#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 124/2018

(Shri Aminkhan I. Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 12.06.2018.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Ganesh Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.L. Muthal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 25.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 879/2016 (Shri Sk Mujib Sk. Gafar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 25.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 901, 902 & 903 ALL OF 2017 (Dr. Ashok R. Jadhav & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As., Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and 6 in respective O.As. and Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5 in all these O.As.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 19.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 130/2018 (Dr. Yogesh V. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE OPDED WDIDINAL'S OPDEDS

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 27.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 577/2016 (Dr. Baban L. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 12.06.2018.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file application for condonation of delay. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 24.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 124/2018 (Shri Narayan R. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.R. Bangar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to remove the office objection by filing the application for condonation of delay. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 11.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 352/2018 (Dr. Prashant M. Pokharkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri C.K. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 18-07-2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. Reply be filed on or before 18-07-2018.
- 9. S.O. 18-07-2018.
- 10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

#### MEMBER (J)

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 353/2018 (Dr. Shashikant M. Dumbare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri C.K. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 18-07-2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. Reply be filed on or before 18-07-2018.
- 9. S.O. 18-07-2018.
- 10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

#### MEMBER (J)

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 371/2018

(Smt. Shalini D. Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri M.V. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. The applicant is challenging the suspension order dated 26.02.2018, by which Divisional Sub Officer, Sangamner suspended her from the post of Police Patil of village Lahit Bk, Post Lingdev, Tq. Akole, Dist. Ahmednagar. The applicant has filed the representation before the Sub Divisional Officer, Sangamner, Dist. Ahmednagar on 13.04.2018 and challenged the said suspension order and prayed to revoke the same.
- 3. Since the representation of the applicant is pending with the Sub Divisional Officer, Sangamber, the present O.A. is not maintainable in view of the provisions of Section 20(2)(b) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 and therefore, the O.A. cannot be admitted. Hence, the O.A. is rejected. There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 379/2018 (Dr. Kanchan T. Bhorge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 12.06.2018.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has placed on record a copy of the order dated 06.05.2018. Same is taken on record.
- 3. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 20-07-2018.
- 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

- 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 9. Reply be filed on or before 20-07-2018.
- 10. S.O. 20-07-2018.
- 11. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

#### MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 380/2018

(Shri Dattatraya M. Diwte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 03-07-2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and with affidavit produced along of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. Reply be filed on or before 03-07-2018.
- 9. S.O. 03-07-2018.
- 10. The issue of grant of interim relief is kept open.
- 11. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

#### MEMBER (J)

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 381/2018 (Shri Zuberuddin R. Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri O.D. Mane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 23-07-2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. Reply be filed on or before 23-07-2018.
- 9. S.O. 23-07-2018.
- 10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

MEMBER (J)

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 382/2018 (Shri Sayanna N. Aadpod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

.-----

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. A.N. Ansari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 19-07-2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. Reply be filed on or before 19-07-2018.
- 9. S.O. 19 -07-2018.
- 10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

#### MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 115/2018 in O.A. St. No. 295/2018 (Smt. Pratidnya R. Pulkantwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

DATE : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Bhange, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to amend the M.A. and wants to correct the period of delay in filing the O.A.
- 3. Leave as prayed for by the applicant is granted. The applicant shall carry out the necessary amendment forthwith.
- 4. After amendment, issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 20-07-2018.
- 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by

Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

- 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 9. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 10. Reply be filed on or before 20-07-2018.
- 11. S.O. 20-07-2018.
- 12. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

#### MEMBER (J)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 533/2017 (Shri Milind D. Tungar & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Sambhaji Mundhe, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 26.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 750/2017 (Smt. Surekha U. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to file short affidavit of Smt. Manisha Varma, Secretary, Tribal Development Department, Mumbai for the show cause notice issued in view of the order passed by this Tribunal on 25.04.2018, as she is out of country.
- 3. In view of the submissions made by the learned Chief Presenting Officer, short time is granted for filing short affidavit till 25.06.2018.

MEMBER (J)

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 763/2017

(Shri Indurao B. Sarode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Today, the learned C.P.O. has placed on record a copy of order dated 22.05.2018, by which the Sub Divisional Officer, Jalna has cancelled the impugned order of transfer dated 26.10.2017. Same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purposes of identification.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that since the impugned order of transfer has been cancelled by the order dated 22.05.2018, the present O.A. may be disposed of accordingly.
- 4. In view of the submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant and the order dated 22.05.2018, the present Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 127/2018 in O.A. St. No. 350/2018 (Shri Madhukar B. Salunke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to amend the M.A. and wants to correct the period of delay in filing the O.A.
- 3. Leave as prayed for by the applicant is granted. The applicant shall carry out the necessary amendment forthwith.
- 4. After amendment, issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 23-07-2018.
- 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by

Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

- 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 9. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 10. Reply be filed on or before 23-07-2018.
- 11. S.O. 23-07-2018.
- 12. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

#### MEMBER (J)

#### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL. AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.809/2017 (Ganesh Sable V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

DATE

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) : 12.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- Learned P.O. has submitted on instructions 2.. from Shri Chandrakant Vyankatrao Alsatwar, Dy. Superintendent of Police (Headquarter), Aurangabad Rural that no separate order establishing Police Establishment Board for making transfer of the applicant has been issued. Constitution of the Board has been made as per the order of the respondent no.2 and accordingly it has been mentioned in the minutes of the meeting dated 27-06-2017. Shri Chandrakant Vyankatrao Alsatwar, Dy. Superintendent of Police (Headquarter), Aurangabad Rural who happens to be Secretary of the Board is also present. In view of the submission made by the learned P.O., it is necessary to direct respondents to file a short affidavit in that regard.
- 3. S.O. 29-06-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.843/2017 (Deepesh Nagzire V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. has submitted on instructions from Shri Chandrakant Vyankatrao Alsatwar, Dy. Superintendent of Police (Headquarter), Rural that no separate order Aurangabad establishing Police Establishment Board for making transfer of the applicant has been issued. Constitution of the Board has been made as per the order of the respondent no.2 and accordingly it has been mentioned in the minutes of the meeting. Shri Chandrakant Vvankatrao Dy. Superintendent Police Alsatwar, of (Headquarter), Aurangabad Rural who happens to be Secretary of the Board is also present. In view of the submission made by the learned P.O., it is necessary to direct respondents to file a short affidavit in that regard.
- 3. S.O. 29-06-2018.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.844/2017 (Sunil Kharat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

------

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V. R. Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. has submitted on instructions from Shri Chandrakant Vyankatrao Alsatwar, Dy. Superintendent of Police (Headquarter), Rural that no separate order Aurangabad establishing Police Establishment Board for making transfer of the applicant has been issued. Constitution of the Board has been made as per the order of the respondent no.2 and accordingly it has been mentioned in the minutes of the meeting. Shri Chandrakant Vvankatrao Dy. Superintendent Police Alsatwar, of (Headquarter), Aurangabad Rural who happens to be Secretary of the Board is also present. In view of the submission made by the learned P.O., it is necessary to direct respondents to file a short affidavit in that regard.
- 3. S.O. 29-06-2018.

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.883/2017 (Datta Munde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Joslyn Menezes learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. has submitted on instructions from Shri Chandrakant Vyankatrao Alsatwar, Dy. Superintendent of Police (Headquarter), Aurangabad Rural that no separate order establishing Police Establishment Board for making transfer of the applicant has been issued. Constitution of the Board has been made as per the order of the respondent no.2 and accordingly it has been mentioned in the minutes of the Shri meeting. Chandrakant Vvankatrao Alsatwar, Dy. Superintendent of Police (Headquarter), Aurangabad Rural who happens to be Secretary of the Board is also present. In view of the submission made by the learned P.O., it is necessary to direct respondents to file a short affidavit in that regard.
- 3. S.O. 29-06-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.40/2018 (Md. Rizwan Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri K.G.Salunke learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. None appeared for the applicant. On perusal of record, it reveals that notices are not served on the respondents since beginning. Applicant is not diligent in conducting the matter. Hence, O.A. stands dismissed in default.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.217/2018 (Sunil Sangewar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri P.V.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. has adopted notification dated 28-09-2010 issued by the Industry, Energy and Labour Department and decided to grant wages to the applicants in view of the notification dated 28-09-2010, which is taken on record.
- 3. Learned P.O. as well as learned Advocate for the applicants have produced copy of the decision of the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.1497/2018, which are taken on record.
- 4. Learned Advocate for the applicants has submitted that since the respondents have agreed to pay the wages to the applicant as per the notification dated 28-09-2010 in view of the

- G.R. dated 7<sup>th</sup> March, 2018, the applicant does not wish to proceed with the O.A. Therefore, O.A. be disposed of accordingly.
- 5. Hence, as per submission of learned Advocate for the applicants, O.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.262/2018 (Dr. Sanjiv Munde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil learned
Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha
Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for
respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.3 and 4. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 2. Time is granted.
- 4. S.O. 17-07-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.266/2018 (Dr. Sandip Kala V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.----

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.3 and 4. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 and 2. Time is granted.
- 4. S.O. 17-07-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.296/2018 (Vishwanath Patile V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.
- 3. S.O. 16-07-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.320/2018 (Dr. Yogesh Survase V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Ku. Preeti Wankhade learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate on instructions from the applicant states that the applicant does not wish to proceed with the O.A. Therefore, prayed to dispose of the O.A.
- 3. Since the applicant does not wish to proceed with the O.A., O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.474/2017 (Chandrakant Kadam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.R.Tapse learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Applicant has not filed necessary documents for deciding the O.A. including transfer of the applicant for relevant period for which he is claiming salary. Applicant is directed to provide all relevant documents on record for effective adjudication of the matter, failing which O.A. will be dismissed.
- 3. S.O. 26-07-2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.No.320/2017 IN O.A.St.No.423/2017 (Tushar Mahajan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

.\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 12.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri R.N.Bharaswadkar learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

- 2. None appears for the applicant. Applicant remained absent on previous dates also. It seems that the applicant is not interested prosecuting the matter.
- 3. Hence, the case be fixed for passing dismissal order on 27-06-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

M.A.No.489/2017 IN O.A.St.No.1715/2017 (Dr. Suresh Karmunge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri I.D.Maniyar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the time of hearing of the matter, learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of the Tribunal to amend the O.A. Since the matter is old one and the applicant is praying for amendment at belated stage, it is allowed subject to payment of costs of Rs.2000/- on or before next date. After depositing costs with the registry amendment be carried out.

3. S.O. 05-07-2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.No.437/2017 IN M.A.St.No.1563/2017 IN O.A.No.201/2006 (Shri Govind Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 12.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri R.B.Ade learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

- 2. None appears for the applicant. The applicant has filed present M.A. for condonation of delay of 1710 days caused in filing the M.A. for restoration of O.A.No.201/2006, which came to be dismissed in default on 31-01-2013.
- 3. It seems that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the matter. Hence, M.A. stands dismissed in default. In view thereof, M.A.St.No.1563/2017 is refused registration.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.No.55/2018 IN REVIEW St. No.194/2018 IN O.A.No.176/2017 (State of Maharashtra & Ors. V/s. Jalindahr Ubale & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

 $\underline{\mathbf{CORAM}}$ : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting
Officer for the applicants (original respondents)
and Shri V.S.Munde learned Advocate holding for
Shri S.G.Dhumak learned Advocate for the
respondent (original applicant).

- 2. As per the submissions made by both the parties, in the contempt petition filed by the respondent (original applicant), present applicants (original respondents) have deposited some amounts, which have to be refunded to the respondent (original applicant) as per the order of the Tribunal passed in O.A.No.176/2017.
- 3. No just cause has been shown for condonation of caused in filing the Review Application. In the absence of sufficient cause application for condonation of delay cannot be allowed. Hence, M.A. for condonation of delay deserves to be dismissed.

M.A.No.55/2018 IN REVIEW St. No.194/2018 IN O.A.No.176/2017

4. There is no just ground for condoning the delay caused in filing the Review Application. Hence, M.A.No.55/2018 stands dismissed with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.No.24/2018 IN O.A.No.73/2018 (Vishwajeet Jondhale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.\_\_\_\_\_

-----

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.P.Kadam learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned P.O. last chance is granted for filing affidavit in reply subject to payment of costs of Rs.2000/-. Reply be filed and costs be deposited with the Registry on or before next date.
- 3. S.O. 29-06-2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.No.32/2018 IN O.A.No.49/2018 (Ravindra Sapkale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

.....

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) DATE : 12.06.2018

ODAL ODDED

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Harshal Randhir learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 29-06-2018 for filing service affidavit.

**MEMBER (J)** 

M.A.No.33/2018 IN O.A.St.No.1844/2018 (Akshay Jogdand V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM D D DAMII MEMBER (II)

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Anil M. Gaikwad learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

- 2. None appears for the applicant. Notices are not served on the respondents since long. It seems that the applicant is not diligent in conducting the matter. Hence, the matter be fixed for dismissal on 29-06-2018.
- 3. S.O. 29-06-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.574/2016 (Jyoti Siddhewar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

At the request of learned Presenting Officer,
 S.O. to 24-07-2018.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 278 OF 2018

[Shri Uday V. Hande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri Swapnil A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to satisfy the query that was raised by this Tribunal vide order dated 4.5.2018. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> June, 2018 to satisfy the query.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 279 OF 2018

[Shri Ashok P. Shelke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri S. A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant points out the affidavit of explanation filed in terms of the order passed by this Tribunal dated 4.5.2018. He submits that in Annexure 'A-8', page Nos. 38 & 40 the explanation is dated "27.3.2017". However, "2017" is typographical mistake and it should have been "27.3.2018". He supports the same explanation, page-38 would show reference of the year "2018". Explanation is accepted.
- 3. Issue notices to the respondent, returnable on 8<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.
- 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

#### O.A. NO. 279 OF 2018

- 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to the record.
- 9. S.O. to 8th August, 2018.
- 10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 366 OF 2018

[Syed Ajim Syed Mehabub Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE: 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19th July, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 439 OF 2010**

[Shri Dilip S. Karle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Smt. Sangeeta Nenwani, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. In pursuance of the earlier order dated 01.11.2017, learned Presenting Officer has filed on record a copy of the communication received to him along with the decision taken by the concerned respondent dated 29th May, 2018. He submits that deemed date of promotion is granted to the applicant vide the said decision. The copies of the same are accepted and taken on record and marked as document 'X' collectively for the purpose of identification.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions in this regard from the applicant. At her request, S.O. to 10<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 for taking instructions on the above line.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 858 OF 2016

[Shri Sunil L. Jadhav & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

- 1. Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.T. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Advocate Shri I.D. Maniyar holding for Shri A.T. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicants, on instructions, submits that the departmental enquiry is now concluded. The challenge in the present Original Application was to the very initiation of the departmental enquiry.
- 3. In view of the above, nothing survives in the present Original Application and same is, therefore, disposed of with liberty to file fresh O.A., in case any exigency arises.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

C.P.NO. 04/2017 IN O.A.NO. 122/2015

[Dr. Ashok B. Havelikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21st June, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

C.P.NO. 25/2018 IN O.A.NO. 541/2017

[Dr. Manik P. Sangale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

- 1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant makes a statement that the Writ Petition filed by the respondent-State against the decision in the O.A. is recently dismissed by the Hon'ble High Court.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to take instructions in this regard and also regarding compliance of the earlier order.
- 4. S.O. to 17<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 for compliance.
- 5. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents to act on steno copy.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 676 OF 2006

[Shri Baliram R. Sutar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

- 1. Heard Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.L. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant, as well as, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, seek time. It is to be noted that the present Original Application is pending since long.
- 3. In the circumstances, as a last chance, S.O. to 19<sup>th</sup> June, 2018 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### C.P. NO. 47/2018 IN O.A.NO. 138/2016

[Dr. Shaikh Faiz Mohammad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.
- 2. Learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3, as well as, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 seek time to file affidavit in reply. It is to be noted that merely the order in the O.A. is required to be complied with. The order was passed on 16.2.2017. In the circumstances, with a forewarning that in case the order is not complied with, this Tribunal would be constrained to take action according to law in the contempt petition.
- 3. S.O. to 16<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 either for filing compliance report or for filing explanation as to why the order is not complied with.
- 4. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### M.A.28/18 IN O.A. 519/17 WITH M.A.29/18 IN O.A. 520/17 WITH M.A. 30/18 IN O.A. 949/17 WITH O.A. 521/17

[Shri Uday V. Hande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.....

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE: 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri Abhishek C. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicants in M.A.28/18 IN O.A. 519/17 WITH M.A.29/18 IN O.A. 520/17 WITH M.A. 30/18 IN O.A. 949/17 and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases. Shri S.S. Deve, learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A. No. 521/2017 (absent).
- 2. Learned Presenting Officer, on instructions, submits that the affidavit in reply has already been filed in O.A. Nos. 519, 520, 949 all of 2017. The same issue is involved in the O.A. No. 521/2017. She, therefore, submits that affidavit in reply in other O.As. as detailed above may be considered in the O.A. No. 521/2017. She further makes a statement that the directions sought in the M.As. are already complied with
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions in this regard. In the circumstances, S.O. to 17th July, 2018 for hearing.
- 4. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the O.A Nos. 523, 551, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550,

M.A.28/18 IN O.A. 519/17 WITH M.A.29/18 IN O.A. 520/17 WITH M.A. 30/18 IN O.A. 949/17 WITH O.A. 521/17

553 & 552 all of 2017, wherein the similar issue has arisen are already transferred to the Principal Seat of this Tribunal at Mumbai.

- 5. The registry is, therefore, to collect the information and send a proposal, if any in this regard.
- 6. S.O. to 17<sup>th</sup> July, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 45 OF 2017

[Smt. Sunita R. Thite Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE: 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- Heard Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 2<sup>nd</sup> August, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 788 OF 2017

[Shri Ashok T. Ghobale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAN WIGHTON M. M. LOCKE V. G.

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 2<sup>nd</sup> August, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 857 OF 2016

[Shri Bhikan P Sonar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Advocate Shri S.G. Kulkarni submits that Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant on record is busy in the Hon'ble High Court. He seeks time. At his request, S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> July, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 481 OF 2017

[Shri Anil M. Tambe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Advocate Shri S.G. Kulkarni submits that Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant on record is busy in the Hon'ble High Court. He seeks time. At his request, S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> July, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 491 OF 2013

[Shri Devidas T. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 30<sup>th</sup> July, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 205 OF 2018

[Shri Santosh K. Trimanwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that due to supervening circumstances, application for amendment is required to be filed. At his request, S.O. to 20th July, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 95 OF 2017

[Shri Balu J. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23<sup>rd</sup> June, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 81 OF 2013

[Shri Anand A. Hole Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM: HISTICE MT LOSUL V.C

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Smt. Sayali Machekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Read orders dated 1.3.2018 & 25.4.2018. These orders would show that more than reasonable time was already granted to the applicant for making statement on the line of the order passed at that time.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant again seeks time.
- 4. It appears that the applicant is not interested in prosecution of the present application. In the circumstances, S.O. to 19<sup>th</sup> June, 2018 either for hearing or for passing necessary order.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 461 OF 2013

[Smt. Varsha V. Paratwagh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI V.C.

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Smt. Sayali Machekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5. Smt. Gita Deshpande, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 (absent).
- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. It is to be noted that on the last date i.e. on 25.4.2018 nobody has appeared and issue raised vide order dated 12.6.2018 still remained to be answered by the applicant.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 19.6.2018 either for hearing on the issue of *locus-standi* as raised by the order dated 12.6.2018 or to pass necessary orders.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 570 OF 2013

[Shri Nitin K. Salunkhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Smt. Sayali Machekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. The present Original Application is not amended. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to amend the O.A. It is to be noted that the O.A. is pending since long and leave was granted by this Tribunal to amend the O.A. vide order dated 2.8.2017 as is clear from the order dated 11.4.2018. If all these facts are taken together the applicant is not at all interested in final hearing in the present O.A.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 28<sup>th</sup> June, 2018. In the meantime, the present O.A. may be amended. In case of default, the O.A. would be heard on that date without any amendment.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 654 OF 2013

[Dr. Amol S. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE: 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- Heard Smt. Surekha Mahajan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant, instructions, submits that in the prosecution launched against the applicant the very fact that trial is completed and now the case is fixed for recording statement of the accused i.e. the applicant. submits that the decision in the said case is barring the present O.A. as it remains to be departmental enquiry initiated against the present applicant in the same fact.
- At her request, S.O. to 7th August, 2018 for 3. reporting the progress or for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

C.P.NO. 22/2018 IN O.A. NO. 461/2014

[Shri Ramchandra G. Potdar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed on record a copy of communication dated 11.6.2018 between the respondents along with the decision rendered by the concerned respondents. The copies of the same are taken on record and marked as document 'X' collectively.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that noting survives in the present application in view of the said decision. The present application is, therefore, disposed of without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 736 OF 2017

[Shri Sanjay U. Kale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

------

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submits that the affidavit in reply of respondent No. 2 is being filed today. He submits that in fact the other respondents have already been filed affidavit in reply. In the circumstances, he request for recalling of the order dated 2.5.2018 directing to deposit the costs of Rs. 10.000/-. At his request, the order is recalled. The copy of the affidavit in reply be supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. S.O. to 3<sup>rd</sup> July, 2018 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 92 OF 2018

[Shri Ravi R. Pimpale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri C.K. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.07.2018 for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 12.06.2018-HDD

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 77 OF 2017

[Shri Rajesh B. Yawalkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri Rajesh B. Yawalkar, applicant in person and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of applicant in person, S.O. to 13.07.2018 for filing application for amendment.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORAL ORDERS 12.06.2018-HDD

### M.A.NO. 126/2018 IN M.A. 22/2018 IN O.A. 486/2017

[Vijeta M. Ade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri S.G. Magare, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D. Godhamgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. The present Miscellaneous Application No. 126/2018 has been filed by the applicant for recalling the order dated 21.03.2018 passed by this Tribunal in M.A. No. 22/2018 IN O.A. No. 486/2017. By the said order the M.A. No. 22/2018 has been dismissed in default in view of statement made by the learned Presenting Officer that the applicant is selected and appointed vide order dated 20.12.2016. Moreover, on that date nobody was appeared on behalf of the applicant.
- 3. Today, Learned Presenting Officer points out that in fact the appointment order was issued in favour of the respondent No. 3 and not in favour of the applicant. However, then learned Presenting Officer inadvertently made a statement on 21.03.2018 that the appointment order is regarding the applicant. The said statement ultimately led to the dismissal of the M.A. No. 22/2018 in default.

### M.A.NO. 126/2018 IN M.A. 22/2018 IN O.A. 486/2017

4. Considering all these circumstances, the Miscellaneous Application No. 126/2018 for restoration of the M.A. No. 22/2018 In O.A. No. 486/2017 is allowed and M.A. No. 22/2018 is restored to its original file. There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORAL ORDERS 12.06.2018-HDD

#### M.A. 22/2018 IN O.A. 486/2017

[Vijeta M. Ade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

: 12.06.2018. DATE

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

- Heard Shri S.G. Magare, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D. Godhamgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. The present Miscellaneous Application No. 22/2018 has been filed by the applicant for recalling the order dated 22.12.2017 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 486/2017.
- 3. Today, Learned Presenting Officer points out that in fact the appointment order was issued in favour of the respondent No. 3. However, then learned Presenting Officer inadvertently made a statement on 22.12.2017 that the appointment order is regarding the applicant. The said statement ultimately led to the dismissal of the O.A. No. 486/2017 in default.
- 4. Considering all these circumstances, the Miscellaneous Application No. 22/2018 for restoration of the O.A. No. 486/2017 is allowed and O.A. No. 486/2017 is restored to its original file. There shall be no order as to costs.

### :: - 2 - ::

### M.A. 22/2018 IN O.A. 486/2017

5. Upon restoration of the O.A., the same be placed for filing reply on 9.7.2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORAL ORDERS 12.06.2018-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 397/2017 (Janardhan V. Ghasing V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.6.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that during the course of the day a short affidavit explaining the reasons for not taking corrective steps as per the order of the Tribunal dtd. 16.3.2018 would be filed. He is permitted to do so. The said short affidavit be accepted on record and copy thereof be supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant. In the circumstances, S.O. to 17.7.2018 for further hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 438/2017

(Dr. Archana Umesh Tiwari (Archana Girdhar Shukla) V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.6.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2 Learned P.O. submits that the concerned respondent has sought explanation from the Marathi Department and she filed on record copy of communication in that regard. It is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification. It is to be noted that the order dtd. 16.3.2018 was passed by the Tribunal on the basis of Notification issued by the General Administration Department. It is, therefore, not understood as to why the res. no. 2 has sent a communication to the Secretary and why the Secretary has referred the matter to the Marathi Department. It appears that the res. no. 2 is shirking his responsibility to take a decision once adverse decision was taken by him and that a liberty to correct the same was granted to him.

### ::-2-:: O.A. <u>NO. 438/2017</u>

- 3. In the circumstances, the res. no. 2 shall file a affidavit explaining as to what is hitch in implementing the instructions issued by the G.A.D. It, prima-facie, appears that the res. no. 2 is merely delaying the issue. It is to be noted that in case an explanation is not found proper, personal heavy costs would be imposed on the res. no. 2. With these observations S.O. to 17.7.2018.
- 4. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 404/2017 (Ravindra R. Mungale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Prafulla Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed on record rejoinder of the applicant. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned P.O. for the respondents.
- 3. Arguable case is made out. Hence Admit. Learned P.O. waives notice for respondents after admission.
- 4. The matter be placed before the Division Bench for final hearing in due course.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 487/2017

(Bhagwanrao J. Nagargoje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of applicant and his learned Advocate S.O. to 11.7.2018 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 577/2017

\_\_\_\_\_

(Ramesh G. Ghaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent no.3.

2. Applicant and his Advocate are absent. No affidavit in rejoinder is filed by the applicant. In the circumstances, S.O. to 12.7./2018 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

O.A. NOS. 623, 624, 634/2017 WITH O.A. 135/2018 (Smt. Priti T. Waghmare & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM . HISTICE M T LOSUI V.C.

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these matters.

- 2. The learned Advocate submits that by the order dtd. 17.4.2018 this Tribunal granted leave to amendment the O.A. no. 623/2017, but inadvertently it is remained to be carried out. He submits that he be permitted to carry out the said amend during the course of the day. Permission as sought for is granted.
- 4. Learned P.O., on instructions, submits that affidavit in replies filed in other O.As. nos. 623, 624, 634/2017 from this group be treated as affidavit in reply in O.A. no. 135/2018. The said submission is accepted. In the circumstances, S.O. to 3.7.2018 for hearing.

#### ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12-6-2018

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 813/2017 (Nagesh M. Phad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.6.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that during the course of the day affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 to 3 would be filed. It be taken on record and copy thereof be supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant. In the circumstances, S.O. to 27.6.2018 for hearing. In the meantime rejoinder, if any, be filed by the applicant.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 804/2017 (Prakash D. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 25.6.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

O.A. NOS. 820, 821, 822, 929, 944, 945 AND 956 ALL OF 2017 (Aruna K. Sanap & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.6.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these matters and Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for intervenors in O.A. 822/2017.

2. Both the sides submit that they are ready for final hearing of all these O.As. In the circumstances, S.O. to 13.6.2018 for final hearing at the end of board.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A. ST. 610/2018 IN O.A. NO. 822/2017 (Rajendra K. Shimpi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

: 12.6.2018 DATE

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant in the present M.A., Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Sandeep Munde, learned Advocate for respondent / applicant in O.A.

2. M.A. st. no. 610/2018 has been filed by the applicant for permission to intervene in the O.A. For the reasons stated in the said M.A. it is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs and the proposed intervenors are allowed to intervene in the O.A.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 904/2017 (Sindhu T. Mundhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Sandip Mundhe, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that similar issue is involved in the present matter as is arisen in O.A. no. 820/2017. The said submission is accepted. In the circumstances, S.O. to 13.6.2018 for final hearing at the end of board.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

O.A. NOS. 18 AND 60 BOTH OF 2018 (Dr. Baliram R. Pande & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the matters and Smt. Usha Kale (Wayal), learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 in O.A. no. 18/2018.

2. Learned C.P.O. submits that a decision is still awaited from res. no. 1 on the line of order dtd. 27.3.2018. On the last date itself it was suggested that in case no decision is taken, the matters would be heard finally at the admission stage itself. In the circumstances, S.O. to 3.7.2018 for final hearing at the stage of admission itself.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 31/2018

(Dattatraya N. Trimukhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.....

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 17.7.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 63/2018

(Dr. Bhawna S. Bhagat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply of res. no. 2. Already many adjournments were granted therefor. In the circumstances, as a last chance, S.O. to 28.6.2018 for filing reply of res. no. 2.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 34/2018 (Kishor B. Khedkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 4 & 5. None appears for respondent nos. 6 & 7.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 10.7.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 115/2018 (Nagnath G. Savant V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. S.O. to 11.7.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

O.A. NO. 928/2017 WITH O.A. NO. 117/2018 (Santosh T. Vaidya & Ujwala G. Ingle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri R.L. Kute, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. S.O. to 2.8.2018 for filing reply of the respondents. Both the matters be kept together.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 138/2018 (Tushar B. Chikhale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 18.7.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 145/2018 (Maheshkumar L. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

: 12.6.2018 DATE

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh. learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant makes a statement the Enquiry Officer is appointed, while criminal prosecution is pending. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. circumstances, S.O. to 11.7.2018, for filing affidavit in reply. It is, however, made clear that, if affidavit in reply is not filed by the respondents on the next date, issue of grant of interim relief in favour of the applicant would be considered on that day.
- 3. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 190/2018 (Sunil S. Ingle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant S.O. to 9.7.2018 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 218 AND 224/2018 (Sonali D. Shirsat and Snehal R. Harade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard S/shri Sandeep D. Munde & S.A. Ambilwade, learned Advocates for the applicants in respective matters and Shri M.S. Mahajan & Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer & Presenting Officer for the respondents in respective matters.

- 2. Learned C.P.O. & P.O. seek time to file affidavit in replies in respective matters. learned Advocates for the applicants submit that in the present matters on 13.4.2018 while issuing notices this Tribunal directed that selection / appointment, if any, shall be subject to outcome of the present O.As. and also subject to the joining of selected / appointed candidates as party respondent/s. The learned Advocates submit that respondents have proceed further with the selection list and letter regarding verification of documents of the selected candidate is sent. However, in the said letter different O.A. is mentioned by the respondents.
- 3. In the circumstances, the concerned respondent is directed to take a note of above

### ::-2-:: O.A. NOS. 218 AND 224/2018

statement of the learned Advocates for the applicants and take a corrective action, if any, in the matter. Concerning of above fact time to file reply as a last chance is granted, failing which the Tribunal would be constrained to have hearing on grant of further interim relief. With these observations, S.O. to 10.7.2018.

4. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 226/2018 (Nitin E. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 2. 23.7.2018 for filing reply.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 227/2018

(Charushila T. Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. S.O. to 26.6.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 230/2018

(Sushilkumar D. Kulkarni & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. S.O. to 3.8.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 242/2018

(Sayeeda Begum s/o Syed Mohammed V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

None appears for the applicant. Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 3.7.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 250/2018 (Girish M. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.6.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Surekha Mahajan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that inadvertently the amendment granted vide order dtd. 26.4.2018 was not carried out in the O.A. She further submits that, she will carry out the necessary amendment on the line of order dtd. 26.4.2018 within the period of next two days. She is permitted to do so.
- 3. Upon carrying out the necessary amendment, issue notices to the added respondents, returnable on 3.7.2018.
- 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along

### <u>::-2-::</u> O.A. NO. 250/2018

with complete paper book of 0.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

- 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rifles, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 9. S.O. to 3.7.2018.
- 10. Steno copy / humdast allowed for both the sides.

#### MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

#### **FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 258/2018 (Shraddha K. Kandakure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 12.6.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate submits that service affidavit regarding res. no. 3 is filed in the Office. He further submits that, even the said res. no. 3 has complied with the interim directions issued by the Tribunal. In the circumstances, S.O. to 10.7.2018 for filing reply.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 270, 274, 275 & 276/2018 (Ravindra B. Takale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard S/shri N.B. Narwade & D.A. Bide, learned Advocates for the applicants and S/shri M.S. Mahajan, I.S. Thorat, V.R. Bhumkar & Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Chief Presenting Officer & Presenting Officers for the respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O./P.Os. seek time to file reply and with the order dtd. 3.5.2018. At their request, S.O. to 4.7.2018 for filing replies & for reporting compliance of the order dtd. 3.5.2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 273/2018

(Ganesh D. Chavhan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 30.7.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A. 197/2017 IN O.A.ST. 526/2017 (Chetan Y. Thakur V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.L. Choudhari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It appears that the respondents have not filed affidavit in reply to the amended portion of M.A. till today. In the circumstances, S.O. to 29.6.2018 for hearing of the present M.A.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A. 325/2017 IN O.A. ST. 941/2017 (Bhika K. Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 12.6.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

None appears for the applicant. Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 1.8.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN**