. The present matter is removed from the board for the time being with a direction to the Office to place it before the Division Bench for hearing as and when it is available.
- 4. The interim relief granted already in O.A. no. 50/2017 to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 274/2017 (Shri Anil & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Y.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The learned P.O. files on record surrejoinder of res. nos. 1 to 4 to the rejoinder of the applicant and also to the amended O.A. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicants.
- 3. The learned Advocate for the applicants files on record a communication dtd. 26.5.2017 sent by the Desk Officer of Higher & Technical Education Department to the Director Technical Education, Mumbai, which is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification and copy thereof has been served upon the learned P.O. for the respondents. He submits that he has learnt that the concerned respondent is not acting on the said communication in view of pendency of the present O.A. before the Tribunal.

<u>::-2-::</u> O.A. NO. 274/17

- 4. In the circumstances, it is hereby made clear, that pendency of the present O.A. shall not come in any way for taking legal action on the said communication dtd. 26.5.2017. With these observations, S.O. to 16.1.2018.
- 5. Steno / authenticated copy is allowed for the use of both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 613/2017

(Shri Rushikesh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single

Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. The steps for collecting the notices are not taken by the applicant since 31.8.2017, though from time to time, time was granted therefor. In the circumstances, S.O. to 10.1.2018 either for taking necessary steps by the applicant or for passing orders of dismissal of O.A. in default.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 726/2017 (Smt. Ashvini & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

None appears for the applicants. Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 22.1.2018. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 786/2017

(Shri Kailash V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. undertakes to file affidavit in reply of the respondents during the course of the day. The said affidavit in reply be taken on record and copy thereof be supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant. S.O. to 17.1.2018 for hearing on admission.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 824/2017

(Shri Jeevan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O., S.O. to 15.1.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. 93/2017 WITH M.A. NO. 409/2014 IN OA ST. 1452/2014 (Smt. Manjushree V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 11.1.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 133/2017 (Shri Radhakrushna S. Bodkhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 02.02.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 398/2017 (Shri Devendra D. Joshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri P.D. Bachate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 03.01.2018. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 878/2016 (Shri Nitinkumar T. Adhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and Smt. S.D. Shelke, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 & 4.

At the request of learned Presenting Officer,
 S.O. to 05.02.2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 191/2017 in O.A. St. No. 519/2017 (Shri Sk. Md. Aminuddin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri U.K. Khekale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 29.01.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 752/2017
(Dr. Rajendra G. Godekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.12.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 307/2017 (Shri Prakash S. Gadekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.12.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 594/2017

(Smt. Ranjana R. Pagare & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri R.B. Temak, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. In view of the leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 02.02.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 612/2017 (Smt. Nirmala P. Walke & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri R.B. Temak, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. In view of the leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 02.02.2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 443/2017 in O.A. St. No. 1598/2017 (Shri Bhimrao A. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

At the request of learned Presenting Officer,
 S.O. to 05.02.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 33/2017 (Shri Bharat L. Kharat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1. Shri O.D. Mane, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 (**Leave Note**).

2. In view of the leave note filed by the learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3, S.O. to 17.01.2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. 423/2017 with M.A. St. 1247/2017 in O.A. St. 1248/2017 (Shri Shaikh Md. Hussain & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.12.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 718/2016 (Shri Ashok T. Bari & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri S.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to take instructions from the respondents as to whether the corrective steps have been taken by the respondents as per the directions given by the Tribunal on 1.8.2017. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 05.02.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 802/2016 (Shri Dattu T. Sable V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.B. Salunke, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 06.02.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 23/2017 (Shri Bandu B. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed additional affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3. Same is taken on record.
- At the request of learned Presenting Officer,
 to 02.02.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 58/2017 (Shri Maruti M. Kakad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 02.02.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 144/2017 (Shri Sharad R. Pathak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. In view of the leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.01.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 266/2017 (Shri Sandipan A. Gavali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri D.K. Rajput, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed separate affidavit in replies on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 respectively. Same are taken on record and the copies thereof have served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to take instructions from the respondents. Time granted.
- 4. S.O. to 18.12.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 310/2017 (Dr. Dilip B. Dange V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAN D D DAMII MEMBER (I)

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Today, the learned Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy communication dated 02.12.2017. Same it taken on record and marked as Exhibit-'X' for the purposes of identification.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks short time to take instructions from the applicant as regards communication dated 2.12.2017 filed by the learned P.O. Time granted.
- 4. S.O. to 18.12.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 470/2017 (Shri Shailesh U. Gomsale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of minutes of the meeting. Same is taken on record.
- 3. S.O. to 18.12.2017.
- 4. The matter is to be treated as part heard.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 491/2017 (Shri Santosh U. Gomsale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri R.H. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Salve, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of minutes of the meeting. Same is taken on record.
- 3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.12.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 475/2017 (Shri Chandrakant S. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.P. More, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

At the request of learned Presenting Officer,
 S.O. to 18.12.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 488/2017 (Shri Ramchandra S. Jaybhaye V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 21.12.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 585/2017 (Shri Anandidas B. Pande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODALE D D DAME LEDIEDD (II)

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri S.B. Ghute, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

- Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
 Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 05.02.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 590/2017

(Smt. Kantabai D. Shriram V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 04.01.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 734/2017 (Dr. Atul Ladvanjari & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 and 2, present. Shri M.S. Sonawane, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 & 4, **absent**.

2. In view of the leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 02.02.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 827/2017 (Shri Garol R. Laxminarayan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
 Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 25.01.2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 228/2017 in O.A. St. No. 842/2017 (Dr. Preeti Singh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Ms. Bhavna Panpatil, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.01.2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 446/2017 in O.A. No. 810/2016 (Shri Babu D. Ghute V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1 & 3 and Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2.

- 2. On instructions, the learned Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant does not want to proceed with the M.A. No. 446/2017 and wants to withdraw the same. Therefore, he prayed to dispose of the present M.A.
- 3. In view of the submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, the M.A. No. 446/2017 stands disposed of as withdrawn without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

O.A. No. 810/2016 (Shri Babu D. Ghute V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DAME . 15 10 0017

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 3 and Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2.

2. Pleadings are complete. The O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 25.01.2018. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 924/2017 (Shri Sudhakar R. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to file Misc. Application for condonation of delay. Leave granted.
- 3. S.O. to 19.01.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 149/2017 (Shri Balaji N. Dhongde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Y.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The applicant has challenged the order dated 31.12.2016 passed by the respondent No. 2 imposing punishment in the Departmental Enquiry. The applicant has not challenged the said order by preferring the appeal before the appellate authority in view of the provisions of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline Appeal) Rules, 1979. The appeal against the impugned order is provided under Rule 17 of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline Appeal) Rules, 1979 before the authority as provided in Rule 18 of the said Rules. The applicant has approached this Tribunal without availing remedy of appeal available to him under Maharashtra Civil

Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979. Therefore, the present Original Application shall not be admitted in view of the provisions of Section 20 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985. Consequently, the O.A. deserves to be rejected.

3. In view of the above facts, the O.A. stands dismissed as same shall not be admitted in view of the provision of Section 20 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985. There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 211/2017 (Shri Ashok B. Bankar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.-----

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.M. Shegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Today, the learned Chief Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of communication dated 14.12.2017 issued the Reader, by Preventive and Social Medicine, Rural Health Unit, Paithan, Dist. Aurangabad to the applicant stating that out of amount of Rs. 96,680/- a cheque of Rs. 86,440/- had been prepared in the name of the applicant and remaining amount of Rs. 10,240/- will be disbursed to the applicant on receiving funds from the Government under the said head. Same is taken on record and marked Exhibit-'X' for the purposes of identification. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that almost major portion of the claim raised

by the applicant has been satisfied and the respondents undertake that the balance amount of Rs. 10,240/- will be paid to the applicant in near future and they will prepare the bills of the applicant towards Maharashtra Darshan and Shifting charges after retirement on receiving the original papers, which were misplaced in the office of respondent No. 4. He has also submitted that the respondent No. 4 will search all the record and will trace out the original record regarding releasing the bill of Maharashtra Darshan and Shifting Charges after retirement and the same will be disbursed to the applicant within short time.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has placed on record a copy of communication dated 6.12.2017 received to the applicant from the Reader, Preventive and Social Medicine, Rural Health Unit, Paithan, Dist. Aurangabad regarding copies of bills of Maharashtra Darshan and Shifting Charges. Same is taken on record.

- 4. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has received cheque of Rs. 86,440/-. He has submitted that as the respondent No. 4 has undertaken to pay balance amount of Rs. 10,240/- and also undertaken to prepare bills of Mahrashtra Darshan and Shifting Charges after retirement, the applicant has no objection to dispose of the present O.A. with a direction to the respondent No. 4 to disburse the remaining amount as claimed by him within a stipulated time.
- 5. In view of the submissions made by both the parties, the Original Application is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No. 4 to disburse the balance amount of Rs. 10,240/- to the applicant and also sanction the bills of the applicant as regards Maharashtra Darshan and Shifting Charges within two months from the date of this order as per Rules. There shall be no order as to costs.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 778 OF 2015

[Shri Syed Muzafarrudin Khan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Smt. Ashwini Sahasrabudhe, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Since the office objections have already been removed by the learned Advocate for the applicant and since notice have already been served on the respondents, the office of this Tribunal is directed to register the present Original Application.
- 3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
 - 4. S.O. to 22nd January, 2018.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 262 OF 2017

[Shri Trimbak G. Phasle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file service affidavit. Learned Presenting Officer also seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
 - 3. S.O. to 23rd January, 2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. 368/2017 IN O.A.NO. 563/2014

[Shri Tushar Bapusing Rajput Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM . HISTOR W TO LOCKE V.C.

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri H.V. Tungar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Heard both the sides. The copy of the Government Resolution dated 30.04.2005 filed by the applicant on record, would show that for categories 'C' and 'D' services for seeking reservation from Sport Category, the candidate should have stood up to third rank in State level Championship organized by an authorized State Organization affiliated to Maharashtra Olympic Association (page-4 of G.R.).
- 3. Page-7 of the Government Resolution at item No. 23 is 'Tug-of-war' as a recognized sport.
- 4. Page-19 of the O.A. (Exhibit 'A-4') would show that the present applicant stood second in 15th Maharashtra State Senior Men Tug-of-War Championship held from 16 to 18th August, 2013 by the Maharashtra Tug-of-War Association.
- 5. The said association has proclaimed in the said certificate that it is recognized by the Maharashtra Olympic Association.

M.A. 368/2017 IN O.A.NO. 563/2014

- 6. The impugned communication (at Annexure 'A-7', page-31) disqualifies the present applicant from considering him from Sport Category Reservation. The reason given is that w.e.f. 11.2.2011 the said organization did not remain recognized by the Indian Olympic Association.
- 7. As observed earlier, the Government Resolution dated 30th April, 2005 however, shows that for selection to 'C' and 'D' category services the recognition by Maharashtra Olympic Association is the only criteria.
- 8. The impugned communication is issued by the respondent No. 2 on the basis of the communication received to the said authority from the proposed respondent Nos. 4 & 5.
- 9. In the circumstances, the application for addition of the party is hereby allowed. The proposed respondents be added as party respondents in the O.A. during the course of the day.
- 10. Accordingly, the present Miscellaneous Application stands disposed of without any order as to costs.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 563 OF 2014

[Shri Tushar Pabusing Rajput Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri H.V. Tungar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Upon addition of respondent Nos. 4 & 5 as party respondents in the present case, issue notices to them, returnable on 11th January, 2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the

:: - 2 - ::

O.A. NO. 563 OF 2014

questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 11th January, 2018.
- 8. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO. 414/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1443/2017

[Shri Rajendrakumar P. Barhate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri S.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (absent). Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer prays for time to file reply in the M.A. No. 414/2017. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 24th January, 2018.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 542 OF 2017

[Dr. Sunil P. Bhamre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, S.O. to 11th January, 2018.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 395 OF 2015

[Shri Rajaram J. Gaikwad & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri R.V. Bangar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 6. Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 7 (absent).

2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that the decision on merit would be required. Hence, S.O. to 24th January, 2018 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO. 466/17 IN C.P.ST.1579/17 IN O.A. 156/15

[Shri Sahebrao A. Sormare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the order sought to be complied, was carried by the concerned respondents up to the Hon'ble High Court and after dismissal of the same the implementation of the same is sought. He points that in fact, respondent No. 2 the Director, Ground Water Survey and Development Agency, Pune, has refused to accept the service of notice sent by RPAD. The said envelope containing the notice along with service affidavit is filed on record.
- 3. In the circumstances, issue notice to respondent No. 2 through RPAD calling explanation as to why the contempt proceedings shall not be initiated against him and is also directed to deposit costs of Rs. 10,000/- on the next date, failing which the necessary warrant may be issued.

:: - 2 - :: M.A. 466/17 IN C.P.ST.1579/17 IN O.A. 156/15

- 4. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks replacement of respondent No. 6. He will take instructions regarding the new incumbent and he will take necessary steps.
- 5. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents seeks time to file compliance report from respondent No. 3. Time granted.
- 6. S.O. to 10th January, 2018.
- 7. Learned Presenting Officer to act upon steno copy.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 76 OF 2016

[Shri Pramod Shakar Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri M.M. Bhokarikar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Upon hearing the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, it appears that the decision on merit at the hands of the Division Bench is required. Hence, the present case be removed from the board and it be placed before the Division Bench as and when it is available.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 105 OF 2016

[Shri Amol S. Dhakne & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, by consent.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the representation dated 20.1.2016 filed by the present applicants, a copy of which is placed on page No. 71, Annexure 'A-6', is pending since long before the concerned respondents for decision. He submits that without going into the merits of the case, the present case can be disposed of by issuing directions to the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 to decide the said representation within a prescribed time limit.
- 3. As the aforesaid submission made on behalf of the applicant appears to be reasonable, the present Original Application is disposed of with a direction to respondent Nos. 1 & 2 to decide the representation filed by the applicant within a period of four months from the date of this order.
- 4. It is made clear that in case the copy of the said representation is misplaced by respondent Nos.

O.A. NO. 105 OF 2016

- 1 & 2, the copy in the application itself shall be treated as representation.
- 5. Accordingly, the present Original Application stands disposed of with no order as to costs.
- 6. Both the parties to act on steno copy and authenticated copies.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 125 OF 2016

[Shri Gorakhnath N. Sonawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM . HIGMICE M.M. LOCILL M.C.

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri R.V. Gore, learned Advocate for the applicant (absent). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

- 2. The submission of the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, as well as, the record, would show that the selection process started vide advertisement dated 21.01.2016 was challenged by the applicant. Even notices could not be issued by the applicant for a period of four months and thereafter notices were issued. No interim relief was The learned Presenting Officer for the granted. respondents, therefore, submits that selection process must have been completed and the posting to the selected candidates must have been given. He seeks time to take instructions in this regard.
- 3. In view of the absence of the learned Advocate for the applicant, the office is directed to issue notice to the learned Advocate for the applicant by R.P.A.D., for remaining present on the next date and would preserve the acknowledgment in this regard in the present proceedings.

:: - 2 - ::

O.A. NO. 125 OF 2016

4. S.O. to 22^{nd} January, 2018 for taking instructions by the learned Presenting Officer and for service of notice on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 369 OF 2015

[Shri Kailash D. Patle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, **with consent.**

- 2. The present applicant is challenging the rejection of the present applicant for considering him to the post of Assistant Development Officer of Fisheries from the category of NT-C handicapped.
- 3. The sum and substance of the averment is that the present applicant is B.Sc. with one paper in fisheries. The qualification prescribed by the concerned respondents in the advertisement dated 3.12.2013 ("Annexure-01" page 15) for the said post is that the candidate should have been Bachelor in Fisheries Science (for short 'BFSc) either through papers or through dissertation.
- 4. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant has passed B.Sc. with one paper in Fisheries and, therefore, he was qualified for the same.
- 5. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents however, submits that the candidate is required to obtain a degree of BFSc i.e. Bachelor in Fisheries Science and the said degree may be obtained either through papers or by research.

:: - 2 - :: O.A. NO. 369 OF 2015

- 6. In my opinion, the qualification prescribed in the advertisement is very unambiguous. It requires that the candidate should be BFSc. As the present applicant is merely B.Sc., who had only one subject in Fishery Science, he cannot be said to be entitled for the selection.
- 7. In that view of the matter, the present Original Application is dismissed without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. 318/15 WITH M.A. 10/11 IN O.A. 785/98

[Shri Bhatu Hari Sonar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Zahed Ali, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to take instructions from the concerned respondents, as the record is very old.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 24th January, 2018 for compliance of the order dated 8.8.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO. 447/2017 WITH M.A.NO. 440/2017 IN O.A. 662/2017

[Shri Rohit Dilip Pandit Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.R. Roundale, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, with consent.

2. The present case is heard at length and reserved for orders. Liberty to file synopsis of the case law is granted to both the sides. Both parties shall file synopsis of case law on 20th December, 2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 559 OF 2017

[Dr. Rajkumar B. Jarhad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Others]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE: 15.12.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed on record a copy of representation dated 28.11.2017 addressed to the respondent No. 1, Principal Secretary, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai and the same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for identification purposes. The copy thereof has also been served on the learned Chief Presenting Officer.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant would be satisfied if the directions are issued to the respondent No. 1 to decide the aforesaid representation of the applicant within a prescribed period.
- 4. The aforesaid submission made on behalf of the applicant appears to be reasonable.
- 5. In the circumstances, the O.A. No. 559/2017 is hereby disposed of without any order as to costs with a direction to the respondent No. 1 to decide the representation dated 28.11.2017 filed by the present

:: - 2 - :: O.A. NO. 559 OF 2017

applicant within a period of three months from the date of this order.

6. Learned Chief Presenting Officer to act upon steno copy.

VICE CHAIRMAN