O.A. NO. 690/2013

(Vijay Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submits that during the course of the day reply of res. nos. 1 to 3 would be filed. He also undertook to serve copy thereof upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. The said reply be taken on record.

3. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that, arguable case is made out. Hence, the matter is hereby admitted. The learned P.O. waives service of admission notice upon the respondents. The learned Advocate for the applicant is at liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

4. The matter is removed from the board and it be placed before the Division Bench as and when it is available.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 227/2014

(Jijabrao Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri N.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. None appears for respondent no. 4.

2. Arguable case is made out. Hence Admit. The learned P.O. waives service of admission notice for res. nos. 1 to 3. In view of absence of Shri N.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for res. no. 4, issue admission notice to him.

3. The matter is removed from the board and it be placed before the Division Bench as and when it is available.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 108/2016

(Vijay N. Pawar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard with the consent of Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. With the consent of both the sides the matter is taken up for disposal at the stage of admission itself.

3. Upon hearing both the sides, it is clear that the present applicant cannot be appointed on regular basis as a policy decision is already taken regarding outsourcing of the work and the same is implemented by the respondents. Hence, there is no merit in the present O.A.

4. In the circumstances, the present O.A. is dismissed in limine without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

O.A. NO. 876/2016

(Anuradha Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. The learned P.O. submits that report of the examiner is yet to be received. She seeks time therefor. At her request S.O. to 28.11.2017 for submitting the copy of the report of the Examiner as directed by the order dtd. 16.6.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NOS. 930 & 931/2016 (Chudaman Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present in both the matters.

2. The learned C.P.O. files on record affidavit in reply of res. no. 2 in O.A. no. 931/2017. It is taken on record. He undertakes to serve copy thereof upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. It appears that respondents have already filed affidavit in reply in O.A. no. 930/2017.

4. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 16.11.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 932/2016 (Surendra Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 5 is present. None appears for respondent no. 6.

2. Upon hearing the learned P.O., it appears that the issue for adjudication is as to whether the applicant, who was appointed from Open category, can claim promotion from reserved category upon placing Tribe certificate later on.

3. On 9.8.2017 Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that, in view of the fact that the issue of reservation in promotion is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, presently no decision on the issue regarding promotion is required.

4. In the circumstances, the present O.A. is admitted.

5. It is removed from the board and the same be placed before the Division Bench as and when it is available.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NOS. 47, 84 AND 281 ALL OF 2017

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard S/Shri A. S. Deshmukh and V.B. Dhage, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these three matters and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these three matters.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 11.10.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 95/2017

(Balu Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder. At his request S.O. to 17.11.2017 for filing rejoinder.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 114/2017 (Marathwara Sarva Shramik Sanghatana & Ors. Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER			TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS
	CORAM	:	JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)
	DATE	:	09.10.2017.
	ORAL ORDER :		
	Не	ar	d Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned Advocate

holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply of respondents. At her request S.O. to 20.11.2017. This matter be placed along with O.A. no. 113/2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 393/2017 (Taslim Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.D. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri (Dr.) Swapnil Tawshikar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 4 and Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent no. 5.

2. The learned P.O. files on record affidavit in reply of res. no. 4. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. Shri Deshmukh, learned Advocate seeks time to file affidavit in reply of res. no. 5. At his request, S.O. to 6.11.2017 for filing affidavit in reply of res. no. 5.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 480/2017

(Dnyaneshwar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 22.11.2017 for filing affidavit in reply of respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

M.A. 259/2017 WITH MA ST. 922/2017 WITH MA 213/2013 IN OA 700/2012

(Atharoddin Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Y.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that inadvertently notices issued by the Tribunal on 20.7.2017 are not collected by him. He prays for issuance of fresh notices in the present M.A.

3. In the circumstances issue fresh notices to the respondents in the present M.A., returnable on 23.11.2017.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/ notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the question such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

<u>::-2-::</u> M.A. 259/2017 WITH MA ST.922/2017 WITH MA 213/2013IN OA 700/2012

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 23.11.2017.

9. Steno copy & hamdast allowed to both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

M.A. NO. 430/2016 IN O.A. ST. 1778/2017 (Sahligram Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 9.11.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 170/2015 (Shital Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It appears that a decision on merit by the Division Bench is required in the present matter. Hence, O.A. is removed from the board and it be placed before the Division Bench as and when it is available.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 175/2015

(Bhausaheb Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant, on instructions, submits that since the grievance of the applicant is redressed, the applicant does not wish to proceed with the present O.A. He, therefore, seeks leave of the Tribunal to withdraw the present O.A.

3. In the circumstances, the present O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 189/2015 (Salim Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the decision on merit by the Division Bench would be required in the present matter. Hence the O.A. is removed from the board and it be placed before the Division Bench as and when it is available.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 245/2015

(Jagannath Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Ashlesha Raut, learned Advocate

holding for Shri S. B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Ms. Raut, learned Advocate holding for Shri Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. At her request, S.O. to 2.11.2017.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 380/2017

(Dr. Prasad Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply. At his request, S.O. to 21.11.2017 for filing affidavit in reply.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 757/2016 (Digambar Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri R.D. Sanap, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 4 & 5.

2. Shri Sanap, learned Advocate has filed affidavit in reply of res. nos. 4 & 5. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 13.11.2017 for admission hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

O.A. NO. 598/2015 (Noorunnisa Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

 $\frac{\text{CORAM}}{\text{DATE}}$: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C. $\frac{\text{DATE}}{\text{DATE}}$: 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. (Dr.) Kalpalata Patil Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned P.O. files on record copy of the communication dtd. 22.9.2017 received to him from the res. no. 2. It is taken on record and marked as document 'X.1' for the purpose of identification. It appears that the res. no. 2 has communicated to the learned P.O. that the respondents does not want to file any additional reply.

3. Read the orders dated 25.7.2017 and 22.8.2017. These orders would show that the applicant has filed on record the copy of the Circular dtd. 15.7.1995 (document 'X'), which

<u>::-2-::</u> O.A. NO. 598/2015

goes against the contention raised by the res. no.2 in his earlier affidavit in reply.

4. In case the res. no. 2 does not wish to clarify the situation, on the basis of the copy of the Circular filed by the applicant, necessary conclusion would be that the decision of res. no. 2 made earlier regarding non grant of family pension is wrong one and, therefore, present O.A. requires to be allowed.

5. In the circumstances, the learned P.O. seeks time to have communication with the res. no. 2 and seek instructions regarding filing of additional affidavit in reply in view of Circular dtd. 15.7.1995. In case the res. no. 2 decides to not to file any additional affidavit in reply then communication in that regard be sent to the learned P.O. so that the present matter can be decided.

<u>::-2-::</u> O.A. NO. 598/2015

6. S.O. to 14.11.2017 for filing additional affidavit in reply by the res. no. 2, if any.

Steno copy allowed for the use of learned
 P.O.

VICE CHAIRMAN.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.614/2017 (Shri Jeevan Wader V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri H.V.Patil learned Advocate holding for Shri P.P.Uttarwar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

At the request of both sides,
 S.O. to 11-10-2017.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.386/2016 IN C.P.St.No.1771/2016 IN O.A.No.614/2015 (Shri Bhanudas Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Heard Mr. Asif Ali learned Advocate holding for Ms. M.N.Ansari learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Today, the case is kept for reporting compliance of the order passed in the O.A. but compliance is not done as yet.

3. If total compliance is not reported till next date, the cognizance of contempt would be taken.

4. S.O. to 30-10-2017.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.335/17 IN C.P.St.No.1200/17 IN O.A.No.805/16 (Shri Firoz Jamadar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has tendered the affidavit affirmed by Shri Kishor Nimbalkar, Collector. It is taken on record.

3. It is evident that the applicant did not send notices addressed to contemnors. Therefore, learned Advocate for applicant prays for leave to withdraw the present M.A. and C.P. and serve personal notice, give 30 days' time and if order remains to be complied with, then to file fresh contempt.

4. M.A. and C.P. are disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

CHAIRMAN

C.P.No.15/2014 IN O.A.No.1128/1999 (Shri Revannath Rangnathrao Landge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O.,

S.O. to 30-10-2017 by way of last chance.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.359/2017 IN C.P.St.1286/2017 IN O.A. 699 & 718/2012 (Shri Arun Mali & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B.Dhage learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. states that Hon'ble High Court has granted order of status quo in the Writ Petition filed by the State.

3. Hence, S.O. to 16-04-2018 with liberty to circulate the case as and when necessary.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.149/2017 IN C.P.St.No.480/2017 IN O.A.No.220/2016 (Shri Suresh Moholkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

In view of compliance, present M.A. and
 C.P. are disposed of.

3. Applicant shall be free to apply for payment of interest on delayed payment as per rules.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.157/2017 IN C.P.St.No.328/2017 IN O.A.No.46/2015 (Shri Nikhil Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri R.P.Bhumkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. It is seen that present notice of contempt is not served by the applicant to the contemnor no.1. Therefore, learned Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant would serve fresh notice on all contemnors by name, give then 30 days' time and if order remains to be complied with, to file contempt case, and prays for leave to follow the said course.

3. For enabling the applicant to undertake the said course and sending fresh notice as undertaken, M.A. and C.P. are disposed of with liberty as sought.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.280/2017 IN C.P.St.No.898/2017 IN O.A.No.814/2015 (Shri Shivaji Borale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

At the request of learned P.O.,
 S.O. to 30-10-2017.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.326/2016 IN C.P.St.No.1528/2016 IN O.A.No.568/2015 (Md. Hussain Inamdar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.D.Khadap learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. states that the appeal is decided and the decision is sent to MPSC for concurrence. Decision of the MPSC would be pursued by the respondents.

3. S.O. to 30-10-2017.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.1044/2017 IN C.P.St.No.1045/2017 IN O.A.No.69/2016 (Dr. Balaji Manoorkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G.Salunke learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. In view of the compliance and apology tendered at Mumbai by respondent no.2, M.A. and C.P. are disposed of.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.275/2017 IN C.P.St.No.932/2017 IN O.A.No.138/2016 (Dr. Shaikh Faiz Mohammad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri I.D.Maniyar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. It is seen that present notice of contempt is not served by the applicant to the contemnor no.1. Therefore, learned Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant would serve fresh notice on all contemnors by name, give then 30 days' time and if order remains to be complied with, to file contempt case, and prays for leave to follow the said course.

3. For enabling the applicant to undertake the said course and sending fresh notice as undertaken, M.A. and C.P. are disposed of with liberty as sought.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.145/2017 IN C.P.St.No.476/2017 IN O.A.No.126/2014 (Dr. Sudhakar Biradar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) -----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN DATE : 09.10.2017. **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R.Kulkarni learned Advocate applicant, Shri D.R.Patil learned for the Presenting Officer for respondent nos.1 to 3 and Smt. N.D.Borade learned Advocate holding for Shri K.U.More learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5.

2. Learned P.O. states on instruction from respondent no.4 that the respondent no.4 find it difficult to implement the order for want of authority, which essentially vests with the Government. He, therefore, prays for time to examine the question involved and come with stance as may be legally available.

3. Hence, S.O. to 30-10-2017.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.146/2017 IN C.P.St.No.478/2017 IN O.A.No.175/2014 (Dr. Deepak Mendhekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.R.Kulkarni learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos.1 to 3 and Smt. N.D.Borade learned Advocate holding for Shri K.U.More learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5.

2. Learned P.O. states on instruction from respondent no.4 that the respondent no.4 find it difficult to implement the order for want of authority, which essentially vests with the Government. He, therefore, prays for time to examine the question involved and come with stance as may be legally available.

3. Hence, S.O. to 30-10-2017.

CHAIRMAN

C.P.St.No.01/2017 IN O.A.No.487/2014 (Dr. Sunil Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

By consent of both the parties,
 S.O. to 30-10-2017.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.27/2017 IN C.P.St.No.36/2017 IN O.A.No.593/2015 (Shri Sandu U. Gawali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Juee Palekar Parlikar learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 30-10-2017.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.31/2017 IN C.P.St.No.77/2017 IN O.A.No.500/2014 (Shri Dattatray Parte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.V.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. states that State has decided to file Writ Petition against the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.500/2014 and prays for four weeks' time.

3. Hence, S.O. to 30-10-2017.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.292/2017 IN C.P.St.No. 999/2017 IN O.A.No.856/2016 (Shri Govind Gurav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.D.Godbharne learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

At the request of learned P.O.,
 S.O. to 30-10-2017.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.506/2015 IN C.P.St.No.830/2015 IN O.A.No.695/2015 (O.A.No.454/2014 AURANGABAD BENCH) (Shri Anand Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

 Learned P.O. has tendered affidavit in reply.
 It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned P.O. points out that order passed in the O.A. is challenged by filing the O.A. and said order is stayed.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant concedes and prays for permission to withdraw the M.A. and C.P. with liberty to re-agitate the cause if it survives, and occasion arises.

5. M.A. and C.P. are accordingly disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

M.A.No.201/2016 IN C.P.St.No.765/2016 IN O.A.No.918/2010 (Shri Gayabai Pokale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri M.S.Bhosale learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. prays for adjourning the M.A. on the ground that State has filed a Writ Petition challenging the order passed in the O.A.

3. On query, learned P.O. reports that Writ Petition has been filed on 01-09-2016 and CMIS date is 30-12-2017.

4. It is thus evident that State is disinterested in circulating the W.P. for admission hearing.

5. If W.P. is not circulated by the State and not pursued, present M.A. and C.P. would be taken up for hearing taking cognizance on 30-11-2017.

M.A.No.236/2017 IN C.P.St.No.869/2017 IN O.A.No.456/2016 (Shri Md. Imran Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 09.10.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Smt. Juee Palekar-Parlikar learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. It is seen that present notice of contempt is not served by the applicant to the contemnor no.1. Therefore, learned Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant would serve fresh notice on all contemnors by name, give then 30 days' time and if order remains to be complied with, to file contempt case, and prays for leave to follow the said course.

3. For enabling the applicant to undertake the said course and sending fresh notice as undertaken, M.A. and C.P. are disposed of with liberty as sought.

M.A.No.235/2017 IN C.P.St.No.865/2017 IN O.A.No.497/2015 (Smt. Aisha Begum V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Aisha Begum applicant in person and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Smt. Aisha Begum applicant in person prays for adjournment. Adjournment granted.

3. S.O. to 30-10-2017.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.116/2017 IN C.P.St.No.362/2017 IN O.A.No.711/2016 (Shri Omprakash Dane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Juee Palekar-Parlikar learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The operative order passed in the O.A. is complied with. Other demands cannot be a part of M.A. and C.P. Hence, M.A. and C.P. are disposed of

3. All issued and demands not covered shall remain open.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.159/2017 IN C.P.St.No.448/2017 IN O.A.No.619/2015 (Shri Anil S. Palekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.R.Tapse learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. In view of compliance of the order passed in the O.A.No.619/2015, present M.A. and C.P. are

disposed of.

CHAIRMAN

C.P.No.04/2016 IN O.A.No.610/2009 (Shri Sahebrao Navthar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

_____ **OFFICE ORDER**

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned

Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 30-11-2017.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.140/2017 IN C.P.St.No.472/2017 IN O.A.No.63/2015 (Shri Saylu Nawod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Juee Palekar Parlikar learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

At the request of learned P.O.,
 S.O. to 30-10-2017.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.235/2015 IN C.P.St.No.925/2015 IN O.A.No.517/2013 (Dr. Syed Nazia Syed Hashm V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

At the request of learned P.O.,
 S.O. to 30-10-2017.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.317/2016 IN C.P.St.No.1491/2016 IN O.A.No.554/2013 (Dr. Ashok Biradar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 30-10-2017.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.277/2017 IN C.P.St.No.994/2017 IN O.A.No.399/2016 (Dr. Pradip Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents, Shri S.R.Dheple learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5 and Shri N.G.Nagode learned Advocate for respondent no.6.

2. Today, Shri P.C.Parate, Sr. Assistant, Health Department, Zilla Parishad, Gadchiroli is present.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5, S.O. to 30-10-2017.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.St.No.1538/2017 IN O.A.St.No.1539/2017 (Ku. Mina d/o. Dagu Kedar & 2 Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G.Salunke learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Send papers to Mumbai and those be transmitted to Mumbai by hand delivery of applicant.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.St.No.1540/2017 IN O.A.St.No.1541/2017 (Megha Milind Gaikwad & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G.Salunke learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Send papers to Mumbai and those be transmitted to Mumbai by hand delivery of applicant.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.358/2017 IN C.P.St.No.1261/2017 IN O.A.No.801/2015 (Smt. Yasmin Hashmi w/o. Vasim Hashmi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G.Pingle learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. for the respondent no.2 and at the request and instructions from Shri Ravikiran Tukaram Chavan, Director (Nursing), Mumbai, S.O. to 30-10-2017.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.944/2017 IN C.P.St.No.945/2017 IN O.A.No.258/1999 (Shri Ram Kolte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.A.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

In view of compliance, present M.A. and
 C.P. are disposed of.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.370/2015 IN C.P.St.No.1129/2015 IN O.A.No.199/2014 (Shri Uttam Vendait V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.M.Shinde learned Advocate for

the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. In view of the compliance and apology tendered at Mumbai by respondent no.1, M.A. and C.P. are disposed of.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.114/2017 IN C.P.St.No.358/2017 IN O.A.No.254/2015 (Shri Babu Shankar Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. It is seen that present notice of contempt is not served by the applicant to the contemnor no.1. Therefore, learned Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant would serve fresh notice on all contemnors by name, give then 30 days' time and if order remains to be complied with, to file contempt case, and prays for leave to follow the said course.

3. For enabling the applicant to undertake the said course and sending fresh notice as undertaken, M.A. and C.P. are disposed of with liberty as sought.

M.A.No.421/2017 IN C.P.St.No.1454/2017 IN O.A.No.830/2015 (Dr. Balaji Manoorkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G.Salunke learned Advocate

for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned

Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 30-10-2017.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.356/2016 IN C.P.St.No.1518/2016 IN O.A.No.396/1998 (Chandulal Ganu Seth (Died through LRS) V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Girish Nagori learned Advocate

for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned

Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O., S.O. to 30-10-2017.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.No.431/2017 IN O.A.No.719/2016 (Shri Pandurang Chandanshiv V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 09.10.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri L.H.Kawale learned Advocate holding for Shri K.J.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos.1 to 3 and Shri A.R.Tapase learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for respondent nos.4 and 5.

2. It is seen that present notice of contempt is not served by the applicant to the contemnors. Therefore, learned Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant would serve fresh notice on all contemnors by name, give then 30 days' time and if order remains to be complied with, to file contempt case, and prays for leave to follow the said course.

3. For enabling the applicant to undertake the said course and sending fresh notice as undertaken, M.A. and C.P. are disposed of with liberty as sought.

CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO. 363/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1305/2017 (Shri Arun B. Joshi & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

Learned Presenting Officer prays for time.
 Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 6th November, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 907 OF 2016 (Shri S.B. Sathe Patil & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit to the affidavit in reply filed by respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

3. S.O. to 20th November, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 102 OF 2016 (Shri Shankar A. Tamke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.P. Dhobale, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20th November, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 733 OF 2017 (Shri Nandlal G. Vaishnav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 31st October, 2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

:: - 2 - ::

O.A. NO. 733 OF 2017

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 31st October, 2017.

8. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

MEMBER (J) ORAL ORDERS 09-10-2017-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 326 OF 2017 (Shri Nilkant S. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Sandip Rathod, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The applicant is seeking appointment on the post of Police Patil on the ground that selected candidate has resigned from the post of Police Patil and he is second in merit list. This Tribunal has directed the applicant to produce the document showing that his name is appearing in the select list or in the waiting list. No document has been produced by the applicant. Not only this, but the applicant has not produced the copy of the advertisement showing the terms and conditions of the appointment on the post of Police Patil mentioned therein. In the absence of the document, it is difficult to accept the contention of the applicant that he is eligible to be appointed on the post of Police Patil on the resignation submitted by the selected candidate.

:: - 2 - ::

O.A. NO. 326 OF 2017

3. At this stage, learned Advocate for the applicant sought time to produce the said documents on record. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 20th November, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 460 OF 2017 (Smt. Suvarna B. Ghodke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.R. Dheple, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17th November, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 322 OF 2016 (Smt. Swati N. Joshi & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri B.S. Kudale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17th November, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 311 OF 2017 (Shri Raju H. Adhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri V.G. Pingle – learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 9th November, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 313 OF 2017 (Shri Tulshiram B. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Prafulla Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10th November, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 324 OF 2017 (Dr. Vilas E. Vikhe Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vijay Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Gajanan Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant, Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4, Shri S.R. Dheple – learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 5 & 6 and Shri J.S. Deshmukh – leaned Advocate for respondent No. 8. None appears for respondent No. 7.

At the request of learned Presenting Officer,
 S.O. to 10th November, 2017 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 328 OF 2017 (Sou. Megha S. Shevgan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Ashlesha Raut, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.R. Dheple – learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

At the request of learned Presenting Officer,
 S.O. to 10th November, 2017 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J) ORAL ORDERS 09-10-2017-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 348 OF 2017 (Dr. Prashant R. Ghodkepatil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Bangar, learned Advocate holding for Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri S.R. Dheple – learned Advocate for respondent No. 5.

At the request of learned Presenting Officer,
 S.O. to 10th November, 2017 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J) ORAL ORDERS 09-10-2017-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 145 OF 2016 (Shri Ramrao B. Dhakne V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vishwas Solanke, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17th November, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 882 OF 2016 (Shri Pradeep M. Koushike V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : SHRI B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Smt. Juee Palekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20th November, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 46 OF 2017 (Dr. Pramod A. Mhaske & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagah, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents prays for time for filing affidavit in reply.

3. It transpires from the proceedings that already ample opportunities have been granted to the respondents to file affidavit in reply. It further transpires from the proceedings that on the last occasion i.e. on 06.09.2017, this Tribunal had granted time to the respondents as a most last chance, subject to payment of costs of Rs. 10,000/-. In spite of this position, the respondents failed to file affidavit in reply.

4. In view of the above, time is granted to the respondents as a most last chance subject to payment of costs of Rs. 5,000/- in addition to the earlier costs of Rs. 10,000/-. The costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal by Government Cheque.

:: - 2 - ::

O.A. NO. 46 OF 2017

5. S.O. to 17th November, 2017.

6. Learned Presenting Officer to act upon the Steno Copy.

MEMBER (J) ORAL ORDERS 09.10.2017-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 367 OF 2017 (Smt. Aruna G. Suryawanshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. P. R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

At the request of learned Presenting Officer,
 S.O. to 13th October, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 472 OF 2017 (Shri Sanjay B. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

_____ **OFFICE ORDER**

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

_____ CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Tukaram M. Venjane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 8th November, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 647 OF 2017 (Dr. Sonali B. Sayambar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed separate affidavit in replies on behalf of respondent Nos. 2, 4 & 5 and the same are taken on record and the copies thereof have been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

At the request of learned Presenting Officer,
 S.O. to 11th October, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 631 OF 2016 (Smt. Vaidya Ujwala V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Tukaram M. Venjane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 8th November, 2017. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 494 OF 2016 (Shri Laxmikant G. Ratnaparkhi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

At the request of learned Presenting Officer,
 S.O. to 22nd November, 2017.

MEMBER (J)

C.P. NO. 03/2016 IN O.A.NO. 239/2015 (Dr. Sonali B. Sayamber V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 30th October, 2017.

CHAIRMAN ORAL ORDERS 09-10-2017-HDD

M.A. 265/17 IN C.P.ST.938/17 IN O.A.194/15 (Shri Mangesh M. Malve & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 30th November, 2017.

CHAIRMAN ORAL ORDERS 09-10-2017-HDD

M.A.395/16 IN C.P.ST.1801/16 IN O.A. 536/11 (Shri Digambar B. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.R. Tapse, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

At the request of learned Presenting Officer,
 S.O. to 30th October, 2017.

CHAIRMAN

- 1) M.A.543/15 IN CP.ST.1721/15 IN O.A. 717/98
- 2) M.A.544/15 IN CP.ST.1723/15 IN O.A. 522/2000
- 3) M.A.545/15 IN CP.ST.1727/15 IN O.A. 718/98
- 4) M.A.546/15 IN CP.ST.1731/15 IN O.A. 1203/99
- 5) M.A.547/15 IN CP.ST.1725/15 IN O.A. 492/02
- 6) M.A.548/15 IN CP.ST.1719/15 IN O.A. 493/02
- 7) M.A.549/15 IN CP.ST.1729/15 IN O.A. 525/02
- 8) M.A.ST.1328/15 IN CP.ST.1329/15 IN O.A. 705/96
 9) M.A.ST.1330/15 IN CP.ST.1331/15 IN O.A. 682/96
- 10) M.A.ST.1332/15 IN CP.ST.1333/15 IN O.A. 718/96

(Shri S.V. Sonar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

COMMON ORAL ORDER :

Heard S/Shri M.H. Patil & Avinash Deshmukh – learned Advocates for the applicants in respective cases and Shri M.S. Mahajan – learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 30th November, 2017.

CHAIRMAN

M.A. 95/17 IN C.P.ST. 240/17 IN O.A.716/15 (Dr. Vijayprakash N. Tambhale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN (This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer states as follows:

(a) He has taken instructions from Shri Pundge, District Treasury Officer, Treasury Office, Hingoli, that the amount towards commutation of pension payable to the applicant shall be paid to him for which Civil Surgeon, Hingoli, shall have to send copy received by him and applicant shall have to remain present for verification.

(b) The above process would be completed tomorrow.

 In view of aforesaid statement made by the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents,
 S.O. to 30.10.2017 for reporting compliance.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 43/2017 (Shri Nanasaheb S. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri P.B. Salunke, elarned Advocate for Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. The applicant was serving as a Supervisor in Zilla Parishad, Aurangabad and he retired on 28.02.2011 from the post of Supervisor.

4. On going through the contention of the applicant, it reveals that the applicant is Zilla Parishad Employee.

5. In these circumstances, the applicant has to explain as to how the present Original Application is maintainable.

6 S.O. to 20.11.2017.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 151/2017 (Shri Nagorao H. Galiwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. ORAL OR<u>DER</u> :

Shri Santosh B. Bhosale, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.P. Brahme, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 09.11.2017.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09-10-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 172/2017 (Shri Taher Ali Shah Md. Ali Shah V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

At the request of learned Presenting Officer,
 S.O. to 09.11.2017.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09-10-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 243/2017 (Shri Pralhad T. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri D.B Thoke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

 Learned Chief Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 &
 Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to produce documents on record. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 10.11.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 250/2017 (Shri Sd. Khaja Mubeenuddin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.C. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that he will file affidavit in reply during the course of the day.

3. S.O. to 13.11.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 258/2017 (Dr. Deepashri G. Choudhary V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 10.11.2017.

MEMBER (J) KPB ORAL ORDER 09-10-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 275/2017 (Shri Md. Asfioddin Md. Bashiroddin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3. Shri S.E. Madne, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 (**absent**).

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 10.11.2017.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09-10-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 279/2017 (Smt. Rekha w/o A. Bonalawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri V.D. Patnoorkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3. Shri A.D. Aghav, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5 (**absent**).

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 13.11.2017.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09-10-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 310/2017 (Dr. Dilip B. Dange V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 13.10.2017.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09-10-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 319/2017 (Shri Prasharam W. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 06.11.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 398/2017 (Shri Devendra D. Joshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.D. Bachate, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

 Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Same is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 30.10.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 435/2017 (Smt. Anita A. Ingale @ A.K. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjevani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 10.11.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 488/2017 (Shri Ramchandra S. Jaybhaye V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of communication dated 9.10.2017 received to her from the Assistant Project Officer, Integrated Tribal Development Project Office, Aurangabad and she seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no. 3. Already ample opportunities were given to the respondent nos. 1, 3 & 4 to file affidavit in reply, but they have failed to file affidavit in reply. There is no just ground to grant time for filing affidavit in reply.

3. However, in the interest of justice, a short time is granted for filing affidavit in reply, subject to payment of costs of Rs. 5000/- (Five Thousand Only). The amount of costs be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal.

3. S.O. to 06.11.2017.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 502/2017 (Public Prosecutors Association V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER:

Ms. Ashwini P. Sahasrabudhe, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

 Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 13.11.2017.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09-10-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 514/2017 (Shri Md. Shafe Md. Ansari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 13.11.2017.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09-10-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 560/2017 (Dr. Prafull V. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 03.11.2017.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09-10-2017

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 561/2017 (Smt. Swati V. Suryawanshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri H.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri A.V. Dighe, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Pleadings are complete. The O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing.

4. S.O. to 13.11.2017. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 573/2017 (Shri Mukesh K. Gunjal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 3. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 14.11.2017.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 97/2017 in O.A. St. No. 162/2017 (Shri Bhaudas D. Vaishnav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Ashlesha Raut, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06.11.2017.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 727/2017 (Dr. Satish B. Kendre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The applicant has challenged the impugned order dated 31.05.2017, by which he has been transferred from Ahmedpur, Dist. Latur to Laakh, Tq. Aundha Nagnath, Dist. Hingoli. It is the contention of the applicant that his daughter is studying in 12th Std. and other two sons studding in 8th Std. at Latur. It is his further contention that he has requested to transfer him at Latur, but his request has not been considered by the respondents and he has been transferred to Hingoli district. It is his contention that he has been relieved from the presenting posting by the order dated 27.09.2017 w.e.f. 30.9.2017. It is contention of the applicant that nobody has been posted on his present posting at Ahmedpur and therefore, he prayed to stay the execution of impugned order and requested to repost him at Ahmedpur.

3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has submitted that the applicant has already been relieved w.e.f. 30.09.2017. He has submitted that the applicant was due for transfer and therefore, he has been transferred from Ahmdpur to Laakh, Tq. Aundha Nagnath, Dist. Hingoli. He has submitted that since the applicant has completed his normal tenure in the Latur district, he has been transferred by impugned order and therefore, there is no illegality in the impugned order of transfer. Therefore, he prayed to reject the interim relief as claimed by the applicant. He has submitted that the respondents have to file detailed affidavit and therefore, he seeks time to file affidavit.

4. Considering the documents on record, it reveals that the applicant has been transferred from Ahmedpur, Dist. Latur to Laakh, Tq.

//3// O.A. No. 727/2017

Aundha Nagnath, Dist. Hingoli by impugned order dated 31.05.2017, since he has completed his normal tenure of posting in Latur district. The applicant made representation thereafter, but his representation has not been decided by the respondents. Since many officers like applicant have not joined duties on their new posting in view of the impugned transfer order, the respondent No. 2 issued order dated 27.09.2017 and relieved the applicant and others w.e.f. 30.09.2017. Since, the impugned order has been implemented and the applicant has been relieved, in my opinion, it is not a fit case to grant interim relief. Hence, the request of the applicant for grant of interim relief is hereby rejected.

5. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 08.11.2017.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O.to 08.11.2017.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

KPB ORAL ORDER 09-10-2017

M.A. 393/2016 in C.P. St. 1798/2017 in O.A. 122/2015 (Dr. Ashok B. Havelikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

<u>DATE</u> : 09.10.2017.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

At the request of learned Presenting Officer,
 S.O. to 30.10.2017.

CHAIRMAN