ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.322/2017 (Rajesh Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.06.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Smt. Juee Palekar-Parlikar learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has produced communication dated 06-06-2018 Joint Regional between Director. Office. Aurangabad and Principal, Government Polytechnic, Ambad. Same is taken on record.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that respondents have modified the impugned order as the applicant was transferred to Ambad and accordingly the applicant has joined new posting, and therefore, he has no grievance. Hence, he does not wish to prosecute the matter.
- 4. In view of the above situation, O.A. stands disposed for want of prosecution as the purpose is served.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.236/2017 (Yamuna Bhosale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.06.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. seeks time to take necessary instructions from the respondents and to argue the matter.
- 3. Short time is granted with direction to the respondents to file affidavit stating as to whether earlier judgments delivered by this Tribunal, Hon'ble High Court and Hon'ble the Supreme Court are binding on them or not. If the respondents come to the conclusion that judgments are binding, they should take corrective steps. If they are not ready to take corrective steps they should explain reasons in writing by filing a short affidavit.
- 3. S.O. 04-07-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.377/2018 (Sanjay Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.06.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. On perusal of record, it reveals that the transferred applicant has been from Chalisgaon to Pohare, Tq. Chalisgaon by order dated 15-05-2018 issued by Sub Divisional Officer, Chalisgaon. Thereafter, S.D.O. modified the order to the extent of the applicant and transferred him to Mehunbare by order dated 31-05-2018. Thereafter, Tahsildar, Chalisgaon issued relieving order dated 31-05-2018 and directed the applicant to join new posting at Mehunbare. Accordingly, applicant joined new posting at Mehunbare on 05-06-2018 and submitted report.
- 3. S.D.O. Chalisgaon passed another order dated 31-05-2018 and modified the posting of the

applicant and he has been retained at Chalisgaon and posted respondent no.3 at Mehunbare. On perusal of record, it reveals that on the same day i.e. on 31-05-2018 two modified orders having outward no.292 and 301 were issued by S.D.O., Chalisgaon regarding transfer of the applicant.

- 4. Prima facie, it seems that the earlier order dated 31-05-2018 bearing outward no.292 has been executed though another modified order has been issued on the same day bearing outward no.301 cancelling the transfer of the applicant.
- 5. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the impugned order dated 31-05-2018 having outward no.301 has been issued subsequently and it has been served on the applicant on 06-06-2018 and now the respondents are compelling him to join on the modified posting. Therefore, he prayed to grant stay to the execution of the said impugned order.

- 6. Learned P.O. has submitted that both the modified orders are issued on very day i.e. on 31-05-2018. In fact, Tahsildar ought to have implemented the subsequent order bearing outward no.301 instead of earlier modified order having outward no.292. He has submitted that there is no illegality in the order, and therefore, he prayed to reject the interim relief.
- 7. On perusal of record it reveals that earlier modified order bearing outward no.292 by which the applicant has been posted at Mehunbare has been implemented and the applicant has joined the new posting. In these circumstances, it is just to stay the execution and operation of the impugned order dated 31-05-2018 bearing outward no.301 till filing of the reply by the respondents. Accordingly, impugned order is stayed till filing of the reply by the respondents.
- 8. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 12-07-2018.

- 9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 12. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 13. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 14. S.O.to 12-07-2018.
- 15. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.204/2018

(Shashikant Gunturkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.06.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Shri A.S.Golegaonkar learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Since none is present for the applicant, S.O. to 10-07-2018 for passing appropriate order.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.367/2018 (Kunal Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM . D. D. DAWII MEMBER (I)

<u>CORAM</u>: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u>: 11.06.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Satyajeet Dixit learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 17-07-2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of

compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O.to 17-07-2018.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.358/2018 (Haseeb Ur Rehman Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.06.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 20-07-2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of

compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O.to 20-07-2018.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.359/2018 (Sunil Bandawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u>: 11.06.2018 ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 20-07-2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of

compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O.to 20-07-2018.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.360/2018 (Md. Abdul Sami V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

<u>DATE</u> : 11.06.2018 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 20-07-2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of

compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O.to 20-07-2018.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.361/2018 (Anil Tornekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

<u>DATE</u> : 11.06.2018 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 20-07-2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of

compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O.to 20-07-2018.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.370/2018 (Dhammratna Ghule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE : 11.06.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Mohit R. Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Since none is present for the applicant, S.O. to 18-06-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.376/2018 WITH CAVEAT NO.10/2018 WITH CAVEAT ST.NO.832/2018

(Dr. Manisha Valvi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.06.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Keeping open issue of interim relief, issue notice to the respondents returnable on 25-06-2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O.to 25-06-2018.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.378/2018 (Laxman Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u>: 11.06.2018 ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude

learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 28-06-2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of

compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O.to 28-06-2018.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.203/2017 (Shamrao Wagatkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) DATE : 11.06.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Since pleadings are complete, matter is admitted. It may be kept for final hearing on 09-07-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.721/2017 (Subhash Parlikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

.....

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.06.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20-07-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.749/2017 (Md. Abdul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.06.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Prafull Bodade learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B.Choudhary learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 25-07-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.770/2017 (Ayesha Feroz Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.06.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

At the request of learned Presenting Officer,
 S.O. to 10-07-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.110/2018

(Dr. Vijaykumar Bhayekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

._____

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.06.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.
- 3. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.
- 4. S.O. 20-07-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.158/2018 (Dr. Yogesh Sathe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 11.06.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24-07-2018 for filing affidavit in rejoinder.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.No.86/2018 IN O.A.St.No.204/2018 (Bhagwan Sangale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

._____

DATE : 11.06.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

At the request of learned Presenting Officer,
 S.O. to 20-07-2018.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 699/2017

(Smt. Sunita S. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has received the pensionary benefits and the relief claimed by the applicant in prayer clause X(B) has been satisfied and therefore, the applicant is not pressing the prayer Clause X(B) in that regard.
- 3. He has further submitted that so far as the prayer clause X(C) is concerned, the proposal regarding appointment of the applicant on the compassionate ground is pending with the respondent Nos. 3 and 4 since the year 2015. He has submitted that during pendency of the present O.A., the applicant has filed another representation dated 08.05.2018 with the

respondent No. 3, but the respondents have not taken decision on it. He produced the copy of the said representation on record. Same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purposes of identification. He has prayed to direct the respondent Nos. 2 to 4 to take decision on the application filed by the applicant for appointment on compassionate ground and to dispose of the O.A. accordingly.

- 4. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the pensionary benefits have already been granted to the applicant and the respondents will consider the proposal of the applicant in due course and therefore, he prayed to dispose of the O.A. by giving reasonable time to the respondents to decide the proposal of the applicant for appointment on compassionate ground.
- 5. The claim of the applicant as claimed in prayer clause X(B) has been satisfied. So far as the relief claimed in prayer clause X(C) is concerned, the applicant is seeking direction to

the respondent Nos. 2 to 4 to take decision on her application to appoint her on compassionate ground, as she is going to complete her age of 45 year in near future. The proposal sent by the respondent No.4 is pending with the respondent No. 3 since the year 2015 and the respondents have not taken decision on it. Therefore, it is just and proper to direct the respondent Nos. 2 to 4 to take appropriate decision on the application of the applicant for appointment on compassionate ground on merit, as per the rules within a period of three months from the date of the order.

5. Therefore, the respondent Nos. 2 to 4 are directed to take appropriate decision on the representation of the applicant for appointment on compassionate ground as per the rules on merit within a period of three months from the date of the order. Hence, the O.A. stands disposed of with the above said direction. There shall be no order as to costs.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 424/2017

(Shri Shaeshrao R. Kharate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
 Time granted as a most last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 05.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 11-06-2018

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 425/2017

(Shri Prasad F. Pawar & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE: 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.H. Pathan, learned Advocate holding for Dr. Swapnil Tawshikar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21.06.2018. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 11-06-2018

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 526/2017

(Smt. Meghmala G. Pallewad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE: 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Prafulla Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Presenting Officer.
- 3. S.O. to 20.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 11-06-2018

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 666/2017

(Dr. Zulphiquar Hussain Ad. Ismail Patel V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri R.D. Raut, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer as well as learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5 seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 05.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 712/2017

(Shri Ashok S. Jondhale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri G.N. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2. Time granted as a last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 20.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 510/2017 (Shri Santosh S. Vetal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri I.N. Pathan, learned Advocate holding for Shri I.G. Durani, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Today, the learned Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy the order passed by the Sub Divisional Officer, Kannad, by which he rejected the application of the applicant, in which the applicant has claimed that he should be appointed as Police Patil of village Bhandegaon, Tq. Khultabad, Dist. Aurangabad. Same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purposes of identification.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions from the applicant. Time granted.
- 4. S.O. to 20.07.2018.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 806/2017

(Dr. Ranjitsing B. Padvi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE: 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S. Chaudhari, learned Advocate holding for Ms. Vaishali S. Choudhari, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19.06.2018.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 917/2017

(Smt. Deepika D. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for

respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed

affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1

to 5. Same is taken on record and the copy

thereof has been served on the learned Advocate

for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 17.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 59/2018

(Shri Umesh C. Parate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE: 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file additional affidavit. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 18.07.2018. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 62/2018

(Dr. Machhindra M. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE: 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. After hearing the matter for considerable time, it is closed for orders.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

M.A. St. 709/2018 with M.A. 109/2018 in O.A. St. 408/2018 (Shri Punjaram N. Wathore V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE: 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- The applicant is directed to comply with the office objections within three days, failing which
 M.A. St. 709/2018 stands dismissed.
- 3. Hence, the matter be removed from board and it be kept after compliance of office objection.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 167/2017

(Shri Fakirrao A. Wadhve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE: 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 921/2016 (Shri Sanjay T. Mali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE: 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 269/2018

(Smt. Pramila B. Narkhede V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE: 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 20.07.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. Reply be filed on or before 20-07-2018.
- 9. S.O. 20-07-2018.
- 10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

MEMBER (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

M.A. No. 485/2017 in O.A. No. 130/2016 (Shri Vinayak B. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE : 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri M.C. Ghode, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. The O.A. No. 130/2016 filed by the applicant claiming direction to the respondents to extend the benefits of his services cancelled by the Acquittal order passed by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Beed in R.C.C. No. 26/1984 dated 29.08.2011 and to decide the representation dated 01.11.2014, has been dismissed in default by this Tribunal on 02.11.2017, as the applicant and his Advocate remained absent.
- 3. It is contention of the applicant that the applicant is old aged person and he has been suspended in the year 1969 on the allegation of misappropriation. It is his contention that on the date of hearing the matter i.e. on 02.11.2017, the

Advocate for the applicant could not able to attain the Tribunal, as his maternal grandmother was expired. He has submitted that because of this reason, he could not able to attend the Tribunal. He therefore, prayed to quash the order of dismissed in default and restore the O.A. No. 130/2016 to its original file.

- 4. Learned Presenting Officer has strongly opposed the contentions of the applicant to restore the O.A. on the ground that the applicant and his counsel intentionally remained absent and failed to prosecute the matter. Therefore, she prayed to reject the Misc. Application for restoration of O.A.
- 5. On considering the contentions of the applicant and grounds mentioned therein, in my opinion, it is just and proper to set aside the order of dismissal dated 02.11.2017 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 130/2016. In my opinion, it is just to decide the matter on merit, as the applicant's valuable rights are involved in

- it. Therefore, M.A. deserves to be allowed and order of dismissal passed in O.A. No. 130/2016 on 02.11.2017 deserves to be quashed and set aside.
- 6. In view of the above, the present Misc. Application is allowed and the order dated 02.11.2017 is set aside and the O.A. No. 130/2016 is restored to its original number.
- 7. The O.A. be fixed for final bearing on 25.07.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 327 OF 2017

[Shri Ajay R. Pofale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Shri S.R. Dheple, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Smt. Sayali S. Machale, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 & 4. None appears for respondent Nos. 5 & 6.
- 2. Learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 & 4 has filed short affidavit on their behalf and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 23rd July, 2018 for hearing or for filing rejoinder, if any. Ad interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 295 OF 2012

[Dr. Arvind N. Bagate & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Shri Milind Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant (absent). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
- 2. S.O. to 11th July, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 799 OF 2017

[Shri Mangesh S. Vishwasu Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 11th July, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 560 OF 2016

[Shri Ashok B. Kamble Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM · HISTICE M T HOSHI V C

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Shri H.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for having instructions. It is to be noted that the present O.A. is pending since the year 2016. In the circumstances, as a last chance, time to report compliance is hereby granted.
- 3. S.O. to 10th July, 2018.
- 4. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 160 OF 2018

[Shri Nilesh P. Bansude Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Shri H.V. Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sachin S. Panale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 23rd July, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO. 119/2018 IN O.A.NO. 312/2015

[Pallavi A. Meshram Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.....

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant in M.A., Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3, Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 and Smt. Sayalil S. Machale, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 6. None appears for respondent No. 5
- 2. S.O. to 11th July, 2018 for filing reply, as well as, for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 624 OF 2013

[Shri Yogesh P. Ghuge & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND

DATE : 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard Shri M.C. Ghode, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions. It is to be noted that the present O.A. is pending since long. In the circumstances, S.O. to 16th July, 2018 either for reporting about the decision of the Hon'ble High Court or for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO. 305/2012 IN O.A.NO. 586/2012

[Shri Bharat M. Bhosale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE: 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sudhir Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 3 in M.A. No. 305/2012 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 12th July, 2018 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 375 OF 2018

[Shri Kirankumar B. Kasule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Shri S.R. Wakale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Issue notices to the respondent, returnable on 25^{th} July, 2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in

O.A. NO. 375 OF 2018

the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to the record.
- 8. Considering the fact that the present applicant appears to be more meritorious than the respondent No. 3, who is ultimately selected as is evident from page Nos. 49 to 51, presently without going into the merit of the case, interim relief in terms of prayer clause 'A' (page-13), which reads as under, is hereby granted.
 - "A. Pending hearing and final disposal of this original application, the selection of respondent No. 3 for the post of Assistant Director, Maharashtra State, Finance and Accounts Services, Group-A may kindly be stayed."
- 9. S.O. to 25th July, 2018.
- 10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A.NO. 931/2016 WITH O.A.NO. 930/2016

[Shri Chudaman D. Pawar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Shri Shrikant Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants in both these cases and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both these cases.
- 2. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents submits that presently the affidavit to the amended O.A. is not required.
- 3. S.O. to 9th July, 2018 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A.NOS. 268, 269, 270 & 271 ALL OF 2017

[Shri Vishal W. Machave & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Smt. Sayali S. Machale, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.
- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicants seeks time to file rejoinder. At her request, S.O. to 25th July, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 493 OF 2017

[Dr. Sanjay K. Khachane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2. Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 to 6 (absent).
- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant, on instructions, submits that similarly situated parties have preferred Writ Petition in the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay Nagpur Bench, Nagpur and the same is posted for hearing on 25th June, 2018.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 17th July, 2018 for reporting the progress of the said Writ Petition or for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 622 OF 2017

[Sagar C. Nemane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed short affidavit detailing the facts as directed by this Tribunal vide order dated 9.4.2018.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to go through the affidavit filed by the applicant and to file reply, if any required to the said affidavit. At her request, S.O. to 5th July, 2018. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 693 OF 2017

[Shri Yogesh S. Mulay Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE: 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- Shri A.S. Khedkar, learned Advocate for the applicant (absent). Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file documents along with short affidavit explaining the facts, which are referred in the order dated 17.4.2018. Time granted.
- S.O. to 9th July, 2018 for filing short affidavit along with documents on the line of the order dated 17.4.2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A.NOS. 852 & 853 BOTH OF 2017

[Shri Nilesh D. Kale & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Smt. Sayali S. Machale, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicants in both these cases, Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 in both these cases and Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5 in both these cases. None appears for respondent Nos. 3, 4 & 6.
- 2. Learned Advocates for both sides submit that in the review application stay is granted by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court Bench at Aurangabad as regards reconstruction of the select list as directed earlier by this Tribunal.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 30th July, 2018 for reporting the progress in the review application.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 939 OF 2017

[Shri Dattatraya S. Bargaje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.06.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

- 1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 17th July, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

C.P. NO. 1/2018 IN O.A. NO. 584/2016 (Balaji G. Aherkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.6.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.V. Nade, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.P. Uttarwar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that the review application is filed against the order dtd. 5.8.2017 passed by the Tribunal in O.A. no. 584/2016 (page 28). On going through the order dated 5.8.2017 of the Tribunal passed in O.A. (page 28), it appears that, on the strength of submissions made by the respondents before the Tribunal that the applicant would be appointed, the said O.A. came to be disposed of by the Tribunal. In the circumstances, at the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 18.7.2018 for reporting further progress of the Review Application.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

LATER ON :-

Learned P.O. further appears and submits that the Review Application filed by the respondents is already dismissed by the Tribunal

::-2-:: C.P. NO. 1/2018 IN O.A. NO. 584/2016

and now he is filing affidavit in reply of res. no. 3 in the present C.P. It be taken on record and copy thereof be supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant.

In the circumstances, S.O. to 18.7.2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11-6-2018

C.P. NO. 18/2018 IN O.A. NO. 456/2016 (Mohd. Imran Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.6.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 11.7.2018 for taking instructions from the respondents.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11-6-2018

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 141/2018 (Nanda P. Bankar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.6.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 17.7.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 11-6-2018

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 171/2018 (Dr. Prakash R. Kanade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM . HICTIOP M T LOCHE NO

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.6.2018

ORAL ORDER:

None appears for the applicant. Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Learned C.P.O. files on record a true copy of the communication between the concerned respondent and the Accountant General, which is taken on record and marked as a document 'X' for the purpose of identification. He undertakes to serve copy thereof upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. In the circumstances, at the request of learned C.P.O. S.O. to 17.7.2018 for reporting further progress in the matter and also for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents, if any.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 237/2018 (Rahul P. Bhalerao V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.6.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It appears from the office note dtd. 4.6.2018 that the service of notice on res. no. 2 is awaited. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply of all the respondents. In the circumstances, it is not necessary to wait for service of notice on res. no. 2. At the request of learned C.P.O. S.O. to 18.7.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. 491/2017 IN O.A. 708/2017 (Vishalkumar A. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

._____

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.6.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.D. Bachate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. None appears for respondent no. 4.

2. At the request of learned P.O. as a last chance S.O. to 19.7.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents in the misc. application.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. 12/2018 IN O.A. 604/2016

(Annarao L. Bhosale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.6.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.C. Sonar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. as a last chance S.O. to 18.7.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents in the present M.A.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. NO. 148/2018 IN O.A. 709/2017 (Ramesh M. Sonwane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.6.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.C. Sonar, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., as a last chance, S.O. to 10.7.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents in the present M.A.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 223/2018 (Madhav V. Kale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.6.2018

ORAL ORDER:

None appears for the applicant. Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. S.O. to 9.7.2018 for reporting compliance by the applicant of the order dtd. 16.4.2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 614/2017 (Jeevan N. Wader V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM . HISTOR M.T. LOCHI M.C.

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.6.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri H.V. Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.P. Uttarwar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. S.O. to 29.6.2018 for filing reporting compliance of the order of the Tribunal dtd. 18.4.2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 463/2013 (Digambar M. Pandit V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.6.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Upon going through the record it is found that on 9.2.2015 the concerned respondents made a statement before the Tribunal that as no candidate was found fit from the category of Blindness or Low Vision in the recruitment process of the year 2013, the present O.A. was continued.
- 3. Learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions from the concerned respondents as to whether any advertisement was issued later on for the said post or whether the said post is still vacant. At her request S.O. to 3.7.2018 for above compliance.
- 4. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned PO

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 523/2013 (Dilip B. Sangle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.6.2018

ORAL ORDER:

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3, are present.

- 2. Shri Jadhav, learned Advocate for res. no. 3 submits that in pursuance of the order dtd. 27.4.2018 he issued notice to res. no. 3 to remain present and file affidavit in reply as directed by the Tribunal. However, a envelope containing notice sent by him was returned by the postal authority as the said res. no. 3 is not residing on the said address. He files on record the said postal envelope along with office copy of notice. The said documents are taken on record and marked as document 'X collectively'.
- 3. In view of above, it appears that the affidavit in reply of res. no. 3 could not be filed. Hence, Shri Jadhav, learned Advocate for res. no. 3, on his request, is discharged from the present case.

<u>::-2-::</u> O.A. NO. 523/13

4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 12.7.2018 for final hearing in absence of reply of res. no. 3 on the line of order dtd. 17.4.2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 386/2015 (Rajendra V. Marale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM . HISTICE M T IOSHI V.C

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.6.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Ravindra B. Wankhede, learned Advocate holding for Shri Prashant Nagargoje, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant S.O. to 11.7.2018 for taking instructions from the applicant on the line of order dated 18.4.2018.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 592/2017

(Association of Pharmacy Teachers of India through its Members V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 11.6.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Ravindra Wankhede, learned Advocate holding for Shri Amol N. Kakade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of Shri Wankhede, learned Advocate holding for Shri Kakade, learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.7.2018 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN