M.A. No. 489/2017 in O.A. St. No. 1715/2017 (Dr. Suresh M. Karamunge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 in M.A. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. S.O. 27.08.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 379/2017 (Shri Sanjay N. Nade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri R.O. Awasarmal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. 03.09.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 758/2017 (Shri Dadarao D. Borade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.R. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. 05.09.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 332/2018 (Smt. Sangita S. Solanke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 5. Shri A.N. Raut, learned Advocate for respondent No. 6, absent.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. 24.08.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 79/2018 (Smt. Aasha K. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a last chance.
- 3. S.O. 06.09.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 53/2018 (Shri Sopan E. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri H.V. Tungar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 5 &6. Time granted as a last chance.
- 3. S.O. 05.09.2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 37/2018 in O.A. St. No. 107/2018 (Shri Arun K. Gosawi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
 Time granted.
- 3. S.O. 04.09.2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 38/2018 in O.A. St. No. 109/2018 (Shri Uttam G. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
 Time granted.
- 3. S.O. 04.09.2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 39/2018 in O.A. St. No. 111/2018 (Shri Raghu G. Mehetre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
 Time granted.
- 3. S.O. 04.09.2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 40/2018 in O.A. St. No. 116/2018 (Shri Uttam S. Kshirsagar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
 Time granted.
- 3. S.O. 04.09.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 751/2017 (Shri Deepak K. Budhwant V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Dipesh Pande, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.S. Bagul, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. 24.08.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 85/2018 (Shri Rangarao D. Devare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.S. Dighe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. 31.08.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 216/2017 (Shri Tajkhan S. Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that there is no need to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.
- 3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. 30.08.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 563/2018 (Shri Pandurang D. Lahotli V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri G.L. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shir M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 10.09.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. Reply be filed on or before 10-09-2018.
- 9. S.O. 10-09-2018.
- 10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 273/2018 in O.A. St. No. 798/2018 (Shri Narayan K. Vyas V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shir M.S. Mahajand, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 10.09.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. Reply be filed on or before 10-09-2018.
- 9. S.O. 10-09-2018.
- 10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 265/2017 (Dr. Suresh M. Karamunge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar., learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri D.S. Mali, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

- 2. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 has filed affidavit in reply and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 to the show cause notice issued by this Tribunal on 17.07.2018. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 4. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 as well as, learned Presenting Officer have submitted that the delay has been caused due to the correspondence between the D.H.O. and the

Deputy Director of Health Services and they are responsible for delay caused in filing the affidavit in reply. They have submitted that the D.H.O. and the Deputy Director of Health Services are complying with the order of this Tribunal dated 27.02.2018 and depositing the Costs amount of Rs. 5000/- to the Government and submitting the report in that regard on the next date. Therefore, they prayed to grant short time in the present matter.

5. In view of the submissions made by the learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 and learned P.O., S.O. 10.08.018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 575/2018 (Shri Mohan K. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J).

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shir M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has been promoted as Block Development Officer on 07.07.2016 and office of Panchayat in the Shrirampur, Dist. Ahmednagar from the post of Assistant Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Kopergaon, Dist. Ahmednagar. He has submitted that the applicant has not completed his normal tenure of posting on the present post. He has submitted that the applicant is due for retirement on 31.05.2019 and he made request to make his transfer in other Talukas of Ahmednagar district by submitting an application. But his request has not considered by the respondents and he has been transferred by the impugned order dated 30.07.2018 and posted to Dharmabad, District Nanded, which is out of Nashik Division. He has submitted that the impugned transfer order is against the provisions of the Maharashtra Government

Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (in short "the Transfer Act 2005"). He has submitted that the applicant is not yet relieved and therefore, he prayed to grant stay to the operation and execution of the impugned order of transfer.

- 3. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the applicant is serving in Ahmednagar district since the year 2004 and on his request he has been transferred. He has submitted that impugned order has been issued in view of Section 4(4) and 4(5) of the Transfer Act 2005 on account of administration and there is illegality in it. Therefore, he prayed to reject the interim relief as prayed by the applicant. He has to further submitted that he has instructions from the respondents as to whether the applicant has been relieved or not and also requires time to file detailed affidavit in reply of the respondents.
- 4. Considering the facts in the present case, it is crystal clear that the applicant made a request to transfer him in other Talukas of Ahmednagar transferred district. but he has been Dharmabad, Dist. Nanded by the impugned The applicant is going to retire on order. 31.05.2018. In these circumstances, it is just and proper to direct the respondents to maintain

status-quo, if the applicant is not relieved. Hence, the respondents are directed to maintain status-quo as on today till filling of the affidavit in reply by the respondents.

- 5. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 24.08.2018.
- 6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 10. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 11. Reply be filed on or before 24-08-2018.
- 12. S.O. 24-08-2018.
- 13. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 277/2017 (Shri Sk. Md. Abdul Kareem V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. 31.08.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 24/2018 (Shri Firox K. Jamadar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.R. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, the present matter be removed from the board.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 182/2018 (Shri Vitthal B. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a last chance.
- 3. S.O. 07.09.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 417/2018 (Shri Chhagan B. Chavan & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
 Time granted.
- 3. S.O. 31.08.2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 134/2017 in O.A. St. No. 276/2017 (Shri Balaji N. Dhondge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Y.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

As none present for the applicant, S.O.
 11.09.2018 for passing necessary orders.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 426/2017 with M.A. No. 64/2016 in O.A. St. No. 1991/2015 (Shri Appa D. Chikte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri D.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

As none present for the applicant, S.O.
 11.09.2018 for passing necessary orders.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 186/2017 (Shri Uday R. Asardohakar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Kalyan Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Barlinge, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2 to 5.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to argue the matter. Time granted as a last chance.
- 3. S.O. 30.08.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 139/2018 (Shri Popat D. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Prashant Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. It transpires from the proceedings that on last dated i.e. 18.07.2018, a most last chance was granted for filing affidavit in reply, but no affidavit in reply has been filed by the respondents. In the interest of justice, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply to the respondents, subject to payment of costs of Rs. 5000/- (Five Thousand Only). The amount of costs shall be deposited in the registry of this Tribunal.
- 4. S.O. 24.08.2018.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 764/2016 (Shri Baswaraj M. Swami & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. It is contention of the applicants that they are working as a Driver on the establishment of respondent No. 2 since the year 1998 on daily wages. But their services are not regularized and therefore, they have filed the representation with the respondent No. 2 i.e. the Collector, Latur, but there was inaction on the part of the respondent No. 2 i.e. the Collector, Latur in deciding their representation and therefore, they have filed the present Original Application.
- Learned Advocate for the applicant has 3. submitted that the Collector, Latur i.e. the 2 respondent No. has not decided the of the applicants dated representation 24.04.2015 till today and the same is pending.

Therefore, he prayed to direct the respondent No. 2 the Collector, Latur to take appropriate decision as per the rules on the representation made by the applicants and with that direction, the present O.A. may be disposed of.

- 4. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that he has no instruction from the respondents as to whether the representation of the applicants is pending or decided and therefore, he has submitted that the necessary orders may be passed in the present O.A.
- 5. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the applicants have made representation with the respondent No. 2 i.e. the Collector, Latur on 24.4.2015, but the said representation has not been decided by the respondent No. 2 till today. Therefore, it is just and proper to direct the respondent No. 2 i.e. the Collector, Latur to take appropriate decision on the representation filed by the applicants as per the rules within a period of six months from the date of this order.

of with a direction to the respondent No. 2 i.e. the Collector, Latur to take appropriate decision on the representation dated 24.04.2015 filed by the applicant with a period of six months from the date of this order and communicate the decision thereon to the applicants in writing. There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 938/2017 (Shri Vitthal P. Kausadikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE: 03.08.2018.

ORAL ORDER:

Shri M.D. Narwadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**).

Heard, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Today, the learned Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of the communication dated 21.04.2018 received to his office from the Superintendent, Salary and General Provident Fund Squad, Nanded along with the copy of certificate issued by the applicant regarding receipt of an amount of Rs. 3,45,138/-. Same are taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purposes of identification.
- 3. Learned P.O. has submitted that the arrears of subsistence allowances for the period from 01.11.2013 to 03.06.2015 has been paid to the applicant and the applicant executed the said

receipt and acknowledged the same. The respondents satisfied the claim of the applicant and therefore, he prayed to dispose of the present Original Application.

- 3. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the applicant has claimed arrears of subsistence allowance for the period from 01.11.2013 to 03.06.2015 by filing the present O.A. The arrears of subsistence allowance for the period from 01.11.2013 to 03.06.2015 has been paid to the applicant on 06.04.2018 and the applicant had issued stamped receipt in that regard. From this, it is crystal clear that the claim of the applicant has been fully satisfied and nothing survives in the present O.A. Therefore, the O.A. deserves to be disposed of accordingly.
- 4. In view of the aforesaid facts, the presentO.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 963 OF 2017 (Shri Vasant D. Karke & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.....

CORAM: ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 03.08.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed a copy of communication dated 1st August, 2018 received to him by Deputy Director of Health Services, Aurangabad along with a copy of letter dated 24th July, 2018 issued by Joint Director of Health Services, Pune-1 and the same is taken on record and marked as document 'X-1' for the purposes of identification.
- 3. It is to be noted that the aforesaid copy of communication should be filed along with affidavit in reply and the copy of the same should be served on the learned Advocate for the applicants.
- 4. S.O. to 4th October, 2018.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 139 OF 2017 (Shaikh Liyakat Shaikh Dildar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 03.08.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Shri V.P. Golewar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant and since Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 11th September, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 552 OF 2018 (Shri Ramesh P. Zope V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 03.08.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri D.B. Thoke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 14th September, 2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the

O.A. NO. 552 OF 2018

questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to the record.
- 8. S.O. to 14th September, 2018.
- 9. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

MEMBER (A)

M.A.NO. 225/2017 IN O.A.NO. 740/2016 (Shri Shankar H. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE: 03.08.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.K. Ashtekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Since non-availability of Division Bench at Aurangabad Bench, the applicant has filed the present Miscellaneous Application requesting to transfer the O.A. No. 740/2016 to Mumbai Bench.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that as the Division Bench is available at Aurangabad Bench he does not want to press the present M.A. and the same may be disposed of.
- 4. In view of the aforesaid submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, the present Miscellaneous Application is disposed of without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 740 OF 2016 (Shri Shankar H. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 03.08.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.K. Ashtekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The present Original Application be placed before the Division Bench for final hearing on 11th September, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

M.A.NO. 226/2017 IN O.A.NO. 474/2015 (Shri Nagorao K. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.----

CORAM: ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 03.08.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.K. Ashtekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Since non-availability of Division Bench at Aurangabad Bench, the applicant has filed the present Miscellaneous Application requesting to transfer the O.A. No. 474/2015 to Mumbai Bench.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that as the Division Bench is available at Aurangabad Bench he does not want to press the present M.A. and the same may be disposed of.
- 4. In view of the aforesaid submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, the present Miscellaneous Application is disposed of without any order as to costs.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 474 OF 2015 (Shri Nagorao K. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

._____

CORAM: ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 03.08.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.K. Ashtekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The present Original Application be placed before the Division Bench for final hearing on 11th September, 2018.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 230/2018 (Sushilkumar D. Kulkarni & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 3.8.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. As per the interim order of this Tribunal dtd. 13.4.2018, the applicants have appeared in the examination, though results are awaited.
- 3. This Tribunal has issued interim order on 13.4.2018 directing as below:-
 - "8. Considering the fact that though the rules provide that the examination shall be held twice in a year, the respondent No. 3 i.e. the M.P.S.C. is unable to hold the said examination even for 2 to 3 years as against the rule that upon reaching the age of 40 years, the employee would be barred from appearing for further examination, interim order is required to be granted. Interim relief is granted as per prayer clause 13 (G) and the same is as follows:-
 - "(G) Pending the admission, hearing and final disposal of this Original Application the Respondents in general and the Resp. NO. 3/MPSC in particular may kindly be directed to allow all the applicants to appear for the ensuing MF & AS Class III Examination to be conducted between 24.04.2018 and 27.04.2018 pursuant to the Notification dtd. 19.03.2018

(Annex. A-5) published by Resp. No. 3/MPSC."

9. However, Tribunal has come to know from numerous cases of various departments of the State of Maharashtra, wherein recruitment rules bar an employee from appearing for respective departmental examinations above reaching a particular age and either M.P.S.C. or the concerned examination agency of the department is unable to conduct the examinations as per the calendar given in respective rules.

Therefore, it is hereby directed that the copy of the present order shall be sent to the Principal Secretary and Additional Chief Secretary, G.A.D., who shall take into consideration this difficulty and grant of interim relief in various cases as well pendency of many cases on the same issue. Thereupon, both the Secretaries shall work out amendments to the concerned rules as may be deemed fit to overcome the above difficulty and file report on or before next date."

- 4. However, learned C.P.O. seeks time. Time granted.
- 5. In the meantime it is hereby also directed that order dtd. 13.4.2018 may be brought to the notice of Chief Secretary of Government of Maharashtra for considering the said issue and to file response of the State Government in that regard within a period of one month from today. This Tribunal on similar issue had heard numerous cases and hence this mechanism would sort out the repeated issue placed before the Tribunal.
- 5. S.O. to 11.9.2018.
- 6. Steno copy allowed for the use of both the sides.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 251/2018 (Sanjay G. Sarpate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

.....

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 3.8.2018

ORAL ORDER:

None appears for the applicant. Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 10.9.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (A)

O.A. 240/2018 AND M.A. 152/2018 (Shri Swamidas V. Chobe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 3.8.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned C.P.O. has filed affidavit in reply of res. no. 1 in the O.A. itself. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. With the consent of both the sides, the O.A. and M.A. are taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.
- 4. Applicant was superannuated on 31.1.2012 and departmental enquiry was order against him on 3.12.2012 and that the Enquiry Officer was appointed on 6.11.2013, however, Department has not concluded the D.E. and all the retiral benefits of the applicant have been denied on the ground that D.E. is pending against the applicant.
- 5. In the circumstances, the present O.A. is hereby disposed of with a direction to the concerned respondents to complete the D.E. against the present applicant including passing of final order by the

::-2-:: OA 240/18 AND MA 152/18

disciplinary authority, within a period of 3 months from the date of passing of this order.

4. In view of disposal of O.A. itself, nothing survives in the M.A. and the same also stands disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 567/2018 (Shubhangi M. Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

.----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 3.8.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notice before admission to the respondents, returnable on 14.9.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of 0.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rifles, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week.

::-2-:: O.A. NO. 567/18

Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. S.O. to 14.9.2018.
- 9. Steno copy / humdast allowed for both the sides.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 503/2017 (Panchamlal L. Salve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 3.8.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for respondent no.3.

- 2. As such, after hearing the arguments of all the sides, there is no prima-facie case for calling the record from the M.P.S.C. about the interview in question.
- 3. However, on the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, the present matter be kept on 16.8.2018 with a direction to the concerned respondents to produce the concerned record before the Tribunal on the next date as per prayer clause (B) of the present O.A., so that the learned Advocate for the applicant is satisfied at the time of next hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 517/2017 (Anup S. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 3.8.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri B.S. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. seeks accommodation to file affidavit in reply to the amended O.A.
- 3. As amendment has been carried out in the month of January, 2018, as a last chance, S.O. to 18.9.2018 for filing affidavit in reply by the respondents to the amended O.A. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 181/2018 (Narsing L. Kanewad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

.----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 3.8.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri R.O. Awsarmol, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. seeks accommodation to file affidavit in reply of the respondents in the present O.A. However, on the last occasion i.e. on 13.6.2018 also the learned P.O. sought adjournment for filing affidavit in reply.
- 3. In the circumstances, as a last chance, S.O. to 21.8.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 248/2018 (Shripad C. Vaidya & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

.....

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE: 3.8.2018

ORAL ORDER:

None appears for the applicant. Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O., S.O. to 10.9.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents in the present O.A.

MEMBER (A)