MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.341/2021

DISTRICT:- AHMEDNAGAR

Prashant Suresh Pardhi, Age : 35 years, Occ : Service, R/o. Barav Sagar Girna Society, F-12, Junnar, District Pune, At present Government Male Begging House, Chimble, Tq. Shrigonda, Dist. Ahmednagar. ...APPLICANT

VERSUS

- The State of Maharashtra, Through its Secretary, Women and Child Development Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai-400032.
- The Commissioner, Women and Child Development, Maharashtra State, Pune.
- The Superintendent of Government Begging House, Chimble, Tq. Shrigonda, Dist. Ahmednagar. ...RESPONDENTS

APPEARANCE :Shri P.B.Jadhav, Counsel holding for Smt. Sharda P. Chate, Counsel for Applicant.

:Smt. M.S.Patni, Presenting Officer for the respondents.

<u>CORAM</u> : JUSTICE P.R.BORA, VICE CHAIRMAN

Decided on: 20-10-2023.

ORAL ORDER:

1. Heard Shri P.B.Jadhav, learned Counsel

holding for Smt. Sharda P. Chate, learned Counsel for the

applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer appearing for the respondent authorities.

2. The applicant has preferred the present O.A. seeking direction against the respondents to give him Grade Pay of Rs.2400/- which is applicable to his post. Applicant has joined services with the respondents as Agriculture Assistant. Learned Counsel submitted that respondents are paying the salary to the applicant in the pay scale of Rs.5200-20200 with Grade Pay Rs.1900/- whereas the pay scale applicable for the post on which the applicant is working is Rs.5200-20200 with Grade Pay Rs.2400/-.

3. Learned Counsel submitted that applicant has placed on record a document at p.b.page 49 from the Gazette dated 30-01-2019. Learned Counsel submitted that though the applicant is entitled for the said pay scale, respondents have deprived the applicant from the same. Learned Counsel submitted that applicant had also made representation in that regard, however, request of the applicant has not been considered and hence the applicant is constrained to approach this Tribunal. 4. In their affidavit in reply respondents have neither denied the claim of the applicant nor have expressly admitted it. After having gone through the contents of the O.A. and the documents placed on record, it is quite evident that the applicant is entitled for the Grade Pay of Rs.2400/- instead of Rs.1900/- in the pay scale of Rs.5200-20200.

5. In the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3 they have impliedly admitted the aforesaid fact. Gazette which is placed on record at p.b.page 49 also supports the contention of the applicant. O.A., therefore, deserves to be allowed. Hence, the following order:

ORDER

[i] Respondents are directed to grant pay scale of Rs.5200-20200 with Grade Pay of Rs.2400/- to the applicant.

[ii] Arrears flowing from increase in Grade Pay be remitted in favour of the applicant within 12 weeks from the date of this order.

[iii] O.A. is allowed in the aforesaid terms.

[iv] There shall be no order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

Place : Aurangabad Date : 20.10.2023. 2023\SB\YUK O.A.NO.341.2021 pay scale PRB.docx